Anti–de Sitter Particles and Manifest (Super)Isometries

Alex S. Arvanitakis,* Alec E. Barns-Graham,† and Paul K. Townsend‡
Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, University of Cambridge, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WA, United Kingdom

(Received 23 August 2016; revised manuscript received 18 January 2017; published 7 April 2017)

Starting from the classical action for a spin-zero particle in a D-dimensional anti–de Sitter (AdS) spacetime, we recover the Breitenlohner-Freedman bound by quantization. For $D = 4, 5, 7$ and using an $Sl(2;\mathbb{K})$ spinor notation for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$, we find a bitwistor form of the action for which the AdS isometry group is linearly realized, although only for zero mass when $D = 4, 7$ in agreement with previous constructions. For zero mass and $D = 4$ the conformal isometry group is linearly realized. We extend these results to the superparticle in the maximally supersymmetric "AdS × S" string or M-theory vacua, showing that quantization yields a $128 + 128$ component supermultiplet. We also extend them to the null string.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.141601

Actions governing the dynamics of particles, strings, or branes are generally invariant under the isometries, and possibly conformal isometries, of the background spacetime, but these symmetries may be realized nonlinearly. In some cases it is possible to make manifest the full symmetry group by reexpressing the action in terms of new variables that transform linearly with respect to it.

A well-known example [1] is the twistor formalism for massless particles in four-dimensional Minkowski spacetime (Mink_4); this makes manifest an invariance under the $\mathrm{Spin}(2,4) \cong SU(2,2)$ conformal isometry group of Mink_4 because a twistor is essentially a spinor of this group. The supertwistor [2] extension of this construction to the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ massless superparticle makes manifest the $SU(2,2|4)$ superconformal symmetry of its action [3], allowing a simple demonstration that its quantization yields the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Maxwell supermultiplet. Similar constructions are possible for $\mathrm{Mink}_{3,6}$ [4]; these rely on the fact that the conformal isometry group of Mink_d for $d = 2 + \dim\mathbb{K}$, where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$, is isomorphic to $Sp(4;\mathbb{K})$, defined as preserving a skew-$\mathbb{K}$-Hermitian quadratic form on $\mathbb{K}^4$ [5].

The conformal isometry group of Mink_d is also the isometry group of D-dimensional anti–de Sitter space (AdS_D) for $D = d + 1$. Some years ago it was noticed by Claus *et al.* [6] that the action for a particle in AdS_5 could be expressed in terms of bitwistors of Mink_4. A geometric interpretation of this construction was supplied by Cederwall [7], who also showed that a similar bitwistor construction for $\mathrm{AdS}_{4,7}$ could work only for zero mass.

Here we present a simple variant of the Claus *et al.* construction that applies uniformly to $\mathrm{AdS}_{4,5,7}$. Although the resulting linearly realized $Sp(4;\mathbb{K})$ symmetry group is the AdS isometry group only for zero mass, this mismatch can be eliminated in the $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ case by a redefinition of the twistor variables. We thereby recover the result of Claus *et al.* for AdS_5, and confirm the conclusions of Cederwall for $\mathrm{AdS}_{4,7}$ by algebraic means.

Although linear realization of the AdS_D isometry group limits our bitwistor construction for $D = 4, 7$ to zero mass, a bonus for $D = 4$ is that the *conformal* isometry group of AdS_4 is also linearly realized.

Anti–de Sitter vacua arise naturally in supergravity theories. In particular, the $\mathrm{AdS}_{4,5,7}$ cases arise through the maximally supersymmetric AdS × S vacua of string or M theory in 10/11 dimensions, in which context they can also be interpreted as the near-horizon geometries of, respectively, the $M2$ brane, $D3$ brane, and $M5$ brane [8]. The corresponding isometry supergroups are as follows [the $O(n;\mathbb{K})$ subgroup of $\mathrm{OSp}(n|4;\mathbb{K})$ is defined to preserve a $\mathbb{K}$-Hermitian quadratic form on $\mathbb{K}^n$]:

$$M2:\ \mathrm{AdS}_4 \times \mathrm{S}^7:\ \mathrm{OSp}(8|4;\mathbb{R}) \supset \mathrm{Spin}(8) \times \mathrm{Spin}(2,3),$$
$$D3:\ \mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5:\ \mathrm{OSp}(4|4;\mathbb{C}) \supset \mathrm{U}(4) \times \mathrm{Spin}(2,4),$$
$$M5:\ \mathrm{AdS}_7 \times \mathrm{S}^4:\ \mathrm{OSp}(2|4;\mathbb{H}) \supset \mathrm{USp}(4) \times \mathrm{Spin}(2,6).$$

In the $D3$-brane case, the AdS/CFT correspondence relates a four-dimensional $N = 4$ Yang-Mills theory to IIB superstring theory in the $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ backgound [9], and the superstring ground states should be described by a superparticle invariant under the $\mathrm{OSp}(4|4;\mathbb{C}) \cong SU(2,2|4)$ isometries of this background.

This motivates a generalization of the twistor formulation of particle dynamics in AdS to a supertwistor formulation of the superparticle. A direct construction based on AdS supergeometry would involve a complicated expansion in superspace coordinates but a simple Mink_d supersymmetrization suffices since the other supersymmetries are then implied. This is reminiscent of the "hidden" supersymmetries of the massive superparticle [10]; as in that case, all supersymmetries become manifest in a supertwistor formulation, as anticipated by Cederwall [7]. For the cases corresponding to the above table, we find that the supertwistor form of the superparticle action involves a total of 8 Fermi oscillators, so quantization will yield a supermultiplet of $2^8 = 128 + 128$ independent states, as expected for a maximally supersymmetric graviton supermultiplet in the AdS $\times$ S background.

Our constructions are based on the fact that AdS_D can be foliated by Minkowski spacetimes of dimension $d = D - 1$, so it is convenient to choose coordinates adapted to this foliation. We will begin by showing how the Breitenlohner-Freedman (BF) bound on the mass squared of scalar fields in AdS [11] follows from a semiclassical quantization of the particle in such a background given that the motion on Minkowski "slices" is nontachyonic.

We start from the phase-space form of the action, invariant under reparametrizations of the particle's world line, which is embedded in a D-dimensional spacetime with metric g_{MN} in local coordinates x^M:

$$S = \int dt \left\{ \dot{x}^M p_M - \frac{1}{2} e (g^{MN} p_M p_N + m^2) \right\}. \quad (1)$$

We use a "mostly plus" signature convention, and $e(t)$ is a Lagrange multiplier for the mass-shell constraint. Given an AdS_D background of radius R, we may choose the metric to be

$$ds^2 = g_{MN} dx^M dx^N = \frac{R^2}{z^2} (\eta_{mn} dx^m dx^n + dz^2), \quad (2)$$

where $\{x^m; m = 0, 1, \ldots, d - 1\}$ are Minkowski coordinates for the Mink_d slices, which are the hypersurfaces of constant z. AdS infinity is at $z = 0$ and there is a Killing horizon at $z = \infty$.

We can now rewrite the action as

$$S = \int dt \left\{ \dot{x}^m p_m + \dot{z} p_z - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{e} (p^2 + \Delta^2) \right\}, \quad (3)$$

where $R^2 \tilde{e} = z^2 e$ and

$$p^2 = \eta^{mn} p_m p_n, \qquad \Delta^2 = p_z^2 + (mR/z)^2. \quad (4)$$

Let us remark here that the physical phase space has dimension $2D - 2 = 2d$ because the constraint also generates a gauge invariance, thereby lowering the dimension by 2, and this must be the physical phase-space dimension of any equivalent action in other variables.

A feature of the action (3) is that Δ is a constant of the motion. Consequently, the motion within the (x, p) subspace of phase space is that of a free particle of mass Δ in Mink_d. The mass m affects directly only the motion in the (z, p_z) phase plane. For $m = 0$ we have $\dot{p}_z = 0$ and the motion in this phase plane is linear. For $m^2 > 0$ it is convenient to choose $\Delta > 0$ and to write

$$p_z = \Delta \cos\varphi, \qquad \frac{mR}{z} = \Delta \sin\varphi, \quad (5)$$

for angular variable φ; the motion in the (Δ, φ) plane is circular. Notice that $z = \infty$ whenever $\sin\varphi = 0$, which tells us that the particle will pass through two Killing horizons of AdS as φ increases by 2π. Because of the periodic identification of the global time coordinate of AdS and the fact that there is only one future and one past Killing horizon in one period, a timelike geodesic will return to the same point in spacetime after crossing both Killing horizons. In this case we should identify φ with $\varphi + 2\pi$. However, a particle that crosses a Killing horizon of the simply connected cover of AdS will never return to the same point in spacetime or even the same point in space, so we should *not* assume that φ is periodically identified in this case.

We may also allow $m^2 < 0$ as long as $\Delta^2 > 0$, which implies that

$$(mR)^2 > -(zp_z)^2. \quad (6)$$

Although $(zp_z)^2$ is nonzero on spacelike geodesics there is otherwise no classical restriction on its value, which could be zero. However, the quantum uncertainty principle implies that its smallest value is $(\Delta z \Delta p_z)^2 = (\hbar/2)^2$. Quantum mechanics therefore implies the inequality

$$(mR/\hbar)^2 > -\frac{1}{4}. \quad (7)$$

This is *not* yet a bound on the mass parameter M of the Klein-Gordon equation obeyed by the particle's wave function. For $m = 0$ the classical action (3) is invariant under the *conformal* isometry group of AdS_D and a quantization preserving this symmetry will yield a Klein-Gordon equation with mass parameter M_c satisfying $(M_c R)^2 = -D(D - 2)/4$ [12]. The Klein-Gordon mass-parameter M is, therefore, given by $M^2 = M_c^2 + (m/\hbar)^2$, and the bound it satisfies is

$$(MR)^2 \geq (M_c R)^2 - \frac{1}{4} = -d^2/4. \quad (8)$$

We have allowed for equality here without obvious justification; apart from this detail, we have now recovered the BF bound for a scalar field in an AdS spacetime of arbitrary dimension $D = d + 1$ [13].

This result suggests that we should allow all values of m^2 for which $\Delta^2 > 0$. Of particular relevance here is the fact that in all such cases

$$\dot{z} p_z = -z p_z \Delta^{-1} \dot{\Delta} + \frac{d}{dt}(\cdots). \quad (9)$$

Using this result, and ignoring a total derivative, we deduce that the action (3) is equivalent to

$$S = \int dt \left\{ \dot{x}^m p_m - \frac{z p_z}{\Delta} \dot{\Delta} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{e} (p^2 + \Delta^2) \right\}. \quad (10)$$

For $m = 0$ we have $\Delta = p_z$. For $m^2 > 0$ we have $zp_z = mR \cot\varphi$, which implies that φ is the remaining phase space coordinate (and for $m = i|m|$ we have $zp_z = mR \coth\psi$ where Δ can have either sign and $\psi = -i\varphi$).

For $d = 3, 4, 6$ we may replace the Mink_d coordinates by a 2×2 $\mathbb{K}$-Hermitian matrix $\mathbb{X}$ over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$. Similarly, we may replace the d momentum by a 2×2 $\mathbb{K}$-Hermitian matrix $\mathbb{P}$ such that $\det\mathbb{P} = -p^2$ (*Hermitian* quaternionic matrices have an intrinsically defined real determinant [14,15]). We then have

$$\dot{x}^m p_m = \frac{1}{4}\mathrm{tr}(\dot{\mathbb{X}}\mathbb{P} + \mathbb{P}\dot{\mathbb{X}}) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{R}}(\dot{\mathbb{X}}\mathbb{P}), \qquad (11)$$

where "$\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{R}}$" indicates the real part of the matrix trace. We now write

$$\mathbb{P} = \mp\, \mathbb{U}\mathbb{U}^\dagger, \qquad (12)$$

where $\mathbb{U}$ is a new 2×2 matrix variable and the top (bottom) sign is for positive (negative) p^0. The mass-shell constraint is now

$$\det(\mathbb{U}\mathbb{U}^\dagger) = \Delta^2. \qquad (13)$$

Effectively, we have replaced the d momentum by a pair of two-component Mink_d spinors, alias 2-vectors of $Sl(2;\mathbb{K})$ [16]. This has introduced a new gauge invariance since $\mathbb{U}$ is acted upon from the left by $Sl(2;\mathbb{K})$ but from the right by [7]

$$O(2;\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R},\mathbb{C},\mathbb{H}) = O(2), U(2), \mathrm{Spin}(5). \qquad (14)$$

This ensures that $\mathbb{U}$ is determined by the d real variables p_m up to an $O(2;\mathbb{K})$ gauge transformation. We now find that

$$\dot{x}^m p_m = \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{R}}(\dot{\mathbb{U}}\mathbb{W}_0^\dagger) + \frac{d}{dt}(\cdots), \qquad \mathbb{W}_0 = \pm\mathbb{X}\mathbb{U}. \qquad (15)$$

From the definition of $\mathbb{W}_0$, which is also acted upon by $Sl(2;\mathbb{K})$ from the left and by $O(2;\mathbb{K})$ from the right, it follows that

$$\mathbb{U}^\dagger\mathbb{W}_0 - \mathbb{W}_0^\dagger\mathbb{U} \equiv 0. \qquad (16)$$

In the context of a particle in $\mathrm{Mink}_{3,4,6}$ of mass Δ, we would take the Lagrangian to be $L = \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{R}}(\dot{\mathbb{U}}\mathbb{W}_0^\dagger)$ and impose the identity (16) as a constraint with a Lagrange multiplier. The component constraints span the Lie algebra of $O(2;\mathbb{K})$ with respect to the Poisson brackets implied by Eq. (15), and, hence, generate the required $O(2;\mathbb{K})$ gauge invariance of the action; they are the spin-shell constraints of the bitwistor action for the massive particle in $\mathrm{Mink}_{3,4,6}$ [17–19] (and they also arise in other contexts, e.g., Ref. [20]). Of course, in this context we would also need to impose the new $O(2;\mathbb{K})$ invariant but $Sp(4;\mathbb{K})$-violating mass-shell constraint (13).

However, we are dealing with a particle in AdS_D and an action (10) for which Δ is a phase-space coordinate. In this context we may interpret the new mass-shell condition as providing an expression for Δ in terms of $\mathbb{U}$, which is such that

$$\Delta^{-1}\dot{\Delta} = \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{R}}(\dot{\mathbb{U}}\mathbb{V}), \qquad \mathbb{V} \equiv \mathbb{U}^{-1}. \qquad (17)$$

We remark that the left and right inverses of $\mathbb{U}$ are equal even for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{H}$ [21]. Taking into account Eq. (15), we now have

$$\dot{x}^m p_m - \frac{zp_z}{\Delta}\dot{\Delta} = \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{R}}(\dot{\mathbb{U}}\mathbb{W}^\dagger) + \frac{d}{dt}(\cdots), \qquad (18)$$

where

$$\mathbb{W} = \pm\mathbb{X}\mathbb{U} - zp_z\mathbb{V}^\dagger. \qquad (19)$$

This expression for $\mathbb{W}$ implies the identity

$$\mathbb{G} \coloneqq \mathbb{U}^\dagger\mathbb{W} - \mathbb{W}^\dagger\mathbb{U} \equiv 0, \qquad (20)$$

which again becomes a constraint to be imposed by an anti-$\mathbb{K}$-Hermitian Lagrange multiplier $\mathbb{L}$ in the action. There is no longer any mass-shell constraint, so the action is

$$S = \int dt\, \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\dot{\mathbb{U}}\mathbb{W}^\dagger - \mathbb{L}\mathbb{G}\}. \qquad (21)$$

There are $(3\dim\mathbb{K} - 2)$ first-class constraints on $8\dim\mathbb{K}$ variables, yielding a physical phase space of dimension $2(\dim\mathbb{K} + 2) = 2d$, as required.

The 4×2 matrix with $\mathbb{K}$-Hermitian conjugate $(\mathbb{U}^\dagger, \mathbb{W}^\dagger)$ is a pair of $\mathrm{Mink}_{3,4,6}$ twistors; i.e., a bitwistor, acted upon from the left by $Sp(4;\mathbb{K})$ and from the right by $O(2;\mathbb{K})$. The Noether charges for the $Sp(4;\mathbb{K})$ invariance of the action (21) are the gauge-invariant bitwistor bilinears

$$\begin{aligned} &\mp\,\mathbb{U}\mathbb{U}^\dagger = \mathbb{P}, \qquad \mathbb{U}\mathbb{W}^\dagger = -\mathbb{P}\mathbb{X} - zp_z,\\ &\pm\mathbb{W}\mathbb{W}^\dagger = -\mathbb{X}\mathbb{P}\mathbb{X} - 2zp_z\mathbb{X} + [z^2 - (mR/\Delta)^2]\tilde{\mathbb{P}}, \end{aligned} \qquad (22)$$

except that the imaginary part of $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbb{U}\mathbb{W}^\dagger)$ should be omitted for $d = 4$ since this is the trace of $\mathbb{G}$. The last line uses the mass-shell constraint (13) and the relation

$$\pm\Delta^2\mathbb{V}^\dagger\mathbb{V} = \tilde{\mathbb{P}} \equiv \mathbb{P} - \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{P}. \qquad (23)$$

The matrix $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ represents the d-vector $\eta^{mn}p_n$, and is such that $\det\tilde{\mathbb{P}} = -p^2$ and $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{P}\tilde{\mathbb{P}}) = 2p^2$.

For $m = 0$, these Noether charges are those associated with invariance under the AdS_D isometry group. In the $D = 4$ case there is a larger linearly realized symmetry because there is an antisymmetric second-order invariant tensor of the $SO(2)$ gauge group. Using the corresponding matrix $\mathbb{E}$, and noting that $\mathbb{U}^\dagger\mathbb{W}$ is $O(2)$ invariant, we can write down additional $4 + 1 = 5$ quadratic Noether charges: $\mathbb{U}\mathbb{E}\mathbb{W}^\dagger$ and $\mathbb{U}^\dagger\mathbb{W} + \mathbb{W}^\dagger\mathbb{U}$. The full set of quadratic charges (omitting $\mathbb{G}$ itself) spans the Lie algebra (with respect to Poisson brackets) of the AdS_4 conformal isometry group $SO(2,4)$.

When $m \neq 0$ the expression for $\mathbb{W}\mathbb{W}^\dagger$ in Eq. (22) contains an additional term that is not linear in momenta. This shows that the linearly realized $Sp(4;\mathbb{K})$ symmetry group is no longer the $Sp(4;\mathbb{K})$ isometry group [and it explains how the action (21) manages to be independent of the mass m]. In the $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ case, and $m^2 > 0$, this conclusion can be changed by setting

$$\mathbb{W} = \tilde{\mathbb{W}} + i(mR)\mathbb{V}^\dagger. \qquad (24)$$

Replacing $\mathbb{W}\mathbb{W}^\dagger$ by $\tilde{\mathbb{W}}\tilde{\mathbb{W}}^\dagger$ eliminates the unwanted m-dependent term in this Noether charge. At the same time, the action in terms of $\tilde{\mathbb{W}}$ is unchanged from Eq. (21) except that the 2×2 anti-Hermitian matrix constraint function now takes the form

$$\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{U}^\dagger\tilde{\mathbb{W}} - \tilde{\mathbb{W}}^\dagger\mathbb{U} + 2imR. \tag{25}$$

In other words, the $U(1)$ constraint function $\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\mathbb{G}$ has been shifted by $2imR$, as found directly in the AdS_5 construction of Ref. [6]. This possibility is available only for $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{C}$ because there is no imaginary unit for $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R}$ and a choice of one for $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{H}$ breaks the Spin(5) gauge invariance. This difficulty can be circumvented by using a quartet of twistors, instead of a bitwistor, but only at the cost of introducing second-class constraints [7].

We now return to the action (10) and extend its manifest Poincaré invariance on Mink_d slices to an N-extended super-Poincaré invariance. In the $Sl(2;\mathbb{K})$ notation this is achieved by the replacement [22]

$$\dot{\mathbb{X}} \to \dot{\mathbb{X}} + \sum_{i=1}^{N}(\Theta_i^\dagger\dot{\Theta}^i - \dot{\Theta}_i^\dagger\Theta^i), \tag{26}$$

where the N *anticommuting* two-component spinors Θ^i are acted upon from the left by $O(N;\mathbb{K})$ and from the right by $Sl(2;\mathbb{K})$. We have adopted the convention that $\mathbb{K}$ conjugation (in contrast to $\mathbb{K}$-Hermitian conjugation) does *not* change the order of anticommuting factors, so the addition to $\dot{\mathbb{X}}$ is Hermitian. This construction ensures the existence of N $Sl(2;\mathbb{K})$ spinor supercharges $\mathbb{Q}^i$.

Next, we proceed as before to the twistor form of the action, introducing the new anticommuting *Lorentz scalar* variables

$$\Xi^i = \Theta^i\mathbb{U}, \tag{27}$$

which are acted upon from the left by $O(N;\mathbb{K})$ and from the right by the $O(2;\mathbb{K})$ gauge group. One finds, omitting a total derivative, that the action is

$$S = \int dt\, \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\dot{\mathbb{U}}\mathbb{W}^\dagger \mp \Xi_i^\dagger\dot{\Xi}^i - \mathbb{L}\mathbb{G}\}, \tag{28}$$

where now

$$\mathbb{W} = \pm(\mathbb{X}\mathbb{U} - \Theta_i^\dagger\Xi^i) - zp_z\mathbb{V}^\dagger, \tag{29}$$

which leads to the new $O(2;\mathbb{K})$ generators

$$\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{U}^\dagger\mathbb{W} - \mathbb{W}^\dagger\mathbb{U} \pm 2\Xi_i^\dagger\Xi^i. \tag{30}$$

The $(4+N)\times 2$ matrix with $\mathbb{K}$-Hermitian conjugate $(\mathbb{U}^\dagger, \mathbb{W}^\dagger, \Xi_i^\dagger)$ is a bisupertwistor, acted upon from the right by the $O(2;\mathbb{K})$ gauge group and from the left by $\mathrm{OSp}(N|4;\mathbb{K})$. The supersymmetry charges are $\mathbb{Q}^i = \Xi^i\mathbb{U}^\dagger$ *and* $\mathbb{S}^i = \Xi^i\mathbb{W}^\dagger$, which is double the number guaranteed by the construction. In the $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{C}$ case we can again allow for $m^2>0$ by making the substitution (24) in the action, but now we must replace not only the Noether charge $\mathbb{W}\mathbb{W}^\dagger$ by $\tilde{\mathbb{W}}\tilde{\mathbb{W}}^\dagger$ but also $\mathbb{S}^i$ by

$$\tilde{\mathbb{S}}^i = \Xi^i\left[\tilde{\mathbb{W}}^\dagger - \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{V}\mathrm{tr}\mathbb{G}\right], \tag{31}$$

which is physically equivalent to $\Xi^i\tilde{\mathbb{W}}^\dagger$ but the m dependence of $\tilde{\mathbb{W}}$ is canceled by that of $\mathrm{tr}\mathbb{G}$.

Choosing $N = 8/\dim\mathbb{K}$ we get, for $m=0$, the invariance supergroups of the string or M-theory $\mathrm{AdS}\times\mathrm{S}$ vacua tabulated earlier. In each case there are 8 Fermi oscillators so we get a supermultiplet of $2^8 = 128+128$ states, which is the degeneracy of the expected graviton supermultiplet. In light of the connection between the division algebras $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{H}$, $\mathbb{O}$ and supersymmetric gauge theories in dimensions $d=3$, 4, 6, 10 [23], our results suggest that there should be some corresponding connection to the maximal gauged supergravity theories in dimensions $D=4$, 5, 7, and perhaps $D=11$ with "$\mathrm{OSp}(1|4;\mathbb{O})$" as the AdS_{11} supergroup [24]. Also, the fact that a *pair* of supertwistors is needed to describe a graviton supermultiplet, whereas a single supertwistor suffices for a 4D Maxwell supermultiplet (to take the $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{C}$ case) could be viewed as support for the proposal, recently reviewed in Ref. [25], that gravity is the "square" of Yang-Mills theory.

Finally, we consider strings in AdS_D. A bitwistor action for the Nambu-Goto string in Mink_d was found in Ref. [26] but the constraints are not all quadratic and its extension to an AdS_D background is far from obvious. Here we consider the closed null string in $\mathrm{AdS}_{4,5,7}$. As the twistor formulation makes manifest invariance under AdS isometries, and conformal isometries for AdS_4, this may be useful for investigations into the proposed link to higher-spin theories [27–29]. A string-inspired twistor model, but without spin-shell constraints, has been used previously for this purpose [30], and higher-spins emerge from the twistor form of the AdS (super)particle when its spin-shell constraints are relaxed [7], but the relation of higher spin theory to the null string remains conjectural.

Following the massless particle example, the standard phase-space action for the closed null string in AdS_D can be put in the form

$$S = \int dt \oint d\sigma\left\{\dot{X}^m P_m + \dot{Z}P_Z - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{e}(P^2 + P_z^2) - \ell(X'^m P_m + Z'P_Z)\right\}, \tag{32}$$

where all variables are now functions of the world sheet coordinates (t,σ) and ℓ is the Lagrange multiplier for the string reparametrization constraint. The twistor form of the action is found as before, with the result that

$$S = \int dt \oint d\sigma\{\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{R}}(\dot{\mathbb{U}}\mathbb{W}^\dagger - \mathbb{L}\mathbb{G}) - \ell\Omega\}, \tag{33}$$

where Ω is the twistor version of the string reparametrization constraint

$$\Omega = \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{W}'\mathbb{U}^\dagger - \mathbb{W}^\dagger\mathbb{U}'). \tag{34}$$

This result has an obvious extension to the null p brane, and supersymmetry may be incorporated as for the particle. The zero-mode contribution is the bitwistor action for the massless (super)particle.

We thank Nick Dorey for reading this Letter, and Martin Cederwall for many helpful discussions. A. S. A. and P. K. T. are grateful for the hospitality and support of the Galileo Galilei Institute during the revision of this Letter. We acknowledge support from the UK Science and Technology Facilities Council (Grants No. ST/L000385/1 and No. ST/M50340X/1). A. S. A. also acknowledges support from the INFN, from Clare Hall College Cambridge, from DAMTP, from the Cambridge Philosophical Society, and from the Cambridge Trust.

*A.S.Arvanitakis@damtp.cam.ac.uk
†A.E.BarnsGraham@damtp.cam.ac.uk
‡P.K.Townsend@damtp.cam.ac.uk

[1] R. Penrose and W. Rindler, *Spinors and Space-Time* (Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1986), Vol. 2.
[2] A. Ferber, Supertwistors and conformal supersymmetry, Nucl. Phys. **B132**, 55 (1978).
[3] T. Shirafuji, Lagrangian mechanics of massless particles with spin, Prog. Theor. Phys. **70**, 18 (1983).
[4] I. Bengtsson and M. Cederwall, Particles, twistors and the division algebras, Nucl. Phys. **B302**, 81 (1988).
[5] A. Sudbery, Division algebras, (pseudo)orthogonal groups and spinors, J. Phys. A **17**, 939 (1984).
[6] P. Claus, J. Rahmfeld, and Y. Zunger, A Simple particle action from a twistor parametrization of AdS(5), Phys. Lett. B **466**, 181 (1999).
[7] M. Cederwall, Geometric construction of AdS twistors, Phys. Lett. B **483**, 257 (2000); AdS twistors for higher spin theory, AIP Conf. Proc. **767**, 96 (2005).
[8] G. W. Gibbons and P. K. Townsend, Vacuum Interpolation in Supergravity via Super p Branes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 3754 (1993).
[9] J. M. Maldacena, The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231 (1998).
[10] L. Mezincescu, A. J. Routh, and P. K. Townsend, All superparticles are BPS, J. Phys. A **47**, 175401 (2014).
[11] P. Breitenlohner and D. Z. Freedman, Stability in gauged extended supergravity, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **144**, 249 (1982).
[12] S. J. Avis, C. J. Isham, and D. Storey, Quantum field theory in anti–de Sitter space-time, Phys. Rev. D **18**, 3565 (1978).
[13] L. Mezincescu and P. K. Townsend, Stability at a local maximum in higher dimensional anti-de Sitter space and applications to supergravity, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **160**, 406 (1985).
[14] E. H. Moore, On the determinant of an hermitian matrix with quaternionic elements. Definition and elementary properties with applications., Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **28**, 161 (1922).
[15] H. Aslaksen, Quaternionic determinants, Math. Intelligencer **18**, 5765 (1996).
[16] T. Kugo and P. K. Townsend, Supersymmetry and the division algebras, Nucl. Phys. **B221**, 357 (1983).
[17] J. A. de Azcárraga, J. M. Izquierdo, and J. Lukierski, Supertwistors, massive superparticles and k-symmetry, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2009) 041.
[18] A. J. Routh and P. K. Townsend, Twistor form of massive 6D superparticle, J. Phys. A **49**, 025402 (2016).
[19] L. Mezincescu, A. J. Routh, and P. K. Townsend, Twistors and the massive spinning particle, J. Phys. A **49**, 025401 (2016).
[20] W. Siegel, Supermulti-instantons in conformal chiral superspace, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 1042 (1995).
[21] F. Zhang, Quaternions and matrices of quaternions, Linear Algebra Appl. **251**, 21 (1997).
[22] T. Kimura and I. Oda, Superparticles and division algebras. 1. Six-dimensions and quaternions, Prog. Theor. Phys. **80**, 1 (1988).
[23] J. M. Evans, Supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories and division algebras, Nucl. Phys. **B298**, 92 (1988).
[24] Z. Hasiewicz and J. Lukierski, Supersymmetrized $D = 11$ de Sitter like algebra from octonions, Phys. Lett. B **145**, 65 (1984).
[25] L. Borsten and M. J. Duff, Gravity as the square of Yang Mills?, Phys. Scr. **T90**, 108012 (2015).
[26] M. Cederwall, An extension of the twistor concept to string theory, Phys. Lett. B **226**, 45 (1989).
[27] B. Sundborg, Stringy gravity, interacting tensionless strings and massless higher spins, Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl. **102**, 113 (2001).
[28] E. Sezgin and P. Sundell, Massless higher spins and holography, Nucl. Phys. **B644**, 303 (2002); Erratum, Nucl. Phys. **B660**, 403 (2003).
[29] U. Lindström and M. Zabzine, Tensionless strings, WZW models at critical level and massless higher spin fields, Phys. Lett. B **584**, 178 (2004).
[30] P. Claus, M. Günaydin, R. Kallosh, J. Rahmfeld, and Y. Zunger, Supertwistors as quarks of $SU(2,2|4)$, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (1999) 019.