## Controlled Strong Coupling and Absence of Dark Polaritons in Microcavities with Double Quantum Wells

K. Sivalertporn<sup>1</sup> and E. A. Muljarov<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Ubon Ratchathani University, Ubon Ratchathani 34190, Thailand <sup>2</sup>School of Physics and Astronomy, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF24 3AA, United Kingdom (Descined 18 May 2015) sublished 14 August 2015)

(Received 18 May 2015; published 14 August 2015)

We demonstrate an efficient switching between strong and weak exciton-photon coupling regimes in microcavity-embedded asymmetric double quantum wells, controlled by an applied electric field. We show that a fine-tuning of the electric field leads to drastic changes in the polariton properties, with the polariton ground state being redshifted by a few meV and having acquired prominent features of a spatially indirect dipolar exciton. We study the properties of dipolar exciton polaritons, called dipolaritons, on a microscopic level and show that, unlike recent findings, they are not dark polaritons but, owing to the finite size of the exciton, are mixed states with a comparable contribution of the cavity photon, bright direct, and long-living indirect exciton modes.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.077401

PACS numbers: 78.67.De, 71.35.Cc, 71.36.+c, 78.20.Jq

Semiconductor microcavities are well known due to their importance in fundamental physics and have a wide range of various applications [1]. Of particular interest are exciton polaritons created in microcavities in the regime of strong light-matter coupling [2]. The demonstrated possibilities of polariton condensation [3,4] and room-temperature lasing [5–7] have made microcavity polaritons the subject of intensive studies. If a semiconductor quantum well (QW) is placed in the antinode position of a resonant electromagnetic field inside a microcavity, Coulomb bound electronhole pairs localized in the QW layer can strongly interact with a high-quality cavity mode (CM) and form mixed exciton-photon states called polaritons. Double QWs have attracted much attention in recent years due to the formation of long-living spatially indirect excitons (IXs) in such structures in the presence of an applied electric field (EF) [8]. Intensive studies of IXs in double QWs have resulted in the demonstration of their electrostatic [9,10] and optical control [11] as well as in the engineering of the dipolar exciton-exciton interaction [12–14] necessary for exploring different many-body effects, including an intriguing possibility of Bose-Einstein condensation of excitons [8,9,15-17].

Very recently, asymmetric double quantum wells (ADQWs) have been embedded in a planar Bragg-mirror microcavity [18] in order to create a special type of polariton with a static dipole moment enhanced at the resonant tunneling condition [19]. Indeed, at the electron tunneling resonance, the asymmetry in the conduction band potential of the ADQW is compensated by an applied EF, resulting in a formation of resonant symmetric and anti-symmetric electron states while keeping the hole state asymmetric. The electron and the hole are then bound together to form either a direct or an indirect exciton. When this structure is embedded into the cavity, the direct exciton

(DX) strongly couples to the CM and creates a polariton. The IX itself does not form a polariton, as it has a much smaller oscillator strength, but it is electronically coupled to the DX via the tunneling across the barrier. A resulting mixed state of such a three-level system is a polariton that acquires a large electric dipole moment, typical for IX. This allows one to reinforce and control, both electrically and optically, the polariton-polariton interaction. These new hybrid quasiparticles called *dipolaritons* were introduced in Refs. [19,20] and have already been suggested for the observation of superradiant terahertz (THz) emission [21], continuous THz lasing [22], and polariton bistability [23].

It has also been claimed [20,24] that, for zero detuning between IX and CM, the middle dipolariton state with the maximum static dipole moment has a vanishing contribution of the DX and consists of only IX and CM, i.e., is a so-called *dark polariton*. Physically, this state is similar to the dark counterpart of the superradiant Dicke state [25], and the argumentation which could support this conclusion is analogous to that used in atomic physics in order to describe a dark polariton in a three-level  $\Lambda$  system [26,27] and to demonstrate the electromagnetically induced transparency and slowing of light propagation.

We show here that this picture is not, however, suitable for realistic systems, such as the microcavity-embedded QWs studied in Ref. [20]. We present a microscopic calculation of the optical spectra of such a system and, by making a detailed analysis of the polariton properties, demonstrate the absence of dark polariton states. We show in particular that the claimed polariton darkness, or vanishing DX contribution, is an artifact of the basis truncation and neglect of the electron-hole (e - h) relative motion. We further demonstrate that an appreciable dipolariton effect, or strong IX-CM mixing, can be achieved only at the cost of a sufficient contribution of the bright DX component.

The purpose of this Letter is twofold. One is to demonstrate an efficient mechanism of switching on or off the exciton-photon strong coupling regime in cavityembedded ADQWs and EF control of their polariton properties. We calculate the optical reflection and absorption spectra, analyze them in terms of the exciton-CM coupling and DX-IX crossover [28], and show that the results of our calculation are in quantitative agreement with recent experimental observations [18–20]. The other goal is to study dipolaritons on a microscopic level by calculating the full spectrum of exciton states in an ADQW and their coupling to the cavity photon. In this calculation, the polariton brightness and static dipole moment are deduced from the microscopic optical polarization. The relative fractions of the DX, IX, and CM are calculated and analyzed, showing in particular that no dark polariton is observed in such systems.

We concentrate on a microcavity structure used in experiments [19,20], which consists of four InGaAs ADQWs placed at the antinodes of the electromagnetic field inside a  $5\lambda/2$  cavity sandwiched between 17 and 21 pairs of GaAs/AlAs distributed Bragg reflectors (DBRs); see Fig. 1. Each ADQW contains two 10-nm In<sub>x</sub>Ga<sub>1-x</sub>As QW layers with the In content of 8% and 10% separated by a 4-nm GaAs barrier. To study the light-matter strong coupling in this system, we solve coupled Maxwell's and material equations for the microscopic excitonic polarization  $P(z_e, z_h, \rho)$  and the local optical EF  $\mathcal{E}(\omega, k; z)$ , using the Green's function approach [29–31]. Technically, we expand the polarization into the complete set of exciton eigenfunctions:

$$P(z_e, z_h, \boldsymbol{\rho}) = |d_{cv}|^2 \sum_{\nu} \frac{\Psi_{\nu}(z_e, z_h, \boldsymbol{\rho}) X_{\nu}(\omega, k)}{E_{\nu} + \hbar^2 k^2 / 2M - \hbar\omega - i\gamma}.$$
 (1)

The expansion coefficients are then given by  $X_{\nu}(\omega, k) = \int \mathcal{E}(\omega, k; z) \Psi_{\nu}(z, z, 0) dz$  and are found using the local field  $\mathcal{E}$ . The latter in turn satisfies Maxwell's integro-differential equation which includes the macroscopic excitonic polarization P(z, z, 0) bringing into the system a nonlocal optical susceptibility [32]. Here  $z_e(z_h)$  is the electron (hole) coordinate in the growth direction of the ADQW,  $\rho$  the coordinate of the e - h in-plane relative motion,  $d_{cv}$  the matrix element of the microscopic dipole moment between the valence and conduction bands, M and k the in-plane



FIG. 1 (color online). Schematic of a microcavity-embedded InGaAs multiple-ADQW structure showing its background dielectric constant.

exciton effective mass and wave vector, respectively, and  $\omega$  the frequency of the *s*-polarized electromagnetic field [33]. To take into account the homogeneous broadening of the excitonic system, a phenomenological damping constant  $\gamma$  of all exciton states has been introduced.

In the calculation of the optical polarization Eq. (1), we keep about 200 exciton states and for each state  $\nu$  calculate its energy  $E_{\nu}$  and the wave function  $\Psi_{\nu}(z_e, z_h, \rho)$ , by expanding the latter into e - h pair states localized in the ADQW and solving a radial matrix Schrödinger equation for the e - h relative motion in real space [28]. Its full solution contains both discrete exciton bound states and unbound states of the excitonic continuum. We discretize this Coulomb continuum by introducing a rigid wall at  $|\rho| = R$  with R = 800 nm, while keeping the exciton center-of-mass motion untouched. The Maxwell wave equation for the microcavity with ADQWs is solved in a rigorous way, by reducing it to an effective matrix Fredholm problem with a factorizable kernel incorporated into the scattering matrix method [34,35].

Figure 2 shows the reflectivity spectra for different values of the static EF F applied in the growth direction and for two different detunings between the CM (dashed



FIG. 2 (color online). Electric-field-dependent reflectivity spectra of the ADQW structure on a linear gray scale black (0.5) to white (1), for  $\gamma = 0.1$  meV and the bare cavity mode at (a) 1.3959 and (b) 1.3897 eV (blue dashed lines). The energy positions of four brightest exciton modes are shown by red circles, with the circle area proportional to the exciton oscillator strength. (b) reproduces well the measured reflection shown in Fig. 3(a) of Ref. [19].

vertical lines) and bare exciton modes (red circles). For small detuning, the four brightest exciton modes of 1s and 2s type, shown in Fig. 2(a) by red circles [36], are all strongly coupled to the cavity, demonstrating multiple anticrossings. As a result, the spectral positions of the polaritons strongly depend on the EF. In particular, the lowest mode demonstrates a redshift by a few meV, following the exciton ground state (GS), which in turn experiences a direct-to-indirect crossover [28]. In the case of a larger detuning shown in Fig. 2(b), which can be achieved, e.g., by changing the cavity width or the angle of light incidence  $\theta$ , bright exciton states, i.e., those having a considerable contribution of the DX, are still strongly coupled to the CM. However, the polariton properties change abruptly with the EF, due to the 1s and 2s IX modes crossing the CM-see in Fig. 2(b) the on and off switching of the strong coupling at around F = 20 kV/cm.

The spectrum shown in Fig. 2(b) is in good agreement with the measured reflection [19]. To reach a quantitative agreement also with the data in Ref. [20], we have calculated the absorption spectra, making a 2-meV full width at half maximum Gaussian convolution of the excitonic-induced susceptibility, in order to include the actual effect of inhomogeneous broadening of the exciton lines [37]. The result is shown in Fig. 3 for two different angles of incidence. At normal incidence, the CM is weakly coupled to ADQWs as demonstrated by a narrow peak in the absorption. This regime changes to the strong coupling for non-normal incidence: At  $\theta = 35^{\circ}$ , one can clearly see three wide spectral bands corresponding to the three polariton modes which were observed in Ref. [20] and analyzed there in terms of the above mentioned three-level model (TLM). The  $\theta = 35^{\circ}$  spectra were used for the fit of the detuning; for details, see Fig. S1 of Supplemental Material [38]. Apart from the missing temperaturedependent state occupation factor and a rigid shift of all



FIG. 3 (color online). Inhomogeneously broadened absorption spectra of the ADQW structure at different EFs, (a) for normal incidence with the bare CM at 1.3796 eV (blue dashed line) and (b) for  $\theta = 35^{\circ}$  with the bare CM at 1.4002 eV. Both (a) and (b) reasonably well reproduce the measured photoluminescence spectra shown in Fig. 2 of Ref. [20].

spectra by 30 meV, a quantitative agreement with the measured photoluminescence [20] is achieved that demonstrates the quality of our approach and justifies our microscopic analysis of dipolariton states given below.

To study the dipolaritons, we switch off the inhomogeneous broadening in our calculation and use the strong coupling case of  $\theta = 35^{\circ}$  discussed above. Also, we have increased the damping to  $\gamma = 0.5$  meV, in order to smear out any signatures of the artificial discretization of the Coulomb continuum, well resolved in Fig. 2. The result is seen in Fig. 4 as a series of peaks in the absorption spectrum [similar to Fig. 3(b)]-dark regions emphasized by yellow dashed lines with numbers indicating the energy positions of the polariton states. Unlike the TLM of Ref. [20], the DX and IX in the present approach are neither eigenstates of the system nor any basis states. Thus, for an adequate comparison with the TLM, we introduce the fractions of DX, IX, and CM in each polariton state by using polariton brightness  $\mathcal{F}$  and dipole moment  $\mathcal{D}$ defined as

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{N}^{-1} \left| \int P(z, z, 0) dz \right|^2, \tag{2}$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{N}^{-1} \int \int \int |P(z_e, z_h, \boldsymbol{\rho})|^2 (z_e - z_h) d\boldsymbol{\rho} dz_e dz_h, \quad (3)$$

$$\mathcal{N} = \int \int \int |P(z_e, z_h, \boldsymbol{\rho})|^2 d\boldsymbol{\rho} dz_e dz_h, \tag{4}$$



FIG. 4 (color online). Electric-field-dependent absorption spectrum of the ADQW structure on a logarithmic gray scale from white (0.1) to black (50), for  $\theta = 35^{\circ}$ , the bare CM position at 1.4002 eV (blue dashed line),  $\gamma = 0.5$  meV, and no inhomogeneous broadening. Polariton states at the peak positions of the absorption are numbered and indicated by yellow solid lines. Polariton modes calculated within the TLM [20] are shown by stars, with the uncoupled CM, DX, and IX modes given by solid blue, dashed green, and dash-dotted magenta lines, respectively, and coupled DX and IX modes by two solid red lines matching well the microscopic calculation (red circles).

where  $\mathcal{N}$  is a normalization integral. The relationship between the DX, IX, and CM components ( $C_{\text{DX}}$ ,  $C_{\text{IX}}$ , and  $C_{\text{CM}}$ , respectively) is then given by

$$(C_{\rm IX}/C_{\rm X})^2 \approx \alpha \mathcal{D}, \qquad (C_{\rm CM}/C_{\rm X})^2 \approx \beta \mathcal{F}, \qquad (5)$$

with  $C_{\rm X}^2 = C_{\rm DX}^2 + C_{\rm IX}^2$ . Indeed, the IX component is accurately determined by the dipole moment, with the darkest exciton corresponding to the maximum e - hseparation. Therefore, at its maximum value  $\mathcal{D} \approx d^*$ , so that  $\alpha = 1/d^*$ , where  $d^*$  is the mean e - h separation in the indirect exciton (details of its calculation are given in Sec. II of Supplemental Material [38]), which for F = 0 almost coincides with the center-to-center distance d between QWs in the ADQW. The CM component is determined by the brightness  $\mathcal{F}$ , which is proportional to the exciton oscillator strength and consequently to the exciton-CM coupling. Then  $\beta$  is evaluated by mapping the coupled Maxwell's and material equations onto the exciton-photon Hamiltonian, which leads to  $\beta = e^2 |d_{cv}|^2 \epsilon_b (\bar{\mathcal{E}}/\bar{P})^2 / \delta_b (\bar{P})^2 / \delta_b$  $(2\pi E_{\rm CM}d)$ , where  $E_{\rm CM}$  is the CM energy,  $\epsilon_b$  is the background dielectric constant, and  $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$  and  $\overline{P}$  are the mean values of the local field and polarization, respectively, inside the ADQW:  $\bar{P}^2 d \approx \int |P(z, z, 0)|^2 dz$ . Normalized fractions  $C_{\rm DX}^2$ ,  $C_{\rm IX}^2$ , and  $C_{\rm CM}^2$  are then calculated by taking the values of  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$ , and  $\mathcal{N}$  at the polariton frequencies.

The relative contribution of DX, IX, and CM to the lowest five polariton states is shown in Fig. 5(a). The ground state (n = 1) has a small CM component—the corresponding exciton state is detuned from the CM-and demonstrates a DX-IX crossover typical for the exciton GS. The first (2s) and higher excited exciton states producing polariton modes n = 2-5 are resonant to the bare CM for some values of the EF (see Fig. 4) and thus demonstrate a considerable CM contribution. For example, state n = 2(n = 5) follows closely the bare CM (dashed blue line in Fig. 4) at small (large) values of the EF, which results in the dominant contribution of the CM to the polariton, as seen in Fig. 5(a). Importantly, their excitonic part consists of IX and DX contributions of similar strength. The maximum dipolariton effect is achieved for states with n = 2, 3, and 5at around F = 12.5, 12, and 13.5 kV/cm, respectively, when the contribution of all three components is nearly the same  $(\sim 1/3)$ . However, an appreciable static dipole moment of the polariton is achieved only together with an appreciable DX fraction. Varying the detuning can reduce the influence of 2s and higher exciton states, resulting in a "darker" polariton, but this always happens at the cost of a significant reduction of the exciton fraction, as demonstrated by Figs. S4 and S5 of Supplemental Material [38].

Finally, Fig. 5(b) repeats the result of the TLM [20], with the relative positions of the DX, IX, and CM and the coupling parameters taken the same as in Ref. [20]. The microscopic energies of ground and the second excited exciton states are well reproduced by this DX-IX coupling;



FIG. 5 (color online). (a) Relative fractions of DX, IX, and CM in the polariton states n = 1-5, seen as maxima in the absorption spectra in Fig. 4, as functions of the EF, for  $\theta = 35^{\circ}$ . (b) The same fractions calculated within the TLM [20] for LP, MP, and UP branches shown in Fig. 4. The black arrow in (b) marks the position of the dark polariton predicted by the TLM, which is not seen, however, in the full calculation (a).

compare the red lines and circles in Fig. 4. The lower (LP), middle (MP), and upper polariton (UP) branches of the TLM also match quite well the positions of the most prominent polariton resonances obtained in the full calculation; compare the dark blue stars with yellow dashed lines or dark regions in Fig. 4. However, there is no good correlation between the CM, IX, and DX fractions calculated in both approaches as is clear from Fig. 5. In particular, there is no dark polariton observed in the microscopic calculation. Furthermore, the bare CM (at 1.4002 eV), corresponding to the spectral minimum in the microcavity reflection calculated without the ADQW structure, does not match the CM in the TLM fit (at 1.3985 eV).

We see the main reason for these disagreements in the large number of exciton states taken into account in our approach and in a significant role of the Coulomb interaction affecting the e - h relative motion which is *a priori* missing in the TLM. Indeed, the usual modeling of cavity polaritons in terms of a matrix Hamiltonian [39] considers only the lowest (1s) exciton states, assuming that much smaller oscillator strengths of 2s and higher exciton states result in their negligible impact on the polariton. In the present case of dipolaritons, the influence of these higher states becomes more perceptible, as the detuning condition

leading to a vanishing DX component cannot be fulfilled for all excitonic states simultaneously. Furthermore, we believe that the concept of dark polaritons is contradictory in itself as the "darkness," originating from spatially indirect states, implies a finite exciton size and the existence of its internal structure. These features are, however, fully neglected in the matrix approach. In other words, particles treated as pointlike objects have infinite mass of their relative motion. The latter, in turn, prohibits any tunneling required for creation of dark polaritons.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated, using a microscopic calculation, the existence of hybrid exciton polariton states with a large static dipole moment, called dipolaritons, and have studied their properties. We show that the dipolaritons have comparable contributions of a long-living indirect exciton, optically bright direct exciton, and photon cavity mode. This is due to the exciton internal structure and, in particular, to 2s and higher excited states which show up as additional anticrossings in optical spectra and spoil the destructive interference responsible for creation of dark polaritons. This clarifies, in particular, that the dark polaritons claimed in Refs. [20,24] are an artifact of a three-level matrix Hamiltonian used there and further suggests a more general conclusion on the absence of dark polaritons in principle, in any realistic, finite-size system. The reflectivity and absorption spectra predicted here are in quantitative agreement with experimental observations and demonstrate an efficient switching between weak and strong coupling regimes, controlled by the applied electric field, which is promising, e.g., for applications as a fast and small electro-optical modulator.

The authors thank W. Langbein, V. D. Kulakovskii, and J. J. Baumberg for discussions. K. S. acknowledges support of the Royal Thai Government.

<sup>\*</sup>egor.muljarov@astro.cf.ac.uk

- A. V. Kavokin, J. J. Baumberg, G. Malpuech, and F. P. Laussy, *Microcavities* (Oxford University, New York, 2007).
- [2] C. Weisbuch, M. Nishioka, A. Ishikawa, and Y. Arakawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 3314 (1992).
- [3] H. Deng et al., Science 298, 199 (2002).
- [4] J. Kasprzak et al., Nature (London) 443, 409 (2006).
- [5] S. Christopoulos et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 126405 (2007).
- [6] D. Bajoni, P. Senellart, E. Wertz, I. Sagnes, A. Miard, A. Lemaître, and J. Bloch, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 047401 (2008).
- [7] G. Christmann, R. Butté, E. Feltin, J.-F. Carlin, and N. Grandjean, Appl. Phys. Lett. 93, 051102 (2008).
- [8] L. V. Butov, C. W. Lai, A. L. Ivanov, A. C. Gossard, and D. S. Chemla, Nature (London) 417, 47 (2002).
- [9] Z. Vörös, D. W. Snoke, L. Pfeiffer, and K. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 016803 (2006).
- [10] A.G. Winbow et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 196806 (2011).
- [11] G. Grosso, J. Graves, A. T. Hammack, A. A. High, L. V. Butov, M. Hanson, and A. C. Gossard, Nat. Photonics 3, 577 (2009).

- [12] R. Rapaport, G. Chen, S. Simon, O. Mitrofanov, L. Pfeiffer, and P. M. Platzman, Phys. Rev. B 72, 075428 (2005).
- [13] C. Schindler and R. Zimmermann, Phys. Rev. B 78, 045313 (2008).
- [14] K. Cohen, R. Rapaport, and P. V. Santos, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 126402 (2011).
- [15] S. Yang, A. T. Hammack, M. M. Fogler, M. M. Butov, and A. C. Gossard, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 187402 (2006).
- [16] V. B. Timofeev and A. V. Gorbunov, J. Appl. Phys. 101, 081708 (2007).
- [17] A. A. High, J. R. Leonard, A. T. Hammack, M. M. Fogler, L. V. Butov, A. V. Kavokin, K. L. Campman, and A. C. Gossard, Nature (London) 483, 584 (2012).
- [18] G. Christmann, C. Coulson, J. J. Baumberg, N. T. Pelekanos, Z. Hatzopoulos, S. I. Tsintzos, and P. G. Savvidis, Phys. Rev. B 82, 113308 (2010).
- [19] G. Christmann, A. Askitopoulos, G. Deligeorgis, Z. Hatzopoulos, S. I. Tsintzos, P. G. Savvidis, and J. J. Baumberg, Appl. Phys. Lett. 98, 081111 (2011).
- [20] P. Cristofolini, G. Christmann, S. I. Tsintzos, G. Deligeorgis, G. Konstantinidis, Z. Hatzopoulos, P. G. Savvidis, and J. J. Baumberg, Science 336, 704 (2012).
- [21] O. Kyriienko, A. V. Kavokin, and I. A. Shelykh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 176401 (2013).
- [22] K. Kristinsson, O. Kyriienko, T. C. H. Liew, and I. A. Shelykh, Phys. Rev. B 88, 245303 (2013).
- [23] C. Coulson, G. Christmann, P. Cristofolini, C. Grossmann, J. J. Baumberg, S. I. Tsintzos, G. Konstantinidis, Z. Hatzopoulos, and P. G. Savvidis, Phys. Rev. B 87, 045311 (2013).
- [24] M. H. Szymańska, Science 336, 679 (2012).
- [25] R. H. Dicke, Phys. Rev. 93, 99 (1954).
- [26] M. Fleischhauer and M. D. Lukin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5094 (2000); Phys. Rev. A 65, 022314 (2002).
- [27] F. Bariani and I. Carusotto, Phys. Rev. A 81, 013836 (2010).
- [28] K. Sivalertporn, L. Mouchliadis, A. L. Ivanov, R. Philp, and E. A. Muljarov, Phys. Rev. B 85, 045207 (2012).
- [29] A. Stahl and I. Balslev, *Electrodynamics of the Semi*conductor Band Edge (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987).
- [30] S.-L. Chuang, S. Schmitt-Rink, D. A. B. Miller, and D. S. Chemla, Phys. Rev. B 43, 1500 (1991).
- [31] E. A. Muljarov and R. Zimmermann, Phys. Rev. B 66, 235319 (2002).
- [32] M. Nakayama, Solid State Commun. 55, 1053 (1985).
- [33] We use the same parameters as in Ref. [28], including  $d_{cv} = 0.6$  nm.
- [34] D. Y. K. Ko and J. C. Inkson, Phys. Rev. B 38, 9945 (1988).
- [35] S. G. Tikhodeev, A. L. Yablonskii, E. A. Muljarov, N. A. Gippius, and T. Ishihara, Phys. Rev. B 66, 045102 (2002).
- [36] More exciton states in the same system are shown in Fig. 9 of Ref. [28].
- [37] L. C. Andreani, G. Panzarini, A. V. Kavokin, and M. R. Vladimirova, Phys. Rev. B 57, 4670 (1998).
- [38] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.077401 for details on comparison with Ref. [20], calculation of the e - h mean separation  $d^*$ , and for analogues of Figs. 4 and 5 calculated for other detunings.
- [39] M. S. Skolnick, T. A. Fisher, and D. M. Whittaker, Semicond. Sci. Technol. 13, 645 (1998).