Unified Approach towards the Dynamics of Optical and Electron Vortex Beams

Pratul Bandyopadhyay,* Banasri Basu,† and Debashree Chowdhury*

Physics and Applied Mathematics Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, 203, Barrackpore Trunk Road, Kolkata 700 108, India

(Received 12 August 2015; published 7 April 2016)

We have proposed a unified framework towards the dynamics of optical and electron vortex beams from the perspective of the geometric phase and the associated Hall effects. The unification is attributed to the notion that the spin degrees of freedom of a relativistic particle, either massive or massless, are associated with a vortex line. Based on a cylindrical coordinate formulation, which leads to a local vortex structure related to orbital angular momentum (OAM), it can be shown that, when electron vortex beams (EVBs) move in an external electric field, paraxial beams give rise to an OAM Hall effect, and nonparaxial beams with tilted vortices initiate a spin Hall effect in free space as well as in an external field. A similar analysis reveals that the paraxial optical vortex beams (OVBs) in an inhomogeneous medium induce an OAM Hall effect, whereas nonparaxial beams with tilted vortices drive the spin Hall effect. Moreover, both OVBs and EVBs with tilted vortices give rise to OAM states with an arbitrary fractional value.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.144801

Research into the properties of vortices has thrived since a remarkable paper of Nye and Berry [1] described the basic properties of *dislocations in wave trains*. They have shown that in 3D space optical vortex beams (OVBs), in general, contain dislocation lines when the phase becomes singular and currents coil around the vortex line. When the vortex line is parallel (orthogonal) to the wave propagation direction, this is characterized as screw (edge) dislocation. Also, there may be mixed screw-edge dislocations, which are tilted with respect to the propagation direction. Subsequently, it has been shown that the optical beams with screw dislocations possess quantized orbital angular momentum (OAM) directed along the beam axis [2] and OVBs with mixed edge-screw dislocations with tilted vortices carry OAM in an arbitrary direction [3]. An exact solution of the Helmholtz equation can be constructed possessing wave front dislocation lines in the form of loops, links, and knots when we have a superposition of the Bessel beams [4]. Since the Helmholtz equation corresponds to the stationary situation of the Schrödinger equation in free space, OVBs and nonrelativistic electron vortex beams (EVBs) are expected to share similar geometric properties. Indeed, the existence of EVBs in free space for nonrelativistic electrons has been predicted in Ref. [5]. Later, EVBs carrying OAM have been produced experimentally [6,7], and in the study of relativistic EVBs, the exact Bessel beam solutions of the Dirac equation have been derived [8].

Recently, we have pointed out [9] that in the Skyrmionic model of an electron, where it is depicted as a scalar electron moving around a vortex line which is topologically equivalent to a magnetic flux line giving rise to the spin degrees of freedom, EVBs appear as a natural consequence. The geometrodynamics of the vortex beams has been analyzed from the perspective of the geometric phase (Berry phase) [10]. When the Berry phase acquired by the scalar electron encircling the vortex line involves a quantized Dirac monopole, we have a paraxial (nonparaxial) beam with the vortex line parallel (orthogonal) to the wave front propagation direction (z axis). If the vortex line is tilted with respect to the propagation direction, the Berry phase involves a nonquantized monopole. Bessel beams in the paraxial case correspond to the situation when the polar angle of the plane wave vector with the z axis $\theta_0 \rightarrow 0$. For nonparaxial beams with tilted vortices, Bessel beams correspond to the situation when the polar angle θ_0 takes an arbitrary nonzero value. Within this framework, we have investigated the propagation of electron vortex beams in a magnetic field [11] and have also theoretically studied the OAM Hall effect and the spin Hall effect of EVBs interacting with a laser field [12]. Our motivation here is to propose a unified framework towards the dynamics of OVBs and EVBs from the perspective of the geometric phase.

To this end, we start with the note that, due to the presence of the spin vector, the localization region of a relativistic massless as well as massive particle is found to be S^3 [13,14]. Since S^3 is equivalent to SU(2) and $S^2 = [SU(2)/U(1)]$, the sphere S^3 can be constructed from the 3D compact space S^2 by Hopf fibration. The Abelian field U(1), which causes the Hopf fibration, corresponds to a monopole bundle. The monopole bundle gives rise to the spin of the system, and the magnetic flux line associated with this effectively represents a vortex line. For an electron, the monopole strength $|\mu| = \frac{1}{2}$ represents the spin of the electron. In fact, the total angular momentum of a charged particle in the field of a monopole is given by $\vec{J} = \vec{L} - \mu \hat{\vec{r}}$, where \vec{L} is the OAM and μ is the monopole charge. When the OAM is zero, the total angular momentum of the system, which is effectively the spin, is given by $|\mu|$, with $s_z = \pm \mu$. In this context, it may be added that spin degrees of freedom are represented as a SU(2) gauge

bundle. The general property of a non-Abelian gauge theory is that, when the topological θ term is introduced in the Lagrangian, a topologically nontrivial Abelian gauge field corresponding to a vortex line (magnetic flux line) arises in the configuration space [15,16]. The situation essentially gives rise to a loop space when a vortex line is enclosed by a loop. This depicts a fermion as a scalar particle encircling a magnetic flux line representing the spin degrees of freedom, which may be viewed as a Skyrmion. In this framework, the vortex associated to the U(1) field corresponding to Hopf fibration may be considered as a spin vortex. For a vector particle, such as a photon, we can treat it as a composite of two spin $\frac{1}{2}$ states, and the spin is given by 2μ with $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$. Indeed, for an anisotropic spin system with spin $S \ge 1$, the effect of the Dirac string can be avoided if we consider the spin system as a correlated system of composite one-half spins [17]. Taking advantage of this formulation, we generalize the result for the photon, a massless spin 1 particle.

The Berry phase acquired by a quantum particle while traversing a closed path encircling magnetic flux lines is given by $2\pi\mu$, μ being the corresponding monopole charge [18]. When the monopole is located at the origin of a unit sphere, the Berry phase is given by $\mu\Omega(C)$, where $\Omega(C)$ is the solid angle subtended by the closed contour at the origin and is given by

$$\Omega(C) = \int_C (1 - \cos\theta) d\phi = 2\pi (1 - \cos\theta), \qquad (1)$$

where θ is the polar angle of the vortex line measured from the quantization axis (*z* axis). In case of OVBs (EVBs), the beam field (scalar electron) orbiting around the vortex line gives rise to this Berry phase. When the beam field (scalar electron) rotating around the vortex line acquires a certain quantized OAM *l*, the corresponding total phase is given by $2\pi l + \phi_B$, ϕ_B being the Berry phase. For quantized OAM with $l \in Z$, the effective phase for such a system is ϕ_B , as the factor $2\pi l$ leads to a trivial phase. The Berry phase acquired by the beam field moving around the vortex line in an OVB is thus given by $\phi_{B(o)} = 2\pi (1 - \cos \theta)$, as $\mu = 1$ corresponds to the photon spin. The phase acquired by the scalar electron moving around the vortex line in an EVB, where the relevant $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$, is given by $\phi_{B(e)} = \pi(1 - \cos \theta)$. Transforming to the reference frame where the beam field (scalar electron) is considered to be fixed and the vortex state (spin state) moves in the field of a magnetic monopole around a closed path, $\phi_{B(o)}(\phi_{B(e)})$ corresponds to the geometric phase acquired by the vortex state. The angle θ corresponds to the deviation of the vortex line from the *z* axis. Equating $\phi_{B(o)}(\phi_{B(e)})$ with $2\pi\mu$, we find that the effective monopole charge associated with the vortex line in an OVB (EVB) with polar angle θ measured from the *z* axis is given by

$$\mu_{(o)}(\mu_{(e)}) = (1 - \cos\theta) \left(\frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos\theta)\right).$$
(2)

Indeed, when $\theta = 0$, we have paraxial beams and correspond to screw dislocation, whereas for $\theta = (\pi/2)$, we have nonparaxial beams corresponding to edge dislocation. However, for an arbitrary angle $0 < \theta < (\pi/2)$, we have nonparaxial beams which correspond to mixed screw-edge dislocation. In the latter case, these correspond to tilted vortices. In both the cases of OVBs and EVBs, the corresponding monopole charge μ is quantized for $\theta = 0$ and $(\pi/2)$, whereas for any arbitrary angle θ , with $0 < \theta < (\pi/2)$, the monopole charge is nonquantized.

For OVBs and EVBs, vortices are phase singularities which appear when the corresponding waves are superposed. In cylindrical coordinate formulation, a local vortex structure appears which is associated with the OAM. In the case of EVBs, the solution of the Dirac equation in cylindrical coordinates gives rise to Bessel beams [8].

The Dirac equation is given by $(c = \hbar = 1)$

$$i\partial_t \psi = (\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p} + \beta m)\psi, \qquad (3)$$

where $\vec{\alpha}$ and β are the 4 × 4 Dirac matrices, $\vec{p} = -i\partial_{\vec{r}}$ is the momentum operator, and *m* is the electron mass. The solution for the plane waves can be obtained using cylindrical coordinates (ρ, φ, z) in real space and $(p_{\perp}, \phi, p_{\parallel}) = (p \sin \theta, \phi, p \cos \theta)$ in momentum space. Assuming that the polarization amplitudes are the same for all the plane waves, we obtain the solution [8] as

$$\psi_{l} = \frac{\exp(i\Phi)}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1+\frac{m}{E}}w \\ \sqrt{1-\frac{m}{E}}\sigma_{z}\cos\theta_{0}w \end{pmatrix} e^{il\varphi}J_{l}(\xi) + i \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -\beta\sqrt{\Delta} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{i(l-1)\varphi}J_{l-1}(\xi) + i \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \alpha\sqrt{\Delta} \end{pmatrix} e^{i(l+1)\varphi}J_{l+1}(\xi) \right], \quad (4)$$

where $\Delta = [1 - (m/E)]\sin^2\theta_0$. Here, $p_{\perp 0} = p \sin\theta_0$, $p_{\parallel 0} = p \cos\theta_0$, θ_0 being the fixed polar angle with the *z* axis and $\Phi = (p_{\parallel 0}z - Et)$, and $\xi = p_{\perp 0}r$. The first term in the square bracket represents a Bessel beam of the order of

1

 $l, \psi_l \propto J_l(\xi) e^{i(l\varphi + \Phi)}$. The terms proportional to $\sqrt{\Delta}$ represent the spin-orbit interaction (SOI) term. The local vortex structure $e^{il\phi}$ in the wave packet is associated with a magnetic-monopole-type gauge field contributing to the

Berry connection. Indeed, considering a unit vector \vec{e} orthogonal to \vec{p} , so that under variation of \vec{p} , \vec{e} moves in the unit sphere (\vec{p}/p) , a magnetic-monopole-type connection \vec{A} is generated through the variation of \vec{p} as

$$\vec{\mathcal{A}} = \left(i(e_x - ie_y)\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{p}}(e_x + ie_y)\right).$$
(5)

Noting that $e^{il\phi} = (e_x + ie_y)^l$, we can write the OAM-dependent gauge field as $\vec{A}^{(l)} = l\vec{A}$.

For a monochromatic paraxial electromagnetic beam propagating in a smoothly inhomogeneous isotropic medium with definite values of spin angular momentum (SAM) and OAM, the beam's electric field can be described [in local cylindrical coordinate (ρ, ϕ, z)] as [19]

$$E^{\rho,l,s} = \vec{e}^s F^{p,|l|}(\rho) \exp\left[il\phi + i\int kdz\right].$$
 (6)

Here, k(z) is the central wave vector directed along the beam axis z, \vec{e}^s is the unit vector depicting the polarization of the wave with the helicity $s_z = \pm 1$, $F^{p,|l|}$ is the radial function with quantum number p = 0, 1, 2, ..., n, and l is the azimuthal quantum number with $l=0,\pm 1,\pm 2,...,\pm p$, which is the value of the intrinsic orbital angular momentum. The expression is valid in the diffractionless approximation. The variation of the ray direction \vec{k} gives rise to a parallel transport law. Indeed, the spin-related Berry connection in momentum space associated with the monopole may be represented as

$$\vec{\mathcal{A}}^{(s)} = i\vec{e}^{s^{\dagger}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\vec{k}}\vec{e}^{s},\tag{7}$$

with $s = 2\mu$ and $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$. The Berry connection is associated with the monopole bundle which essentially gives rise to the spin degrees of freedom. However, for OAM, the Berry connection is also of magnetic monopole type and is realized when we take resort to the local cylindrical coordinates. The OAM-related Berry connection is represented as

$$\vec{\mathcal{A}}^{(l)} = i \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-il\phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{k}} e^{il\phi} = l \vec{\mathcal{A}}.$$
(8)

For paraxial beams in both EVBs and OVBs as $\theta = 0$, we have a vanishing Berry phase and there is no SOI. There is an OAM Hall effect due to the Berry connection associated with the local vortex structure $e^{il\phi}$. Indeed, for EVBs in an external electric field, electrons are accelerated, and the anomalous velocity caused by the Berry curvature associated with the connection $\vec{\mathcal{A}}^{(l)}$ is given by

$$\vec{v} = \dot{\vec{p}} \times \vec{\Omega}^{(l)}(\vec{p}) = l\dot{\vec{p}} \times \frac{\vec{p}}{p^3}.$$
(9)

Here, the dot denotes the derivative with respect to time. This leads to the OAM Hall effect in EVBs. In the OAM Hall effect, we have splitting of beams with opposite values of l giving rise to the transverse current of OAM. A similar

situation arises for paraxial beams (monochromatic vortex waves) in OVBs in a smooth inhomogeneous medium when the Berry curvature is related to the connection $\vec{\mathcal{A}}^{(l)}$. In an inhomogeneous medium with refractive index $n(\vec{r})$, we have

$$\dot{\vec{k}} = k \vec{\nabla} \ln n, \dot{\vec{r}} = \frac{\vec{k}}{|k|} + \dot{\vec{k}} \times \vec{\Omega}^l(k), \tag{10}$$

with $\vec{\Omega}^{l}(k) = l(\vec{k}/k^{3})$. Here, the dot denotes the derivative with respect to *z*. This leads to the ray deflection $\delta \vec{r} = \int \vec{\Omega}^{l}(\vec{k}) \times d\vec{k}$ in the paraxial case and corresponds to the OAM Hall effect. However, for OVBs and EVBs in the paraxial regime, there is no contribution from the spinrelated Berry curvature. This is evident from the expressions of $\phi_{B(o)}$ and $\phi_{B(e)}$ that show the vanishing of the relevant Berry phases for both cases.

The nonparaxial OVBs and EVBs with tilted vortices involve SOI and nonquantized monopole charge that realizes the spin Hall effect. It is known [17,20] that the monopole charge μ , dependent on a certain parameter λ , in 3 + 1 dimensions undergoes the renormalization group (RG) flow with the properties (i) μ is stationary at some fixed points λ^* of the RG flow, where $\mu(\lambda^*)$ is equal to the monopole charge μ having quantized values $0, \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm 1, ...;$ (ii) μ decreases along the RG flow as $L(\partial \mu/\partial L) \leq 0$, where L is a length scale [17,20]. Transforming the length scale to the time scale by L = ct, a time-dependent monopole charge $\tilde{\mu}(t)$ is accomplished that is nonquantized. Both EVBs and OVBs with tilted vortices are characterized by $\tilde{\mu}(t)$, which is the monopole charge associated with the corresponding vortex line.

In the case of EVBs, the time dependence of the associated gauge field generates an electric field. As a result, the electrons are accelerated, giving rise to an anomalous velocity. Indeed, we can introduce a noninertial coordinate frame with basis vector (f, \vec{w}, \vec{u}) , attached to the local direction of momentum $\vec{u} = (\vec{p}/p)$. This coordinate frame rotates as \vec{p} varies with time. Such a rotation with respect to a motionless (laboratory) coordinate frame describes a precession of the triad $(\vec{f}, \vec{w}, \vec{u})$, with some angular velocity. Let us restrict the direction of the vortex line at any instant of time as the local z axis representing the direction of propagation of the wave front. This corresponds to the paraxial beam in the local frame such that the local value of $\tilde{\mu}$ is changed and attains the quantized value $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$, which follows from the precession of the spin vector. In the local noninertial frame, this corresponds to the pseudospin. The pseudospin vector is parallel to the momentum vector \vec{p} . Now an anomalous velocity \vec{v}_a will arise due to the Berry curvature $\vec{\Omega}^{s}(\vec{p}) = \mu(\vec{p}/p^{3})$, and we write

$$\vec{v}_a = \mu \dot{\vec{p}} \times \frac{\vec{p}}{p^3}.$$
 (11)

The anomalous velocity is perpendicular to the pseudospin vector and points along the opposite directions depending

on the chirality $s_z = +\frac{1}{2} (-\frac{1}{2})$ corresponding to $\mu > 0$ (< 0). This gives rise to a spin Hall effect. \vec{v}_a in Eq. (11) can be rewritten in terms of the unit vector $\vec{u} = (\vec{p}/p)$ and its time derivative $\dot{\vec{u}}$ as $\vec{v}_a = \mu \vec{u} \times \vec{u}$. Denoting $(\dot{\vec{u}}/|\dot{\vec{u}}|) = \vec{n}$, we note that the spin current is orthogonal to the local plane (\vec{u}, \vec{n}) and the spin Hall effect can be understood as a Coriolis-type transverse deflection and represents a real deflection of the wave trajectory [9].

A similar situation arises for OVBs in a smooth inhomogeneous medium. The OVBs with tilted vortices give rise to a spin Hall effect of light or an optical Magnus effect [21]. In an inhomogeneous medium, with refractive index $n(\mathbf{r})$, we have

$$\dot{\vec{k}} = k\vec{\nabla}\ln n, \qquad \dot{\vec{r}} = \frac{\dot{k}}{k} + \dot{\vec{k}} \times \vec{\Omega}^{s}(k), \qquad (12)$$

with $\vec{\Omega}^{s}(\vec{k}) = (2\mu)(\vec{k}/k^{3})$. Here, the dot denotes the derivative with respect to z. $\vec{\Omega}^{s}(\vec{k})$ is the Berry curvature $(2\mu)(\vec{k}/k^{3})$, 2μ corresponding to the helicity +1 or -1 depending on $\mu > 0$ ($\mu < 0$). The second term in the equation for $\dot{\vec{r}}$ corresponds to the transverse deflection which gives rise to the spin Hall effect. This essentially leads to the ray deflection given by $\delta \vec{r} = \int \vec{\Omega}^{s}(\vec{k}) \times d\vec{k}$ in the nonparaxial case.

This spin Hall effect basically corresponds to a polarization-dependent trajectory perturbation. Since for the nonparaxial beams with tilted vortices the OAM vector is noncollinear with the momentum vector [3], there will be no contribution from the OAM-related Berry curvature $\vec{\Omega}^l$ for both the cases of OVBs and EVBs.

The SOI involved in the nonparaxial beams modifies the OAM (\vec{L}) and SAM (\vec{S}) of the EVBs and OVBs as well. Introducing the mapping $\vec{L} \rightarrow l\hat{z}, \vec{S} \rightarrow s\hat{z}$, we note that, for EVBs with tilted vortices which incorporate nonquantized monopole charge $\tilde{\mu}$, the expectation values of \vec{L} and \vec{S} are modified as [9]

$$\langle \vec{\tilde{L}} \rangle = (l - \tilde{\mu})\hat{\vec{z}}, \qquad \langle \vec{\tilde{S}} \rangle = (s + \tilde{\mu})\hat{\vec{z}}, \qquad (13)$$

which follows from the relation $\tilde{L} + \tilde{S} = \vec{L} + \vec{S}$. Thus, for EVBs with tilted vortices just like a pseudospin \vec{S} , an OAM is generated denoted by \vec{L} , which can take any arbitrary fractional value. The third component of the pseudospin can take any arbitrary value between $-\frac{1}{2}$ and $+\frac{1}{2}$, and as such for fractional OAM, apart from the integer part *l*, the fractional component $\tilde{\mu}$ lies between 0 and 1.

For OVBs, the expectation value of the spin vector is given by $\langle \vec{S} \rangle = \langle \psi | \vec{\sigma} | \psi \rangle$ and $\vec{S}^2 = 1$. For tilted vortices the SOI will induce a change in the expectation value of SAM as well as of OAM, and the modified pseudospin $\langle \tilde{\vec{S}} \rangle$ and OAM $\langle \tilde{\vec{L}} \rangle$ are given by

$$\langle \tilde{\vec{S}} \rangle = (s - 2\tilde{\mu}), \qquad \langle \tilde{\vec{L}} \rangle = (l + 2\tilde{\mu}).$$
 (14)

It is noted that the third component of the pseudospin can take any arbitrary value between +1 and -1. The OAM can take any arbitrary fractional value such that, apart from the integer part, the fractional component lies between 0 and 1.

A quantum mechanical formulation of fractional OAM has already been developed [22]. Unlike the integer OAM states, the fractional OAM states require the introduction of an additional parameter denoting the orientation of the phase discontinuity. In fact, as these states involve nonquantized monopoles, the wave function becomes multivalued. This can be rendered a single-valued function by introducing a branch cut, the position of which is taken to be the position of the discontinuity [22]. The functional time dependence of the nonquantized monopole charge, which is evident from the RG flow, implies that the beams with fractional OAM will be unstable on propagation. Stability can be attained if the effect of the Gouy phase associated with the superposition of Laguerre-Gaussian modes generating OVBs can be regulated [22]. A similar situation may be developed for the propagation of EVBs in an external magnetic field [11]. The vortex structure of light emerging from a fractional phase step is characterized by a chain of alternating vortices such that every pair of it forms a hairpin when the vortices converge at a common turning point [23,24]. In the present formalism, the fractional OAM is generated when the relevant Berry phase involves a nonquantized monopole, and we have to consider the effect of the Dirac string. Indeed, this requires the introduction of a topological term in the Berry phase apart from the usual geometric component [25]. However, the effect of Dirac string can be avoided when we consider the entangled pairs of spins in a spin system [17]. Since the vortices in OVBs and EVBs are considered as spin vortices, entangled pairs of vortices may be viewed as entangled pairs of spins. The dynamics of the EVBs and OVBs can be studied as a model of a spin system, and the entangled pair of spins may represent a pair of entangled vortices. One can easily argue that the entangled pair of tilted vortices involves alternating vortices and forms the structure of a hairpin. Conclusively, the vortex structure of OVBs and EVBs with fractional OAM can thus be characterized by a chain of pairs of alternating vortices forming the shape of hairpins. The study of fractional OAM in the context of two-photon entanglement [26] may inspire one to study the similar features in two electron entangled states.

The advancement of experiments [27] on vortex beams and their wide range of applications [7,27–29] mark the significance of a unified theory for the dynamics of OVBs and EVBs. The vortex beams carrying OAM can be produced by spiral phase plates and various other devices [27]. The spiral phase plates with noninteger phase steps [30] as well as special holograms [31] have been used to generate light beams with fractional OAM. Moreover, spatial light modulators or lasers emitting helical Laguerre-Gaussian modes can be used to produce OVBs [7]. The OAM carried by the optical beam field helps it to trap and rotate colloid particles and living cells and to act as an *optical spanner* which can be used in various applications [28,29]. Individual photons carrying OAM make it possible to be used in the theory of quantum information processing [28]. EVBs can be produced by making a versatile holographic reconstruction technique in a transmission electron microscope [7]. Electron vortices are used for the magnetic state mapping [7]. This key idea becomes very useful for condensed matter physics and material science, as it bridges atomic scale resolution with the local magnetization inside samples and also plays a decisive role in the context of spintronics device applications. Both OVBs and EVBs have been used to observe the effect of dynamic electron correlations in the interaction of atoms and molecules [29].

Recently, apart from the EVBs and OVBs, acoustic vortex beams have been studied in paraxial and nonparaxial regimes [32-36]. Acoustic vortex beams (AVBs) with an extra azimuthal phase dependence carry orbital angular momentum and have a helicoidal wave front [32,35]. The similarity between the linearized elasticity equations and Maxwell equations facilitates mapping polarization phenomena in optics into transverse acoustic waves [36]. AVBs were analyzed beyond the paraxial approximation in Ref. [35] to clarify an analogy with optical vortex beams. The Hamiltonian of the transverse acoustic waves contains a term which can be viewed as the SOI of phonons [36]. Like electrons and photons, the SOI of phonons is caused by the Berry gauge potential describing parallel transport in momentum space [36]. The Berry connection is related to the oppositely charged magnetic monopoles located at the origin in momentum space corresponding to the phonons with opposite helicities. This leads to the transport of angular momentum of phonons analogous to the spin Hall effect. The indication of this analogy encourages one to study in detail the dynamics of AVBs in the framework of the geometric phase.

In summary, we have analyzed the dynamics of optical and electron vortex beams from the viewpoint of the geometric phase in a unified way where the OAM Hall effect and the spin Hall effect play a crucial role.

Retired.

b_pratul@yahoo.co.in

[†]sribbasu@gmail.com

[‡]Present address: Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur 208016, India. debashreephys@gmail.com

- [1] J. F. Nye and M. V. Berry, Proc. R. Soc. A 336, 165 (1974).
- [2] L. Allen, M. W. Beijersbergen, R. J. C. Spreeuw, and J. P. Woerdman, Phys. Rev. A 45, 8185 (1992).
- [3] K. Y. Bliokh and F. Nori, Phys. Rev. A 86, 033824 (2012).
- [4] M. V. Berry and M. R. Dennis, Proc. R. Soc. A 457, 2251 (2001); J. Leach, M. R. Dennis, and M. J. Padget, Nature (London) 432, 165 (2004); L. Leach, M. R. Dennis, J. Courtial, and M. J. Padget, New J. Phys. 7, 55 (2005).

- [5] K. Y. Bliokh, Y. P. Bliokh, S. Savelev, and F. Nori, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 190404 (2007).
- [6] M. Uchida and A. Tonomura, Nature (London) 464, 737 (2010); B. J. McMorran, A. Agrawal, I. M. Anderson, A. A. Herzing, H. J. Lezec, J. J. McClelland, and J. Unguris, Science 331, 192 (2011).
- [7] J. Verbeeck, H. Tian, and P. Schattschneider, Nature (London) 467, 301 (2010).
- [8] K. Y. Bliokh, M. R. Dennis, and F. Nori, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 174802 (2011).
- [9] P. Bandyopadhyay, B. Basu, and D. Chowdhury, Proc. R. Soc. A 470, 20130525 (2014).
- [10] M. V. Berry, Proc. R. Soc. A 392, 45 (1984).
- [11] D. Chowdhury, B. Basu, and P. Bandyopadhyay, Phys. Rev. A 91, 033812 (2015).
- [12] P. Bandyopadhyay, B. Basu, and D. Chowdhury, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 194801 (2015).
- [13] F. Bayen and J. Niederlie, Czech. J. Phys. 31, 1317 (1981).
- [14] P. Bandyopadhyay, Int. J. Theor. Phys., 26, 131 (1987); *Geometry, Topology and Quantization* (Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1996).
- [15] Y.S. Wu and A. Zee, Nucl. Phys. B258, 157 (1985).
- [16] K. Sen and P. Bandyopadhyay, J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.) 35, 2270 (1994).
- [17] P. Bandyopadhyay, Proc. R. Soc. A 467, 427 (2011).
- [18] D. Banerjee and P. Bandyopadhyay, J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.) 33, 990 (1992).
- [19] K. Y. Bliokh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 043901 (2006).
- [20] P. Bandyopadhyay, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 15, 1415 (2000).
- [21] K. Y. Bliokh, J. Opt. A 11, 094009 (2009).
- [22] J. B. Götte, S. Franke-Arnold, R. Zambrini, and S. M. Barnett, J. Mod. Opt. 54, 1723 (2007); J. B. Götte, K. O'Holleran, D. Preece, F. Flossmann, S. Franke-Arnold, S. M. Barnett, and M. J. Padgett, Opt. Express 16, 993 (2008).
- [23] M. V. Berry, J. Opt. A 6, 259 (2004).
- [24] J. I. Leach, F. Yao, and M. J. Padgett, New J. Phys. 6, 71 (2004); K. O'Holleran, M. R. Dennis, and M. J. Padgett, J. Eur. Opt. Soc. 1, 06008 (2006).
- [25] P. Bruno, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 247202 (2004).
- [26] S. S. R. Oemrawsingh, A. Aiello, E. R. Eliel, G. Nienhuis, and J. P. Woerdman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 217901 (2004); G. F. Calvo, A. Picon, and A. Bramon, Phys. Rev. A 75, 012319 (2007).
- [27] F. Yao and M. J. Padgett, Adv. Opt. Photonics 3, 161 (2011).
- [28] Molina Terriza, J. P. Torres, and L. Torner, Nat. Phys. 3, 305 (2007).
- [29] L. Kaplan and J. H. McGuire, Phys. Rev. A 92, 032702 (2015).
- [30] M. W. Beijersbergen, R. P. C. Coerwinkel, M. Kristensen, and J. P. Woerdman, Opt. Commun. **112**, 321 (1994).
- [31] V. Y. Bazhenov, M. V. Vasnetsov, and M. S. Soskin, JETP Lett. 52, 429 (1990).
- [32] B. T. Hefner and P. L. Marston, J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 106, 3313 (1999).
- [33] Christine E. M. Demore, Z. Yang, A. Volovick, S. Cochran, M. P. MacDonald, and G. C. Spalding, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 194301 (2012).
- [34] D. Baresch, J.-L. Thomas, and R. Marchiano, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 024301 (2016).
- [35] L. Zhang and P. L. Marston, Phys. Rev. E 84, 065601(R) (2011).
- [36] K. Yu. Bliokh and V. D. Freilikher, Phys. Rev. B 74, 174302 (2006).