Prediction for a Four-Neutron Resonance

A. M. Shirokov,^{1,2,[3,*](#page-4-0)} G. Papadimitriou,^{4,[†](#page-4-1)} A. I. Mazur,³ I. A. Mazur,³ R. Roth,⁵ and J. P. Vary^{2,[‡](#page-4-2)}

¹Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University, Moscow 119991, Russia
²Department of Physics and Astronomy Jong State University Amer. Jong 50011, 2160, US

 2 Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011-3160, USA

Pacific National University, 136 Tikhookeanskaya Street, Khabarovsk 680035, Russia ⁴

⁴Nuclear and Chemical Science Division, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California 94551, USA

 5 Institut für Kernphysik, Technische Universität Darmstadt, 64289 Darmstadt, Germany

(Received 20 July 2016; revised manuscript received 9 September 2016; published 28 October 2016)

We utilize various *ab initio* approaches to search for a low-lying resonance in the four-neutron $(4n)$ system using the JISP16 realistic NN interaction. Our most accurate prediction is obtained using a J-matrix extension of the no-core shell model and suggests a 4n resonant state at an energy near $E_r = 0.8 \text{ MeV}$ with a width of approximately $Γ = 1.4$ MeV.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.182502](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.182502)

With interest sparked by a recent experiment [\[1\]](#page-4-3) on the possibility of a resonant four-neutron $(4n)$ structure (see also [\[2\]](#page-4-4) for a recent communication) and while awaiting forthcoming experiments on the same system[3–[5\]](#page-4-5), we search for 4n (tetraneutron) resonances using the high-precision nucleon-nucleon interaction JISP16 [\[6\].](#page-4-6) The experiment has found a candidate 4n resonant state with an energy of 0.83 ± 0.65 (stat) ± 1.25 (syst) MeV above the 4n disinte-
gration threshold and with an upper limit of 2.6 MeV for the gration threshold and with an upper limit of 2.6 MeV for the width. The $4n$ system was probed by studying the reaction between the bound ⁴He nucleus and the weakly bound helium isotope 8 He. It has been shown [\[7\]](#page-4-7) that the four neutrons in ⁸He form a relatively compact geometry. Hence, the experimental study of the 4 He $+ {}^{8}$ He collisions is a promising avenue for the isolation of the 4n subsystem.

The experimental quest for the very exotic $4n$ structure started almost 15 years ago when the possibility of a bound $4n$ (or tetraneutron) was proposed [\[8\]](#page-4-8) in ¹⁴Be breakup reactions ($^{14}Be \rightarrow ^{10}Be + 4n$). This experimental result, however, has not been confirmed. Early calculations of the 4n system in a small basis [\[9\]](#page-4-9) found it unbound by about 18.5 MeV. More recent state-of-the-art theoretical calculations have concluded that, without altering fundamental characteristics of the nuclear forces [\[10\]](#page-4-10), the tetraneutron should not be bound. More theoretical calculations were performed [11–[17\],](#page-4-11) all of them agreeing that a bound tetraneutron is not supported by theory. In particular, calculations performed in the complex energy plane to search of multineutron resonances within the complex scaling method [13–[15\]](#page-4-12) give quantitatively similar results and point to the fact that the 4n resonance, if it exists, would have a very large width (∼15 MeV), likely prohibitive for experimental detection. The tetraneutron could, however, exist if confined in a strong external field. In nature, this would be the case of ⁸He, where the nuclear mean field is strong enough to confine the tetraneutron around the tightly bound α core. Once the field is suddenly removed by knocking out ⁴He, it is expected that the tetraneutron will disintegrate very fast due to its anticipated large width.

There is also a work [\[16\]](#page-4-13) where the continuum response of the tetraneutron was studied. The outcome was that there exists a resonantlike structure at around 4–5 MeV above the threshold; however, this structure depends on the tetraneutron production reaction mechanism represented by the source term in this study, and the conclusion was that the $4n$ probably cannot be interpreted as a well-defined resonance but most probably as a few-body continuum response in a reaction.

Nevertheless, our current knowledge of nuclear interactions and many-body methods provide new opportunities to probe exotic states above thresholds. We are further motivated by the conclusion in Ref. [\[10\]](#page-4-10) that, even though the existence of a bound tetraneutron is ruled out, extrapolations of (artificially) bound state results to the unbound regime imply that there may be a 4n resonance at about 2 MeV above the four-neutron threshold.

A complete investigation of the tetraneutron as a resonant state would consist of performing calculations of the actual experimental reaction 4 He (8 He, 8 Be). However, such a realistic calculation is currently out of reach, though we are witnessing the first steps for such theoretical calculations to become a reality [\[18,19\].](#page-4-14)

We treat the $4n$ system with a realistic nonrelativistic Hamiltonian which consists of the kinetic energy and the realistic interneutron potential defined by the JISP16 interaction [\[6\]](#page-4-6). We solve for the $4n$ energies by employing basis expansion techniques for the Hamiltonian. Specifically, we employ the no-core shell model (NCSM) [\[20\]](#page-4-15) and artificially bind the $4n$ system by scaling the interaction to track its lowest state as a function of that scaling. We also employ the no-core Gamow shell model (NCGSM) [\[21,22\],](#page-4-16) which provides resonant parameters directly in the complex energy plane. Finally, we extend the NCSM using the single-state harmonic oscillator representation of scattering equations (SS HORSE) formalism [\[23,24\]](#page-4-17) for calculations of the S-matrix resonant parameters.

First, to get an estimate of whether JISP16 can provide a 4n resonant state, we exploit the technique suggested in Ref. [\[10\]](#page-4-10) and perform pure NCSM calculations by constructing an artificially bound $4n$ system by scaling up the NN interaction. Our extrapolations to the unbound regime are in quantitative agreement with Ref. [\[10\]](#page-4-10), that predicts a resonance at around 2 MeV above the threshold but without any indication of the width. We tried also a much more elaborate technique of analytic continuation in the coupling constant $(ACCC)$ [\[25,26\]](#page-4-18). The ACCC requires exact results for the 4*n* energy with scaled interactions, while the NCSM provides only variational energy upper bounds; extrapolations to the infinite basis space appear to lack the precision needed for a definite prediction of the resonance energy and width.

In order to shed further light on a possible 4n resonance, we solve the NCGSM with the JISP16 interaction. In the NCGSM, one employs a basis set that is spanned by the Berggren states [\[27\]](#page-4-19) which includes bound, resonant, and nonresonant states; they correspond to solutions of the single-particle (SP) Schrödinger equation obeying outgoing (bound-resonant states) and scattering (nonresonant states) boundary conditions. In this basis, the Hamiltonian matrix becomes complex symmetric, and its eigenvalues acquire both real and imaginary parts. The real part is identical to the position of the resonant state above the threshold, and the imaginary part is related to its width $\Gamma = -2\text{Im}(E)$.

We adopt the basis provided by a Woods-Saxon (WS) potential for a neutron in relative motion with a 3n system. We modify the WS parameters in a way that will support a weakly bound $0s_{1/2}$ state and a resonant $0p_{3/2}$ state. For the $s_{1/2}$ and $p_{3/2}$ shells, we include the $0s_{1/2}$ bound state, the $0p_{3/2}$ resonant state, and the associated nonresonant states. We additionally include the $p_{1/2}$ real scattering continuum along the real momentum axis. We performed calculations for several WS parameterizations supporting both narrow and broad SP states. States with an angular momentum of $\ell > 2$ are taken as HO states. We retain states through the $3g_{9/2}$ shells. For our NCGSM calculations, the $\hbar\Omega$ parameter of the HO basis was varied from 4 to 14 MeV. Because of the use of Berggren states for low angular momentum partial waves, we observe a weak dependence of the results on the $\hbar\Omega$ parameter.

For the 4n calculation, we constructed Slater determinants allowing two neutrons to occupy continuum orbits, called the $2p-2h$ approximation. Taking the dependence on basis space parameters into account, the NCGSM results indicate a broad resonant state in the energy range E_r ∼ 2.5–3 MeV above the $4n$ threshold and a width ranging from $\Gamma \sim 2.5$ to 6 MeV. These variations reflect the omission of additional $p - h$ excitations. Nevertheless, the real part of the resonance exhibits a robust character at the current level of $p - h$ truncation; i.e., it is nearly independent of the WS parameterizations and independent of the frequency of the HO basis.

At the same time, we observe that the resonance energy decreases together with the width as the NCGSM basis increases. Getting the converged resonance pole position in this approach requires the NCGSM basis spaces beyond our current reach.

Finally, following the J-matrix formalism in the scattering theory [\[28\]](#page-4-20) as represented in the HORSE method [\[29\]](#page-4-21), we extend the finite NCSM Hamiltonian matrix in the HO basis into the continuum by appending to it the infinite kinetic energy matrix.

For the kinetic energy extension of the NCSM Hamiltonian, we use the democratic decay approximation (also known as true four-body scattering or $4 \rightarrow 4$ scattering suggested [\[30,31\]\)](#page-4-22) and first applied to the tetraneutron problem [32–[34\]](#page-4-23) by Jibuti et al. Later, it was exploited in other tetraneutron studies (see, e. g., Refs. [\[13,16,35,36\]](#page-4-12)). Democratic decay implies a description of the continuum using a complete hyperspherical harmonics (HH) basis. In practical applications, a limited set of HH is selected which is adequate for the systems like the $4n$ which has no bound subsystems.

The general theory of the democratic decay within the HORSE formalism was proposed in Ref. [\[37\]](#page-4-24). We use here the minimal approximation for the four-neutron decay mode; i.e., only HH with hyperspherical momentum $K =$ $K_{\text{min}} = 2$ are retained in the kinetic energy extension to the NCSM. This approximation relies on the fact that the decay in the hyperspherical states with $K > K_{\text{min}}$ is strongly suppressed by a large hyperspherical centrifugal barrier ${\vert \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L} + 1) \vert / \rho^2 \vert}$, where the effective momentum $\mathcal{L} = K + \rho^2$ 3 and the hyperradius $\rho^2 = \sum_{i=1}^4 (\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R})^2$, **R** is the tetraneutron center-of-mass coordinate and **r**, are the tetraneutron center-of-mass coordinate, and \mathbf{r}_i are the coordinates of individual neutrons. Note that all possible HH are retained in the NCSM basis. The accuracy of this approximation was confirmed in studies of democratic decays in cluster models [38–[41\].](#page-4-25)

Realistic NN interactions require large NCSM basis spaces and extensive computational resources. For computational economy, we also adopt the SS HORSE approach [\[23,24\]](#page-4-17) where we calculate the $4 \rightarrow 4 S$ matrix $S(E)$ at one of the positive eigenenergies of the NCSM Hamiltonian, $E = E_{\lambda}$. In this case, the general HORSE formula for the S matrix simplifies: Expressing $S(E)$ through the $4 \rightarrow 4$ phase shifts $\delta(E)$,

$$
S(E) = e^{2i\delta(E)},\tag{1}
$$

we obtain for the phase shifts [\[23,24\]](#page-4-17)

$$
\delta(E_{\lambda}) = -\tan^{-1} \frac{S_{N_{\text{max}}+2,\mathcal{L}}(E_{\lambda})}{C_{N_{\text{max}}+2,\mathcal{L}}(E_{\lambda})}.
$$
 (2)

Here the maximal total quanta in the NCSM basis $N_{\text{max}}^{\text{tot}} = N_{\text{min}} + N_{\text{max}}$, $N_{\text{min}} = 2$ is the quanta of the lowest

possible oscillator state of the $4n$ system, and N_{max} is the maximal excitation quanta in the NCSM basis; analytical expressions for the regular $S_{NL}(E)$ and irregular $C_{NL}(E)$ solutions of the free many-body Hamiltonian in the oscillator representation can be found elsewhere [\[37\]](#page-4-24). Varying N_{max} and $\hbar\Omega$ in the NCSM calculations, we obtain the phase shifts and S matrix over an energy interval. Parametrizing the S matrix in this energy interval, we obtain information about its nearby poles and hence resonances in the system.

The NCSM calculations were performed with $N_{\text{max}} =$ 2, 4, …, 18 using the code MFDn [\[42,43\]](#page-5-0) and with $\hbar\Omega$ values 1 MeV $\leq \hbar \Omega \leq 40$ MeV. The results for the 0⁺ tetraneutron ground state are shown in the upper panel in Fig. [1.](#page-2-0)

The convergence patterns of the NCSM SS HORSE approach to the $4 \rightarrow 4$ phase shifts using Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0) are shown in the lower panel in Fig. [1](#page-2-0). We observe that the phase shifts tend to the same curve when N_{max} is increased. The convergence is first achieved at the higher energies, while larger N_{max} yield converged phase shifts at smaller energies. We obtain nearly completely converged phase shifts at all energies with $N_{\text{max}} = 16$ and 18.

We need only phase shifts close to convergence for the phase shift parametrization. Our selected NCSM eigenenergies are enclosed by the shaded area on the top panel in Fig. [1](#page-2-0), since their resulting phase shifts form a single smooth curve (see Figs. [2](#page-3-0) and [3](#page-3-1)).

We will describe now how we utilize the NCSM solutions within the SS HORSE method in order to obtain resonance positions. Because of the S-matrix symmetry property $S(k) = 1/S(-k)$ and Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-1), the 4 → 4 phase shift $\delta(E)$ is an odd function of momentum k, and its expansion in Taylor series of $\sqrt{E} \sim k$ includes only odd powers of \sqrt{E} :

$$
\delta(E) = v_1 \sqrt{E} + v_3(\sqrt{E})^3 + \dots + v_{11}(\sqrt{E})^{11} + \dots
$$
 (3)

Furthermore, the $4 \rightarrow 4$ phase shifts at low energies, i.e., in the limit $k \to 0$, should behave as $\delta \sim k^{2\mathcal{L}+1}$. Note that, in our case, $\mathcal{L} = K_{\text{min}} + 3 = 5$; hence, $v_1 = v_3 = \cdots = v_9 =$ 0 and expansion [\(3\)](#page-2-1) starts at the eleventh power.

Supposing the existence of a low-energy resonance in the 4n system, we express the S matrix as $S(E) = \Theta(E)S_r(E)$, where $\Theta(E)$ is a smooth function of energy E and $S_r(E)$ is a resonant pole term. The respective phase shift is

$$
\delta(E) = \phi(E) + \delta_r(E), \tag{4}
$$

where the pole contribution $\delta_r(E)$ takes the form [\[24\]](#page-4-26)

$$
\delta_r(E) = -\tan^{-1}[a\sqrt{E}/(E - b^2)].
$$
 (5)

The resonance energy E_r and width Γ are expressed through parameters a and b entering Eq. [\(5\)](#page-2-2) as

FIG. 1. NCSM results for the tetraneutron ground state energy obtained with various N_{max} (symbols) plotted as functions of $$ (upper panel). The shaded area shows the NCSM result selection for the S-matrix parametrization; the solid curves are obtained from the phase shifts parametrized with a single resonance pole by solving Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0) for the eigenenergies at given N_{max} and $$ values. The $4 \rightarrow 4$ phase shifts obtained directly from the NCSM results using Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0) are shown in the lower panel.

$$
E_r = b^2 - a^2/2
$$
, $\Gamma = 2a\sqrt{b^2 - a^2/4}$. (6)

We use the following expression for the background phase:

$$
\phi(E) = \frac{w_1 \sqrt{E} + w_3(\sqrt{E})^3 + c(\sqrt{E})^5}{1 + w_2 E + w_4 E^2 + w_6 E^3 + dE^4}.
$$
 (7)

The parameters w_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$, are uniquely defined through the parameters a and b and guarantee the cancellation of the terms of powers up to 9 in the expansion [\(3\)](#page-2-1).

Our phase shift parametrization is given by Eqs. [\(4\)](#page-2-3), [\(5\)](#page-2-2), and (7) with fitting parameters a, b, c , and d . For each parameter set, we solve Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0) to find the values of the energies $E_{\lambda}^{a,b,c,d}$ and search for the parameter set (a, b, c, d) minimizing the rms deviation of $E_{\lambda}^{a,b,c,d}$ from the selected

FIG. 2. The $4 \rightarrow 4$ scattering phase shifts: parametrization with a single resonance pole (solid line) and obtained directly from the selected NCSM results using Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0) (symbols). The dashed line shows the contribution of the resonance term.

set of NCSM eigenenergies E_{λ} . Following this route, we obtain an excellent description of the selected E_{λ} with an rms deviation of 5.8 keV with $a = 0.724 \text{ MeV}^{-1/2}$, $b^2 = 0.448$ MeV, $c = 0.941$ MeV^{-5/2}, and $d = -9.1 \times$ 10[−]⁴ MeV[−]⁴. The resulting predictions for the NCSM eigenenergies are shown by solid lines in the upper panel in Fig. [1,](#page-2-0) where we also describe well NCSM energies with large enough N_{max} and/or $\hbar\Omega$ not included in the minimization fit. We obtain also an excellent description of NCSM-SS-HORSE-predicted phase shifts as is shown by the solid line in Fig. [2.](#page-3-0)

However, the resonance parameters describing the location of the S-matrix pole obtained by this fit are surprisingly small: the resonance energy $E_r = 0.186$ MeV and the width $\Gamma = 0.815$ MeV. Note that, looking at the phase shift in Fig. [2](#page-3-0), we would expect the resonance at the energy of approximately 0.8 MeV corresponding to the maximum

FIG. 3. The same as Fig. [2](#page-3-0) but for the parametrization with resonance and false state poles. The dashed-dotted line shows the contribution of the false state pole term.

of the phase shift derivative and with the width of about 1.5 MeV—a resonance with these parameters is expected to be observed experimentally according to the conventional interpretation of the phase shift behavior. The contribution of the pole term [\(5\)](#page-2-2) to the phase shifts is shown by the dashed line in Fig. [2](#page-3-0). This contribution is seen to differ considerably from the resulting phase shift due to substantial contributions from the background phase [\(7\),](#page-2-4) which is dominated by the terms needed to fulfill the low-energy theorem $\delta \sim k^{2\mathcal{L}+1}$ and to cancel low-power terms in the expansion of the resonant phase $\delta_r(E)$. Such a sizable contribution from the background in the low-energy region impels us to search for additional poles or other singularities giving rise to a strong energy dependence which would be separate from the background phase.

After we failed to find a reasonable description of the NCSM SS HORSE phase shifts with a low-energy virtual state, we found the resolution of the strong background phase problem by assuming that the S matrix has an additional low-energy false pole at a positive imaginary momentum [\[44\]](#page-5-1). We add the false term contribution [\[24\]](#page-4-26)

$$
\delta_f(E) = -\tan^{-1}\sqrt{E/|E_f|}
$$
 (8)

to the phase shift to obtain the equation

$$
\delta(E) = \phi(E) + \delta_r(E) + \delta_f(E), \tag{9}
$$

replacing Eq. [\(4\)](#page-2-3). This parametrization involves an additional fitting parameter E_f . We obtain nearly the same quality description of the selected $4n$ ground state energies with the rms deviation of 6.2 keV with the parameters $a =$ 0.701 MeV^{-1/2}, $b^2 = 1.089$ MeV, $c = -27.0$ MeV^{-5/2}, $d = 0.281$ MeV⁻⁴, and a low-lying false pole at energy $E_f = -54.9$ keV. The respective 4n resonance at $E_r =$ 0.844 MeV and width $\Gamma = 1.378$ MeV appears consistent with what is expected from directly inspecting the $4n$ phase shifts and what is predicted to be seen experimentally. The parametrized phase shifts are shown by the solid line in Fig. [3](#page-3-1) together with separate contributions from the resonant and false pole terms. We note that corrections introduced by this new parametrization to the solid lines in Figs. [1](#page-2-0) and [2](#page-3-0) are nearly unseen in the scales of these figures.

Conclusions.—Our results with the realistic JISP16 interaction and the SS HORSE technique show there is a resonant structure near 0.8 MeV above threshold with a width Γ of about 1.4 MeV. Our preliminary NCSM SS HORSE results with other NN potentials confirm the conclusion of Ref. [\[17\]](#page-4-27) that the tetraneutron resonance should not be very sensitive to the choice of the NN interaction: The 4n states at energies below a few MeV are heavily influenced by the relative kinetic energy which, due to the Pauli principle, receives a significant effective attraction. This is the first theoretical calculation that predicts such a low-energy 4n resonance, without altering any of the properties of the realistic NN interaction. Our result is compatible with the recent experiment [\[1\].](#page-4-3) Our complex energy calculations also suggest a broad low-lying 4n resonance that agrees marginally with the experiment due to the large error bars for both the current application of the NCGSM and the experiment.

We acknowledge valuable discussions with Pieter Maris, Thomas Aumann, Stefanos Paschalis, Jaume Carbonell, and Rimantas Lazauskas. We also thank Nicolas Michel for sharing the NCGSM code with us. J. P. V. and A. M. S. thank the Institute for Nuclear Theory at the University of Washington for its hospitality during the completion of this work and Department of Energy for the support of their participation in the INT-16-1 Program. This work was supported by the U.S. DOE under Grants No. DESC0008485 (SciDAC/NUCLEI) and No. DE-FG02-87ER40371. This work was also supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics, under Work Proposal No. SCW0498 and Award No. DE-FG02-96ER40985. This work was supported partially through GAUSTEQ (Germany and U.S. Nuclear Theory Exchange Program for QCD Studies of Hadrons and Nuclei) under Contract No. DE-SC0006758. The development and application of the SS HORSE approach was supported by the Russian Science Foundation under Project No. 16-12-10048. Computational resources were provided by NERSC, which is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231 and by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) institutional Computing Grand Challenge program under Contract No. DE-AC52- 07NA27344. This work is supported in part by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through Grant No. SFB 1245.

[*](#page-0-0) shirokov@nucl‑th.sinp.msu.ru [†](#page-0-0) papadimitrio1@llnl.gov [‡](#page-0-0) jvary@iastate.edu

- [1] K. Kisamori et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**[, 052501 \(2016\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.052501).
- [2] C. A. Bertulani and V. Zelevinsky, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature17884) 532, [448 \(2016\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature17884).
- [3] S. Shimoura et al., Report No. NP1512-SHARAQ10.
- [4] K. Kisamori et al., Report No. NP-1512-SAMURAI34.
- [5] S. Paschalis et al., Report No. NP1406-SAMURAI19.
- [6] A. M. Shirokov, J. P. Vary, A. I. Mazur, and T. A. Weber, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2006.10.066) 644, 33 (2007).
- [7] G. Papadimitriou, A. T. Kruppa, N. Michel, W. Nazarewicz, M. Płoszajczak, and J. Rotureau, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.84.051304) 84, 051304 [\(R\) \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.84.051304)
- [8] F. M. Marqués et al., Phys. Rev. C 65[, 044006 \(2002\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.65.044006)
- [9] J. J. Bevelacqua, Nucl. Phys. A341[, 414 \(1980\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(80)90374-7).
- [10] S.C. Pieper, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**[, 252501 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.252501)
- [11] C. A. Bertulani and V. Zelevinsky, [J. Phys. G](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/29/10/309) 29, 2431 [\(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/29/10/309)
- [12] N. K. Timofeyuk, J. Phys. G **29**[, L9 \(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/29/2/102).
- [13] S. A. Sofianos, S. A. Rakityansky, and G. P. Vermaak, [J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/23/11/010) Phys. G 23[, 1619 \(1997\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/23/11/010)
- [14] R. Lazauskas and J. Carbonell, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.72.034003) 72, 034003 [\(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.72.034003)
- [15] E. Hiyama, R. Lazauskas, J. Carbonell, and M. Kamimura, Phys. Rev. C 93[, 044004 \(2016\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.93.044004)
- [16] L. V. Grigorenko, N. K. Timofeyuk, and M. V. Zhukov, [Eur.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2003-10124-1) Phys. J. A 19[, 187 \(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2003-10124-1).
- [17] Yu. A. Lashko and G. F. Filippov, [Phys. At. Nucl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1134/S1063778808020014) 71, 209 [\(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1134/S1063778808020014)
- [18] S. Elhatisari, D. Lee, G. Rupak, E. Epelbaum, H. Krebs, T. A. Lähde, T. Luu, and U.-G. Meißner, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature16067) 528[, 111 \(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature16067).
- [19] S. Quaglioni, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/528042a) **528**, 42 (2015).
- [20] B. R. Barrett, P. Navrátil, and J. P. Vary, [Prog. Part. Nucl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2012.10.003) Phys. 69[, 131 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2012.10.003)
- [21] G. Papadimitriou, J. Rotureau, N. Michel, M. Płoszajczak, and B. R. Barrett, Phys. Rev. C 88[, 044318 \(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.88.044318).
- [22] N. Michel, W. Nazarewicz, M. Płoszajczak, and T. Vertse, [J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/36/1/013101) Phys. G 36[, 013101 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/36/1/013101).
- [23] I. A. Mazur, A. M. Shirokov, A. I. Mazur, and J. P. Vary, [arXiv:1512.03983.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1512.03983)
- [24] A. M. Shirokov, A. I. Mazur, I. A. Mazur, and J. P. Vary, [arXiv:1608.05885.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1608.05885)
- [25] V. M. Krasnopolsky and V. I. Kukulin, [Phys. Lett. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(78)90177-9) 69, 251 [\(1978\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(78)90177-9)
- [26] V. I. Kukulin, V. M. Krasnopolsky, and J. Horácek, Theory of Resonances. Principles and Applications (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1989).
- [27] T. Berggren, Nucl. Phys. **A109**[, 265 \(1968\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(68)90593-9)
- [28] H. A. Yamani and L. Fishman, [J. Math. Phys. \(N.Y.\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.522516) 16, 410 [\(1975\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.522516)
- [29] J. M. Bang, A. I. Mazur, A. M. Shirokov, Yu. F. Smirnov, and S. A. Zaytsev, [Ann. Phys. \(N.Y.\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/aphy.1999.5992) 280, 299 [\(2000\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/aphy.1999.5992)
- [30] R.I. Jibuti and N.B. Krupennikova, The Method of Hyperspherical Functions in the Quantum Mechanics of Few Bodies [in Russian] (Metsniereba, Tbilisi, 1984).
- [31] R. I. Jibuti, Fiz. Elem. Chastits At. Yadra 14, 741 (1983).
- [32] R. I. Jibuti, R. Ya. Kezerashvili, and K. I. Sigua, Yad. Fiz. 32, 1536 (1980).
- [33] R. I. Jibuti, R. Ya. Kezerashvili, and K. I. Sigua, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(81)91236-3) 102B[, 381 \(1981\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(81)91236-3)
- [34] R. Ya. Kezerashvili, Yad. Fiz. 44, 842 (1986) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 44, 542 (1986)].
- [35] A. M. Badalyan, T. I. Belova, N. B. Konyuhova, and V. D. Efros, Yad. Fiz. 41, 1460 (1985) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 41, 926 (1985)].
- [36] I. F. Gutich, A. V. Nesterov, and I. P. Okhrimenko, Yad. Fiz. 50, 19 (1989) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 50, 12 (1989)].
- [37] A. M. Shirokov, Yu. F. Smirnov, and S. A. Zaytsev, in Modern Problems in Quantum Theory, edited by V. I. Savrin and O. A. Khrustalev (Moscow State University, Moscow, 1998), p. 184; S. A. Zaytsev, Yu. F. Smirnov, and A. M. Shirokov, [Teor. Mat. Fiz.](http://dx.doi.org/10.4213/tmf929) 117, 227 (1998) [\[Theor. Math.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02557169) Phys. 117[, 1291 \(1998\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02557169)].
- [38] Yu. A. Lurie, Yu. F. Smirnov, and A. M. Shirokov, Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk, Ser. Fiz. 57, 193 (1993) [Bull. Russ. Acad. Sci., Phys. Ser. 57, 943 (1993)].
- [39] Yu. A. Lurie and A. M. Shirokov, Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk, Ser. Fiz. 61, 2121 (1997) [Bull. Russ. Acad. Sci., Phys. Ser. 61, 1665 (1997)].
- [40] Yu. A. Lurie and A. M. Shirokov, [Ann. Phys. \(Amsterdam\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2004.02.002) 312[, 284 \(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2004.02.002).
- [41] Yu. A. Lurie and A. M. Shirokov, in The J-Matrix Method. Developments and Applications, edited by A. D. Alhaidari, H. A. Yamani, E. J. Heller, and M. S. Abdelmonem (Springer, New York, 2008), p. 183.
- [42] P. Maris, M. Sosonkina, J. P. Vary, E. G. Ng, and C. Yang, [Proc. Comput. Sci.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2010.04.012) 1, 97 (2010).
- [43] H. M. Aktulga, C. Yang, E. G. Ng, P. Maris, and J. P. Vary, [Concurrency Computat., Pract. Exper.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cpe.3129) 26, 2631 [\(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cpe.3129)
- [44] A. I. Baz', Ya. B. Zel'dovich, and A. M. Perelomov, Scattering, Reactions and Decay in Non-Relativistic Quantum Mechanics (Israel Program for Scientific Translation, Jerusalem, 1969).