

Premelting hcp to bcc Transition in Beryllium

Y. Lu,¹ T. Sun,² Ping Zhang,³ P. Zhang,^{4,1} D.-B. Zhang,^{1,*} and R. M. Wentzcovitch⁵

¹Beijing Computational Science Research Center, Beijing 100193, China

²Key Laboratory of Computational Geodynamics, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

³Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics, Beijing 100088, China

⁴Department of Physics, University at Buffalo, State University of New York, Buffalo, New York 14260, USA

⁵Materials Science and Engineering, Department of Applied Physics and Applied Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, USA and Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences and Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University, Palisades, New York 10964, USA

(Received 30 November 2016; revised manuscript received 2 March 2017; published 7 April 2017)

Beryllium (Be) is an important material with wide applications ranging from aerospace components to x-ray equipment. Yet a precise understanding of its phase diagram remains elusive. We have investigated the phase stability of Be using a recently developed hybrid free energy computation method that accounts for anharmonic effects by invoking phonon quasiparticles. We find that the hcp \rightarrow bcc transition occurs near the melting curve at $0 < P < 11$ GPa with a positive Clapeyron slope of 41 ± 4 K/GPa, which is more consistent with recent experimental measurements. This work also demonstrates the validity of this theoretical framework based on the phonon quasiparticle to study the structural stability and phase transitions in strongly anharmonic materials.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.145702

Resolving phase boundaries is challenging for both experimentation and theory given the uncertainties from several sources, especially at very high pressure (P) and high temperature (T). Beryllium (Be) is a typical system whose phase diagram remains an open problem despite intense investigations. It assumes a hexagonal close-packed (hcp) structure at relatively low T [1]. However, unlike other metal systems [2], the stability of the body-centered cubic (bcc) phase at high T and the associated hcp/bcc phase transition are not well understood yet. Be is important for both fundamental research [3–6] and practical applications. Being a strong and light-weight metal, it has been widely used in a broad range of technological applications in harsh environments and extreme PT conditions, e.g., up to $T > 4000$ K and $P > 200$ GPa [7–11].

Equipped with the diamond anvil cell technique coupled with x-ray diffraction, recent experimental efforts have made significant progress in understanding the structure and phase stability of Be [12–18]. Lazicki *et al.* [12] conducted a systematic study of Be covering wide PT ranges: $8 < P < 205$ GPa and $300 < T < 4000$ K [12]. There are also several other experiments conducted at ambient temperature for pressures up to 200 GPa [13,17]. In these measurements, no sign of bcc symmetry was ever captured. In addition, a report from Evans *et al.* indicates that there is no bcc Be for the pressure range of $15 < P < 50$ GPa for temperatures up to 2000 K (see Ref. [19] for the associated discussion). These experimental evidences hint that bcc Be is likely to be a high-temperature phase within a narrow pressure region at relative low pressures, if it exists at all. Indeed, bcc Be was observed [20] only at $T > 1500$ K around ambient pressure before

melting (the melting temperature at ambient pressure $T_M \sim 1550$ K). A similar result was reported by Abey [21] by using a differential thermal analysis, where the hcp/bcc phase boundary between $0 < P < 2.5$ GPa is quite near the melting line. On the contrary, through temperature-dependent resistance, Francois and Contre inferred that the hcp/bcc phase boundary between $0 < P < 6$ GPa has a negative Clapeyron slope (-52 ± 8 K/GPa) [22]. According to experimental measurements made by Lazicki *et al.* [12] and others [13,17], this result, however, no longer holds. On the theory side, the study of Be's phase diagram using conventional methods encounters significant difficulties. The lattice dynamics of bcc Be is highly anharmonic, and the widely used quasiharmonic approximation (QHA) and Debye model are not able to capture such an effect [19,23–28]. For this reason, the theoretical study of bcc Be and the associated hcp/bcc phase transition is missing for $P < 11$ GPa (density < 2.1 g/cc) where bcc Be is dynamically unstable at 0 K [24]. At $P > 11$ GPa, bcc Be is dynamically stabilized by pressure, and the QHA might, in principle, be applied. However, the hcp/bcc boundary [23–25] predicted by the QHA does not agree with experiments [12], suggesting that anharmonic effects still play an important role at higher pressures.

In this Letter, we report a new investigation of the phase stability of bcc Be and the associated hcp \rightarrow bcc phase transition boundary up to 30 GPa and temperatures up to 2000 K. We have used a recently developed hybrid approach [29,30] that combines first-principles molecular dynamics (MD) and lattice dynamics calculations to address anharmonic effects in the free energy. In this method, the concept of phonon quasiparticles offers a

quantitative characterization of the effects of lattice anharmonicity [31,32]. We show that Be exhibits pronounced anharmonic effects in both the bcc and hcp phases. Specifically, our results reveal the dynamical stabilization of bcc Be with increasing T . The bcc phase, however, is favorable only in a narrow temperature range near T_M , with the hcp \rightarrow bcc phase boundary having a positive Clapeyron slope of 41 ± 4 K/GPa. The bcc stability field shrinks with increasing pressure and eventually disappears at around 11 GPa. This result agrees overall with experiments [12–18,20,21] and differs from other hcp/bcc phase boundaries (e.g., Mg [33]), usually displaying a negative Clapeyron slope.

In the present approach, phonon quasiparticles are numerically characterized by the mode-projected velocity autocorrelation function [29,30]:

$$\langle \mathbf{V}(0) \cdot \mathbf{V}(t) \rangle_{q,s} = \lim_{t_0 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t_0} \int_0^{t_0} \mathbf{V}_{q,s}^*(t') \cdot \mathbf{V}_{q,s}(t'+t) dt', \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{V}_{q,s}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sqrt{M_i} v_i(t) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{q,s}^i \exp(i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{R}_i)$ is the mode-projected and mass-weighted velocity for normal mode (q, s) with wave vector \mathbf{q} ; $v_i(t)$ ($i = 1, \dots, N$) is the atomic velocity produced by first-principles MD simulations with N atoms, and M_i and \mathbf{R}_i are the atomic mass and coordinate of atom i , respectively. $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{q,s}^i$ ($i = 1, \dots, N$) is the polarization vector of normal mode (q, s) calculated using the density functional perturbation theory (DFPT) [34]. For a well-defined phonon quasiparticle, the velocity autocorrelation function displays an oscillatory decaying behavior, and its Fourier transform, i.e., the power spectrum,

$$G_{q,s} = \int_0^\infty \langle \mathbf{V}(0) \cdot \mathbf{V}(t) \rangle_{q,s} \exp(i\omega t) dt, \quad (2)$$

should have a Lorentzian-type line shape [29,30]. The renormalized phonon frequency $\tilde{\omega}_{q,s}$ and the linewidth $\Gamma_{q,s}$ can then be obtained as discussed in more details in Supplemental Material [35].

The concept of the phonon quasiparticle reduces the complex problem of interacting anharmonic phonons to an effective noninteracting system [31], such that the conventional kinetic gas model and, to a great extent, the theory of harmonic phonons are still applicable. Moreover, since a structural phase transition is triggered by lattice vibrations for many cases, insight into the transition mechanism can be also obtained by monitoring the variation of frequencies and linewidths of phonon quasiparticles.

We used the Vienna *ab initio* simulation package (VASP) [36,37] to carry out first-principles MD simulations on $4 \times 4 \times 4$ supercells (128 atoms) of Be. We used the generalized gradient approximation of Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof [38] and the projector-augmented wave method [39] with an associated plane-wave basis set energy cutoff of 350 eV. For metallic Be, the finite-temperature Mermin functional [40,41] was used. Simulations were

carried out at a series of volumes (V): $6.21 < V < 8.71 \text{ \AA}^3/\text{atom}$ for bcc Be and $6.35 < V < 8.83 \text{ \AA}^3/\text{atom}$ for hcp Be. For hcp Be, the proper aspect ratio (c/a) is adopted to obtain good hydrostatic conditions for the specific volume and temperature. Temperatures ranging from 300 to 2800 K are controlled through the Nosé dynamics [42]. The considered volumes and temperatures result in a pressure range of $0 < P < 30$ GPa. For each volume and temperature, multiple independent MD runs (five parallel replica) were performed to improve phase space sampling quality that also allow for the evaluation of statistical uncertainties. Each MD run lasted 50 ps and used a time step of 1 fs. Harmonic phonon frequencies and normal modes were calculated using the DFPT [34].

Before proceeding, we should clarify the general understanding of the hcp \rightarrow bcc transition. The low T and low P hcp structure relates to the bcc structure through the zone center transverse optical (TO) mode and a macroscopic strain. This mode consists of opposite displacements of neighboring (0001) planes along $\langle 1010 \rangle$ and softens with increasing T . This is not necessarily a soft mode transition but generally a first-order transition with a negative Clapeyron slope [33]. The (0001) plane transforms into the (110) plane of the bcc phase. This picture was validated by an early variable cell shape molecular dynamics study [43]. The opposite bcc \rightarrow hcp transition involves the lowest transverse acoustic mode (TA2) at $\mathbf{q} = [1/2, 1/2, 0]$, the N point of the Brillouin zone, marked by an open circle in Fig. 2(a). With this in mind, we monitor closely the behavior of these modes with changing T .

We first investigate the behavior of phonon quasiparticles at different temperatures. For bcc Be, phonon quasiparticles are not well defined at low T as found in other systems [31] but recovered at high T for unstable (soft) modes e.g., TA2. The analysis of this mode is shown in Fig. S2 of Supplemental Material [35]. It is more interesting to notice that, at low T , phonon quasiparticles are not well defined even for certain stable modes with positive harmonic frequencies. Figure 1 shows $\langle \mathbf{V}(0) \cdot \mathbf{V}(t) \rangle_{q,s}$ and the corresponding power spectra of the TA1 phonon mode at N calculated at 400 [Fig. 1(a)] and 1000 K [Fig. 1(b)]. This mode is marked by an open square in Fig. 2(a). Although $\langle \mathbf{V}(0) \cdot \mathbf{V}(t) \rangle_{q,s}$ at 400 K displays an oscillatory behavior, the amplitude decay is nonmonotonic [Fig. 1(a)]. Consequently, the power spectrum has two major peaks within the shaded area as shown in Fig. 1(c). This indicates that the frequency of this mode cannot be well constrained, or, equivalently, the corresponding phonon quasiparticle is not well defined. In contrast, at 1000 K, $\langle \mathbf{V}(0) \cdot \mathbf{V}(t) \rangle_{q,s}$ exhibits a nicely decaying oscillatory behavior [Fig. 1(b)]. The corresponding power spectrum now has a well-defined Lorentzian line shape with a single and well-defined peak [Fig. 1(d)]. It is thus straightforward to identify the renormalized frequency of this mode as 16.8 THz. Similarly, all other quasiparticle




FIG. 1. Mode-projected velocity autocorrelation functions of the TA1 acoustic mode (q, s) at $\mathbf{q} = N$ with a harmonic frequency of 18.2 THz of bcc Be at (a) 400 and (b) 1000 K, respectively. (c) and (d) show the corresponding power spectra. In (c), the shaded area between 16.2 and 17.6 THz covers two major peaks, indicating the breakdown of the phonon quasiparticle picture. In (d), the vertical dashed line at 16.8 THz indicates the frequency of the well-defined phonon quasiparticle.

mode frequencies sampled by the $4 \times 4 \times 4$ supercell are equally well defined. As previously indicated [29,30], these renormalized phonon frequencies plus the normal modes enable the calculation of the renormalized force constant matrix and complete phonon dispersions. This quantitative characterization of phonon quasiparticles and renormalized phonon dispersion provide a solid foundation for studying thermal properties.

Figure 2(a) compares the anharmonic phonon dispersion of bcc Be at 1000 K with the harmonic phonon dispersion calculated using the DFPT. Results are obtained at the static equilibrium volume of bcc Be, $7.81 \text{ \AA}^3/\text{atom}$. There are noticeable differences between the anharmonic and harmonic phonon dispersions. In particular, the unstable (soft) TA2 branch along the $\Gamma - N$ line stabilizes when high-temperature anharmonic effects are accounted for. This indicates that bcc Be is stabilized by anharmonic effects. To gain further insight into anharmonic effects, we analyze T -dependent phonon frequency shifts. Figure 2(c) shows that the frequency of the bcc zone edge phonon mode at $\mathbf{q} = N$ associated with the TA2 branch calculated at a fixed volume varies nonlinearly with T . The lowest-order many-body perturbation theory [45] predicts a linear frequency shift with T . Therefore, as expected, higher-order anharmonic effects ignored in the perturbative treatment play an important role here.

The calculated anharmonic phonon dispersion over the whole Brillouin zone makes it possible to calculate the free energy in the thermodynamic limit ($N \rightarrow \infty$). Since hcp Be is stable at low T for the entire pressure range of interest, the lattice thermal properties have been studied within the QHA [23–25] without further examination of the validity of the approximation. This naturally brings up a question: How important are anharmonic effects in the free energy in




FIG. 2. (a) Anharmonic phonon dispersion at 1000 K (blue solid curves) and harmonic phonon dispersion calculated using the DFPT (gray dashed curves) both at $V = 7.81 \text{ \AA}^3/\text{atom}$, the static bcc Be equilibrium volume. The two transverse branches are labeled TA1 and TA2, respectively. (b) Anharmonic phonon dispersion calculated at 1000 K (blue solid curves) and harmonic phonon dispersion calculated using the DFPT (gray dashed curves) both at $V = 7.89 \text{ \AA}^3/\text{atom}$, the static hcp Be equilibrium volume. The experimental data are shown for comparison [44]. (c) Temperature-dependent frequency shifts of the TA2 $\mathbf{q} = N$ mode [open circle in (a)] and of the TO $\mathbf{q} = \Gamma$ mode [open circle in (b)] calculated at constant volume and (d) at constant zero pressure. The procedure to convert from constant volume to constant pressure was described in Ref. [29]. The vertical dashed line in (d) indicates the hcp/bcc transition temperature.

this seemingly stable structure? Figure 2(b) compares the anharmonic phonon dispersion at $T = 1000 \text{ K}$ and the harmonic phonon dispersion of hcp Be calculated at a fixed volume of $7.89 \text{ \AA}^3/\text{atom}$ corresponding to zero static pressure. The differences, although not alarming, are still significant in most of the Brillouin zone. A detailed analysis of individual phonon modes in Supplemental

Material [35] reveals that the frequency shifts with increasing T can be positive, negative, or nearly zero, demonstrating the complexity of lattice anharmonic effects. What is important here is that the large frequency shifts in hcp Be should be incorporated into the free energy calculation for more accurate evaluations of thermodynamic properties and phase boundaries.

The large frequency shifts not only reveal pronounced anharmonic effects but also shed light on the microscopic mechanism of this phase transition. As mentioned earlier, the hcp and bcc structures are related by a combination of phonon displacements and a macroscopic strain [46]. Together, they provide a path for the hcp \rightarrow bcc transition. The frequency of the zone center TO mode drops significantly from 14.2 to 12.3 THz when T increases from 0 to 1600 K [see Fig. 2(c)]. This observation is consistent with expectations based on the anticipated transformation mechanism [33,43,47]. We note that, although the frequency shift is very large at 1600 K, the picture of phonon quasiparticle is still valid for the hcp phase (see Supplemental Material [35] for a detailed analysis). As mentioned earlier, from the Brillouin zone-folding relation, the corresponding mode in bcc Be is the zone edge TA2 mode at $\mathbf{q} = N$, whose property is shown in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d).

We now demonstrate that anharmonic effects are critical for obtaining this hcp \rightarrow bcc phase boundary. When using anharmonic T -dependent phonon dispersions, the QHA free energy formula is no longer valid, whereas the entropy formula is still applicable [48]. Therefore, we first calculate the vibrational entropy [29,31]

$$S_{\text{vib}} = k_B \sum_{\mathbf{q}s} [(n_{\mathbf{q}s} + 1) \ln(n_{\mathbf{q}s} + 1) - n_{\mathbf{q}s} \ln n_{\mathbf{q}s}], \quad (3)$$

with $n_{\mathbf{q}s} = [\exp(\hbar\tilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{q},s}/k_B T) - 1]^{-1}$, and obtain the total free energy as

$$F(V, T) = F(V, T_0) - \int_{T_0}^T S(T') dT', \quad (4)$$

where T_0 is 1000 K and S is the total entropy including both vibrational and electronic contributions (see Supplemental Material [35] for more details). Our analysis of phonon quasiparticles demonstrates that they are well defined for both phases for $T \geq 1000$ K, therefore the choice of T_0 . $F(V, T_0) = E(V, T_0) + T_0 S(V, T_0)$, where $E(V, T_0)$ is the internal energy obtained from the MD simulation. Figure 3(a) displays the calculated free energies for both bcc and hcp phases. It is seen that, at $T \geq 1200$ K, $V_{\text{bcc}} > V_{\text{hcp}}$, and, consistently, the common tangent to these curves starts to have a negative slope, indicating a transition from hcp to bcc at a positive pressure. We note that the volumetric variations of both phases also provide clues to understand the predicted hcp/bcc transition at very high P (e.g., 400 GPa) [23–25]. More details of the variation of V_{bcc} and V_{hcp} is shown in Fig. S6 in Supplemental Material [35].




FIG. 3. (a) Free energy $F(V, T)$ versus volumes of Be for bcc (solid symbols) and hcp (open symbols) phases at different temperatures. (b) Free energy $G(P, T)$ versus the temperature of Be for bcc (solid lines) and hcp (dashed lines) phases at different pressures. In (a) and (b), the error bars are too small to be visible.

It is more convenient to convert $F(V, T)$ into $G(P, T) = F(V, T) + P(V, T)V$ to obtain the phase boundary (see Supplemental Material [35] for details). Figure 3(b) displays $G(P, T)$ for both bcc and hcp phases. At each P , the intersection of G_{bcc} and G_{hcp} gives the hcp/bcc transition temperature. The resulting hcp \rightarrow bcc phase boundary shown in Fig. 4, together with the predicted or measured melting line, reveals several important aspects. (i) bcc Be is stable only when T approaches the melting point ($T_M \sim 1550$ K at ambient pressure), and the hcp \rightarrow bcc phase transition occurs only in a narrow pressure range of $0 < P < 11 \pm 2$ GPa. The uncertainty in the transition




FIG. 4. Phase diagram of Be. The shaded area indicates the uncertainty of our predicted hcp/bcc boundary. The melting line is adopted from Robert, Legrand, and Bernard [24]. The measured hcp/bcc phase boundary (solid line [21]) and the experimental point for bcc Be (open square) [20] are shown for comparison. The inset summarizes the phase diagram of Be as predicted by previous theoretical studies: hcp/bcc₁ and the pocket at a relative low-pressure zone [24] and hcp/bcc₂ [19].

pressure can be understood from the uncertainties of the calculated free energy. From the five parallel replica of BOMD simulations, five different renormalized phonon frequencies $\tilde{\omega}_{q,s}$ are obtained, enabling the estimation of uncertainty of $\tilde{\omega}_{q,s}$ and thus the uncertainty in the free energy ΔF_{vib} . For example, $\Delta F_{\text{vib}} \approx 3.5$ meV/atom in bcc Be at 2000 K with a volume of $V = 7.81 \text{ \AA}^3/\text{atom}$. The non-negligible ΔF_{vib} for both hcp and bcc phases brings out an uncertainty in the hcp/bcc phase boundary. At $P = 11$ GPa, this uncertainty is about ± 2 GPa. A detailed analysis of the phase boundary uncertainty is shown in Supplemental Material [35]. We indicate that the obtained transition pressure range within uncertainty is consistent with recent experimental measurements [12,14–18]. For example, Lazicki *et al.* [12] inspected the structure of Be for high pressure $P = 205$ GPa at temperatures up to 4000 K and for low pressure down to $P = 8$ GPa at temperatures up to 1225 K and found no sign of bcc Be. Our results are also in agreement with an earlier experiment where bcc Be was observed at $T > 1500$ K [20] at ambient pressure. Here it is worth noting that this measurement [20] might not be of sufficient accuracy due to the impurities contained in the samples. (ii) The hcp/bcc phase boundary has a positive Clapeyron slope of 41 ± 4 K/GPa, as recently suggested [12]. Besides, our predicted Clapeyron slope is close to the experimental value (43 ± 7 K/GPa) reported by Abey [21]. We note that Abey indicated that the hcp/bcc boundary disappears at a triple point $P = 2.5$ GPa, quite different from our prediction (11 ± 2 GPa). The main reason is that our predicted hcp/bcc transition temperature is lower than Abey's while the melting line predicted by Robert *et al.* is higher than Abey's. (iii) Our prediction for the bcc stability field does not look like a pocket, as recently suggested, but it does overlap with the PT range of that pocket [24] (see Fig. 4).

In summary, using the concept of the phonon quasiparticle, we have investigated the hcp \rightarrow bcc phase boundary of Be. We find that bcc Be is stabilized at low pressures and high temperatures by anharmonic effects. For hcp Be, anharmonic effects on phonon properties are also significant. Using anharmonic phonon dispersions, we evaluated the free energies of both phases and showed that the bcc phase emerges as a premelting phenomenon at relatively low pressures. Our results for the hcp \rightarrow bcc phase boundary are consistent with most experimental observations [12,14–18,20,21] in all important aspects.

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. U1530401, No. 41474069, No. 11328401, and No. U1530258 and by Science Challenge Project under Grant No. JCKY 2016212A501. R. M. W. was supported by the Hareaus visiting professorship award from the University of Frankfurt and by NSF Grants No. EAR-1319368 and No. EAR-1348066. P.Z. was supported by NSF Grant

No. DMR-1506669. Computations were performed at Beijing Computational Science Research Center and Minnesota Supercomputing Institute.

*Corresponding author.

dbzhang@csrc.ac.cn

- [1] J. F. Cannon, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **3**, 781 (1974).
- [2] K. Persson, M. Ekman, and V. Ozolins, *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, 11221 (2000).
- [3] S. H. Glenzer, G. Gregori, R. W. Lee, F. J. Rogers, S. W. Pollaine, and O. L. Landen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 175002 (2003).
- [4] S. H. Glenzer *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 065002 (2007).
- [5] H. J. Lee *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 115001 (2009).
- [6] I. Vobornik, J. Fujii, M. Hochstrasser, D. Krizmancic, C. E. Viol, G. Panaccione, S. Fabris, S. Baroni, and G. Rossi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 166403 (2007).
- [7] S. W. Haan *et al.*, *Phys. Plasmas* **18**, 051001 (2011).
- [8] D. Swift, D. Paisley, and M. Knudson, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **706**, 119 (2003).
- [9] K. L. Wilson, R. A. Causey, W. L. Hsu, B. E. Mills, M. F. Smith, and J. B. Whitley, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A* **8**, 1750 (1990).
- [10] D. S. Clark, S. W. Haan, and J. D. Salmonson, *Phys. Plasmas* **15**, 056305 (2008).
- [11] D. C. Wilson *et al.*, *Phys. Plasmas* **5**, 1953 (1998); J. L. Kline *et al.*, *Phys. Plasmas* **23**, 056310 (2016).
- [12] A. Lazicki, A. Dewaele, P. Loubeyre, and M. Mezouar, *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 174118 (2012).
- [13] W. J. Evans, M. J. Lipp, H. Cynn, C. S. Yoo, M. Somayazulu, D. Häusermann, G. Shen, and V. Prakapenka, *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 094113 (2005).
- [14] L. C. Ming and M. H. Manghnani, *J. Phys. F* **14**, L1 (1984).
- [15] V. Vijayakumar, B. K. Godwal, Y. K. Vohra, S. K. Sikka, and R. Chidambaram, *J. Phys. F* **14**, L65 (1984).
- [16] A. R. Marder, *Science* **142**, 664 (1963).
- [17] K. Nakano, Y. Akahama, and H. Kawamura, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **14**, 10569 (2002).
- [18] N. Velisavljevic, G. N. Chestnut, Y. K. Vohra, S. T. Weir, V. Malba, and J. Akella, *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 172107 (2002).
- [19] L. X. Benedict, T. Ogitsu, A. Trave, C. J. Wu, P. A. Sterne, and E. Schwegler, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 064106 (2009).
- [20] A. J. Martin and A. Moore, *J. Less-Common Met.* **1**, 85 (1959).
- [21] A. Abey, National Technical Reports Library, NTIS Issue Number 198506, 1984.
- [22] M. Francois and M. Contre, in *Proceedings of the Conference Internationale sur la Metallurgie du Beryllium, Grenoble* (Presses Universitaires de France, Paris, 1965).
- [23] G. Robert and A. Sollier, *J. Phys. IV (France)* **134**, 257 (2006).
- [24] G. Robert, P. Legrand, and S. Bernard, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 104118 (2010).
- [25] F. Luo, L.-C. Cai, X.-R. Chen, F.-Q. Jing, and D. Alfé, *J. Appl. Phys.* **111**, 053503 (2012).
- [26] G. V. Sin'ko and N. A. Smirnov, *Phys. Rev. B* **71**, 214108 (2005).
- [27] K. Kádas, L. Vitos, R. Ahuja, B. Johansson, and J. Kollár, *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 235109 (2007).

- [28] K. Kádas, L. Vitos, B. Johansson, and J. Kollár, *Phys. Rev. B* **75**, 035132 (2007).
- [29] D.-B. Zhang, T. Sun, and R. M. Wentzcovitch, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 058501 (2014).
- [30] T. Sun, D.-B. Zhang, and R. M. Wentzcovitch, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 094109 (2014).
- [31] T. Sun, X. Shen, and P. B. Allen, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 224304 (2010).
- [32] A. J. C. Ladd, B. Moran, and W. G. Hoover, *Phys. Rev. B* **34**, 5058 (1986).
- [33] J. D. Althoff, P. B. Allen, R. M. Wentzcovitch, and J. A. Moriarty, *Phys. Rev. B* **48**, 13253 (1993).
- [34] S. Baroni, S. D. Gironcoli, A. D. Corso, and P. Giannozzi, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **73**, 515 (2001); P. Giannozzi *et al.*, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **21**, 395502 (2009).
- [35] See Supplemental Material at <http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.145702> for more information of the calculation of anharmonic phonon dispersions, mode-projected velocity autocorrelation functions, harmonic phonon dispersions of bcc and hcp Be, equation of states, size dependence of anharmonic entropy and anharmonic free energy, electronic entropy, uncertainties in anharmonic free energy and in hcp/bcc phase boundary.
- [36] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 11169 (1996).
- [37] G. Kresse and J. Hafner, *Phys. Rev. B* **49**, 14251 (1994).
- [38] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3865 (1996).
- [39] P. E. Blöchl, *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 17953 (1994).
- [40] N. D. Mermin, *Phys. Rev.* **137**, A1441 (1965).
- [41] R. M. Wentzcovitch, J. L. Martins, and P. B. Allen, *Phys. Rev. B* **45**, 11372 (1992).
- [42] S. Nosé, *J. Chem. Phys.* **81**, 511 (1984); W. G. Hoover, *Phys. Rev. A* **31**, 1695 (1985).
- [43] R. M. Wentzcovitch, *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 10358 (1994).
- [44] R. Stedman, Z. Amilius, R. Pauli, and O. Sundin, *J. Phys. F* **6**, 157 (1976).
- [45] A. A. Maradudin and A. E. Fein, *Phys. Rev.* **128**, 2589 (1962).
- [46] G. Grimvall, B. Magyari-Köpe, V. Ozoliņš, and K. A. Persson, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **84**, 945 (2012).
- [47] R. M. Wentzcovitch and M. L. Cohen, *Phys. Rev. B* **37**, 5571 (1988).
- [48] D. C. Wallace, *Thermodynamics of Crystals* (Wiley, New York, 1972).