Beyond the Horizon Distance: LIGO-Virgo can Boost Gravitational-Wave Detection Rates by Exploiting the Mass Distribution of Neutron Stars

I. Bartos^{1,2[,*](#page-4-0)} and S. Márka^{1,2}

¹Department of Physics, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, USA
²Columbia Astrophysics Laboratory, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027 2 Columbia Astrophysics Laboratory, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, USA (Received 31 August 2015; published 2 December 2015)

The masses of neutron stars in neutron star binaries are observed to fall in a narrow mass range around \sim 1.33 M_{\odot} . We explore the advantage of focusing on this region of the parameter space in gravitationalwave searches. We find that an all-sky (externally triggered) search with an optimally reduced template bank is expected to detect 14% (61%) more binary mergers than without the reduction. A reduced template bank can also represent significant improvement in technical cost. We also develop a more detailed search method using binary mass distribution, and find a sensitivity increase similar to that due to the reduced template bank.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.231101](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.231101) PACS numbers: 04.80.Nn, 95.85.Sz, 97.60.Jd

Binary neutron star (NS) mergers represent one of the most promising source types for gravitational-wave (GW) detection [\[1,2\].](#page-4-1) With the recent onset of observations with the advanced LIGO detectors [\[3\]](#page-4-2) and with advanced Virgo and KAGRA in the near future [\[4,5\]](#page-4-3), the first detections are expected within the next few years [\[1\].](#page-4-1)

Current searches for compact binary mergers aim to cover virtually the full plausible binary parameter space [\[2\]](#page-4-4). The strategy is also motivated by the fact that search sensitivity is considered to be only weakly dependent on the extent of the covered parameter space [\[6\].](#page-4-5)

The primary search method for GWs from compact binary mergers is the use of matched filters [\[2,6\]](#page-4-4). This method correlates the known signal waveform, called the template, with the data to identify a GW signal. For given binary parameters, the signal waveform can be calculated to high precision. The binary parameter space is then covered by using a large number of templates, called the template bank, such that the search sensitivity is sufficiently close to optimal within the considered parameter space (e.g., Ref. [\[7\]](#page-4-6)).

The properties of NS binaries are increasingly constrained due to the growing number of observed binaries. These observations suggest that the mass of a NS within NS binaries is within a surprisingly small range around $∼1.33M_©$, much smaller than the allowed NS mass range from ~1 M_{\odot} to $\lesssim 3M_{\odot}$, or even the mass range of a NS in NS–white dwarf binaries [\[8\]](#page-4-7).

In this Letter we investigate the effect of the physically motivated reduction of the template bank on search sensitivity. We consider (i) blind, so-called all-sky searches (e.g., Ref. [\[9\]](#page-4-8)) in which only GW data are utilized, as well as (ii) so-called externally triggered searches (e.g., Ref. [\[10\]\)](#page-4-9), in which the electromagnetic or other detection of the binary merger aids the GW search. After discussing the dependence of the search sensitivity on the size of the template bank, we optimize the sensitivity as a function of the confidence region of the NS masses in the binary for the two search strategies. Finally, we develop a more detailed search that incorporates a ranking statistic for the templates based on the expected mass distribution of NS binaries. We calculate the advantage of such a search over a baseline search that uniformly weights templates.

Sensitivity dependence on template bank.—Let $h(f)$ be the known gravitational waveform and $S_f(f)$ be the power spectral density of strain noise in the detector. The signalto-noise ratio (SNR) of a matched-filter-based search is [\[6\]](#page-4-5)

$$
\rho^2 = \left(\frac{S}{N}\right)_{\text{matched}}^2 = 4 \int_0^\infty \frac{|\tilde{h}(f)|^2}{S_f(f)} df. \tag{1}
$$

Assuming the simple case of a single GW detector with stationary Gaussian noise, a detection can be claimed when the matched filter SNR exceeds a threshold ρ_{th} . This threshold depends on, among others, the trial factor $\mathcal{N}_{\text{trial}}$ associated with the search. For Gaussian noise, the threshold satisfies [\[6\]](#page-4-5)

$$
\text{erfc}(\rho_{\text{th}}/\sqrt{2}) \approx \frac{\text{FAP}}{\mathcal{N}_{\text{trial}}},\tag{2}
$$

where FAP is the false alarm probability of the search. LIGO typically considers $\rho_{\text{th}} = 8$ in a single detector as a detection threshold [\[1\]](#page-4-1). We adopt this value in the following.

The trial factor \mathcal{N}_{trial} depends on the number $\mathcal{N}_{template}$ of templates used, along with the number \mathcal{N}_t of independent starting times that are considered [\[6\]](#page-4-5), and for analyses with multiple detectors, the number \mathcal{N}_{Ω} of independent source directions the search considers. Using the fact that $\mathcal{N}_t \propto t_{\text{obs}}$, where t_{obs} is the observation duration, and $\mathcal{N}_{\Omega} \propto \Omega$, where Ω is the allowed sky region, the trial factor satisfies

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\text{trial}} \propto \mathcal{N}_{\text{templates}} t_{\text{obs}} \Omega. \tag{3}
$$

We see that changing the size of the template bank changes $\mathcal{N}_{\text{trial}}$, which in turn will change the search sensitivity through ρ_{th} .

Besides the effect of the template bank, we also see that $t_{\rm obs}$ and Ω similarly affect search sensitivity, which is relevant for externally triggered searches.

We now derive a formula to quantify the change in sensitivity due to varying the search parameters. Let our baseline search have $\mathcal{N}_{\text{templates}}^{(0)}$ templates, have a $t_{\text{obs}}^{(0)}$ observation time, include a $\Omega^{(0)}$ sky area, and have a ρ_0 detection threshold. We want to determine the new threshold ρ_1 if we change the parameters to $\mathcal{N}_{\text{templates}}^{(1)}$, $t_{\text{obs}}^{(1)}$, and $\Omega^{(1)}$, respectively. We can use Eq. [\(2\)](#page-0-0), which yields, to a good approximation, $\rho_{\text{th}} \approx \sqrt{2 \ln(\mathcal{N}_{\text{trial}}/\text{FAP})}$ [\[6\]](#page-4-5). With this we obtain this, we obtain

$$
\rho_1 \approx \sqrt{2 \ln \left[\exp\left(\frac{\rho_0^2}{2}\right) \frac{\mathcal{N}_{\text{templates}}^{(1)} t_{\text{obs}}^{(1)} \Omega^{(1)}}{\mathcal{N}_{\text{templates}}^{(0)} t_{\text{obs}}^{(0)} \Omega^{(0)}} \right]}.
$$
 (4)

Utilizing neutron star mass distribution.—The masses of NSs within observed NS binaries fall in a surprisingly small range. While the maximum allowed NS mass is above $2M_{\odot}$ [\[11\]](#page-4-10), NS masses in NS binaries are closely clustered around 1.33 M_{\odot} . Kiziltan *et al.* [\[8\]](#page-4-7) use the observed masses and their uncertainties in a statistical model to find an empirical NS mass m distribution

$$
P_{\rm NS}(m) = 2\phi \left(\frac{m-\mu}{\sigma}\right) \Phi \left(\frac{(m-\mu)\alpha}{\sigma}\right),\tag{5}
$$

where $\phi(x)$ and $\Phi(x)$ are the standard normal density and cumulative density functions, respectively. For NS binaries, Kiziltan *et al.* find $\mu = 1.33$, $\sigma = 0.11$, and $\alpha = -0.03$. We adopt this empirical NS mass distribution in the following. We conservatively assume that the two NS masses in the binary are independent.

We utilize the NS mass probability distribution by using only those templates in a matched-filter-based search that are more likely to be observed from astrophysical sources. This way, we can significantly reduce the size of the template bank with modest reduction of the fraction f_{NS} of NS binaries whose masses are covered by the template bank. Let Y_{NS} be the region in the 2D mass parameter space that is included in the analysis. For any f_{NS} , we can define Y_{NS} such that (i) a template that is $\in Y_{\text{NS}}$ is at least as likely to correspond to a detected NS binary as any template that is $\notin Y_{\text{NS}}$, and (ii) the fraction of NS binaries that fall within $Y_{\rm NS}$ is $f_{\rm NS}$.

Sensitivity improvement.—The most meaningful quantity to compare searches with is the detection rate \mathcal{R} , which can be written as

$$
\mathcal{R} = \frac{4}{3} \pi \rho_{\text{th}}^{-3} f_{\text{NS}} f_b^{-1} f_{\text{GW}}.
$$
 (6)

Here, f_b is the beaming factor of the emission corresponding to the external trigger, which reduces the number of observed sources [\[12\];](#page-4-11) for all-sky searches, we can take $f_b = 1$. For externally triggered searches, the direction of the external trigger can be correlated with the weakly direction-dependent GW emission. For triggers such as gamma-ray bursts (GRBs), which are aligned with the orbital axis of the binary merger, for $f_b \gg 1$ we can approximate $f_{GW} \approx 1.5$ [\[12\],](#page-4-11) which is the ratio of the GW strain amplitude in the direction of the orbital axis compared to the directionally averaged strain amplitude.

To estimate the sensitivity improvement in different search scenarios, we adopt the template bank used by initial LIGO-Virgo in Ref. [\[9\],](#page-4-8) which is representative of what will be used for advanced LIGO-Virgo searches [\[2\]](#page-4-4) (see Fig. [1](#page-1-0) for the distribution of templates for the mass regime $\leq 2M_{\odot}$). The templates are distributed such that the loss in SNR due to the discreteness of the template bank is less than 3%, but otherwise cover the parameter space for masses $\geq 1 M_{\odot}$. To demonstrate the role of the size of the template bank for binary NS searches, we focus on the part of the bank for which both masses in the binary are $< 3M_{\odot}$. This is the parameter range planned to be used for binary NS searches with advanced LIGO [\[2\]](#page-4-4). The part of the template bank below 3 M_{\odot} includes ~45 000 templates. We denote the detection rate of this baseline search with $\mathcal{R}_{\text{allsky}}$.

FIG. 1 (color online). Distribution of GW binary templates in the parameter space of the masses of the two NSs in the binary. Circles represent 92% (smaller) and 99% (bigger) mass confidence regions based on the empirical binary NS mass distribution of Ref. [\[8\]](#page-4-7). Templates within the bigger circle $(orange + blue)$ correspond to the optimally reduced all-sky search. Templates within the smaller circle (blue) correspond to the optimally reduced externally triggered search.

We first consider all-sky observations. We assume a $t_{obs} = 1$ yr observation time, and $\Omega = 4\pi$. The baseline search, i.e., the one without taking advantage of the NS mass distribution, will use the full template bank below 3 M_{\odot} . We take a detection threshold of $\rho_{\text{allsky}} = 8$ [\[1\]](#page-4-1). Compared to this baseline, an all-sky search using a constrained binary NS parameter space will yield a detection threshold $\rho_{\text{allsky,NS}} < \rho_{\text{allsky}}$ due to the reduced template bank. The detection rate for the constrained parameter space, normalized by the detection rate for the baseline allsky search, is shown in Fig. [2](#page-2-0) as a function of the NS confidence level f_{NS} . We select the f_{NS} value that maximizes the detection rate $\mathcal{R}_{\text{allsky,NS}}$. The corresponding value is $f_{\text{NS,allsky}} = 0.99$, with $\rho_{\text{allsky,NS}} = 7.6$ and rate $\mathcal{R}_{\text{allsky,NS}}/\mathcal{R}_{\text{allsky}} = 1.14$. The constrained parameter space and the corresponding template distribution are shown in Fig. [1](#page-1-0).

We next look at externally triggered searches. External triggers can significantly boost search sensitivity by decreasing the time window and sky area in which GWs need to be searched for. Similarly to Chen and Holz [\[13\]](#page-4-12), we consider $t_{obs} = 10$ s, corresponding to the approximate GW search time window for one sufficiently nearby GRB detected, and $\Omega = 100 \text{ deg}^2$, an approximate sky area corresponding to a known signal direction, taking into account the directional precision of GW searches. For the baseline externally triggered search, we do not take advantage of the NS mass distribution, and use the full template bank below $3M_{\odot}$. Using Eq. [\(4\),](#page-1-1) we find that the detection threshold of this baseline search is $\rho_{\text{extrig}} = 4.7$. We now obtain the detection rate improvement for the case with constrained NS parameter space. Similarly to the all-sky case, we select the f_{NS} value that maximizes the detection rate $\mathcal{R}_{\text{extrig,NS}}$. The corresponding value is $f_{\text{NS,extrig}} = 0.92$, with $\rho_{\text{extrig,NS}} = 3.9$ and rate

FIG. 2 (color online). Improvement of detection rate using the constrained NS mass parameter space over the baseline search with no constraints. Results are shown for all-sky (solid) and externally triggered (dashed) searches, as functions of the fraction f_{NS} of the NS mass parameter space included in the template bank.

 $\mathcal{R}_{\text{extrig,NS}}/\mathcal{R}_{\text{extrig}} = 1.61$, a significant improvement over the baseline externally triggered case. The constrained parameter space and the corresponding template distribution are shown in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0)

We now explore the difference between detection rates for the all-sky and externally triggered cases. This difference depends on the beaming factor $f_b = [1 - \cos(\theta)]^{-1}$,
where θ is the opening angle. In general externally where θ is the opening angle. In general, externally triggered searches benefit from increased sensitivity, while all-sky searches are advantageous as only a fraction of events will have external triggers due to beaming. Using Eq. [\(6\),](#page-1-2) we find that, for the baseline case, $\mathcal{R}_{\text{extrig}}/\mathcal{R}_{\text{allsky}} =$ 0.25 and 2.24 for $\theta = 10^{\circ}$ and 30°, respectively. The two baseline searches are expected to detect the same number of binaries for $\theta = 20^{\circ}$. For the constrained searches, $\mathcal{R}_{\text{extrig,NS}}/\mathcal{R}_{\text{allsky,NS}} = 0.36$ and 3.18 for $\theta = 10^{\circ}$ and 30°, respectively, significantly higher than for the baseline case. The constrained externally triggered search is expected to do as well as the constrained all-sky search for $\theta = 17^{\circ}$. This means that for the typically expected short-GRB opening angles of $< 10^{\circ}$ [\[14\],](#page-4-13) the majority of the detected events will come from all-sky searches.

Search method incorporating NS mass distribution.—To gain a more detailed picture of the advantages of utilizing the binary NS mass distribution in GW searches, we calculate the increased sensitivity of a likelihood-ratio test that incorporates mass information (for likelihood-based methods and their benefits, see Refs. [15–[20\]\)](#page-4-14). We define our test statistic as

$$
\mathcal{L} = \frac{P(m_1, m_2 | \text{signal}) P(\rho | m_1, m_2, \text{signal})}{P(m_1, m_2 | \text{noise}) P(\rho | m_1, m_2, \text{noise})},
$$
(7)

where m_1 and m_2 are the masses of the two NSs in the binary, and $P((\cdots|\text{signal}/(\text{noise}))$ is the conditional probability for the signal (noise) hypothesis. Here, $P(m_1, m_2 | \cdots)$ is the probability corresponding to the template with masses m_1 and m_2 , i.e., it is not a probability density.

For simplicity, we assume $P(m_1, m_2 | noise) = \text{const}$, and that the probability distribution of ρ is mass independent: $P(\rho|m_1,m_2,\text{noise})=P(\rho|\text{noise})$ and $P(\rho|m_1,m_2,\text{signal})=$ $P(\rho | \text{signal})$. Note that $P(m_1, m_2 | \cdots)$ considered here is the probability of a specific template defined by m_1 and m_2 , i.e., not a probability density.

Adopting a uniform binary NS distribution in the local universe yields $P(\rho | signal) \propto \rho^{-4}$. Considering a Gaussian noise model, we get $P(\rho|\text{noise}) \propto \exp(-\rho^2/2)$. With these assumptions, we arrive at

$$
\mathcal{L} = P(m_1, m_2 | \text{signal}) \rho^{-4} e^{\rho^2/2},\tag{8}
$$

where we omitted a constant factor that is irrelevant for the statistic.

We consider three different models for $P(m_1, m_2 | signal)$: a baseline model that assigns no weight to the specific ${m_1, m_2}$ values— $P(m_1, m_2|$ signal) $|_{\text{baseline}} = \text{const}, \text{ a}$ model with a cutoff in the allowed mass parameter space, in line with our discussion above—for this model, $P(m_1, m_2|\text{signal})|_{\text{cutoff}} = \text{const} \text{ for } [(m_1 - \mu)^2 + (m_2 - \mu)^2]$
3 σ and − 0 otherwise and a third weighted mode $1^{1/2}$ 3σ and $= 0$ otherwise, and a third, weighted model, in which we fully make use of the expected mass distribution by assigning the appropriate weight from Eq. [\(5\)](#page-1-3)— $P(m_1, m_2 |$ signal) $|_{weighted} = P_{NS}(m_1) P_{NS}(m_2)$ (see, e.g., Ref. [\[18\]](#page-4-15) for the context and motivation of the weighted signal priors).

We are interested in calculating the sensitivity of the different models as functions of FAP. For this, we define FAP as the probability of obtaining $\mathcal L$ greater than a threshold value \mathcal{L}_{th} during the observation period for any of the templates: FAP≡ $P_{obs}(\mathcal{L} \geq \mathcal{L}_{th}|\text{noise})$. Instead of \mathcal{L}_{th} , we can also equivalently specify the threshold as a SNR threshold ρ_{th} using Eq. [\(8\)](#page-2-1)

$$
\text{FAP} \propto \sum_{i} \int_{\rho_{\text{th},i}}^{\infty} P(\rho | \text{noise}) d\rho = \sum_{i} [1 - \Phi(\rho_{\text{th},i})], \quad (9)
$$

where $\rho_{\text{th},i}$ is the threshold value of the *i*th template such that $\mathcal{L}(m_{1,i}, m_{2,i}, \rho_{th,i}) = \mathcal{L}_{th}$, the sum is over all templates in the bank, and Φ is the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal distribution.

To calculate the search sensitivity as a function of FAP, we calculate the expected rate of detected NS binaries. We consider a binary detected if its SNR is $> \rho_{th}(m_1, m_2)$, where we note that the threshold depends on the binary masses. For uniform source distribution, the expected rate of detected binaries is $\propto \rho_{\text{th}}^{-3}$. For simplicity, we neglect the effect of decreased sensitivity due to binary masses being off of templates, and consider that the template with the closest masses to those of the binary will be used. We define distance with respect to mass with $(\Delta m_1^2 + \Delta m_2^2)^{1/2}$.
Hsing this definition for template *i* we determine the area Using this definition, for template i we determine the area A_i within the mass parameter space that is closest to template i. Assuming that, for a given binary merger, its SNR and the closest template can be accurately recovered, the total detected rate can be expressed as

$$
\mathcal{R} \propto \sum_{i} \rho_{\text{th},i}^{-3} P(m_{1,i}, m_{2,i} | \text{signal}) A_i.
$$
 (10)

Using Eqs. [\(9\)](#page-3-0) and [\(10\)](#page-3-1), we can calculate the expected detection rate as a function of FAP for each of the considered search models. The results are shown in Fig. [3](#page-3-2).

To compare the sensitivity of different search models, we select FAP₀ that corresponds to $\rho_{\text{th}} = 8$ for the baseline allsky search, the typical value used by LIGO searches [\[1\]](#page-4-1), and the one considered above for the effect of template bank reduction. Note that ρ_{th} is identical for all templates for the baseline search. We calculate the corresponding ρ_{th}

FIG. 3 (color online). Expected detection rate as a function of the false alarm rate for different all-sky and externally triggered search models using the likelihood-ratio test statistic, normalized to the baseline method.

for externally triggered searches similarly to the analysis of the effect of reduced template banks above. The results in Fig. [3](#page-3-2) are shown such that FAP values are normalized by $FAP₀$, and the expected detection rates are normalized by the detection rates \mathcal{R}_0 corresponding to those of the baseline searches. This allows for the quantification of the advantage of utilizing the expected NS mass distribution in the test statistic.

The "cutoff" model comparison represents the same improvement as the reduced-template-bank comparison above. We find that the expected detection rate for the cutoff model improves by ∼12% and ∼35% for the all-sky and externally triggered cases compared to the baseline, respectively. For the "weighted" model, we see improvements of ∼17% and ∼68% for the two cases, respectively.

Conclusion.—We explored the increase in the detection rate of GWs from binary NS mergers due to reducing the search template bank based on the expected mass distribution of NSs in binaries. We found an increase of 14% for all-sky searches, and 61% for an externally triggered search. The higher increase for the externally triggered case was expected since in that case the template bank is a more significant contributor to the search trial factor. For both the all-sky and externally triggered cases, the optimal detection strategy includes templates that cover over 90% of the expected binary NS mergers; therefore, increasing the detection rate can be achieved by covering a significant fraction of the binary NS mass parameter space, i.e., hardly losing any astrophysical signal.

We constructed a realistic search method that incorporates the expected binary NS mass distribution in its test statistic, and calculated its sensitivity increase over using no information about the mass distribution. We find that this realistic search achieves sensitivity increases for both

all-sky and externally triggered searches similar to what we found by simply reducing the template bank.

Beyond the obvious benefit of increasing the detection rate, constraining the NS template bank also significantly reduces the computational cost of the search, with the required template bank being only 6% and 3% of the total template bank for the all-sky and externally triggered cases, respectively.

Several future extensions of the present work will be interesting. Further observations of NS binary masses can help, e.g., through establishing correlations between the two NS masses. While current masses are for nearby binaries, gaining farther samples can improve detection prospects [\[17\]](#page-4-16). Additionally, similar improvements can improve black hole–NS and black hole–black hole mergers as well.

The authors thank Kipp Cannon, Tom Dent, John Veitch, and Zsuzsa Marka for their useful suggestions. This Letter has been approved for publication by the LIGO Scientific Collaboration, with LIGO Document Number LIGO-P1500134. I. B. and S. M. are thankful for the generous support of Columbia University in the city of New York and the National Science Foundation under Cooperative Agreement No. PHY-1404462.

[*](#page-0-1) ibartos@phys.columbia.edu

- [1] LIGO Scientific Collaboration et al., [arXiv:1304.0670.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1304.0670)
- [2] LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration, The LSC-Virgo White Paper on Gravitational Wave Searches and Astrophysics, 2014, [https://dcc.ligo.org/](https://dcc.ligo.org/LIGO-T1400054/public) LIGO‑[T1400054/public](https://dcc.ligo.org/LIGO-T1400054/public).
- [3] The LIGO Scientific Collaboration et al., [Classical](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/32/7/074001) [Quantum Gravity](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/32/7/074001) 32, 074001 (2015).
- [4] F. Acernese et al., [Classical Quantum Gravity](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/32/2/024001) 32, 024001 [\(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/32/2/024001)
- [5] Y. Aso, Y. Michimura, K. Somiya, M. Ando, O. Miyakawa, T. Sekiguchi, D. Tatsumi, and H. Yamamoto (The KAGRA Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 88[, 043007 \(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.043007).
- [6] É. É. Flanagan and S. A. Hughes, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.57.4535) 57, 4535 [\(1998\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.57.4535)
- [7] D. A. Brown, I. Harry, A. Lundgren, and A. H. Nitz, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.084017) Rev. D 86[, 084017 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.084017).
- [8] B. Kiziltan, A. Kottas, M. De Yoreo, and S. E. Thorsett, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/778/1/66) 778, 66 (2013).
- [9] J. Abadie et al., Phys. Rev. D 85[, 082002 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.082002)
- [10] B. Abbott et al., Astrophys. J. 681[, 1419 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/587954).
- [11] P. B. Demorest, T. Pennucci, S. M. Ransom, M. S. E. Roberts, and J. W. T. Hessels, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature09466) 467, 1081 [\(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature09466)
- [12] I. Bartos, P. Brady, and S. Márka, [Classical Quantum](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/30/12/123001) Gravity 30[, 123001 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/30/12/123001)
- [13] H.-Y. Chen and D. E. Holz, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.181101) **111**, 181101 [\(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.181101)
- [14] W. Fong et al., [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/756/2/189) **756**, 189 (2012).
- [15] R. Biswas, P. R. Brady, J. Burguet-Castell, K. Cannon, J. Clayton, A. Dietz, N. Fotopoulos, L. M. Goggin, D. Keppel, C. Pankow, L. R. Price, and R. Vaulin, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.122008) 85, [122008 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.122008)
- [16] R. Biswas, P. R. Brady, J. Burguet-Castell, K. Cannon, J. Clayton, A. Dietz, N. Fotopoulos, L. M. Goggin, D. Keppel, C. Pankow, L. R. Price, and R. Vaulin, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.122009) 85, [122009 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.122009)
- [17] T. Dent and J. Veitch, Phys. Rev. D 89[, 062002 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.062002)
- [18] K. Cannon, C. Hanna, and J. Peoples, [arXiv:1504.04632.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1504.04632)
- [19] J. Abadie et al., Phys. Rev. D 82[, 102001 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.102001)
- [20] J. Abadie et al., Phys. Rev. D 85[, 089903 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.089903)