Mass Ordering of Spectra from Fragmentation of Saturated Gluon States in High-Multiplicity Proton-Proton Collisions

Björn Schenke,¹ Sören Schlichting,¹ Prithwish Tribedy,¹ and Raju Venugopalan^{1,2}

¹Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA
²Institut für Theoretische Physik Universität Heidelberg, Philosophemueg 16, 60120 Heidelberg

²Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Heidelberg, Philosophenweg 16, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany

(Received 20 July 2016; revised manuscript received 12 August 2016; published 14 October 2016)

The mass ordering of mean transverse momentum $\langle p_T \rangle$ and of the Fourier harmonic coefficient $v_2(p_T)$ of azimuthally anisotropic particle distributions in high energy hadron collisions is often interpreted as evidence for the hydrodynamic flow of the matter produced. We investigate an alternative initial state interpretation of this pattern in high-multiplicity proton-proton collisions at the LHC. The QCD Yang-Mills equations describing the dynamics of saturated gluons are solved numerically with initial conditions obtained from the color-glass-condensate-based impact-parameter-dependent glasma model. The gluons are subsequently fragmented into various hadron species employing the well established Lund string fragmentation algorithm of the PYTHIA event generator. We find that this initial state approach reproduces characteristic features of bulk spectra, in particular, the particle mass dependence of $\langle p_T \rangle$ and $v_2(p_T)$.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.162301](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.162301)

It is now well established that the QCD matter formed in collisions of heavy nuclei $(A + A)$ behaves like a strongly interacting fluid that exhibits collective features described by the equations of relativistic viscous hydrodynamics [\[1\]](#page-4-0). A striking recent finding is that some observables measured in high-multiplicity events of much smaller collision systems like $p + p$, $p + A$, $d + A$, and ³He + A resemble features of $A + A$ collisions that are attributed to the collective flow of the produced matter [2–[10\]](#page-4-1). However, these small systems also contain puzzling features that are not easily reconciled with collectivity; an example is the pronounced back-to-back azimuthal angle correlation of dihadrons in small systems [\[11\],](#page-4-2) which is significantly quenched for the larger systems [\[12\]](#page-4-3).

Since hydrodynamics relies on a separation of the macroscopic and microscopic scales, it is very interesting to explore what are the smallest size systems that can be efficiently described as hydrodynamic fluids. Collective effects in $p + p$ collisions have been discussed for some time—see, for instance, Ref. [\[13\].](#page-4-4) While hydrodynamics and kinetic theory describe some of the trends in the data [\[14](#page-4-5)–20], this apparent efficacy of hydrodynamics in small systems outstretches simple estimates of its applicability [\[21\]](#page-4-6). Conversely, if final state interactions are weak, the correlations attributed to hydrodynamic behavior in small systems may provide insight into many-body correlations in the initial state and their nonequilibrium dynamical evolution.

Initial state descriptions based on the color glass condensate (CGC) effective theory [\[22\]](#page-4-7) of the initial nonequilibrium glasma [\[23,24\]](#page-4-8) of highly occupied gluon states provide qualitative [\[25](#page-4-9)–32] and semiquantitative [\[33](#page-4-10)–38] descriptions of several features of small systems. While the CGC may provide an appropriate description of rare, high-multiplicity gluon states, a shortcoming of current computations is that they either directly compare gluon distributions to data or employ fragmentation functions that are not reliable at the low p_T where collective dynamics should be dominant [\[39\]](#page-5-0). These computations were thus unable to address the particle species dependence of the average transverse momentum $\langle p_T \rangle$ as a function of multiplicity and that of the Fourier harmonic $v_2(p_T)$ in high-multiplicity events—the observed mass-splitting patterns of both these quantities were previously adduced as strong evidence of hydrodynamic flow [\[40\].](#page-5-1)

In this Letter, we will introduce a $CGC + Lund$ model that provides a mechanism to fragment gluons emerging from the glasma into various hadron species and apply the model to address the question whether the aforementioned mass-splitting patterns can be reproduced in an initial state framework. We note that there has been previous merging of CGC-based models in k_T factorization frameworks [\[41,42\]](#page-5-2) to string fragmentation. However, our approach is the first fully dynamical one, combining the impactparameter (IP) glasma model of event-by-event Yang-Mills evolution of primordial color charge fluctuations [\[43,44\]](#page-5-3), with the state-of-the-art Lund string fragmentation algorithm [\[45,46\]](#page-5-4) of the PYTHIA event generator [\[47\].](#page-5-5) This novel $CGC + Lund$ Monte Carlo event generator will enable us in the future to address a wide range of phenomenological questions concerning small systems.

Implementation.—Our framework is based on the IP-Glasma model [\[43,44\],](#page-5-3) which provides a successful description of multiparticle production in high energy hadronic collisions. In the IP-Glasma model, the spatial proton shape $T_p(\mathbf{x}_\perp)$ is a Gaussian distribution, with its width constrained by a comparisoan of exclusive deeply inelastic scattering data from HERA to the impact-parameter-dependent

saturation model [\[48\]](#page-5-6). The overall normalization of the color charge density distribution is proportional to the saturation scale $\langle Q_S(\mathbf{x}_\perp, x) \rangle$ inside the proton, which is determined self-consistently from the relation $x = 0.5 \langle Q_S(\mathbf{x}_\perp, x) \rangle / \sqrt{s}$
[49] at a given collision energy \sqrt{s} . Here, we also incorpo-[\[49\]](#page-5-7) at a given collision energy \sqrt{s} . Here, we also incorporate intrinsic fluctuations of the proton saturation scale according to the distribution [\[50\]](#page-5-8)

$$
P(\ln[Q_S^2/\langle Q_S^2 \rangle]) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{\ln^2(Q_S^2/\langle Q_S^2 \rangle)}{2\sigma^2}\right), \quad (1)
$$

where $\sigma = 0.5$ is constrained by independent analyses using experimental data of inclusive charged particle multiplicity and rapidity distributions in $p + p$ collisions [\[50,51\]](#page-5-8) and by HERA deeply inelastic scattering data [\[52\]](#page-5-9). Events with Q_S^2/Q_S^2 > 1 correspond to rare Fock space configurations
inside the two colliding protons. Additional geometric inside the two colliding protons. Additional geometric fluctuations of the proton substructure [\[52,53\]](#page-5-9) have only a small effect on the intrinsic azimuthal correlations we will study here [\[36\].](#page-4-11)

In each event, the collision geometry is determined by sampling impact parameters according to an eikonal model for $p + p$ collisions [\[54\]](#page-5-10). Subsequently, color charges inside the two protons $\rho^{a}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp})$ are sampled from a Gaussian distribution according to the MV model [\[55,56\]](#page-5-11)

$$
\langle \rho^a(\mathbf{x}_\perp)\rho^b(\mathbf{y}_\perp)\rangle = g^2\mu^2(\mathbf{x}_\perp, x)\delta^{ab}\delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{y}_\perp), \quad (2)
$$

where $g^2\mu(\mathbf{x}_\perp, x) = Q_S(\mathbf{x}_\perp, x)/\xi$. The parameter ξ is a nonperturbative constant, which has been constrained by global analysis of multiplicity distributions in different collision systems [\[49,50\]](#page-5-7) to be $0.45 \le \xi \le 0.75$.

Numerical solutions of the classical Yang-Mills equations determine the color fields for each configuration of color charges [\[43,44\]](#page-5-3). In our study, the gluon fields produced after the collision are evolved up to time $\tau \sim 1/Q_s$. The multiplicity density dN_q/dyd^2k_T of produced gluons is then determined in Coulomb gauge [\[57,58\]](#page-5-12). On an event-by-event basis, the fluctuations of the color fields inside each colliding proton induce azimuthal correlations of the gluons produced on time scales \sim 1/Q_S [\[36\].](#page-4-11) Because $dN_g/dy d^2k_T \sim 1/g^2$, the effect of a running coupling can be introduced by multiplying the gluon multiplicity with an effective factor of $g^2/[4\pi\alpha_s(\tilde{\mu})]$,
where the scale for running $\tilde{\mu}$ is chosen to be the gluon where the scale for running $\tilde{\mu}$ is chosen to be the gluon transverse momentum k_T . Unless otherwise noted, the results presented here employ running coupling. The absolute normalization of the gluon density $dN_{q}/dyd^{2}k_{T}$ is sensitive to the choice of the lattice parameters in our study and the running coupling scheme [\[59\].](#page-5-13) To circumvent this ambiguity, we will express our results in terms of the scaled multiplicity $dN_q/dy/\langle dN_q/dy \rangle$.

In order to pass the IP-Glasma events to the string fragmentation algorithm implemented in PYTHIA, we sample N_q gluons, where N_g is determined by integrating

 $dN_{g}/dyd^{2}k_{T}$ over a range of rapidity Δy_{max} and transverse momentum $k_{T,\text{max}}$. The rapidity of these gluons is sampled from a uniform distribution over the range $-y_{\text{max}} < y < -y_{\text{max}}$, and the transverse momentum is sampled from dN_g/d^2k_T . The maximum value of rapidity ymax is equal to the beam rapidity of the colliding protons $y_{\text{max}} = \log(\sqrt{s/m_p}) = 8.9$ at 7 TeV, with m_p the proton mass. We choose the maximum transverse momentum of mass. We choose the maximum transverse momentum of the sampled gluons to be $k_{T,\text{max}} = 10 \text{ GeV}$. We then feed the momentum and color structure of the sampled gluons into PYTHIA's particle list [\[60\]](#page-5-14) by constructing strings. Each sampled gluon in the event is assigned a color index; a fixed number of these are grouped together into a single string inspired by the glasma flux tube picture [\[61\]](#page-5-15). We use a fixed value of 18 for the number of gluons in each string N_{gs} , which corresponds to the average value of $N_g' / \langle Q_S^2 S_{\perp} \rangle$, where $\langle Q_S^2 S_{\perp} \rangle$ is the number of flux tubes
and S_s, denotes the transverse overlap area and S_{\perp} denotes the transverse overlap area.

We group gluons close in transverse momentum space into strings stretching mainly in the rapidity direction and add a quark and an antiquark at string ends to guarantee color neutrality [\[62\].](#page-5-16) In Fig. [1](#page-1-0) (left), we show the momentum space distribution of the initial gluon density obtained from the IP-Glasma model at time $\tau = 0.4$ fm together with the positions of the sampled gluons; the configuration of the PYTHIA strings is shown in Fig. [1](#page-1-0) (right).

In this work, we will use the "hadron standalone mode" of PYTHIA, which employs the Lund symmetric fragmentation function

$$
f(z, m_T) = \frac{1}{z} (1 - z)^a \exp\left(-\frac{bm_T^2}{z}\right).
$$
 (3)

Here, m_T and z denote the transverse mass and the light cone momentum fraction of the fragmenting hadron, and

FIG. 1. Left: Initial gluon distribution for a single IP-Glasma event; a single configuration of the sampled gluons in momentum space is shown by black points. Right: Strings extended in rapidity and clustered in transverse momentum. Red and blue points represent the momentum of the quarks and antiquarks attached at the string ends. Strings connecting the sampled gluons are shown by grey lines.

the default parameters $a = 0.68$ and $b = 0.98$ are constrained by a global data analysis [\[47\].](#page-5-5) Further, the transverse momenta of hadrons during the fragmentation are smeared according to a Gaussian distribution with the width $\sigma_{p_T} = 0.33$ GeV. We do not modify the default parameters in PYTHIA for our study. Variations of our results with respect to these parameters are discussed in the Supplemental Material [\[63\].](#page-5-17) In order to acquire sufficient statistics, we generate 50 sampled gluon (and string) configurations from every IP-Glasma event and hadronize each gluon configuration 100 times.

Unless otherwise noted, our results include the colorreconnection procedure of PYTHIA, which can be enforced after initializing the string configurations.

Results.—We will restrict ourselves here to bulk observables in $p + p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV. In Fig. [2,](#page-2-0) we compare the probability distribution of the scaled gluon compare the probability distribution of the scaled gluon multiplicity and the inclusive hadron multiplicity to experimental data on inelastic nonsingle diffractive events from the CMS Collaboration [\[64\]](#page-5-18). We note that the IP-Glasma model naturally produces multiplicity distributions of gluons that are a convolution of multiple negative binomial distributions [\[43,44,65\].](#page-5-3) In computing the multiplicity distribution, we included all events in which the rapidity density of gluons $dN_a/dy \ge 1$ [\[66\].](#page-5-19) The multiplicity of charged hadrons dN_{ch}/dy is about 50%–75% larger than dN_a/dy , depending on the coupling used. Fragmentation, however, does not significantly change the shape of the distribution of the scaled multiplicity. Within the available statistics, we find very good agreement with the data up to 6 times the mean multiplicity.

We now present results for the average transverse momentum $\langle p_T \rangle$ for charged hadrons over the experimentally used range of transverse momentum $0.15 \text{ GeV} <$ $p_T < 10.0$ GeV and $|\eta| < 0.3$ and for identified hadrons π^{\pm} , K^{\pm} , $p(\bar{p})$, K_S^0 , and $\Lambda/(\bar{\Lambda})$ for a rapidity range of $|v| < 0.5$ with no cuts on transverse momentum. We $|y|$ < 0.5, with no cuts on transverse momentum. We compare our calculation to the preliminary and published measurements from the ALICE [\[67,68\]](#page-5-20) and CMS Collaborations [\[69\].](#page-5-21) To perform a consistent comparison between data and our computation, we show the variation

FIG. 2. Probability distribution of scaled charged hadron multiplicity measured over $|\eta| < 0.5$ in $p + p$ collisions at 7 TeV. The data points are from Ref. [\[64\]](#page-5-18).

of $\langle p_T \rangle$ with the scaled charged hadron multiplicity $N_{\text{ch}}/\langle N_{\text{ch}}\rangle$ in Fig. [3](#page-2-1) [\[70\].](#page-5-22)

Our results for the multiplicity dependence of $\langle p_T \rangle$ in the running coupling case are shown by solid lines. The bands shown include the variation due to using fixed coupling, which decreases $\langle p_T \rangle$ by about 10%–15% and the effect of turning off color reconnections in PYTHIA fragmentation, which decreases $\langle p_T \rangle$ by about 5%–10% [\[72\].](#page-5-23) We see a strong increase of $\langle p_T \rangle$ with increasing multiplicity, consistent with the data. More interestingly, we find that our framework naturally reproduces the mass ordering for different species: $\langle p_T \rangle_p > \langle p_T \rangle_K > \langle p_T \rangle_\pi$ and $\langle p_T \rangle_\infty > \langle p_T \rangle_\infty > \langle p_T \rangle_\infty$ over the entire range of multi- $\langle p_T \rangle_{\Lambda} > \langle p_T \rangle_{R^0_S} > \langle p_T \rangle_h$ over the entire range of multi-
plicity considered plicity considered.

The strong multiplicity dependence of $\langle p_T \rangle$ and the mass ordering was demonstrated to arise in the fragmentation of minijets in heavy-ion jet interaction generator (HIJING) calculations [\[73\]](#page-5-24). Such effects are also obtained in PYTHIA calculations within the color-reconnection scheme [\[68,74](#page-5-25)–77]. In PYTHIA, high-multiplicity events are associated with a large number of independent parton showers. These hadrons fragmenting from independent showers will have $\langle p_T \rangle$ to be independent of the number of showers and therefore independent of $\langle N_{ch} \rangle$. The inclusion of color reconnections modifies this by generating correlations between partons from different showers; this leads to collective hadronization of strings and the strong correlation observed between $\langle p_T \rangle$ and $\langle N_{ch} \rangle$.

Both parton showering and multiparton interactions are included in the CGC framework, and all the parton ladders in rapidity, localized within a transverse area $\sim 1/Q_s^2$, are

FIG. 3. Mass ordering of $\langle p_T \rangle$ plotted against scaled charged hadron multiplicity $N_{ch}/\langle N_{ch} \rangle$. Data points for identified particles from the ALICE [\[67\]](#page-5-20) and CMS [\[69\]](#page-5-21) Collaborations are in the range $|y| < 0.5$ and $|y| < 1$, respectively. The values corresponding to $\langle N_{ch} \rangle$ are obtained from Ref. [\[71\]](#page-5-26) and Ref. [\[64\]](#page-5-18) for ALICE and CMS data correspondingly. The $\langle p_T \rangle$ values for charged hadrons are obtained from Ref. [\[68\]](#page-5-25).

correlated. Specifically, with regard to the correlation between multiplicity $N_g \sim Q_S^2 S_\perp$ and mean transverse momentum of gluons $\langle p_T \rangle \sim Q_s$, one finds $\langle p_T \rangle \sim$ $\sqrt{N_g/S_{\perp}}$, showing that the correlation between $\langle p_T \rangle$
and N is already present at the gluon level Conversely and N_g is already present at the gluon level. Conversely, the mass ordering of the $\langle p_T \rangle$ of different species can be attributed to the fragmentation scheme implemented in the hadron standalone mode of PYTHIA. Color reconnection only has a small effect because gluons are not associated with separate showers and are already assigned to strings, depending on their momenta.

The hardening of the transverse momentum distribution and mass ordering of $\langle p_T \rangle$ are often attributed to strong final state rescattering and collective expansion of a system and even adduced as such [\[17,20\]](#page-4-12) for these patterns in small collision systems [\[13,78\]](#page-4-4). However, what must give pause to such interpretations is the presence of mass splitting even for the lowest multiplicity bin, well below $N_{ch}/\langle N_{ch}\rangle < 1$. Our results in Fig. [3](#page-2-1) provide an alternative initial state interpretation for this pattern, at least for the range of $N_{\rm ch}/\langle N_{\rm ch} \rangle$ considered.

We now extend our considerations to the anisotropy coefficient v_2 extracted from two-particle "ridge" correlations that are long range in rapidity. The particle species dependence of v_2 in high-multiplicity $p + p$ collisions has been measured recently by the CMS Collaboration [\[79,80\]](#page-5-27). As a first step, we estimate the two-particle correlation function using identified hadrons as trigger particles and inclusive hadrons as associated particles. We hew as closely as possible to the experimental procedure. Our analysis is, however, very computationally intensive, and it is challenging to acquire statistics commensurate to those of the experimental data. We compensate by choosing a wider range of pseudorapidity $-4 < \eta < 4$ relative to CMS ($-2.4 < \eta < 2.4$) but keep the other kinematic cuts identical to the experiment. This includes the range of transverse momentum for associated particles $(0.3 < p_T < 3$ GeV) and the range of the relative difference in pseudorapidity (2 < $|\Delta \eta|$ < 4.8). The CMS analysis of azimuthal correlations for $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV was
performed for events with multiplicities 10 times higher performed for events with multiplicities 10 times higher than the mean multiplicity $\langle N_{ch} \rangle$. Because of the challenge of acquiring sufficient statistics, we will restrict our study to events with multiplicities up to 8 times the mean multiplicity $\langle N_{ch} \rangle$.

The two-particle correlation function $C(\Delta \eta, \Delta \phi)$ [\[5\]](#page-4-13) obtained for inclusive charged hadrons in our framework is shown in Fig. [4.](#page-3-0) A double-ridge structure can clearly be observed. The particle pair distribution function obtained from $C(\Delta \eta, \Delta \phi)$ can be decomposed in a Fourier series of their relative azimuthal angle $\Delta \phi$ as

$$
\frac{2\pi}{N_{\text{trig}}^{\text{PID}} N_{\text{assoc}}^{h^{\pm}}} \frac{dN_{\text{pair}}}{d\Delta \phi} = 1 + \sum_{n} 2V_{n\Delta} \cos(n\Delta \phi), \quad (4)
$$

FIG. 4. Dihadron correlation function using inclusive charged hadrons as both trigger and associated particles.

where $N_{\text{trig}}^{\text{ID}}$ is the number of identified (ID) trigger particles [such as π^{\pm} , K^{\pm} , p/\bar{p} , K_S^0 , $\Lambda(\bar{\Lambda})$] and $N_{\text{assoc}}^{\hbar^{\pm}}$ is the number
of associated inclusive hadrons V_{c} is the two-particle of associated inclusive hadrons. $V_{n\Delta}$ is the two-particle harmonic coefficient corresponding to a pair of trigger and associated particles within a given transverse momentum bin (p_T^{trig} , p_T^{assoc}). The details of the procedure to extract the pair correlation function are outlined in the Supplemental Material [\[63\].](#page-5-17) As in the case of experiment, the Fourier anisotropy coefficient $v_n(p_T)$ is defined to be

$$
v_n\{2\}(p_T^{\text{trig}}) = \frac{V_{n\Delta}(p_T^{\text{trig}}, p_T^{\text{assoc}})}{\sqrt{V_{n\Delta}(p_T^{\text{assoc}}, p_T^{\text{assoc}})}}.
$$
(5)

Our results for $v_2(p_T)$ of identified hadrons are shown in Fig. [5](#page-4-14). A clear mass ordering of v_2 from light to heavy particles is seen at low momentum. With increasing p_T , the mass ordering decreases and is even reversed for some of the species for $p_T > 3$ GeV. Our results for $p + p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV are qualitatively similar to those
presented by the CMS Collaboration at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV [79] presented by the CMS Collaboration at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV [\[79\]](#page-5-27) and at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV [11] and at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV [\[11\]](#page-4-2).
While the mass splitting

While the mass splitting and N_{ch} dependence of $\langle p_T \rangle$ have been reproduced previously in the initial state PYTHIA color-reconnection scheme [\[75\]](#page-5-28), that of $v_2(p_T)$ has not. It was previously speculated that initial state correlations and fragmentation effects can lead to species dependences of $v₂$ [\[81\]](#page-5-29). In semiquantitative studies, another nonhydrodynamic approach, for light-heavy ion collisions [\[82\]](#page-5-30), reproduces $\langle p_T \rangle$ but finds very small values of $v_2(p_T)$. Likewise, the mass ordering of $v_2(p_T)$ is obtained for light-heavy ion collisions in hadron transport [\[83\]](#page-5-31) and parton transport [\[84\]](#page-5-32) approaches; they both conclude that mass ordering is not a feature of the scattering but of hadronization. However, their agreement with data requires dominance of final state rescattering.

The results shown in Figs. [3](#page-2-1) and [5](#page-4-14) demonstrate for the first time that for $p + p$ collisions, the mass ordering pattern of (i) $\langle p_T \rangle$ (and its N_{ch} dependence) and (ii) $v_2(p_T)$ seen in data can both be reproduced in an ab initio initial state framework that does not rely on hydrodynamic flow or final state rescattering.

FIG. 5. Mass ordering of $v_2\{2\}(p_T)$ extracted from the azimuthal dependence of two-particle ridge correlations in the $CGC + Lund framework$.

The $CGC + Lund$ event generator developed in this Letter provides the basis for further phenomenological studies. In addition to more quantitative modeling of data, we will address in the future whether this approach can describe the convergence of the m-particle anisotropic Fourier coefficients $v_n\{m\}$ seen for large multiplicities, as well as the extension of this framework to describe the systematics of light-heavy ion collisions.

We thank Christian Bierlich, Ilkka Helenius, Wei Li, Subhash Singha, and Takahito Todoroki for important discussions. This work is supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, under Contract No. DE-SC0012704. R. V. thanks the Institut für Theoretische Physik, Heidelberg, for their kind hospitality and the Excellence Initiative of Heidelberg University for support. This research used resources of the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center, a DOE Office of Science User Facility supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. S. S. gratefully acknowledges support from the Brookhaven Science Associates.

- [1] C. Gale, S. Jeon, and B. Schenke, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X13400113) 28, [1340011 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X13400113)
- [2] V. Khachatryan et al. (CMS Collaboration), [J. High Energy](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2010)091) [Phys. 09 \(2010\) 091.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2010)091)
- [3] S. Chatrchyan et al. (CMS Collaboration), [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.11.025) 718[, 795 \(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.11.025).
- [4] B. Abelev et al. (ALICE Collaboration), [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.01.012) 719, [29 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.01.012)
- [5] G. Aad et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.182302) 110, [182302 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.182302)
- [6] A. Adare et al. (PHENIX Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.192301) 114[, 192301 \(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.192301)
- [7] L. Adamczyk et al. (STAR Collaboration), [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.05.075) 747[, 265 \(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.05.075).
- [8] A. Adare et al. (PHENIX Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.142301) 115[, 142301 \(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.142301)
- [9] V. Khachatryan et al. (CMS Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.172302) 116[, 172302 \(2016\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.172302)
- [10] G. Aad et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.172301) 116, [172301 \(2016\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.172301)
- [11] V. Khachatryan et al. (CMS Collaboration), [arXiv:](http://arXiv.org/abs/1606.06198) [1606.06198.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1606.06198)
- [12] C. Adler et al. (STAR Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.082302) 90, [082302 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.082302)
- [13] P. Levai and B. Muller, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.67.1519)* **67**, 1519 (1991).
- [14] P. Bozek and W. Broniowski, Phys. Rev. C 88[, 014903 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.88.014903)
- [15] A. Bzdak, B. Schenke, P. Tribedy, and R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. C 87[, 064906 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.87.064906)
- [16] G.-Y. Qin and B. Müller, Phys. Rev. C **89**[, 044902 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.89.044902)
- [17] K. Werner, M. Bleicher, B. Guiot, I. Karpenko, and T. Pierog, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112[, 232301 \(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.232301).
- [18] B. Schenke and R. Venugopalan, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.102301) 113, [102301 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.102301)
- [19] A. Bzdak and G.-L. Ma, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.252301) **113**, 252301 [\(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.252301)
- [20] T. Kalaydzhyan and E. Shuryak, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.91.054913) 91, 054913 [\(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.91.054913)
- [21] H. Niemi and G. S. Denicol, [arXiv:1404.7327.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1404.7327)
- [22] F. Gelis, E. Iancu, J. Jalilian-Marian, and R. Venugopalan, [Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.nucl.010909.083629) 60, 463 (2010).
- [23] F. Gelis and R. Venugopalan, Acta Phys. Pol. B 37, 3253 (2006).
- [24] T. Lappi, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0218301311017302) **20**, 1 (2011).
- [25] A. Dumitru, K. Dusling, F. Gelis, J. Jalilian-Marian, T. Lappi, and R. Venugopalan, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.01.024) 697, 21 (2011).
- [26] A. Kovner and M. Lublinsky, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.034017) 83, 034017 [\(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.034017)
- [27] K. Dusling and R. Venugopalan, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.262001) 108, [262001 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.262001)
- [28] Y. V. Kovchegov and D. E. Wertepny, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2013.03.006) A906, 50 [\(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2013.03.006)
- [29] A. Dumitru and A. V. Giannini, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2014.10.037) A933, 212 [\(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2014.10.037)
- [30] A. Dumitru, L. McLerran, and V. Skokov, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.02.046) 743, [134 \(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.02.046).
- [31] M. Gyulassy, P. Levai, I. Vitev, and T. Biro, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.054025) 90, [054025 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.054025)
- [32] T. Lappi, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.04.015) **744**, 315 (2015).
- [33] K. Dusling and R. Venugopalan, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.051502) 87, 051502 [\(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.051502)
- [34] K. Dusling and R. Venugopalan, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.054014) 87, 054014 [\(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.054014)
- [35] K. Dusling and R. Venugopalan, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.094034) 87, 094034 [\(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.094034)
- [36] B. Schenke, S. Schlichting, and R. Venugopalan, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.05.051) B 747[, 76 \(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.05.051).
- [37] T. Lappi, B. Schenke, S. Schlichting, and R. Venugopalan, [J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2016)061) [High Energy Phys. 01 \(2016\) 061.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2016)061)
- [38] K. Dusling, P. Tribedy, and R. Venugopalan, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.014034). 93[, 014034 \(2016\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.014034)
- [39] A. Esposito and M. Gyulassy, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.06.037) **747**, 433 (2015).
- [40] P. Bozek, W. Broniowski, and G. Torrieri, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.172303) 111[, 172303 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.172303)
- [41] W.-T. Deng, H. Fujii, K. Itakura, and Y. Nara, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.014006) 91[, 014006 \(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.014006)
- [42] J.L. Albacete, P.G. Rodriguez, and Y. Nara, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.054004) 94[, 054004 \(2016\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.054004)
- [43] B. Schenke, P. Tribedy, and R. Venugopalan, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.252301) Lett. 108[, 252301 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.252301).
- [44] B. Schenke, P. Tribedy, and R. Venugopalan, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.86.034908) 86[, 034908 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.86.034908)
- [45] B. Andersson, G. Gustafson, G. Ingelman, and T. Sjöstrand, Phys. Rep. 97[, 31 \(1983\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(83)90080-7).
- [46] T. Sjöstrand, Nucl. Phys. **B248**[, 469 \(1984\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(84)90607-2)
- [47] T. Sjöstrand, S. Ask, J. R. Christiansen, R. Corke, N. Desai, P. Ilten, S. Mrenna, S. Prestel, C. O. Rasmussen, and P.Z. Skands, [Comput. Phys. Commun.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024) 191, 159 [\(2015\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024) see [http://home.thep.lu.](http://home.thep.lu.se/torbjorn/pythia82html/Fragmentation.html)se/∼[torbjorn/pythia82html/](http://home.thep.lu.se/torbjorn/pythia82html/Fragmentation.html) [Fragmentation.html](http://home.thep.lu.se/torbjorn/pythia82html/Fragmentation.html) for details of fragmentation in version 8.2.
- [48] A. H. Rezaeian, M. Siddikov, M. Van de Klundert, and R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. D 87[, 034002 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.034002)
- [49] B. Schenke, P. Tribedy, and R. Venugopalan, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.89.024901) 89[, 024901 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.89.024901)
- [50] L. McLerran and P. Tribedy, Nucl. Phys. **A945**[, 216 \(2016\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2015.10.008)
- [51] A. Bzdak and K. Dusling, Phys. Rev. C 93[, 031901 \(2016\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.93.031901)
- [52] H. Mantysaari and B. Schenke, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.052301) 117, [052301 \(2016\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.052301)
- [53] S. Schlichting and B. Schenke, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2014.10.068) **739**, 313 (2014).
- [54] D. d'Enterria, G. K. Eyyubova, V. L. Korotkikh, I. P. Lokhtin, S. V. Petrushanko, L. I. Sarycheva, and A. M. Snigirev, [Eur. Phys. J. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-009-1232-7) 66, 173 (2010).
- [55] L. D. McLerran and R. Venugopalan, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.49.2233) 49, 2233 [\(1994\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.49.2233)
- [56] L. D. McLerran and R. Venugopalan, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.49.3352) 49, 3352 [\(1994\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.49.3352)
- [57] A. Krasnitz, Y. Nara, and R. Venugopalan, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.192302) 87[, 192302 \(2001\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.192302)
- [58] T. Lappi, Phys. Rev. C **67**[, 054903 \(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.67.054903).
- [59] We will use the lattice parameters $N = 400$, $L = 8$ fm, $\tau_{\text{evol}} = 0.4$ fm, $g^2 \mu = 0.45$, and an infrared regulator of $m = 0.1$ GeV. For these numerical details, and the sensitivity of results to variations of these, we refer the reader to the extensive study in Ref. [\[49\]](#page-5-7).
- [60] T. Sjöstrand, Phys. Lett. **157B**[, 321 \(1985\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(85)90674-4)
- [61] A. Dumitru, F. Gelis, L. McLerran, and R. Venugopalan, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2008.06.012) A810, 91 (2008).
- [62] The quark and the antiquark are chosen to be massless and have three-momenta equal to the gluons attached to them,

ensuring they do not contribute to particle production. At any rate, by construction, the quark and the antiquark have large rapidities and should hence not affect results close to midrapidity.

- [63] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.162301) [supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.162301](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.162301) for Dependence on PYTHIA parameters and details of the calculation of two-particle correlations.
- [64] V. Khachatryan et al. (CMS Collaboration), [J. High Energy](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2011)079) [Phys. 01 \(2011\) 079.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2011)079)
- [65] F. Gelis, T. Lappi, and L. McLerran, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2009.07.004) A828, 149 [\(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2009.07.004)
- [66] Including all events regardless of dN_q/dy reduces the mean multiplicity by 16%.
- [67] L. Bianchi (ALICE Collaboration), [arXiv:1604.06736.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1604.06736)
- [68] B. B. Abelev et al. (ALICE Collaboration), [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.10.054) 727[, 371 \(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.10.054).
- [69] S. Chatrchyan et al. (CMS Collaboration), [Eur. Phys. J. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-2164-1) 72[, 2164 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-2164-1).
- [70] The value of the mean multiplicity in the ALICE data over $|\eta|$ < 0.5 is $\langle N_{ch} \rangle$ = 5.98 [\[71\]](#page-5-26) and for the CMS data over $|\eta|$ < 2.4 $\langle N_{ch} \rangle$ = 30 [\[64\]](#page-5-18). For ALICE, we have $\langle N_{ch} \rangle$ = 4.42 in the range $|\eta|$ < 0.3 [\[68\]](#page-5-25).
- [71] J. Adam et al. (ALICE Collaboration), [arXiv:1509.07541.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1509.07541)
- [72] By way of contrast, in standard PYTHIA, the color reconnection at the parton level increases the $\langle p_T \rangle$ by 40%–60% [\[68\]](#page-5-25).
- [73] X.-N. Wang and M. Gyulassy, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(92)90670-Y) 282, 466 (1992).
- [74] T. Sjöstrand, [arXiv:1310.8073.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1310.8073)
- [75] A. Ortiz Velasquez, P. Christiansen, E. Cuautle Flores, I. A. Maldonado Cervantes, and G. Paić, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.042001) 111, [042001 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.042001)
- [76] C. Bierlich and J. R. Christiansen, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.094010) 92, 094010 [\(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.094010)
- [77] A. Dumitru and C. Spieles, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(98)01467-1) 446, 326 [\(1999\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(98)01467-1)
- [78] B. B. Abelev et al. (ALICE Collaboration), [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.11.020) 728[, 25 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.11.020)
- [79] CMS Collaboration, CERN, Report No. CMS-PAS-HIN-15-009, 2015.
- [80] CMS Collaboration CERN, Report No. CMS-PAS-HIN-16- 010, 2016.
- [81] G. Torrieri, Phys. Rev. C **89**[, 024908 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.89.024908)
- [82] P. Romatschke, [Eur. Phys. J. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-015-3646-8) 75, 429 (2015).
- [83] Y. Zhou, X. Zhu, P. Li, and H. Song, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.91.064908) 91, [064908 \(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.91.064908)
- [84] H. Li, L. He, Z.-W. Lin, D. Molnar, F. Wang, and W. Xie, [arXiv:1604.07387.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1604.07387)