Indications for a Critical End Point in the Phase Diagram for Hot and Dense Nuclear Matter

Roy A. Lacey

Departments of Chemistry and Physics, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, New York 11794, USA (Received 15 December 2014; revised manuscript received 19 February 2015; published 6 April 2015)

Excitation functions for the Gaussian emission source radii difference $(R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2)$ obtained from twopion interferometry measurements in Au + Au ($\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 7.7–200 GeV) and Pb + Pb ($\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV) collisions are studied for a broad range of collision centralities. The observed nonmonotonic excitation functions validate the finite-size scaling patterns expected for the deconfinement phase transition and the critical end point (CEP), in the temperature versus baryon chemical potential (T, μ_B) plane of the nuclear matter phase diagram. A finite-size scaling (FSS) analysis of these data suggests a second order phase transition with the estimates $T^{\text{cep}} \sim 165 \text{ MeV}$ and $\mu_B^{\text{cep}} \sim 95 \text{ MeV}$ for the location of the critical end point. The critical exponents ($\nu \approx 0.66$ and $\gamma \approx 1.2$) extracted via the same FSS analysis place this CEP in the 3D Ising model universality class.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.142301](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.142301) PACS numbers: 25.75.Dw, 64.60.F-

One of the most fundamental phase transitions is that between the hadron gas and the quark gluon plasma. This deconfinement phase transition is usually depicted in the plane of temperature versus baryon chemical potential (T, μ_B) in the conjectured phase diagram for quantum chromodynamics (QCD) [\[1](#page-3-0)–4]. The detailed character of this QCD phase diagram is not known and current theoretical knowledge is restricted primarily to the $\mu_B = 0$ axis.

Lattice QCD calculations indicate a crossover quarkhadron transition at small μ_B or high collision energies $(\sqrt{s_{NN}})$ [\[5,6\]](#page-4-0). Similar calculations for much larger μ_B values have been hindered by the well-known sign problem [\[7\]](#page-4-1). However, several model approaches [8–[12\],](#page-4-2) as well as mathematical extensions of lattice techniques [\[13](#page-4-3)–16], indicate that the transition at larger values of μ_B (lower beam energies [\[17\]](#page-4-4)) is strongly first order, suggesting the existence of a critical end point (CEP). Pinpointing the location of the phase boundaries and the CEP is central to ongoing efforts to map the QCD phase diagram and to understand the properties of strongly interacting matter under extreme conditions.

The matter produced in ultrarelativistic heavy ion collisions can serve as an important probe for the phase boundaries and the CEP [1–[4\]](#page-3-0). Indeed, a current experimental strategy at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) is centered on beam energy scans which enable a search for nonmonotonic excitation functions over a broad domain of the (T, μ_B) plane. The rationale is that the expansion dynamics of the matter produced in these beam energy scans is strongly influenced by the path of the associated reaction trajectories in the (T, μ_B) plane. Trajectories which are close to the CEP or which cross the coexistence curve for the first order phase transition are expected to be influenced by anomalies in the dynamic properties of the medium. Such anomalies can drive abrupt changes in the transport coefficients and relaxation rates to give a nonmonotonic dependence of the excitation function for the specific viscosity η/s , i.e., the ratio of the shear viscosity η to entropy density s [\[18](#page-4-5)–20].

An emitting system produced in the vicinity of the CEP would also be subject to the influence of a divergence in the compressibility of the medium, resulting in a precipitous drop in the sound speed and a collateral increase in the emission duration. Such effects could also give rise to nonmonotonic dependencies in the excitation functions for the expansion speed [\[21,22\],](#page-4-6) as well as for the difference between the Gaussian emission source radii $(R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2)$ extracted from two-pion interferometry measurements [\[21](#page-4-6)–25]. This radii difference is linked to the emission duration and, consequently, to the compressibility of the medium.

In recent work [\[26,27\],](#page-4-7) a striking pattern of viscous damping, compatible with the expected minimum in the excitation function for η/s [\[19,20\]](#page-4-8) was reported for Au + Au ($\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 7.7–200 GeV) and Pb + Pb ($\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV) collisions. An excitation function for $(R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2)$ extracted for central collisions from the same data sets also indicated a striking nonmonotonic pattern attributed to decay trajectories close to the CEP [\[27,28\]](#page-4-9). Nonetheless, it remains a crucial open question as to whether these nonmonotonic patterns are indeed linked to the deconfinement phase transition and the CEP.

In the limit of an infinite volume, the chiral phase transition is characterized by singularities which reflect the divergences in the derivatives of the thermodynamic potential, e.g., the specific heat and various susceptibilities (y) . Discontinuities in the first and second derivatives signal the first order and second order phase transitions, respectively. These singularities are smeared into finite

peaks with modified positions and widths, for more restricted volumes [\[29,30\].](#page-4-10)

The correlation length ξ diverges near the transition temperature (T^{cep}) as $\xi \propto |\tau|^{-\nu}$ for an infinite volume: $\tau = T - T^{\text{cep}}$. However, for a system of size L^d (d is the dimension) this second order phase transition is expected to show a pseudocritical point for correlation length $\xi \approx L$. This leads to a characteristic power law volume (V) dependence of the magnitude (χ_T^{max}) , width (δT) , and peak position (τ_T) of the susceptibility [\[29\]](#page-4-10):

$$
\chi_T^{\max}(V) \sim L^{\gamma/\nu},\tag{1}
$$

$$
\delta T(V) \sim L^{-(1/\nu)},\tag{2}
$$

$$
\tau_T(V) \sim T^{\rm cep}(V) - T^{\rm cep}(\infty) \sim L^{-(1/\nu)},\tag{3}
$$

where ν and γ are critical exponents which characterize the divergence of ξ and χ_T , respectively. The reduction of the magnitude of $\chi_T^{\max}(V)$ [$\chi_{\mu_B}^{\max}(V)$], the broadening of the transition region $\delta T(V)$ [$\delta \mu_B(V)$], and the shift of T^{cep} (μ_B^{cep}) increases as the volume decreases. A similar set of volume or finite-size dependencies is expected for the first order phase transition, but with unit magnitudes for the critical exponents [\[29\].](#page-4-10) Thus, a profitable route for locating the CEP is to search for—and utilize the characteristic finitesize scaling patterns associated with thee deconfinement phase transition [\[29,30\]](#page-4-10).

In this Letter, we use the Gaussian radii $(R_{\text{out}}$ and $R_{\text{side}})$ extracted from two-pion interferometry measurements to first construct nonmonotonic excitation functions for $(R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2)$ as a function of collision centrality. We then use them to perform validation tests for the characteristic finite-size scaling patterns commonly associated with the deconfinement phase transition and the CEP. We find clear evidence for these scaling properties and use a finite-size scaling (FSS) analysis to extract initial estimates for the (T, μ_B) location of the CEP and the critical exponents associated with it.

The data employed in the present analysis are taken from interferometry measurements by the STAR Collaboration for Au + Au collisions spanning the range $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 7.7–200 GeV [\[31\],](#page-4-11) and by the ALICE Collaboration for Pb + Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \text{ TeV}$ [\[32,33\]](#page-4-12). The STAR measurements have been reported to be in very good agreement with similar PHENIX measurements obtained at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 39, 62.4, and 200 GeV [\[27,28\].](#page-4-9) The systematic uncertainties for these measurements are also reported to be relatively small [\[28,31](#page-4-13)–33].

The geometric quantities employed in our finite-size scaling analysis were obtained from a Monte Carlo– Glauber calculation [34–[36\],](#page-4-14) performed for several collision centralities at each beam energy. In each of these calculations, a subset of the nucleons become participants (N_{part}) in each collision by undergoing an initial inelastic $N + N$ interaction. The transverse distribution of these participants in the X-Y plane has rms widths σ_x and σ_y along its principal axes. We define and compute \bar{R} , the characteristic initial transverse size, as $1/\bar{R} = \sqrt{(1/\sigma_x^2 + 1/\sigma_y^2)}$ [\[37\].](#page-4-15) The systematic uncertainties for \bar{R} , obtained via variation of the model parameters, are less than 10% [\[35,36\]](#page-4-16).

Figure [1](#page-1-0) shows a representative set of excitation functions for $\sqrt{(R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2)}$, obtained for the broad selection of centrality cuts indicated. These excitation functions, which are linked to the compressibility of the medium, all show the nonmonotonic dependence previously conjectured to reflect reaction trajectories close to the critical end point [\[27,28\].](#page-4-9) They also exhibit several characteristic trends: (i) the magnitude of the peaks decrease with increasing centrality (%) or decreasing transverse size, (ii) the positions of the peaks shift to lower values of $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ with an increase in centrality, and (iii) the width of

FIG. 1 (color online). $\sqrt{(R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2)}$ versus $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ for 0%–5%, 5%–10%, 10%–20%, 30%–40%, 40%–50%, and 50%–60% Au + Au and Pb + Pb collisions for $m_T = 0.26$ GeV and 0.29 GeV, respectively. The data are taken from Refs. [31–[33\].](#page-4-11)

FIG. 2 (color online). Comparison of $(R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{s}}^2)$ FIG. 2 (color online). Comparison of $(R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2)$ versus $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ for several centrality selections, as indicated. The data, which are the same as those shown in Fig. [1,](#page-1-0) are taken from Refs. [\[31,32\].](#page-4-11) The solid and dashed curves represent fits to the combined data sets for each centrality, with the Gaussian fit function $(R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2) = a + b \exp(-0.5[(x - c)/d]^2)$.

the distributions grow with centrality. These trends are made more transparent in Fig. [2](#page-2-0) where a direct comparison of the excitation functions for $(R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2)$ is shown. We attribute these qualitative patterns to the finite-size scaling effects expected for the deconfinement phase transition [cf. Eqs. (1) – (3)] and employ the excitation functions in a more quantitative FSS analysis, as discussed below.

Validation tests for finite-size scaling were carried out for the full set of excitation functions as follows. First, we exploit the phenomenology of thermal models [\[38](#page-4-17)–41] for the freeze-out region and associate (T, μ_B) combinations with $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$. Second, we associate $(R_{out}^2 - R_{side}^2)$ with a susceptibility, given its connection to the isoentropic compressibility (κ_S). The three HBT radii R_{out} , R_{side} , and R_{rlong} , which serve to characterize the space-time dimensions of the emitting source, all show a linear dependence on \bar{R} [\[27,28\]](#page-4-9).

Subsequently, a Gaussian fit was used to extract the peak positions, and widths of the excitation functions, for different system sizes characterized by the centrality selections indicated in Fig. [2;](#page-2-0) the magnitude of $(R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2)$ was evaluated at the extracted peak positions as well. The solid and dashed curves shown in the figure gives an indication of the quality of these fits.

The extracted fit parameters were tested for the characteristic finite-size scaling patterns associated with the deconfinement phase transition via Eqs. [\(1\)](#page-1-1) and [\(3\)](#page-1-2) with $L = \overline{R}$,

FIG. 3. (a) Peak position versus \bar{R} . (b) Peak position versus $1/\bar{R}^{1.5}$. The peak positions and associated error bars are obtained from the Gaussian fits shown in Fig. [2](#page-2-0). The dashed curve in (b) shows the fit to the data in (a).

$$
(R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2)^{\text{max}} \propto \bar{R}^{\gamma/\nu},\tag{4}
$$

$$
\sqrt{s_{NN}}(V) = \sqrt{s_{NN}}(\infty) - k \times \bar{R}^{-(1/\nu)},\tag{5}
$$

with the aim of obtaining initial estimates for the critical exponents ν and γ and the infinite volume $\sqrt{s_{NN}}(\infty)$ value where the deconfinement phase transition first occurs; k is a constant. Here, $\delta s \equiv (\sqrt{s_{NN}} - \sqrt{s_{NN}^{\text{cep}}})/\sqrt{s_{NN}^{\text{cep}}}$ gives a measure of the "distance" to the CEP.

Figure [3](#page-2-1) illustrates the finite-size scaling test made for the extracted peak positions $[\sqrt{s_{NN}}(V)]$. Figure [3\(a\)](#page-2-1) shows the peak positions versus \bar{R} while Fig. [3\(b\)](#page-2-1) shows the same peak positions versus $1/\bar{R}^{1.5}$. The dashed curve in Fig. [3\(b\)](#page-2-1), which represents a fit to the data in Fig. $3(a)$ with Eq. (5) , confirms the expected inverse power law dependence of these peaks on \bar{R} . The fit gives the values $\sqrt{s_{NN}}(\infty)$ = 47.5 ± 1.5 GeV and $\nu = 0.67 \pm 0.05$. A similar value for ν was obtained via an analysis of the widths obtained from the Gaussian fits shown in Fig. [2.](#page-2-0) Note that this value of $\sqrt{s_{NN}}(\infty)$ is compatible with the striking pattern observed in the excitation function for viscous damping [\[26,27\]](#page-4-7). This pattern is akin to that expected for $(\eta/s)(T, \mu_B)$ close to the CEP [\[19,20\]](#page-4-8).

Figure [4](#page-3-1) illustrates the results of the finite-size scaling test for $(R_{out}^2 - R_{side}^2)^{\text{max}}$. Figure [4\(a\)](#page-3-1) shows $(R_{out}^2 - R_{side}^2)^{\text{max}}$ versus \bar{R} while Fig. [4\(b\)](#page-3-1) shows the same data plotted versus \bar{R}^2 . The dashed curve in Fig. [4\(b\),](#page-3-1) which represents a fit to the data in Fig. [4\(a\)](#page-3-1) with Eq. [\(4\),](#page-2-3) confirms the expected power law dependence of $(R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2)^{\text{max}}$ on \bar{R} . Note that the trend of this dependence is opposite to the inverse power dependence shown in Fig. [3.](#page-2-1) The fit leads to the estimate $\gamma = 1.15 \pm 0.065$. The indicated uncertainties for ν and γ are derived from the fits.

FIG. 4. (a) $(R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2)^{\text{max}}$ versus \bar{R} . (b) $(R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2)^{\text{max}}$ versus \bar{R}^2 . The $(R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2)^{\text{max}}$ values and associated error bars are evaluated at the peak positions in Fig. [2.](#page-2-0) The dashed curve in (b) shows the fit to the data in (a).

The magnitudes of the extracted values for the critical exponents $\nu = 0.67 \pm 0.05$ and $\gamma = 1.15 \pm 0.065$ are different from the unit values expected for a first order phase transition [\[29\].](#page-4-10) However, they are compatible with the critical exponents for the second order deconfinement phase transition for the 3D Ising model universality class [\[42,43\]](#page-4-18). Consequently, we assign the location of the CEP to the extracted value $\sqrt{s_{NN}}(\infty) = 47.5 \text{ GeV}$ and use the parametrization for chemical freeze-out in Ref. [\[38\]](#page-4-17) to obtain the estimates $\mu_B^{\rm cep} \sim 95$ MeV and $T^{\rm cep} \sim 165$ MeV for its location in the (T, μ_B) plane.

A crucial cross-check for the location of the CEP and its associated critical exponents is the requirement that finitesize scaling for different transverse sizes should lead to data collapse onto a single curve for robust values of T^{cep} , μ_B^{cep} , and the critical exponents ν and γ :

$$
\bar{R}^{-\gamma/\nu} \times (R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2) \text{ versus } \bar{R}^{1/\nu} \times t_T,
$$

\n
$$
\bar{R}^{-\gamma/\nu} \times (R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2) \text{ versus } \bar{R}^{1/\nu} \times t_{\mu_B},
$$
\n(6)

where $t_T = (T - T^{\text{cep}})/T^{\text{cep}}$ and $t_{\mu_B} = (\mu_B - \mu_B^{\text{cep}})/\mu_B^{\text{cep}}$ are the reduced temperature and baryon chemical potential, respectively.

The validation of this cross-check is illustrated in Fig. [5](#page-3-2) where data collapse onto a single curve is indicated for the RHIC excitation functions shown in Fig. [2.](#page-2-0) The parametrization for chemical freeze-out [\[38\]](#page-4-17) is used in conjunction with μ_B^{cep} and T^{cep} to determine the required t_T and t_{μ_B} values from the $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ values plotted in Fig. [2](#page-2-0). Figures [5\(a\)](#page-3-2) and [5\(b\)](#page-3-2) also validate the expected trends for reaction trajectories in the (T, μ_B) domain which encompass the CEP. That is, the scaled values of $(R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2)$ peak at $t_T \approx 0$ and $t_{\mu_B} \approx 0$ and show the collateral falloff for $t_{T,\mu_B} < 0$ and $t_{T,\mu_B} > 0$.

FIG. 5 (color online). (a) $\bar{R}^{-\gamma/\nu} \times (R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2)$ versus $\bar{R}^{1/\nu} \times t_T$. (b) $\bar{R}^{-\gamma/\nu} \times (R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2)$ versus $\bar{R}^{1/\nu} \times t_{\mu_B}$. The $(R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2)$ $(R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2)$ $(R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2)$ values are the same as those in Fig. 2. The parametrization for chemical freeze-out [\[38\]](#page-4-17) is used in conjunction with μ_B^{cep} and T^{cep} to determine t_T and t_{μ_B} .

In summary, we have investigated the centrality dependent excitation functions for the Gaussian emission source radii difference $(R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2)$, obtained from two-pion interferometry measurements in Au + Au ($\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 7.7–200 GeV) and Pb + Pb ($\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV) collisions, to search for the CEP in the nuclear matter phase diagram. The observed centrality dependent nonmonotonic excitation functions validate characteristic finite-size scaling patterns which are consistent with a deconfinement phase transition and the critical end point. An initial finite-size scaling analysis of these data suggests a second order phase transition with $T^{\text{cep}} \sim 165 \text{ MeV}$ and $\mu_B^{\text{cep}} \sim 95 \text{ MeV}$ for the location of the critical end point. The critical exponents ($\nu = 0.67 \pm 0.05$ and $\gamma = 1.15 \pm 0.065$) extracted in the same FSS analysis, places the CEP in the 3D Ising model universality class. Further detailed studies at RHIC are crucial to make a more precise determination of the location of the CEP and the associated critical exponents, as well as to confirm these observations for other collision systems.

The author thanks J. Jia, E. Fraga, B. Schenke, L. Sobotka, and R. Venugopalan for the valuable discussions, and for pointing out several important references. This research is supported by the U.S. DOE under Contract No. DE-FG02-87ER40331.A008.

- [1] N. Itoh, Hydrostatic equilibrium of hypothetical quark stars, [Prog. Theor. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/PTP.44.291) 44, 291 (1970).
- [2] E. V. Shuryak, Report No. CERN-83-01, 1983.
- [3] M. Asakawa and K. Yazaki, Chiral restoration at finite density and temperature, Nucl. Phys. A504[, 668 \(1989\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(89)90002-X)
- [4] M. A. Stephanov, K. Rajagopal, and E. V. Shuryak, Signatures of the Tricritical Point in QCD, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.4816) 81[, 4816 \(1998\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.4816).
- [5] Y. Aoki, G. Endrodi, Z. Fodor, S. Katz, and K. Szabo, The order of the quantum chromodynamics transition predicted by the standard model of particle physics, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature05120) 443[, 675 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature05120).
- [6] T. Bhattacharya, M. I. Buchoff, N. H. Christ, H. T. Ding, R. Gupta et al., QCD Phase Transition with Chiral Quarks and Physical Quark Masses, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.082001) 113, 082001 (2014)
- [7] P. de Forcrand, Simulating QCD at finite density, *Proc. Sci.*, LAT2009 (2009) 010 [[arXiv:1005.0539\].](http://arXiv.org/abs/1005.0539)
- [8] J. Berges and K. Rajagopal, Color superconductivity and chiral symmetry restoration at non-zero baryon density and temperature, Nucl. Phys. B538[, 215 \(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(98)00620-8).
- [9] Y. Hatta and T. Ikeda, Universality, the QCD critical and tricritical point, and the quark number susceptibility, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.67.014028) Rev. D 67[, 014028 \(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.67.014028).
- [10] M. A. Stephanov, QCD phase diagram and the critical point, [Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/PTPS.153.139) 153, 139 (2004).
- [11] M. Asakawa and C. Nonaka, Critical end point and its consequences, Nucl. Phys. A774[, 753 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2006.06.130).
- [12] S. Ejiri, Canonical partition function and finite density phase transition in lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D 78[, 074507 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.074507)
- [13] Z. Fodor and S. Katz, Critical point of QCD at finite T and μ , lattice results for physical quark masses, [J. High Energy](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/04/050) [Phys. 04 \(2004\) 050.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/04/050)
- [14] A. Li, A. Alexandru, and K.-F. Liu, Critical point of $N_f = 3$ QCD from lattice simulations in the canonical ensemble, Phys. Rev. D 84[, 071503 \(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.071503).
- [15] K. Nagata, K. Kashiwa, A. Nakamura, and S. M. Nishigaki, Lee-Yang zero distribution of high temperature QCD and Roberge-Weiss phase transition, [arXiv:1410.0783.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1410.0783)
- [16] P. de Forcrand, J. Langelage, O. Philipsen, and W. Unger, Lattice QCD Phase Diagram In and Away from the Strong Coupling Limit, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113[, 152002 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.152002)
- [17] The baryon chemical potential increases with the decrease in the beam energy while the chemical freeze-out temperature increases with an increase in beam energy [\[38\].](#page-4-17)
- [18] R. A. Lacey, N. Ajitanand, J. Alexander, P. Chung, W. Holzmann, M. Issah, A. Taranenko, P. Danielewicz, and H. Stöcker, Has the QCD Critical Point Been Signaled by Observations at the BNL Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider?, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98[, 092301 \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.092301)
- [19] L. P. Csernai, J. I. Kapusta, and L. D. McLerran, Strongly Interacting Low-Viscosity Matter Created in Relativistic Nuclear Collisions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97[, 152303 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.152303).
- [20] R. A. Lacey et al., An estimate for the location of QCD critical end point, [arXiv:0708.3512.](http://arXiv.org/abs/0708.3512)
- [21] C. M. Hung and E. V. Shuryak, Hydrodynamics near the QCD Phase Transition: Looking for the Longest-Lived Fireball, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.4003) 75, 4003 (1995).
- [22] D. H. Rischke and M. Gyulassy, The time-delay signature of quark-gluon plasma formation in relativistic nuclear collisions, Nucl. Phys. A608[, 479 \(1996\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(96)00259-X)
- [23] S. Pratt, Pion Interferometry for Exploding Sources, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.53.1219) Rev. Lett. 53[, 1219 \(1984\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.53.1219).
- [24] S. Chapman, P. Scotto, and U. W. Heinz, New Cross Term in the Two-Particle Hanbury-Brown–Twiss Correlation

Function in Ultrarelativistic Heavy-Ion Collisions, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.74.4400) Rev. Lett. 74[, 4400 \(1995\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.74.4400).

- [25] U.A. Wiedemann, P. Scotto, and U.W. Heinz, Transverse momentum dependence of Hanbury-Brown–Twiss correlation radii, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.53.918) 53, 918 (1996).
- [26] R. A. Lacey, A. Taranenko, J. Jia, D. Reynolds, N. Ajitanand, J. M. Alexander, Y. Gu, and A. Mwai, Beam Energy Dependence of the Viscous Damping of Anisotropic Flow in Relativistic Heavy Ion Collisions, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.082302) 112, [082302 \(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.082302).
- [27] R. A. Lacey, Beam energy dependence of the expansion dynamics in relativistic heavy ion collisions: Indications for the critical end point?, Nucl. Phys. A931[, 904 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2014.10.036)
- [28] A. Adare et al. (PHENIX Collaboration), Beam-energy and system-size dependence of the space-time extent of the pion emission source produced in heavy ion collisions, [arXiv:1410.2559.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1410.2559)
- [29] M. Ladrem and A. Ait-El-Djoudi, Finite-size effects and scaling for the thermal QCD deconfinement phase transition within the exact color-singlet partition function, [Eur. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s2005-02357-y) J. C 44[, 257 \(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s2005-02357-y)
- [30] L. Palhares, E. Fraga, and T. Kodama, Chiral transition in a finite system and possible use of finite-size scaling in relativistic heavy ion collisions, J. Phys. G 38[, 085101 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/38/8/085101)
- [31] L. Adamczyk et al. (STAR Collaboration), Beam energy dependent two-pion interferometry and the freeze-out eccentricity of pions in heavy ion collisions at STAR, [arXiv:1403.4972.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1403.4972)
- [32] K. Aamodt et al. (ALICE Collaboration), Two-pion Bose-Einstein correlations in central Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2010.12.053) **696**, 328 (2011).
- [33] A. Kisiel (ALICE Collaboration), Proc. Sci., WPCF2011 (2011) 003.
- [34] M. L. Miller, K. Reygers, S. J. Sanders, and P. Steinberg, Glauber modeling in high-energy nuclear collisions, [Annu.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.nucl.57.090506.123020) [Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.nucl.57.090506.123020) 57, 205 (2007).
- [35] R. A. Lacey, R. Wei, J. Jia, N. N. Ajitanand, J. M. Alexander, and A. Taranenko, Initial eccentricity fluctuations and their relation to higher-order flow harmonics, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.83.044902) 83, [044902 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.83.044902)
- [36] A. Adare et al. (PHENIX Collaboration), Centrality categorization for $R_{p(d)+A}$ in high-energy collisions, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.90.034902) Rev. C 90[, 034902 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.90.034902)
- [37] R. Bhalerao, J.-P. Blaizot, N. Borghini, and J.-Y. Ollitrault, Elliptic flow and incomplete equilibration at RHIC, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2005.08.131) Lett. B 627[, 49 \(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2005.08.131).
- [38] J. Cleymans, H. Oeschler, K. Redlich, and S. Wheaton, Status of chemical freeze-out, J. Phys. G 32[, S165 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/32/12/S21)
- [39] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, and J. Stachel, Thermal hadron production in relativistic nuclear collisions, Acta Phys. Pol. B 40, 1005 (2009).
- [40] F.Becattini,M.Bleicher,T.Kollegger,M.Mitrovski,T.Schuster, and R. Stock, Hadronization and hadronic freeze-out in relativistic nuclear collisions, Phys. Rev. C 85[, 044921 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.85.044921).
- [41] A. N. Tawfik, Equilibrium statistical-thermal models in highenergy physics, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X1430021X) 29, 1430021 (2014).
- [42] E. V. Andrea Pelissetto, Critical phenomena and renormalization-group theory, Phys. Rep. 368[, 549 \(2002\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(02)00219-3)
- [43] H. Kleinert, Critical exponents from seven-loop strongcoupling φ^4 theory in three dimensions, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.60.085001) 60, [085001 \(1999\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.60.085001)