## Spin-Orbit Interactions and Quantum Spin Dynamics in Cold Ion-Atom Collisions

Timur V. Tscherbul,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> Paul Brumer,<sup>1</sup> and Alexei A. Buchachenko<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Chemical Physics Theory Group, Department of Chemistry, and Center for Quantum Information and Quantum Control,

University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario M5S 3H6, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, University of Nevada, Reno, Nevada 89557, USA

<sup>3</sup>Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology, 100 Novaya Street, Skolkovo, Moscow Region 143025, Russia

<sup>4</sup>Institute of Problems of Chemical Physics RAS, Chernogolovka, Moscow Region 142432, Russia

(Received 22 November 2015; published 27 September 2016)

We present accurate *ab initio* and quantum scattering calculations on a prototypical hybrid ion-atom system Yb<sup>+</sup>-Rb, recently suggested as a promising candidate for the experimental study of open quantum systems, quantum information processing, and quantum simulation. We identify the second-order spinorbit (SO) interaction as the dominant source of hyperfine relaxation in cold Yb<sup>+</sup>-Rb collisions. Our results are in good agreement with recent experimental observations [L. Ratschbacher *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 160402 (2013)] of hyperfine relaxation rates of trapped Yb<sup>+</sup> immersed in an ultracold Rb gas. The calculated rates are 4 times smaller than is predicted by the Langevin capture theory and display a weak  $T^{-0.3}$  temperature dependence, indicating significant deviations from statistical behavior. Our analysis underscores the deleterious nature of the SO interaction and implies that light ion-atom combinations such as Yb<sup>+</sup>-Li should be used to minimize hyperfine relaxation and decoherence of trapped ions in ultracold atomic gases.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.143201

The exquisite controllability of trapped atomic and molecular ions is key to their use in emerging quantum technologies, including quantum information processing [1], quantum simulation [2,3], and precision measurement [4,5]. They also serve as ideal prototype systems for exploring quantum decoherence [6,7], many-body physics [2,3], ultracold chemistry [8,9], and astrochemistry [10]. In particular, hybrid ion-atom systems consisting of trapped ions immersed in an ultracold gas of neutral atoms display a remarkably rich dynamical behavior [7–9,11–17]. Several experimental groups have observed thermalization, inelastic relaxation, chemical reactions, and three-body recombination to occur in ultracold collisions of  $Yb^+ + Yb$  [13],  $Yb^+ + Ca$  [14],  $Yb^+ + Rb$  [7,8,11,12],  $Ca^+ + Rb$  [15],  $Ba^+ + Ca$  [16], and  $Ba^+ + Rb$  [17]. A major goal of these experiments is to achieve sympathetic cooling of the ion by using ultracold atoms as a cooling medium [18,19].

In a recent experimental realization of such a hybrid ionatom system, Köhl and co-workers immersed a single trapped Yb<sup>+</sup> ion in an ultracold cloud of spin-polarized Rb atoms [7,8,11,12]. While momentum-changing collisions with ultracold Rb atoms led to efficient cooling of the heavy ion, Köhl *et al.* observed unexplainably rapid spin relaxation and decoherence [7]. As both the ion and the atom were initially prepared in their fully spin-polarized internal states, these surprising results suggest the presence of an efficient spin-changing mechanism, which destroys spin coherence and prevents quantum information storage in the ion's internal degrees of freedom. The observation of large relaxation and decoherence rates [7] casts doubt on the suitability of hybrid ion-atom systems for quantum information and precision measurement applications. It remains unclear, however, whether the observed relaxation and coherence-destroying mechanisms [7] are universal or specific to the Yb<sup>+</sup>-Rb system.

Accurate quantum scattering calculations based on ab initio interaction potentials reported for several ion-atom systems [20–22] provide valuable insight into the mechanisms of cold ion-atom collisions and enable the development of multichannel quantum defect models [23] and semiclassical approximations [24,25]. Useful as they are, these calculations do not take into account spinnonconserving interactions, such as the magnetic dipole and second-order spin-orbit (SO) interactions, which play an important role in collisions of highly magnetic [26,27] and heavy [28,29] neutral atoms, causing rapid two-body losses similar to those observed experimentally for Yb<sup>+</sup>-Rb [7]. The long-range polarization interaction leads to a large number of partial wave contributions to ion-atom scattering-even at the lowest collision energies attainable in current experiments (~100 mK). The spin-nonconserving interactions break the rotational symmetry of the scattering problem and couple the partial wave states with the spin states of the ion-atom collision complex [29,30], dramatically increasing the computational complexity of the calculations. As a result, the effects of these interactions on ultracold ion-atom collisions remain completely unexplored.

Here, we report accurate quantum scattering calculations on the prototypical heavy ion-atom collision system Yb<sup>+</sup>-Rb studied in recent experiments [7]. We solve the ion-atom quantum scattering problem exactly using stateof-the-art *ab initio* molecular potentials and SO coupling

0031-9007/16/117(14)/143201(5)

matrix elements of the  $(YbRb)^+$  complex [31]. We obtain quantitative agreement with the measured relaxation rates for <sup>171</sup>Yb<sup>+</sup>-Rb collisions and identify the second-order SO interaction as the dominant source of rapid collisional spin relaxation. These results demonstrate that modern *ab initio* and quantum scattering calculations can predict the collisional properties of hybrid ion-atom systems with quantitative accuracy. They strongly suggest that light ion-atom combinations such as Yb<sup>+</sup>-Li, where the second-order SO interaction is much weaker, should be used in experimental applications that require long spin relaxation and coherence times, such as quantum information processing, quantum simulation, and precision measurement.

The quantum spin dynamics in  $Yb^+$ -Rb collisions is described by the Hamiltonian [20,21,28]

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} &= -\frac{1}{2\mu R} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial R^2} R + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{L}}^2}{2\mu R^2} + \hat{H}_a + \hat{H}_b + \hat{V}(R) \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{24\pi}{5}} \left( -\frac{\alpha^2}{R^3} + \lambda_{\rm SO}(R) \right) \sum_q Y_{2q}^{\star}(\hat{R}) [\hat{\mathbf{S}}_a \otimes \hat{\mathbf{S}}_b]_q^{(2)}, \end{aligned}$$
(1)

where  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_i$  represents the electron spins of Rb (i = a) and  $^{171}$ Yb<sup>+</sup> (*i* = *b*),  $\mu$  is the reduced mass of the Yb<sup>+</sup>-Rb collision complex, R is the internuclear separation,  $\hat{\mathbf{L}}$  is the orbital angular momentum of the complex, and  $\hat{R}$  describes the orientation of the complex in the laboratory frame with the quantization axis z defined by the magnetic field vector **B**. The asymptotic Hamiltonian of atom i in Eq. (1) is  $\hat{H}_i = \gamma_i \hat{\mathbf{I}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i + 2\mu \mathbf{B} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i$  [20,21,28], where  $\hat{\mathbf{I}}_i$  is the atom's nuclear spin,  $\hat{V}(R) = \sum_{S,M_S} V_{SM_S}(R) |SM_S\rangle \langle SM_S|$  is the interaction potential which depends on the total spin  $\hat{\mathbf{S}} =$  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_a + \hat{\mathbf{S}}_b$  of the collision complex and its projection  $M_S$  on the *B*-field axis, and  $\alpha$  is the fine structure constant. Figure 1 shows the relevant Yb<sup>+</sup>-Rb potentials of  ${}^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ and  ${}^{3}\Sigma^{+}$  symmetry [correlating to the Yb<sup>+</sup>( ${}^{2}S$ )-Rb( ${}^{2}S$ ) limit] obtained from high-level ab initio calculations [31]. These potentials are accurate enough to yield collisioninduced charge transfer (CCT) rates in quantitative agreement with experiment [11,31–33]. The collisional processes of interest here occur on time scales much shorter than CCT ( $\ll 10$  s), so we neglect the weak coupling to the ground  $X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$  state of Yb-Rb<sup>+</sup> [11,31].

An essential new aspect of this work, as compared to the previous theoretical studies of ion-atom collisions [20–22], is the presence of the *R*-dependent SO interaction [the last term in Eq. (1)] between the  ${}^{3}\Sigma^{+}$  and  ${}^{1}\Sigma^{+}$  states, which does not conserve the total spin of the collision complex and causes inelastic transitions in spin-polarized Yb<sup>+</sup>-Rb collisions [28,29]. This interaction arises in the second order due to first-order couplings between the ground  $\Sigma$  and excited  $\Pi$  states. As shown in Fig. 1, the  ${}^{3}\Pi$  state, which correlates to the



FIG. 1. Scalar-relativistic interaction potentials for Yb<sup>+</sup>-Rb of  ${}^{1}\Sigma$  and  ${}^{3}\Sigma$  (solid lines) and  ${}^{3}\Pi$  (dashed line) symmetries and the second-order SO coupling [blue (grey) line] as a function of *R*. (Inset) Magnetic field dependence of the lowest hyperfine energy levels of  ${}^{171}$ Yb<sup>+</sup> and  ${}^{87}$ Rb (Zeeman splittings are exaggerated for clarity). The initial states chosen for scattering calculations are highlighted in (grey) color.

Yb<sup>+</sup>(<sup>3</sup>*P*)-Rb(<sup>2</sup>*S*) limit, crosses the potentials of both the <sup>1</sup> $\Sigma$  and <sup>3</sup> $\Sigma$  states at short range, leading to a resonant enhancement of the second-order SO coupling. The magnitude of this coupling is proportional to the splitting between the relativistic <sup>3</sup> $\Sigma_0^+$  and <sup>3</sup> $\Sigma_1^+$  components of the <sup>3</sup> $\Sigma^+$  state, as described in the Supplemental Material [34].

We solve the ion-atom quantum scattering problem by expanding the stationary eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian (1) in direct-product basis functions  $\phi_n(\hat{R}) = |F_a m_{F_a}\rangle$  $|F_b m_{F_b}\rangle |lm_l\rangle$ , where  $|F_i m_{F_i}\rangle$  represents the atomic hyperfine states and  $|lm_l\rangle$  stands for the eigenstates of  $\hat{L}^2$  and  $\hat{L}_z$ . The radial expansion coefficients  $\mathcal{F}_n(R)$  satisfy a system of coupled-channel (CC) equations,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{d^2}{dR^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{R^2} + 2\mu E \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}_n(R)$$
  
=  $2\mu \sum_{n'} \langle \phi_n | \hat{V}(R) + \hat{H}_a + \hat{H}_b + \hat{V}_{SO} | \phi_{n'} \rangle \mathcal{F}_{n'}(R),$ (2)

where *E* is the total energy and  $\hat{V}_{SO}$  stands for the secondorder SO interaction [the last term in Eq. (1)]. The matrix elements of the interaction potential and  $\hat{H}_i$  in Eq. (2) are calculated as described elsewhere [37], whereas those of  $\hat{V}_{SO}$  are derived in the Supplemental Material [34].

The CC equations (2) are solved numerically at fixed total angular momentum projection  $M = m_{F_a} + m_{F_b} + m_{\ell}$  on a grid of  $R \in [3, 3 \times 10^4]a_0$  with a grid spacing of  $0.01a_0$ . All basis states with  $l \leq 40$  are included in



FIG. 2. Inelastic rate constant for the  $|11\rangle \rightarrow |00\rangle$  transition in Yb<sup>+</sup> induced by collision with Rb ( $|2, 2\rangle$ ). Full line, theory (present work); circle with error bars, experiment [7]; dashed line, Langevin rate scaled down by a factor of 2.8 for clarity. The shaded area shows the uncertainty arising from the inaccuracies in *ab initio* interaction potentials.

scattering calculations to produce converged results in the experimentally relevant range of collision energies of 40–240 mK [7], leading to a total of 1276 channels for M = 0. Scattering boundary conditions are applied after reaching the outer end of the integration grid to extract the scattering *S*-matrix elements, which are used to compute the total (*M*-summed) scattering cross sections and transition rates.

Figure 2 shows the calculated inelastic rate constant for the  $|1,1\rangle \rightarrow |0,0\rangle$  hyperfine transition in Yb<sup>+</sup> induced by collisions with spin-polarized Rb at B = 6 G. First, we observe good agreement between the calculated and measured rates [7]. At T = 150 mK, the calculated rate is 4 times smaller than the Langevin collision rate [38],  $k_L = 2\pi \sqrt{C_4/\mu} = 2.1 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^3/\text{s.}$  Second, the exact quantum rate displays a weak  $T^{-0.3}$  temperature dependence, whereas the ion-atom Langevin rate is temperature independent [7], indicating significant deviations from statistical behavior in ultracold Yb<sup>+</sup>-Rb collisions. Third, as both Yb<sup>+</sup> and Rb are fully spin polarized prior to collision, the large magnitude of the inelastic rate can only be caused by a spin-nonconserving interaction. Test calculations show that omitting the magnetic dipole interaction from the Hamiltonian (1) does not change the results, leading us to conclude that it is the second-order SO interaction that is responsible for the rapid spin relaxation observed experimentally [7].

To estimate the uncertainty of the theoretical results, we performed quantum scattering calculations with modified  ${}^{1}\Sigma$  and  ${}^{3}\Sigma$  potentials [31] obtained by shifting the short-range parts of the potentials by a constant factor  $\Delta R = \pm 0.02a_{0}$ . While this modification results in a large change of the *s*-wave scattering lengths, the calculated inelastic rates at 40 mK vary only by  ${}^{+10.6}_{-16.6}$ %, as shown in

TABLE I. Calculated and measured hyperfine relaxation rates (in units of  $10^{-10}$  cm<sup>3</sup>/s) for *F*- and *m<sub>F</sub>*-changing transitions in Yb<sup>+</sup>-Rb collisions at B = 6 G. All rates are computed from inelastic cross sections at 40 mK except for the  $|11\rangle_b \rightarrow |00\rangle_b$ transition, for which the thermally averaged rate at T = 150 mK is given.

Transition	Theory	Experiment
$1,1\rangle_b \to  0,0\rangle_b$	$5.40^{+0.57}_{-0.90}$	6.2(0.3)
$ 1,1\rangle_b \rightarrow  1,0\rangle_b$	3.33	3.4(0.6)
$ 1,1\rangle_b \rightarrow  1,-1\rangle_b$	0.19	0
$ 1,0\rangle_b \rightarrow  1,-1\rangle_b$	2.62	3.4(0.6)
$ 1,0\rangle_b \rightarrow  1,1\rangle_b$	3.62	5.1(0.6)

Fig. 2. This is because Yb<sup>+</sup>-Rb scattering at the collision energies of interest here ( $\sim$ 20–100 mK) occurs in the multiple partial wave regime, where the resonance contributions due to individual partial waves are averaged out, and the rates are determined by the value of the SO coupling at the inner turning points of the interaction potentials. Figure 2 shows that the calculated upper limit of the inelastic rate agrees well with the measured value (see Table I), suggesting that left-shifted Yb<sup>+</sup>-Rb potentials provide a better agreement with the measured inelastic rates.

Figure 3 shows the normalized product-state distributions

$$P(F_{a}, m_{F_{a}}; F_{b}, m_{F_{b}}) = k_{F_{a}, m_{F_{a}}, F_{b}m_{F_{b}}}/k_{\text{inel}}, \qquad (3)$$

calculated from the inelastic rates  $k_{F_a,m_{F_a},F_bm_{F_b}}$ , where  $k_{\text{inel}} = \sum_{F_a m_{F_a},F_b m_{F_b}} k_{F_a,m_{F_a},F_bm_{F_b}}$  is the total inelastic rate for a given  $|2,2\rangle_a|F_b,m_{F_b}\rangle$  initial state. The inset of Fig. 3 shows the marginal distribution  $P(F_b,m_{F_b})$  obtained by summing Eq. (3) over all final hyperfine states of Rb. While the populations of the hyperfine states  $|1,0\rangle_b$  and  $|0,0\rangle_b$  of Yb<sup>+</sup> are similar, collision-induced energy transfer into the  $|1,-1\rangle_b$  state is about 50 times slower. This selection rule was assumed by Ratschbacher *et al.* in their analysis of experimental data [7], and our calculations provide a rigorous justification of this assumption. The  $|1,1\rangle_b \rightarrow |1,-1\rangle_b$  transition is suppressed in first order because the matrix element of the SO interaction [34] contains the 3*j* symbol

$$\begin{pmatrix} F_b & 1 & F'_b \\ -m_{F_b} & m_{F_b} - m'_{F_b} & m'_{F_b} \end{pmatrix}, \label{eq:field_states}$$

which vanishes identically for  $F_b = F'_b = 1$  and  $m_{F_b} = 1$ ,  $m'_{F_b} = -1$ . In contrast, the dominant transitions to the  $|10\rangle_b$  and  $|00\rangle_b$  hyperfine states are allowed to first order.

Figure 3 shows that the product-state distributions (3) for the dominant transitions to the final states  $|1,0\rangle_b$  and  $|0,0\rangle_b$ are peaked at the initial state  $|2,2\rangle_a$  of Rb. While there is a



FIG. 3. Normalized product-state distributions  $P(F_a, m_{F_a}; F_b, m_{F_b})$  [Eq. (3)] plotted for a collision energy of 40 mK and B = 6 G. Adjacent bars correspond to the different hyperfine states of Yb<sup>+</sup>: (left)  $|00\rangle_b$ , (middle)  $|10\rangle_b$ , and (right)  $|1, -1\rangle_b$ . (Inset) Yb<sup>+</sup> product-state distributions summed over all of the final hyperfine states of Rb.

clear preference for the initial state  $|2,2\rangle_a$  to remain unchanged in a collision, hyperfine-changing transitions to the final states  $|1,0\rangle_a$  and  $|1,1\rangle_a$  also occur with significant probabilities (~15%–25%), which are weakly sensitive to the final hyperfine state of Yb<sup>+</sup>. The hyperfine distributions for the suppressed  $|1,1\rangle_b \rightarrow |1,-1\rangle_b$  transition are, in contrast, peaked at the lowermost Rb state  $|1,1\rangle_a$ .

The experimental estimates of the Yb<sup>+</sup> temperature *T* were limited by a lack of insight into an important heating mechanism involving collisional deexcitation of the  $|2, 2\rangle_a$  hyperfine state of Rb [7,39]. This temperature sets the collision energy with ultracold Rb atoms and is given by  $\epsilon T_{\text{max}}$ , where  $T_{\text{max}} = 240$  mK and  $\epsilon$  is the probability of a Rb hyperfine state change in a Langevin collision [7]. To improve the experimental estimate of *T*, we calculated  $\epsilon$  as a sum of transition probabilities to the  $F'_a = 1$  hyperfine manifold of Rb. For the relevant  $|1, 1\rangle_b \rightarrow |0, 0\rangle_b$  transition in Yb<sup>+</sup>, we calculate  $\epsilon = \sum_{m_{F_a}} P(F_a = 1, m_{F_a}; F_b = 0, m_{F_b} = 0) = 0.64$ . An improved estimate of the ion temperature for comparison with the theoretical value is thus  $T = \epsilon \times 240$  mK  $\approx 150$  mK.

Thus far, we have focused on hyperfine transitions from a single initial state  $|1,1\rangle_b$  in spin-polarized Yb<sup>+</sup>-Rb collisions. Table I compares the results of our scattering calculations for the other hyperfine transitions in Yb<sup>+</sup> with the measured values [7]. We observe quantitative agreement between experiment and theory for all of the transitions except  $|1,0\rangle_b \rightarrow |1,1\rangle_b$  and  $|1,0\rangle_b \rightarrow |1,-1\rangle_b$ , the rates of which were not directly measured, but rather inferred from <sup>174</sup>Yb<sup>+</sup> measurements [7,39] under several assumptions, including the following: (1) the relation  $\gamma_{ex}/\gamma_{SR} = 0.5$ 

between the excitation and relaxation rates based on the values observed for  ${}^{174}Yb^+$  [39], (2) the ratio r of the  $|1, -1\rangle_b \rightarrow |10\rangle_b$  and  $|10\rangle_b \rightarrow |11\rangle_b$  transition rates is equal to 1.5 (we find r = 1.4), and (3) the transitions changing  $m_F$ by 2 or more are strictly forbidden. Additionally, collisioninduced hyperfine relaxation from other than the fully spin-polarized initial states of Yb<sup>+</sup> can proceed via the spin-exchange mechanism due to the differing phase shifts associated with the singlet and triplet potentials [40,41]. As this mechanism is more sensitive to the uncertainties of the interaction potentials, we expect the calculated and experimentally derived  $|1,0\rangle_b \rightarrow |1,1\rangle_b$  and  $|1,0\rangle_b \rightarrow$  $|1,-1\rangle_{h}$  transition rates to be more uncertain than the  $|1,1\rangle_b \rightarrow |0,0\rangle_b$  transition rate. The hyperfine relaxation rates are of the same order of magnitude  $(10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3/\text{s})$ and they are not very sensitive to the initial state, which is consistent with a strong spin-dependent coupling mechanism between the internal states, mediated by both S-conserving spin-exchange and S-changing second-order SO interactions.

In summary, we present the first rigorous theoretical analysis of quantum spin dynamics in cold heavy ion-atom collisions. Unlike all of the previous theoretical models [20-22], our CC approach explicitly takes into account spin-nonconserving interactions, which play a critical role in collisions of heavy ions with coolant atoms. Our calculations show that the lowermost  $\Sigma$  states of heavy ion-atom complexes exhibit a short-range crossing with the states of  $\Pi$  symmetry, giving rise to a strong second-order SO interaction (Fig. 1), which leads to rapid spin relaxation in cold ion-atom collisions. Our calculated spin relaxation rates are in good agreement with recent experiments [7] (Fig. 2 and Table I). As the magnetic dipole interaction makes a negligible contribution to the overall Yb<sup>+</sup>-Rb spin relaxation rate, we conclude that heavy ion-atom collision systems exhibiting strong SO interactions (such as Yb<sup>+</sup>-Rb and Ba<sup>+</sup>-Rb [42]) are unsuitable for quantum technological applications, which require long spin relaxation and coherence times. Rather, for these applications, it is advisable to use light coolant atoms such as Li or Na, where the SO interactions are weaker. Indeed, recent ab initio calculations [22] suggest that the  ${}^{3}\Pi$  electronic state of the Yb<sup>+</sup>-Li complex does not cross the  $\Sigma$  states, which indicates that the SO interaction in this system will be suppressed, leading one to expect favorably long spin relaxation and coherence times. Our quantum scattering approach can be used to investigate the dynamics of inelastic relaxation in both light and heavy ion-atom collision systems. It can also be extended to study the mechanisms of collisional decoherence of atomic and molecular ions immersed in ultracold atomic buffer gases [43], for which the first experimental results have recently become available [7]. Collisional decoherence is one of the most fundamental mechanisms responsible for the quantum-to-classical transition [44], and it has so far been tested experimentally only

at elevated temperatures [45]. Suppressing the decoherence mechanisms with external electromagnetic fields [46] would be an important step toward quantum technological applications based on trapped ion-atom hybrid systems.

We thank Lothar Ratschbacher and Michael Köhl for the valuable discussions. This work was supported by the University of Nevada, Reno, NSERC of Canada and the Russian FBR (Projects No. 14-03-00422 and No. 14-33-50861).

\*ttscherbul@unr.edu

- T. D. Ladd, F. Jelezko, R. Laflamme, Y. Nakamura, C. Monroe, and J. L. O'Brien, Nature (London) 464, 45 (2010).
- [2] R. Gerritsma, A. Negretti, H. Doerk, Z. Idziaszek, T. Calarco, and F. Schmidt-Kaler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 080402 (2012).
- [3] U. Bissbort, D. Cocks, A. Negretti, Z. Idziaszek, T. Calarco, F. Schmidt-Kaler, W. Hofstetter, and R. Gerritsma, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 080501 (2013).
- [4] S. A. Diddams, J. C. Bergquist, E. A. Curtis, R. E. Drullinger, L. Hollberg, W. M. Itano, W. D. Lee, C. W. Oates, K. R. Vogel, and D. J. Wineland, Science 293, 825 (2001).
- [5] D. Liebfried, R. Blatt, C. Monroe, and D. Wineland, Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, 281 (2003).
- [6] C. J. Myatt, B. E. King, Q. A. Turchette, C. A. Sackett, D. Kielpinski, W. M. Itano, C. Monroe, and D. J. Wineland, Nature (London) 403, 269 (2000).
- [7] L. Ratschbacher, C. Sias, L. Carcagni, J. M. Silver, C. Zipkes, and M. Köhl, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 160402 (2013).
- [8] L. Ratschbacher, C. Zipkes, C. Sias, and M. Köhl, Nat. Phys. 8, 649 (2012).
- [9] P. S. Julienne, Nat. Phys. 8, 642 (2012).
- [10] I. W. M. Smith, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 45, 2842 (2006).
- [11] C. Zipkes, S. Palzer, L. Ratschbacher, C. Sias, and M. Köhl, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 133201 (2010).
- [12] C. Zipkes, S. Palzer, C. Sias, and M. Köhl, Nature (London) 464, 388 (2010).
- [13] A. T. Grier, M. Cetina, F. Oručevič, and V. Vuletić, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 223201 (2009).
- [14] W. G. Rellergert, S. T. Sullivan, S. Kotochigova, A. Petrov, K. Chen, S. J. Schowalter, and E. R. Hudson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 243201 (2011).
- [15] F. H. J. Hall, M. Aymar, N. Bouloufa-Maafa, O. Dulieu, and S. Willitsch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 243202 (2011).
- [16] S. T. Sullivan, W. G. Rellergert, S. Kotochigova, and E. R. Hudson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 223002 (2012).
- [17] A. Härter, A. Krükow, A. Brunner, W. Schnitzler, S. Schmid, and J. H. Denschlag, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 123201 (2012).
- [18] M. Cetina, A. T. Grier, and V. Vuletić, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 253201 (2012).
- [19] K. Chen, S. T. Sullivan, and E. R. Hudson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 143009 (2014).

- [20] Z. Idziaszek, T. Calarco, P.S. Julienne, and A. Simoni, Phys. Rev. A 79, 010702(R) (2009).
- [21] Z. Idziaszek, A. Simoni, T. Calarco, and P. S. Julienne, New J. Phys. **13**, 083005 (2011).
- [22] M. Tomza, C. P. Koch, and R. Moszynski, Phys. Rev. A 91, 042706 (2015).
- [23] Bo Gao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 213201 (2010).
- [24] R. Côté and A. Dalgarno, Phys. Rev. A 62, 012709 (2000).
- [25] P. Zhang, A. Dalgarno, and R. Côté, Phys. Rev. A 80, 030703(R) (2009).
- [26] A. Griesmaier, J. Werner, S. Hensler, J. Stuhler, and T. Pfau, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 160401 (2005).
- [27] Z. Pavlović, R. V. Krems, R. Côté, and H. R. Sadeghpour, Phys. Rev. A 71, 061402(R) (2005).
- [28] F. H. Mies, C. J. Williams, P. S. Julienne, and M. Kraus, J. Res. Natl. Inst. Stand. Technol. **101**, 521 (1996).
- [29] P.J. Leo, E. Tiesinga, P.S. Julienne, D.K. Walter, S. Kadlecek, and T.G. Walker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1389 (1998).
- [30] T. V. Tscherbul, P. Zhang, H. R. Sadeghpour, and A. Dalgarno, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 023204 (2011).
- [31] E. R. Sayfutyarova, A. A. Buchachenko, S. A. Yakovleva, and A. K. Belyaev, Phys. Rev. A 87, 052717 (2013).
- [32] H. D. L. Lamb, J. F. McCann, B. M. McLaughlin, J. Goold, N. Wells, and I. Lane, Phys. Rev. A 86, 022716 (2012).
- [33] B. M. McLaughlin, H. D. L. Lamb, I. C. Lane, and J. F. McCann, J. Phys. B 47, 145201 (2014).
- [34] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.143201, which includes Refs. [35,36], for the derivation of the second-order SO interaction in terms of the relativistic potential energy curves of (YbRb)<sup>+</sup> and for the matrix elements of the SO interaction in the scattering basis.
- [35] J. M. Hutson, E. Tiesinga, and P. S. Julienne, Phys. Rev. A 78, 052703 (2008).
- [36] R. N. Zare, Angular Momentum (Wiley, New York, 1988).
- [37] Z. Li and R. V. Krems, Phys. Rev. A 75, 032709 (2007).
- [38] B. Gao, Phys. Rev. A 83, 062712 (2011).
- [39] L. Ratschbacher, Ph.D. thesis, University of Cambridge, 2013.
- [40] M. Li, L. You, and B. Gao, Phys. Rev. A 89, 052704 (2014).
- [41] M. Li and B. Gao, Phys. Rev. A 91, 032702 (2015).
- [42] M. Krych, W. Skomorowski, F. Pawłowski, R. Moszynski, and Z. Idziaszek, Phys. Rev. A 83, 032723 (2011).
- [43] K. Hornberger, Europhys. Lett. 77, 50007 (2007).
- [44] M. Schlosshauer, *Decoherence and the Quantum-to-Classical Transition* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008).
- [45] K. Hornberger, S. Uttenthaler, B. Brezger, L. Hackermüller, M. Arndt, and A. Zeilinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 160401 (2003).
- [46] C. J. Hemming and R. V. Krems, Phys. Rev. A 81, 052701 (2010).