Towards Exotic Hidden-Charm Pentaquarks in QCD

Hua-Xing Chen,¹ Wei Chen,^{2[,*](#page-4-0)} Xiang Liu,^{3,4,[†](#page-4-1)} T. G. Steele,^{2,[‡](#page-4-2)} and Shi-Lin Zhu^{5,6,[7,§](#page-4-3)}

¹School of Physics and Nuclear Energy Engineering and International Research Center for Nuclei and Particles in the Cosmos,

Beihang University, Beijing 100191, China
²Department of Physics and Fraineering Physics, University of Saskatchewan, Sasl

Department of Physics and Engineering Physics, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan S7N 5E2, Canada
³Sebool of Physical Sajange and Technology, Langhay University, Langhay 730000, China

 5 School of Physical Science and Technology, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China

⁴Research Center for Hadron and CSR Physics, Lanzhou University and Institute of Modern Physics of CAS, Lanzhou 730000, China

School of Physics and State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China ⁶

⁶Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter, Beijing 100871, China

⁷ Center of High Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

(Received 24 July 2015; published 20 October 2015)

Inspired by $P_c(4380)$ and $P_c(4450)$ recently observed by LHCb, a QCD sum rule investigation is performed, by which they can be identified as exotic hidden-charm pentaquarks composed of an anticharmed meson and a charmed baryon. Our results suggest that $P_c(4380)$ and $P_c(4450)$ have quantum numbers $J^P = 3/2^-$ and $5/2^+$, respectively. Furthermore, two extra hidden-charm pentaqurks with configurations $\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*$ and $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*$ are predicted, which have spin-parity quantum numbers $J^P = 3/2^-$ and $J^P = 5/2^+$ recreatively. As an important extension, the mass predictions of hidden bottom partsquar $J^P = 5/2^+$, respectively. As an important extension, the mass predictions of hidden-bottom pentaquarks are also given. Searches for these partners of $P_c(4380)$ and $P_c(4450)$ are especially accessible at future experiments like LHCb and BelleII.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.172001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.172001) PACS numbers: 12.39.Mk, 12.38.Lg, 14.20.Lq

Introduction.—Exploring exotic matter beyond conventional hadron configurations is one of the most intriguing current research topics of hadronic physics, and these studies will improve our understanding of nonperturbative QCD. With the experimental progress on this issue over the past decade, dozens of XYZ charmoniumlike states have been reported, which provide us good opportunities to identify exotic hidden-charm four-quark matter [\[1\]](#page-4-4). Facing such abundant novel phenomena relevant to four-quark matter, we naturally conjecture that there should exist hidden-charm pentaquark states [2–[6\].](#page-4-5) In fact, the possible hidden-charm molecular pentaquarks composed of an anticharmed meson and a charmed baryon were investigated systematically within the one boson exchange model in Ref. [\[3\].](#page-4-6) However, the experimental evidence of the exotic hidden-charm pentaquark state has been absent until the LHCb Collaboration's recent observations of two hidden-charm pentaquark resonances.

Via the $\Lambda_b \rightarrow J/\psi pK$ process, LHCb observed two enhancements, $P_c(4380)$ and $P_c(4450)$, in the $J/\psi p$ invariant mass spectrum [\[7\]](#page-4-7), which shows that they must have hidden-charm quantum number and isospin, $I = 1/2$. Additionally, their resonance parameters are measured, i.e., $M_{P_c(4380)} = 4380 \pm 8 \pm 29$ MeV, $\Gamma_{P_c(4380)} = 205 \pm 10$ 18 ± 86 MeV, $M_{P_c(4450)} = 4449.8 \pm 1.7 \pm 2.5$ MeV, and $\Gamma_{P_c(4450)} = 39 \pm 5 \pm 19$ MeV [\[7\]](#page-4-7). Later, they are studied by using the boson exchange model [\[8\]](#page-4-8) and the topological soliton model [\[9\],](#page-4-9) etc.

In this Letter, we give an explicit QCD sum rule investigation to $P_c(4380)$ and $P_c(4450)$. We shall investigate the possibility of interpreting them as hiddencharm pentaquark configurations composed of an anticharmed meson and a charmed baryon: $P_c(4380)$ can be well reproduced using a $[\bar{D}^* \Sigma_c]$ structure with quantum
numbers $I^P - 3/2^-$ and P (4450) can be well reproduced numbers $J^P = 3/2^-$, and $P_c(4450)$ can be well reproduced using a mixed structure of $[\bar{D}^*\Lambda_c]$ and $[\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*]$ with $I^P - 5/2^+$ One notes that the "structure" here means $J^P = 5/2⁺$. One notes that the "structure" here means we are using meson-baryon currents having the color configuration $[\bar{c}_d q_d][\epsilon^{abc} c_a q_b q_c]$, where $a \cdots d$ are color indices, q represents up , $down$, and $strange$ quarks, and c represents a charm quark. These local currents could probe either a tightly bound pentaquark structure or a molecular structure composed of an anticharmed meson and a charmed baryon.

Besides clarifying properties of these two observed $P_c(4380)$ and $P_c(4450)$ pentaquarks, in this Letter we further give theoretical predictions of two extra hiddencharm pentaqurks with configurations $\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*$ and $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*$, as partners of $P_c(4380)$ and $P_c(4450)$. After the LHCb's observation [\[7\]](#page-4-7), experimental exploration to these predicted hidden-charm pentaquarks will be an intriguing research topic, of interest to both experimentalists and theorists.

Interpretation of observed $P_c(4380)$ and $P_c(4450)$ states.—As the first step, we briefly discuss how to construct local pentaquark interpolating currents having spin $J = 3/2$, flavor-octet $\mathbf{8}_F$, and containing one $c\bar{c}$ pair. There are two possible color configurations, either $[\bar{c}_d c_d][\epsilon^{abc} q_a q_b q_c]$ or $[\bar{c}_d q_d][\epsilon^{abc} c_a q_b q_c]$. These two configurations, if they are local, can be related by the Fierz transformation as well as the color rearrangement:

$$
\delta^{de} \epsilon^{abc} = \delta^{da} \epsilon^{ebc} + \delta^{db} \epsilon^{aec} + \delta^{dc} \epsilon^{abe}.
$$
 (1)

The former configuration, $[\bar{c}_d c_d][\epsilon^{abc} q_a q_b q_c]$, can be easily constructed based on the results of Ref. [\[10\]](#page-4-10) that there are three independent local light baryon fields of the flavor octet and having a positive parity:

$$
N_1^N = \epsilon_{abc} \epsilon^{ABD} \lambda_{DC}^N \left(q_A^{aT} C q_B^b \right) \gamma_5 q_C^c,
$$

\n
$$
N_2^N = \epsilon_{abc} \epsilon^{ABD} \lambda_{DC}^N \left(q_A^{aT} C \gamma_5 q_B^b \right) q_C^c,
$$

\n
$$
N_{3\mu}^N = \epsilon_{abc} \epsilon^{ABD} \lambda_{DC}^N \left(q_A^{aT} C \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 q_B^b \right) \gamma_5 q_C^c,
$$
\n(2)

where $A \cdots D$ are flavor indices, and $q_A = (u, d, s)$ is the light quark field of the flavor triplet. Together with light baryon fields having negative parity, $\gamma_5 N_{1,2}^N$ and $\gamma_5 N_{3\mu}^N$, and the charmonium fields

$$
\bar{c}_d c_d[0^+], \bar{c}_d \gamma_5 c_d[0^-],
$$

\n
$$
\bar{c}_d \gamma_\mu c_d[1^-], \bar{c}_d \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 c_d[1^+], \bar{c}_d \sigma_{\mu\nu} c_d[1^{\pm}],
$$

we can construct the currents containing $J = 3/2$ components, which are

$$
[\bar{c}_d c_d][N^N_{3\mu}], \qquad [\bar{c}_d \gamma_5 c_d][N^N_{3\mu}], \qquad [\bar{c}_d \gamma_\mu c_d][N^N_{1,2}],
$$

\n
$$
[\bar{c}_d \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 c_d][N^N_{1,2}], \qquad [\bar{c}_d \gamma_\mu c_d][N^N_{3\nu}], \qquad [\bar{c}_d \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 c_d][N^N_{3\nu}],
$$

\n
$$
[\bar{c}_d \sigma_{\mu\nu} c_d][N^N_{1,2}], \qquad [\bar{c}_d \sigma_{\mu\nu} c_d][N^N_{3\rho}], \qquad (3)
$$

as well as their partners having opposite parities, i.e., $[\cdots][\gamma_5 \cdots]$ (such as $[\bar{c}_d c_d][\gamma_5 N_{3\mu}^N]$). We note that their parities are a bit complicated and will be discussed later.

Besides $J = 3/2$ components, these currents can also contain $J = 1/2$ and $5/2$ components. The $J = 1/2$ components can be safely removed in the two-point correlation functions, which will be discussed after Eq. [\(20\)](#page-2-0) and so we shall not consider any more; to separate $J = 3/2$ and $5/2$ components, we need to use projection operators. For example, the current

$$
\eta_{3\mu\nu}^N = [\bar{c}_d \gamma^\mu c_d] [\gamma_5 N_{3\nu}^N],\tag{4}
$$

contains both spin $J = 3/2$ and $5/2$ components

$$
\eta_{3[\mu\nu]}^{N} = [\bar{c}_{d}\gamma^{\mu}c_{d}][\gamma_{5}N_{3\nu}^{N}] - [\bar{c}_{d}\gamma^{\nu}c_{d}][\gamma_{5}N_{3\mu}^{N}],
$$

\n
$$
\eta_{3\{\mu\nu\}}^{N} = [\bar{c}_{d}\gamma^{\mu}c_{d}][\gamma_{5}N_{3\nu}^{N}] + [\bar{c}_{d}\gamma^{\nu}c_{d}][\gamma_{5}N_{3\mu}^{N}],
$$
\n(5)

where $\eta_{3[\mu\nu]}^N$ contains both $J^P = 3/2^+$ and $3/2^-$ components, and $\eta_{3\{\mu\nu\}}^N$ contains only the $J^P = 5/2^+$ component.

Among the currents listed in Eqs. [\(3\)](#page-1-0) and [\(5\),](#page-1-1) $\eta_{1,2\mu}^N \equiv$ $[\bar{c}_d \gamma_\mu c_d][N_{1,2}^N]$ of $J^P = 3/2^-$ couples well to the combination of J/ψ and the proton through the S-wave, and $\eta_{3\{\mu\nu\}}^N$ of $J^P = 5/2^+$ couples well to the combination of J/ψ and the proton through the P-wave, when their quark contents are $c\bar{c}$ *uud*.

$$
\eta_{1\mu}^{c\bar{c}uud} = [\bar{c}_d \gamma_\mu c_d] [\epsilon_{abc} (u_a^T C d_b) \gamma_5 u_c],
$$

\n
$$
\eta_{2\mu}^{c\bar{c}uud} = [\bar{c}_d \gamma_\mu c_d] [\epsilon_{abc} (u_a^T C \gamma_5 d_b) u_c],
$$

\n
$$
\eta_{3\{\mu\nu\}}^{c\bar{c}uud} = [\bar{c}_d \gamma_\mu c_d] [\epsilon_{abc} (u_a^T C \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 d_b) u_c] + {\mu \leftrightarrow \nu}.
$$
 (6)

In the following we shall use the mixed current containing "Ioffe's baryon current," which couples strongly to the lowest-lying nucleon state [\[11,12\]](#page-4-11)

$$
\eta_{12\mu}^{c\bar{c}uud} = \eta_{1\mu}^{c\bar{c}uud} - \eta_{2\mu}^{c\bar{c}uud},\tag{7}
$$

as well as $\eta_{\substack{SM\mu\nu}}^{\text{c}zuud}$ to perform QCD sum rule analyses. However, we shall see that the results are not useful.

Considering that the experimental observed states have masses significantly larger than the threshold of J/ψ and the proton, but close to thresholds of D/D^* and $\Lambda_c/\Sigma_c/\Sigma_c^*$, we shall also construct currents belonging to the other configuration, $[\bar{c}_d q_d][\epsilon^{abc} c_a q_b q_c]$, and use them to perform QCD sum rule analyses. Because currents of this type can not be systematically constructed so easily, we just choose some of them and give their relations to $\eta_{1,2\mu}^{c\bar{c}uud}$ and $\eta_{3\{\mu\nu\}}^{c\bar{c}uud}$, but leave the detailed discussions for our future studies.

We can transform the current $\eta_{12\mu}^{c\bar{c}uud}$ using the Fierz transformation (FT) and the color rearrangement (CR) to be

$$
\eta_{12\mu}^{c\bar{c}uud} \stackrel{\text{FT&CR}}{\longrightarrow} \frac{1}{8} J_{\mu}^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c} + \frac{1}{8} J_{\mu}^{\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*} + \cdots, \tag{8}
$$

where

$$
J_{\mu}^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c} = [\bar{c}_d \gamma_{\mu} d_d] [\epsilon_{abc} (u_a^T C \gamma_{\nu} u_b) \gamma^{\nu} \gamma_5 c_c], \tag{9}
$$

$$
J_{\mu}^{\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*} = [\bar{c}_d \gamma_5 d_d] [\epsilon_{abc} (u_a^T C \gamma_\mu u_b) c_c]. \tag{10}
$$

The former one, $J_{\mu}^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c}$, seems to contain the color singlet \overline{D}^* and Σ_c , whose structure we denote as $[\overline{D}^* \Sigma_c]$. It may be interpreted as a tightly bound pentaquark structure or a interpreted as a tightly bound pentaquark structure or a $[\bar{D}^* \Sigma_c]$ molecular state. If there exists a state with such structures this current would counle strongly to it. The structures, this current would couple strongly to it. The latter one, $J_{\mu}^{\bar{D}\Sigma_{c}^{*}}$, has a $[\bar{D}\Sigma_{c}^{*}]$ structure.
We can also transform the current $\eta_{3\{\mu\nu\}}^{c\bar{c}uud}$ to be

$$
\eta_{3\{\mu\nu\}}^{c\bar{c}uud} \stackrel{\text{FT&CR}}{\longrightarrow} -\frac{1}{8} J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*} - \frac{1}{8} J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*} - \frac{3}{8} J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}^*\Lambda_c} + \cdots, \quad (11)
$$

where

$$
J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*} = [\bar{c}_d \gamma_\mu d_d] [\epsilon_{abc} (u_a^T C \gamma_\nu u_b) \gamma_5 c_c] + \{\mu \leftrightarrow \nu\}, \qquad (12)
$$

$$
J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*} = [\bar{c}_d \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 d_d] [\epsilon_{abc} (u_a^T C \gamma_\nu u_b) c_c] + \{\mu \leftrightarrow \nu\},\qquad(13)
$$

$$
J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}^*\Lambda_c} = [\bar{c}_d \gamma_\mu u_d] [\epsilon_{abc} (u_a^T C \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 d_b) c_c] + \{\mu \leftrightarrow \nu\}. \tag{14}
$$

They have $\bar{D}^* \Sigma_c^*$, $\bar{D} \Sigma_c^*$, and $\bar{D}^* \Lambda_c$ structures, respectively. In the following, we shall use the method of QCD sum rules [\[13](#page-5-0)–16] to investigate $\eta_{12\mu}^{c\bar{c}uud}$ and $\eta_{3\{\mu\nu\}}^{c\bar{c}uud}$ for the $[\bar{c}_d c_d][\epsilon^{abc} q_a q_b q_c]$ structure, and $J_\mu^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c}$, $J_\mu^{\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*}$, $J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*}$, $J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*}$, $J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*}$ and $J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}^*\Lambda_c}$ for the $[\bar{c}_d q_d][\epsilon^{abc} c_a q_b q_c]$ structure. Equations [\(8\)](#page-1-2) and [\(11\)](#page-1-3) suggest that the structures coupled by these currents, if they exist, would naturally decay to J/ψ and the proton final states: $J_{\mu}^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c}$ and $J_{\mu}^{\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*}$ couple equally to "S-wave" J/ψ and the proton, and $J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}^*\Lambda_c}$ couples to "P-wave" J/ψ and the proton more strongly than $J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*}$ and $J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*}$.

It is important to note that although these pentaquark currents have definite parities $(3/2⁻$ and $5/2⁺$), they can couple to states of both positive and negative parities by adding a γ_5 (see discussions in Refs. [\[17](#page-5-1)–19] and especially in Ref. [\[20\]](#page-5-2))

$$
\langle 0|J|B \rangle = f_B u(p), \tag{15}
$$

$$
\langle 0|J|B'\rangle = f_{B'}\gamma_5 u'(p),\tag{16}
$$

where $|B\rangle$ has the same parity as J, and $|B'\rangle$ has the opposite parity. These equations also supposed that I and νL opposite parity. These equations also suggest that J and γ_5 J can couple to the same state, and so the partners of these currents having opposite parities can also be used, such as $\gamma_5 \eta_{12\mu}^{c\bar{c}uud}$, but they just lead to the same sum rule results.

In this Letter we shall use the non- γ_5 couplings, Eq. [\(15\)](#page-2-1), and the couplings for $J_{\mu}^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c}$ and $J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*}$ are

$$
\langle 0|J_{\mu}^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c} |[\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c]\rangle = f_{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c} u_{\mu}(p),\tag{17}
$$

$$
\langle 0|J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*} |[\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*]\rangle = f_{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*} u_{\{\mu\nu\}}(p). \tag{18}
$$

The formulas are similar for $\eta_{12\mu}^{c\bar{c}uud}$, $\eta_{3\{\mu\nu\}}^{c\bar{c}uud}$, $J_{\mu}^{\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*}$, $J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*}$, and $\bar{D}_{\mu\nu}^{s*}$. $J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}^*\Lambda_c}$, which we shall not repeat. Then the two-point correlation functions can be written as

$$
\Pi_{\mu\nu}^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c}(q^2) = i \int d^4x e^{iq \cdot x} \langle 0 | T \Big[J_{\mu}^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c}(x) \bar{J}_{\nu}^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c}(0) \Big] | 0 \rangle
$$

=
$$
\left(\frac{q_{\mu}q_{\nu}}{q^2} - g_{\mu\nu} \right) (q + M_{[\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c]}) \Pi^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c}(q^2) + \cdots,
$$

$$
(19)
$$

$$
\Pi_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*}(q^2) = i \int d^4x e^{iq\cdot x} \langle 0 | T \Big[J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*}(x) \bar{J}_{\{\rho\sigma\}}^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*}(0) \Big] | 0 \rangle
$$

= $(g_{\mu\rho}g_{\nu\sigma} + g_{\mu\sigma}g_{\nu\rho})(q + M_{[\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*]})\Pi^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*}(q^2)$
+ \cdots , (20)

where the spin $1/2$ components are all contained in \cdots , such as $q_{\mu}q_{\nu}(q+m)\Pi_{1/2}^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c}(q^2)$, etc...

One can also use the γ_5 couplings, Eq. [\(16\).](#page-2-2) The resulting two-point correlation functions are similar to Eqs. [\(19\)](#page-2-3) and [\(20\)](#page-2-0), but with $(q + M_X)$ replaced by $(-q + M_X)$, where X is either $[\bar{D}^* \Sigma_c]$ or $[\bar{D}^* \Sigma_c^*]$. This difference would tell us
the parity of X. We note that the result does not change the parity of X . We note that the result does not change when using $\gamma_5 J_\mu^{\bar{D}^* \Sigma_c}$ and $\gamma_5 J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}^* \Sigma_c^*}$ having opposite parities. Technically, in the following analyses we use the terms proportional to $1 \times g_{\mu\nu}$ and $1 \times g_{\mu\rho} g_{\nu\sigma}$ to evaluate the mass of X. These terms are then compared with those proportional to $q \times g_{\mu\nu}$ and $q \times g_{\mu\rho} g_{\nu\sigma}$ to determine its parity.

We follow Ref. [\[16\]](#page-5-3) and obtain $M_{\left[\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c\right]}$ and $M_{\left[\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*\right]}$ through

$$
M_X^2(s_0, M_B) = \frac{\int_{s_<}^{s_0} e^{-s/M_B^2} \rho^X(s) s ds}{\int_{s_<}^{s_0} e^{-s/M_B^2} \rho^X(s) ds},
$$
 (21)

where $\rho^X(s)$ is the QCD spectral density which we evaluate up to dimension eight, including the perturbative term, the quark condensate $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$, the gluon condensate $\langle g_s^2GG \rangle$, the guark-gluon mixed condensate $\langle g_s \bar{q}Gq \rangle$ and their comquark-gluon mixed condensate $\langle g_s \bar{q} \sigma G q \rangle$, and their combinations $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2$ and $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle g_s \bar{q} \sigma G q \rangle$. The full expressions are lengthy and will not be shown here. We use the values listed in Ref. [\[16\]](#page-5-3) for these condensates and the charm quark mass (see also Refs. [21–[29\]\)](#page-5-4).

There are two free parameters in Eq. [\(21\)](#page-2-4): the Borel mass M_B and the threshold value s_0 . We use two criteria to constrain the Borel mass M_B . One criterion is to require that the dimension eight term be less than 10% to determine its lower limit M_B^{min} ,

$$
\text{Convergence} \equiv \left| \frac{\Pi_{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle g, \bar{q}\sigma G q \rangle}^{X}(\infty, M_B)}{\Pi^X(\infty, M_B)} \right| \le 10\%, \quad (22)
$$

and the other criterion is to require that the pole contribution (PC) be larger than 10% to determine its upper \lim it M_B^{\max} ,

$$
PC \equiv \frac{\Pi^X(s_0, M_B)}{\Pi^X(\infty, M_B)} \ge 10\%.
$$
 (23)

Altogether we obtain a Borel window $M_B^{\text{min}} < M_B < M_B^{\text{max}}$ for a fixed threshold value s_0 . To determine s_0 , we require that both the s_0 dependence and the M_B dependence of the mass prediction be the weakest.

We perform QCD sum rule analyses using $\eta_{12\mu}^{c\bar{c}uud}$ and $\eta_{3\{\mu\nu\}}^{c\bar{c}uud}$ of the $[\bar{c}_dc_d][\epsilon^{abc}q_aq_bq_c]$ configuration, but the results are not useful, because the spectral density $\rho_{3/2}^{[J/\psi N]}(s)$ obtained using $\eta_{12\mu}^{c\bar{c}uud}$ is too simple: it only contains the $q \times g_{\mu\nu}$ part but no $1 \times g_{\mu\nu}$ part, and moreover, this $q \times g_{\mu\nu}$ part only contains the perturbative term and

FIG. 1. The variation of $M_{[D^* \Sigma_c],3/2^-}$ with respect to the thresh-
old value s. (left) and the Borel mass M_c (right). In the left figure old value s_0 (left) and the Borel mass M_B (right). In the left figure, the long-dashed, solid, and short-dashed curves are obtained by fixing $M_B^2 = 3.9$, 4.1, and 4.3 GeV², respectively. In the right
figure the long-dashed solid and short-dashed curves are figure, the long-dashed, solid, and short-dashed curves are obtained for $s_0 = 19, 21,$ and 23 GeV², respectively.

 $\langle g_s^2GG \rangle$. There are also many terms missing in the spectral density $\rho_{5/2}^{[J/\psi N]}(s)$ obtained using $\eta_{3\{\mu\nu\}}^{c\bar{c}uud}$: its $q \times g_{\mu\rho}g_{\nu\sigma}$ part only contains the perturbative term, $\langle g_s^2GG \rangle$, $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2$, and $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ and $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ but its $1 \times a$, and part only contains $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle g_s \bar{q} \sigma G q \rangle$, but its $1 \times g_{\mu\rho} g_{\nu\sigma}$ part only contains $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ and $\langle g_s \bar{q} \sigma G q \rangle$. This makes bad operator product expansion convergence and leads to unreliable results.

We also perform QCD sum rule analyses using $J_{\mu}^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c}$, $J_\mu^{\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*}$, $J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*}$, $J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*}$ and $J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}^*\Lambda_c}$ of the $[\bar{c}_d q_d][\epsilon^{abc} c_a q_b q_c]$ configuration. Here, we use $J_{\mu}^{\bar{D}^* \Sigma_c}$ [3/2⁻], defined in Eq. [\(9\)](#page-1-4), as an example, whose sum rule has reasonable working as an example, whose sum rule has reasonable working regions. We calculate its spectral density, $\rho_{3/2}^{[\bar{D}^* \Sigma_c]}(s)$, and use its $1 \times g_{\mu\nu}$ part to evaluate the mass of $[\bar{D}^* \Sigma_c]$, denoted as M_{eff} - We show its variation with respect to the threshold $M_{\left[\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c\right]}$. We show its variation with respect to the threshold value s_0 in the left panel of Fig. [1.](#page-3-0) We quickly notice that this dependence is the weakest around $s_0 \sim 18 \text{ GeV}^2$, and the M_B dependence is the weakest around s₀ ∼ 24 GeV². Accordingly, we choose the region 19 GeV² $\leq s_0 \leq$ 23 GeV^2 as our working region. The corresponding Borel window is 3.9 GeV² $\leq M_B^2 \leq 4.3$ GeV² for $s_0 =$
21 GeV² We also show the variations of M_{tot} , with 21 GeV². We also show the variations of $M_{\left[\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c\right]}$ with respect to the Borel mass M_B in the right panel of Fig. [1,](#page-3-0) in a broader region 2.5 GeV² $\leq M_B^2 \leq 5.0$ GeV², while these curves are more stable inside the Borel window. We obtain the following numerical results:

$$
M_{[\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c]} = 4.37^{+0.19}_{-0.12} \text{ GeV},\tag{24}
$$

where the central value corresponds to $M_B = 4.1 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $s_0 = 21 \text{ GeV}^2$, and the uncertainty comes from the Borel mass M_B , the threshold value s_0 , the charm quark mass, and the various condensates [\[16\].](#page-5-3) Finally, we find that the $q \times g_{\mu\nu}$ part of the spectral density $\rho_{3/2}^{[\bar{D}^* \Sigma_c]}(s)$ is very similar to the $1 \times g_{\mu\nu}$ part. This means that $[\bar{D}^* \Sigma_c]$ has the same parity as $J_{\mu}^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c}[3/2^-]$, which is negative

$$
M_{[\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c],3/2^-} = 4.37^{+0.19}_{-0.12} \text{ GeV}.
$$
 (25)

This value is consistent with the experimental mass of $P_c(4380)$ [\[7\]](#page-4-7), and supports it as a $[\bar{D}^* \Sigma_c]$ hidden-charm
pentaquark with quantum numbers $I^P - 3/2^$ pentaquark with quantum numbers $J^P = 3/2^-$.

The masses obtained using $J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*}$ [5/2⁺] and $J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}^*\Lambda_c}$ [5/2⁺], defined in Eqs. [\(13\)](#page-1-5) and [\(14\),](#page-2-5) depend much on the threshold value s_0 and so are not useful. However, the following mixed current of $J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\overline{D}\Sigma_c^*}$ and $J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\overline{D}^*\Lambda_c}$ gives a reliable mass sum rule:

$$
J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*\&\bar{D}^*\Lambda_c} = \sin\theta \times J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*} + \cos\theta \times J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}^*\Lambda_c},\qquad(26)
$$

when the mixing angle θ is fine-tuned to be $-51 \pm 5^{\circ}$, and the hadron mass can be extracted as

$$
M_{[\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*\&\bar{D}^*\Lambda_c],5/2^+} = 4.47^{+0.20}_{-0.13} \text{ GeV},\tag{27}
$$

with 20 GeV² $\leq s_0 \leq 24$ GeV² and 3.2 GeV² $\leq M_B^2 \leq$ 3.5 GeV^2 . This value is consistent with the experimental mass of $P_c(4450)$ [\[7\]](#page-4-7), and supports it as an admixture of $[\bar{D}^* \Lambda_c]$ and $[\bar{D} \Sigma_c^*]$ with quantum numbers $J^P = 5/2^+$.
Accordingly to its internal structure described by Accordingly to its internal structure described by $J^{\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*\&\bar{D}^*\Lambda_c}$, we suggest its main decay modes include P-wave $\bar{D}^* \Lambda_c$ and $\bar{D} \Sigma_c^*$ besides $J/\psi N$.

The prediction of extra hidden-charm pentaquarks.— The tetraquark family can give us some information about the pentaquark family. To date, there are already six members in the family of the electrically charged states: $X(3900)^{\pm}$, $X(4020)^{\pm}$, $X(4050)^{\pm}$, $X(4250)^{\pm}$, $X(4430)^{\pm}$ [\[22\]](#page-5-5), and $Z_c(4200)^+$ [\[30\].](#page-5-6) They all contain at least four quarks, and can be described using the eight independent tetraquark currents with quantum numbers $I^G J^{PC} =$ 1^+1^+ , which represent internal structures of these states in the method of QCD sum rules (see Refs. [\[16,31\]](#page-5-3) and references therein). While there are many independent pentaquark currents having quantum numbers $J = 3/2$ and $J = 5/2$, the more complicated internal structures of pentaquarks suggesting that there may be more pentaquark

states besides $P_c(4380)$ and $P_c(4450)$.
In this Letter, we use the pentaquark currents $J_{\mu}^{\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*}[3/2^-]$ and $J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*}[5/2^+]$, defined in Eqs. [\(9\)](#page-1-4) and [\(12\),](#page-1-6) to perform QCD sum rule analyses. Other currents of the same configuration ($[\bar{c}_d q_d][\epsilon^{abc} c_a q_b q_c]$) will be investigated in our future studies, where we shall do a systematical study in order to fully understand them. The mass obtained using $J_{\mu}^{\bar{D}\Sigma_{c}^{\ast}}[3/2^{-}]$ is

$$
M_{[\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*],3/2^-} = 4.45^{+0.17}_{-0.13} \text{ GeV},\tag{28}
$$

and the mass obtained using $J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*}[5/2^+]$ is

$$
M_{[\tilde{D}^*\Sigma_c^*],5/2^+} = 4.59^{+0.17}_{-0.12} \text{ GeV}.
$$
 (29)

Hence, we predict that there is the probability of a $[\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*]$
hidden charm pertaguark having mass $4.45^{+0.17}$ GeV and Frence, we predict that there is the probability of a $[D\mathcal{L}_c]$
hidden-charm pentaquark having mass $4.45^{+0.17}_{-0.13}$ GeV and quantum numbers $J^P = 3/2^-$ and a $[\bar{D}^* \Sigma_c^*]$ pentaquark
having mass $4.50^{+0.17}$ GaV and quantum numbers having mass $4.59_{-0.12}^{+0.17}$ GeV and quantum numbers $J^P = 5/2⁺$. According to their internal structures described by $J_{\mu}^{\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*}$ and $J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}^* \Sigma_c^*}$, we suggest that the former one $[\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*]$ mainly decay into S-wave $\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*$ and $J/\psi N$ and the latter one $[\bar{D}^* \Sigma_c^*]$ mainly decay into *P*-wave $\bar{D}^* \Sigma_c$ and $J/\psi N$.
If the hidden-charm pentaquarks exist in nature

If the hidden-charm pentaquarks exist in nature, there should be hidden-bottom pentaquarks with an antibottom meson and bottom baryon components, which are as the partners of $P_c(4380)$ and $P_c(4450)$. Employing the previously obtained formalism, we further predict the masses of these possible hidden-bottom pentaquarks, i.e.,

$$
M_{\left[\bar{B}^*\Sigma_b\right],3/2^-} = 11.55^{+0.23}_{-0.14} \text{ GeV},\tag{30}
$$

$$
M_{[\bar{B}\Sigma_b^*\&\bar{B}^*\Lambda_b],5/2^+} = 11.66^{+0.28}_{-0.27} \text{ GeV}.
$$
 (31)

The former one $[\bar{B}^* \Sigma_b]$ mainly will decay into S-wave
 $\Upsilon(1 S) N / \Upsilon(2 S) N$ and may decay into $\bar{B}^* \Sigma_c$ and the latter $\Upsilon(1S)N/\Upsilon(2S)N$ and may decay into $\bar{B}^*\Sigma_b$, and the latter
one $\overline{B}S^* \& \overline{B}^*\Lambda$. mainly decay into $P_{\text{M2W}} \& \overline{B}S^* \& \overline{B}^*\Lambda$. one $[\bar{B}\Sigma_b^* \& \bar{B}^* \Lambda_b]$ mainly decay into *P*-wave $\bar{B}\Sigma_b^*$, $\bar{B}^* \Lambda_b$,
 $\Upsilon(15)N$ and $\Upsilon(25)N$. These results provide valuable $\Upsilon(1S)N$, and $\Upsilon(2S)N$. These results provide valuable information for experimental exploration of these hidden-bottom pentaquarks.

Conclusion.—In summary, the observation of $P_c(4380)$ and $P_c(4450)$ by LHCb [\[7\]](#page-4-7) has opened a new window for studying hidden-charm exotic pentaquark states.

In this Letter, we have performed a QCD sum rule investigation, by which $P_c(4380)$ and $P_c(4450)$ are identified as hidden-charm pentaquark states composed of an anticharmed meson and a charmed baryon. We use $J_{\mu}^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c}$ to perform QCD sum rule analysis and the result shown in Eq. [\(25\)](#page-3-1) supports $P_c(4380)$ as a $[\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c]$ hidden-charm
pentaquark with quantum numbers $I^P - 3/2^-$ We use the pentaquark with quantum numbers $J^P = 3/2^-$. We use the mixed current $J^{\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*\&\bar{D}^*\Lambda_c}$ to perform a QCD sum rule analysis, and the result shown in Eq. [\(27\)](#page-3-2) implies a possible mixed hidden-charm pentaquark structure of $P_c(4450)$, as an admixture of $[\bar{D}^* \Lambda_c]$ and $[\bar{D} \Sigma_c^*]$ with quantum numbers $I^P = 5/2^+$ and its main decay modes include P -wave $J^P = 5/2⁺$, and its main decay modes include P-wave $\bar{D}^* \Lambda_c$ and $\bar{D} \Sigma_c^*$ besides $J/\psi N$.

Besides them, (a) we use other two independent currents $J_{\mu}^{\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*}$ and $J_{\{\mu\nu\}}^{\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*}$ to perform QCD sum rule analyses, and predict there may be a $[\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*]$ hidden-charm pentaquark
having mass $A A5+0.17$ GaV and quantum numbers having mass $4.45_{-0.13}^{+0.17}$ GeV and quantum numbers $J^P = 3/2^-$, and a $[\bar{D}^* \Sigma_c^*]$ hidden-charm pentaquark having
mass 4.50^{+0.17} GeV and $J^P = 5/2^+$ (b) We predict two mass 4.59^{+0.17} GeV and $J^P = 5/2^+$. (b) We predict two
hidden-bottom pentaquarks, as partners of *P* (4380) and hidden-bottom pentaquarks, as partners of $P_c(4380)$ and $P_c(4450)$. We also discuss their possible decay modes according to their internal structures described by pentaquark interpolating currents.

All these states and structures have a $\left[\bar{c}_d q_d\right][\epsilon^{abc} c_a q_b q_c]$ color configuration, and could probe either a tightly bound pentaquark structure or a molecular structure composed of an anticharmed meson and a charmed baryon. We shall test more structures, such as the antiquark-diquark-diquark configuration, $\epsilon^{abc}[\bar{c}_a][\epsilon^{bde}c_dq_e][\epsilon^{cfg}q_fq_o]$, in our future studies.

In the near future, further experimental and theoretical studies of hidden-charm and hidden-bottom (molecular) pentaquarks will still be important, especially with the running of LHC at 13 TeV and forthcoming BelleII.

This project is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants 11205011, No. 11475015, No. 11375024, No. 11222547, No. 11175073, and No. 11261130311; the Ministry of Education of China (SRFDP under Grant No. 20120211110002 and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities); and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC).

[*](#page-0-0) wec053@mail.usask.ca [†](#page-0-0) xiangliu@lzu.edu.cn [‡](#page-0-0) tom.steele@usask.ca [§](#page-0-0) zhusl@pku.edu.cn

- [1] X. Liu, An overview of *XYZ* new particles, [Chin. Sci. Bull.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11434-014-0407-2) 59[, 3815 \(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11434-014-0407-2).
- [2] J. J. Wu, R. Molina, E. Oset, and B. S. Zou, Prediction of Narrow N^* and Λ^* Resonances with Hidden Charm above 4 GeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105[, 232001 \(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.232001).
- [3] Z. C. Yang, Z. F. Sun, J. He, X. Liu, and S. L. Zhu, The possible hidden-charm molecular baryons composed of anti-charmed meson and charmed baryon, [Chin. Phys. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/36/1/002) 36[, 6 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/36/1/002).
- [4] X. Q. Li and X. Liu, A possible global group structure for exotic states, [Eur. Phys. J. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-3198-3) 74, 3198 (2014).
- [5] T. Uchino, W. H. Liang, and E. Oset, Baryon states with hidden charm in the extended local hidden gauge approach, [arXiv:1504.05726.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1504.05726)
- [6] M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, New Exotic Meson and Baryon Resonances from Doubly Heavy Hadronic Molecules, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115[, 122001 \(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.122001)
- [7] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), Observation of $J/\psi p$ Resonances Consistent with Pentaquark States in $\Lambda_b^0 \to$ $J/\psi K^-p$ Decays, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115[, 072001 \(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.072001)
- [8] R. Chen, X. Liu, X. Q. Li, and S. L. Zhu, Identifying Exotic Hidden-Charm Pentaquarks, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.132002) 115, 132002 [\(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.132002)
- [9] N. N. Scoccola, D. O. Riska, and M. Rho, On the pentaquark candidates $P_c^+(4380)$ and $P_c^+(4450)$ within the soliton picture of baryons Phys Rev D **92** 051501 (2015) soliton picture of baryons, Phys. Rev. D 92[, 051501 \(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.051501)
- [10] H. X. Chen, V. Dmitrasinovic, A. Hosaka, K. Nagata, and S. L. Zhu, Chiral properties of baryon fields with flavor SU(3) symmetry, Phys. Rev. D 78[, 054021 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.054021)
- [11] V. M. Belyaev and B. L. Ioffe, Determination of baryon and baryonic resonance masses from QCD sum rules. 1.

Nonstrange baryons, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 83, 876 (1982) [Sov. Phys. JETP 56, 493 (1982)].

- [12] D. Espriu, P. Pascual, and R. Tarrach, Baryon masses and chiral symmetry breaking, Nucl. Phys. B214[, 285 \(1983\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(83)90663-6).
- [13] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov, QCD and resonance physics. Theoretical foundations, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(79)90022-1) B147[, 385 \(1979\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(79)90022-1)
- [14] L. J. Reinders, H. Rubinstein, and S. Yazaki, Hadron properties from QCD sum rules, [Phys. Rep.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(85)90065-1) 127, 1 (1985).
- [15] M. Nielsen, F. S. Navarra, and S. H. Lee, New charmonium states in QCD sum rules: A concise review, [Phys. Rep.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2010.07.005) 497, [41 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2010.07.005)
- [16] W. Chen, T. G. Steele, H. X. Chen, and S. L. Zhu, Mass spectra of Z_c and Z_b exotic states as hadron molecules, Phys. Rev. D 92[, 054002 \(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.054002).
- [17] Y. Chung, H. G. Dosch, M. Kremer, and D. Schall, Baryon sum rules and chiral symmetry breaking, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(82)90154-7) B197, [55 \(1982\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(82)90154-7)
- [18] D. Jido, N. Kodama, and M. Oka, Negative parity nucleon resonance in the QCD sum rule, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.54.4532) 54, 4532 [\(1996\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.54.4532)
- [19] Y. Kondo, O. Morimatsu, and T. Nishikawa, Coupled QCD sum rules for positive and negative-parity nucleons, [Nucl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.09.003) Phys. A764[, 303 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.09.003).
- [20] K. Ohtani, P. Gubler, and M. Oka, Parity projection of QCD sum rules for the nucleon, Phys. Rev. D 87[, 034027 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.034027)
- [21] K. C. Yang, W. Y. P. Hwang, E. M. Henley, and L. S. Kisslinger, QCD sum rules and neutron proton mass difference, Phys. Rev. D 47[, 3001 \(1993\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.47.3001)
- [22] K. A. Olive et al. (Particle Data Group Collaboration), Review of particle physics, [Chin. Phys. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/38/9/090001) 38, 090001 [\(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/38/9/090001)
- [23] M. Eidemuller and M. Jamin, Charm quark mass from QCD sum rules for the charmonium system, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)01391-5) 498, [203 \(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)01391-5).
- [24] S. Narison, *QCD* as a Theory of Hadrons From Partons to Confinement, Cambridge Monographs on Particle Physics, Nuclear Physics and Cosmology, Vol. 17 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002).
- [25] V. Gimenez, V. Lubicz, F. Mescia, V. Porretti, and J. Reyes, Operator product expansion and quark condensate from lattice QCD, [Eur. Phys. J. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s2005-02250-9) 41, 535 (2005).
- [26] M. Jamin, Flavour-symmetry breaking of the quark condensate and chiral corrections, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(02)01951-2) 538, 71 (2002).
- [27] B. L. Ioffe and K. N. Zyablyuk, Gluon condensate in charmonium sum rules with 3-loop corrections, [Eur. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s2002-01099-8) J. C 27[, 229 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s2002-01099-8)
- [28] A. A. Ovchinnikov and A. A. Pivovarov, QCD sum rule calculation of the quark gluon condensate, Yad. Fiz. 48, 1135 (1988) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 48, 721 (1988)].
- [29] P. Colangelo and A. Khodjamirian, At the Frontier of Particle Physics/Handbook of QCD (World Scientific, Singapore, 2001), Vol. 3, p. 1495.
- [30] K. Chilikin et al. (Belle Collaboration), Observation of a new charged charmoniumlike state in $\bar{B}^0 \to J/\psi K^-\pi^+$ decays, Phys. Rev. D 90[, 112009 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.112009)
- [31] W. Chen and S. L. Zhu, Vector and axial-vector charmoniumlike states, Phys. Rev. D 83[, 034010 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.034010)