Near-Extreme Statistics of Brownian Motion

Anthony Perret,^{1,[*](#page-4-0)} Alain Comtet,^{1,2[,†](#page-4-1)} Satya N. Majumdar,^{1,[‡](#page-4-2)} and Grégory Schehr^{1,[§](#page-4-3)}

¹Université Paris-Sud-Paris 11, CNRS, LPTMS, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France
²Université Pierre et Marie Curie Paris 6, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

 2 Université Pierre et Marie Curie-Paris 6, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

(Received 30 May 2013; revised manuscript received 18 September 2013; published 9 December 2013)

We study the statistics of near-extreme events of Brownian motion (BM) on the time interval $[0, t]$. We focus on the density of states near the maximum, $\rho(r, t)$, which is the amount of time spent by the process at a distance r from the maximum. We develop a path integral approach to study functionals of the maximum of BM, which allows us to study the full probability density function of $\rho(r, t)$ and obtain an explicit expression for the moments $\langle \left[\rho(r, t) \right]^k \rangle$ for arbitrary integer k. We also study near extremes of constrained BM, like the Brownian bridge. Finally we also present numerical simulations to check our analytical results.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.240601](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.240601) PACS numbers: 05.40.Fb, 02.50.Cw, 05.40.Jc

Introduction.—Since its first developments in the early 1930s, extreme value statistics (EVS) have found an increasing number of applications. Besides the fields of engineering $[1]$ $[1]$, natural sciences $[2]$ $[2]$ $[2]$, or finance $[3,4]$ $[3,4]$ $[3,4]$ $[3,4]$, where EVS have been applied for a long time, extreme value questions play also now a key role in physics [\[5–](#page-4-8)[7\]](#page-4-9).

The standard question of EVS concerns the maximum X_{max} (or the minimum X_{min}) among a collection of N random variables X_1, \ldots, X_N . However the fluctuations of this global quantity X_{max} give only a partial information about the extreme events in this sequence of random variables. For instance, if X_i 's represent the energy levels of a disordered system, the low but finite temperature physics of this system is instead determined by the statistical properties of the states with an energy close to the ground state, i.e., ''near minimal'' states [\[7](#page-4-9)–[10](#page-4-10)]. Nearextreme events are naturally related to the subject of order statistics [\[11\]](#page-4-11), where one considers not only the first maximum X_{max} but also the second or third one, and more generally the kth maximum. Order statistics recently arose in various problems of statistical physics to characterize the crowding of near extremes [\[12–](#page-4-12)[16](#page-4-13)].

Besides their relevance in physics, near extremes are also important for various applied sciences. This is for instance the case in natural sciences or in finance where extreme events like earthquakes or financial crashes are usually preceded and followed by foreshocks and aftershocks [\[17–](#page-4-14)[19](#page-4-15)]. This is also a natural question in climatology where a maximal (or minimal) temperature is usually accompanied by a heat (or cold) wave, which can have drastic consequences [[20](#page-4-16),[21](#page-4-17)]. Similar questions arise in the context of sporting events, like marathon packs [\[22\]](#page-4-18).

In all these situations a natural and useful quantity to characterize the crowding of near extremes is the density of states (DOS) near the maximum, $\rho(r, t)$ [\[20\]](#page-4-16). For a continuous stochastic process $x(\tau)$ in the time interval [0, t], the DOS is defined as the DOS is defined as

$$
\rho(r,t) = \int_0^t \delta[x_{\text{max}} - x(\tau) - r]d\tau, \tag{1}
$$

where $x_{\text{max}} = \max_{0 \le \tau \le t} x(\tau)$. Hence, $\rho(r, t) dr$ denotes the amount of time spent by $x(\tau)$ at a distance within the amount of time spent by $x(\tau)$ at a distance within the
interval $[x r + d\tau]$ from r (see Fig. 1). Hence $g(r, t)$ interval [r, $r + dr$] from x_{max} (see Fig. [1\)](#page-0-0). Hence $\rho(r, t)$ is similar to the so-called "local time" [\[23\]](#page-4-19) $T_{\text{loc}}(r, t) =$ $\int_0^t \delta[x(\tau) - r] d\tau$, with the major difference that in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-1)
the distances are measured from x which is itself a the distances are measured from x_{max} , which is itself a random variable. Note that, by definition, $\int_{0}^{\infty} \rho(r, t) dr = t$.
Clearly, $\rho(r, t)$ is a random variable as it fluctuates from Clearly, $\rho(r, t)$ is a random variable as it fluctuates from one realization of $\{x(\tau)\}_{0 \leq \tau \leq t}$ to another one: an important question is then to characterize its fluctuations question is then to characterize its fluctuations.

This question has attracted much attention during the last 15 years, both in statistics [\[24](#page-4-20)[,25\]](#page-4-21), often motivated by problems related to insurance risks, and more recently in statistical physics [[20](#page-4-16)], and in econophysics [\[26\]](#page-4-22). Despite important literature on this subject, the only available

FIG. 1 (color online). One realization of the stochastic process $x(\tau)$ on the time interval [0, t], with a width $W(t) =$
max_{o s s} $x(\tau)$ = min_{o s s} $x(\tau)$ x(τ) spends a time o(x t)dx at $\max_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} x(\tau) - \min_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} x(\tau)$. $x(\tau)$ spends a time $\rho(r, t) dr$ at a distance within $[r, r + dr]$ (the green strine) from the maxia distance within $[r, r + dr]$ (the green stripe) from the maxi-
mum x with $q(r, t)$ being the DOS (1) Inset: The average mum x_{max} , with $\rho(r, t)$ being the DOS ([1](#page-0-1)). Inset: The average DOS for BM $\langle \rho(r, t) \rangle = \sqrt{t} \bar{\rho} (r/\sqrt{t})$ where the exact scaling function $\bar{\rho}(r)$ in Eq. (2) is compared to simulations function $\bar{\rho}(x)$ in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0) is compared to simulations.

results concern independent and identically distributed random variables, where $x(\tau_1)$ and $x(\tau_2)$ for $\tau_1 \neq \tau_2$ are
uncorrelated (or weakly correlated [201). Yet many situuncorrelated (or weakly correlated [\[20\]](#page-4-16)). Yet, many situations where near extremes are important, like disordered systems or earthquakes statistics, involve strongly correlated variables. Recent studies in physics, like the fluctuations at the tip of the branching Brownian motion [\[12,](#page-4-12)[13\]](#page-4-23), or order statistics of time series displaying $1/f^{\alpha}$ correlations [\[14\]](#page-4-24), including Brownian motion (BM) [\[15,](#page-4-25)[16\]](#page-4-13), have also unveiled the importance of near-extreme statistics for strongly correlated variables. Hence, any exact result on near extremes of strongly correlated variables would be of wide interest.

In this Letter, we make a first step in that direction and focus on the case where $x(\tau)$ is a one-dimensional
Brownian motion. It starts from $x(0) = 0$ and evolves Brownian motion. It starts from $x(0) = 0$, and evolves via $\dot{x}(\tau) = \zeta(\tau)$, $\zeta(\tau)$ being Gaussian white noise,
 $\langle \zeta(\tau) \rangle \zeta(\tau') \rangle = \delta(\tau - \tau')$ In this case the time series $\langle \zeta(\tau) \zeta(\tau') \rangle = \delta(\tau - \tau')$. In this case, the time series $\{\zeta(\tau)\}_{\zeta \leq \tau}$ is clearly a set of strongly correlated variables ${x(\tau)}_{0 \leq \tau \leq t}$ is clearly a set of strongly correlated variables
as $(x(\tau, \nu(\tau)) = \min(\tau, \tau)$ [and $\langle x(\tau, \nu(\tau)) \rangle = (1/\tau)$] as $\langle x(\tau_1)x(\tau_2)\rangle = \min(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ [and $\langle x(\tau_1)\rangle = \langle x(\tau_2)\rangle = 0$].
For this simple vet nontrivial strongly correlated process For this simple yet nontrivial strongly correlated process, we are able to provide a complete analytical characterization of the statistics of $\rho(r, t)$. Let us begin by summarizing our main results.

We first focus on the average DOS and show that $\langle \rho(r, t) \rangle = t^{1/2} \bar{\rho}(r/t^{1/2})$, such that $\int_0^\infty \langle \rho(r, t) \rangle dr = t$, with

$$
\bar{\rho}(x) = 8[h(x) - h(2x)],
$$

\n
$$
h(x) = \frac{e^{-x^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - \frac{x}{2} \text{erfc}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right),
$$
\n(2)

where erfc $(x) = (2/\sqrt{\pi}) \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-y^2} dy$. In the inset of Fig. [1](#page-0-0)
we show a plot of $\bar{\rho}(x)$. It behaves linearly $\bar{\rho}(x) \sim 4x$ we show a plot of $\bar{\rho}(x)$. It behaves linearly, $\bar{\rho}(x) \sim 4x$ for $x \to 0$, vanishes as $\bar{\rho}(x) \propto x^{-2} e^{-x^2/2}$ for $x \to \infty$, and exhibits a maximum for $x = 0.514.54$ slightly exhibits a maximum for $x_{typ} = 0.51454...$, slightly smaller than the average value $x_{\text{ave}} = \sqrt{2/\pi} = 0.797.88$ The fact that $\bar{\sigma}(x)$ does not vanish too ranidly 0.797 88 The fact that $\bar{p}(x)$ does not vanish too rapidly as $x \rightarrow 0$ indicates that, on average, there is no gap between x_{max} and the rest of the crowd; hence " x_{max} is not lonely at the top.'' The mean DOS for BM [\(2\)](#page-1-0) is thus quite different from the independent and identically distributed case [\[20\]](#page-4-16); in that case, depending on whether the tail of the parent distribution of the X_i 's decays slower than, faster than, or as a pure exponential, the limiting mean DOS converges to three different limiting forms, which are clearly different from Eq. (2) (2) .

The DOS is a random variable ([1\)](#page-0-1) and its average is not sufficient to characterize its statistics. We thus study its full probability density function (PDF) $P_t(\rho, r)$, as a function of ρ , for different values of the parameter r. This PDF is a particular case of a functional of the maximum of the BM. In this Letter, we establish a general framework, using path integral, to study such functionals of x_{max} and obtain $P_t(\rho, r)$ exactly. We show that it has an unusual form with a peak $\propto \delta(\rho)$ at $\rho = 0$, in addition to a nontrivial continuous background density $p_t(\rho, r)$ for $\rho > 0$. We show that the amplitude of this peak $\propto \delta(\rho)$ has a probabilistic interpretation, so that $P_t(\rho, r)$ reads

$$
P_t(\rho, r) = F_W(r, t)\delta(\rho) + p_t(\rho, r), \tag{3}
$$

where $F_W(r, t) = \text{Prob.}[W(t) \le r]$, given in Eq. [\(13\)](#page-2-0), is the probability that the width $W(t) = \max_{0 \le \tau \le t} \chi(\tau)$
min_{o to} $\chi(\tau)$ is smaller than r. This can be understo $\min_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \chi(\tau)$ is smaller than r. This can be understood
because if $W(t)$ is smaller than r the amount of time spent because if $W(t)$ is smaller than r, the amount of time spent by the process at a distance within $[r, r + dr]$ from the maximum is 0 (see Fig. [1\)](#page-0-0), yielding the delta peak at $\rho = 0$. On the other hand, in Eq. ([3](#page-1-1)), $p_t(\rho, r) =$ $t^{-(1/2)} p_1(\rho/\sqrt{t}, r/\sqrt{t})$ is a regular function of ρ , for $r > 0$
(see Fig. 2). We obtain an explicit expression of its Laplace (see Fig. [2](#page-1-2)). We obtain an explicit expression of its Laplace transform (LT) with respect to t given below [Eq. [\(12](#page-2-1))]. From it we extract the asymptotic behaviors

$$
p_1(\rho, r) = \begin{cases} p_1(0, r) + \mathcal{O}(\rho), & \rho \to 0 \\ \frac{\rho^2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(\rho + 2r)^2/2} [1 + \mathcal{O}(\rho^{-1})], & \rho \to \infty \end{cases}
$$
 (4)

where $p_1(0, r)$ is a nontrivial function of r, given in Eq. [\(14\)](#page-3-0). For BM, which is continuous both in space and time, the probabilistic interpretation of $p_1(\rho, r)$ exactly at $\rho = 0$, $p_1(0, r)$, is a bit ill defined. Indeed, roughly speaking, $p_1(0, r)$ is the probability that the trajectory visits the points located at a distance within [r, $r + dr$] from x_{max} only ''a few times.'' But we know that, if a site is visited once by BM, it will be visited again infinitely many times right after. As shown below, it is however possible to give a probabilistic interpretation to $p_1(0, r)$ by considering BM as a limit of a discrete lattice random walk (RW). We also

FIG. 2 (color online). Plot of $P_1(\rho, r)$ as a function of ρ for different values of r. The solid lines for $\rho = 0$ represent the $\delta(\rho)$ peak, $\propto \delta(\rho)$ in Eq. ([12](#page-2-1)). The dotted lines correspond to our exact analytical results for the background density $p_1(\rho, r)$ in Eq. (12) (12) (12) —where the inverse LT with respect to s has been performed numerically (in green for $r = 2.5$, purple for $r =$ 1.2, and blue for $r = 0.2$ as indicated on the r axis)—while the red dots indicate the results of simulations. On the $z = 0$ plane, we have plotted the exact mean DOS in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0).

obtain an exact expression for the moments of arbitrary order $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mu_k(r, t) = \langle [\rho(r, t)]^k \rangle$ given in Eq. [\(11\)](#page-2-2). Finally, we show that our method can be extended to study the DOS of constrained BMs, like the Brownian bridge (BB), i.e., BM starting and ending at the origin.

Free BM.—To study analytically the PDF of $\rho(r, t)$, we compute its LT, $\langle e^{-\lambda \rho(r,t)} \rangle$. This is a particular functional of
r of the form $\langle \exp[-\lambda] \int_a^t d\tau V(r) - r(\tau) d\rho \rangle$. In our x_{max} , of the form $\langle \exp[-\lambda \int_0^t d\tau V(x_{\text{max}} - x(\tau))] \rangle$. In our case [\(1](#page-0-1)) $V(y) = \delta(y - r)$ but the path integral method that
we develop below holds actually for any arbitrary function we develop below holds actually for any arbitrary function $V(y)$. Denoting by t_{max} the time at which the maximum is reached, the two intervals [0, t_{max}] and $[t_{\text{max}}, t]$ are statistically independent (as BM is Markovian), and the PDF of t_{max} is given by the arcsine law $P(t_{\text{max}})$ = $1/[\pi \sqrt{t_{\text{max}}(t - t_{\text{max}})}]$ [\[23\]](#page-4-19). The process $y(\tau) = x_{\text{max}} - x(\tau)$
is obviously a BM which stavs positive on [0, t] By is obviously a BM which stays positive on $[0, t]$. By reversing the time arrow in the interval [0, t_{max}] and taking t_{max} as the new origin of time, we see that $y(\tau)$ is built from
two independent Brownian meanders (BMes); one of duratwo independent Brownian meanders (BMes): one of duration t_{max} and the other (independent) one of duration $t - t_{\text{max}}$ (see the Supplemental Material [[27](#page-4-26)]). We recall
that a BMe of duration T is a BM starting at the origin that a BMe of duration T is a BM, starting at the origin, staying positive on the time interval [0, T] and ending anywhere on the positive axis at time T . Therefore one has

$$
\langle e^{-\lambda} \int_0^t d\tau V[x_{\text{max}} - x(\tau)] \rangle = \int_0^t dt_{\text{max}} \varphi(t_{\text{max}}) \varphi(t - t_{\text{max}}), \quad (5)
$$

$$
\varphi(\tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi \tau}} \langle e^{-\lambda} \int_0^{\tau} du V[y(u)] \rangle_+, \tag{6}
$$

where $\langle \cdots \rangle_+$ denotes an average over the trajectories of a BMe $y(\tau)$. In Eq. [\(6\)](#page-2-3) the prefactor $1/\sqrt{\pi \tau}$ comes from the PDF of t. This functional of the BMe $g(\tau)$ can then be PDF of t_{max} . This functional of the BMe $\varphi(\tau)$ can then be computed using path-integral techniques [28] which needs computed using path-integral techniques [[28](#page-4-27)], which needs to be suitably adapted to our case. Indeed, for a BMe, which is continuous both in space and time, it is well known that one cannot impose simultaneously $y(0) = 0$ and $y(0^+) > 0$. This can be circumvented [\[29,](#page-4-28)[30\]](#page-4-29) by introducing a cutoff $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $y(0) = \varepsilon$ and then taking eventually the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$ of the following ratio defining $\varphi(\tau)$ in Eq. ([6](#page-2-3)):

$$
\langle e^{-\lambda} \int_0^{\tau} du V[y(u)] \rangle_+ = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\int_0^{\infty} \langle y_F | e^{-H_{\lambda} \tau} | \varepsilon \rangle dy_F}{\int_0^{\infty} \langle y_F | e^{-H_0 \tau} | \varepsilon \rangle dy_F}, \quad (7)
$$

$$
H_{\lambda} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \lambda V(x) + V_{\text{wall}}(x), \tag{8}
$$

where $V_{\text{wall}}(x)$ is a hard-wall potential, $V_{\text{wall}}(x) = 0$ for $x \ge 0$, and $V_{\text{wall}}(x) = +\infty$ for $x < 0$, which guarantees that the walker stays positive, as it should for a BMe. Note that in Eq. ([7](#page-2-4)), y_F denotes the final point of the BMe, which can be anywhere on the positive axis. The convolution structure of the expression in Eq. [\(5](#page-2-5)) suggests to compute its LT with respect to t . Using the above result

in Eq. ([7\)](#page-2-4) applied to $V(x) = \delta(x - r)$ one finds, after some manipulations (see the Supplemental Material 1271) manipulations (see the Supplemental Material [\[27\]](#page-4-26))

$$
\int_0^\infty dt e^{-st} \langle e^{-\lambda \rho(r,t)} \rangle = \frac{1}{s} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2s} + \lambda (1 - e^{-\sqrt{2s}r})^2}{\sqrt{2s} + \lambda (1 - e^{-2\sqrt{2s}r})} \right)^2.
$$
 (9)

The expansion of Eq. ([9\)](#page-2-6) in powers of λ yields the LT of the moments $\tilde{\mu}_k(r, s) = \int_0^\infty \mu_k(r, t)e^{-st}dt$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. To invert these LTs, we introduce the family of functions $\Phi^{(j)}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, which satisfy

$$
\frac{e^{-\sqrt{2s}u}}{(\sqrt{2s})^{j+1}} = \int_0^\infty t^{(j-1)/2} \Phi^{(j)}\left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{t}}\right) e^{-st} dt. \tag{10}
$$

These functions can be obtained explicitly by induction, using $\Phi^{(0)}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2}, \quad \Phi^{(j+1)}(x) = \int_x^{\infty} \Phi^{(j)}(u) du$ [\[31](#page-4-30)[,32\]](#page-4-31). In terms of the $\Phi^{(j)}$'s [\(10\)](#page-2-7), we obtain

$$
\mu_k(r, 1) = 8k! \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} (-1)^l {k-1 \choose l} [(2l+1)\Phi^{(k+1)}((2l+1)r) + (k-2(l+1))\Phi^{(k+1)}(2(l+1)r)].
$$
\n(11)

For $k = 1$, this yields the result in Eq. ([2\)](#page-1-0), using $\Phi^{(2)}(x) = h(x)$ in Eq. (2). By inverting the IT with respect to λ in $h(x)$ in Eq. [\(2](#page-1-0)). By inverting the LT with respect to λ in Eq. (9) (9) , we obtain

$$
\int_0^\infty e^{-st} P_t(\rho, r) dt
$$
\n
$$
= \delta(\rho) \frac{(e^{-\sqrt{2s}r} - 1)^2}{s(1 + e^{-\sqrt{2s}r})^2} + \frac{e^{-\rho\sqrt{2s}e^{\sqrt{2s}r}/(2\sinh(\sqrt{2s}r))}}{\cosh^3(\frac{r\sqrt{2s}}{2})}
$$
\n
$$
\times \left(\frac{e^{r\sqrt{2s}/2}}{\sqrt{2s}} + \frac{\rho e^{\sqrt{2s}r}}{4\sinh(r\sqrt{2s})\sinh(\frac{r\sqrt{2s}}{2})}\right),
$$
\n(12)

which has a much more complicated analytical structure than the corresponding LT of the PDF of the local time $T_{\text{loc}}(r, t)$ of free BM [\[33\]](#page-4-32).

After Laplace inversion with respect to s of Eq. (12) , one obtains the formula announced in Eq. [\(3](#page-1-1)). Indeed we can check that the coefficient of the term $\propto \delta(\rho)$ ([12](#page-2-1)) is the LT with respect to t of

$$
F_W(r, t) = 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 4l(-1)^l \text{erfc}(l\frac{r}{\sqrt{2t}}), \quad (13)
$$

which corresponds precisely to the distribution of the width of BM [\[34\]](#page-4-33). The second term, which is the LT with respect to t of $p_t(\rho, r)$ [[35\]](#page-4-34), has a more complicated structure. By analyzing it for small and large ρ we obtain the asymptotic behaviors given in Eq. [\(4](#page-1-3)). In particular the limiting function $p_t(0, r) = \lim_{\rho \to 0} p_t(\rho, r)$ in Eq. ([4\)](#page-1-3) is given by (see the Supplemental Material [[27](#page-4-26)])

$$
p_t(0, r) = \frac{1}{2} \delta(r) + 2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi t}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{l+1} l(l+1) e^{-l^2 r^2/(2t)},
$$
\n(14)

such that $\lim_{r\to 0^+} p_t(0, r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}$. As we explained it above, the meaning of $p_t(\rho = 0, r)$ ([14](#page-3-0)) is a bit unclear for BM. One can however make sense of this quantity by considering BM as the scaling limit of a lattice RW of n steps, when $n \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, we can show that in this case the delta peak, $\propto \delta(r)$, in $p_t(0, r)$ ([14](#page-3-0)) corresponds to trajectories with a unique maximum. The amplitude $1/2$ in front of this delta peak implies that, when $n \rightarrow \infty$, the probability that the RW has a unique maximum is $1/2$. This result can also be checked by an independent direct calculation. For such a lattice RW, it is also possible (see the Supplemental Material [[27](#page-4-26)]) to give a probabilistic interpretation to the infinite sum in Eq. [\(14\)](#page-3-0). Finally, in Fig. [2](#page-1-2) we show the results of $p_1(\rho, r)$ obtained from numerical simulations (averages are performed over $10⁷$ samples) for three different values of r. We see that they are in perfect agreement with our exact formula ([12](#page-2-1)).

Brownian bridge.—In the case of a BB, the method presented above can be straightforwardly adapted to compute the PDF of the DOS $\rho^{B}(r, t)$ with the simple modification that the PDF of t_{max} is now uniform (a consequence of periodic boundary conditions). There is however a simpler way to do this calculation by mapping $\rho^{B}(r, t)$ to the (standard) local time of a Brownian excursion (BE), which is a BB conditioned to stay positive. To construct this mapping, we first transform the path by considering $y(\tau)$ = $x_{\text{max}} - x(\tau)$. We then break the time interval into two parts
 $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & t \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} t & t \end{bmatrix}$ and permute the two associated $[0, t_{\text{max}}]$ and $[t_{\text{max}}, t]$ and permute the two associated portions of the path, the continuity of the path being guaranteed by $x(t) = x(0) = 0$. We finally take the origin of times at t_{max} to obtain a BE $x_E(\tau)$ on the interval
10 τ 1. This construction is well known in the literature $[0, t]$. This construction is well known in the literature under the name of Vervaat's transformation [\[38\]](#page-4-35). This shows that $\rho^{B}(r, t)$ is identical in law to the local time $T_{\text{loc}}^{E}(r, t)$ in r [\[23\]](#page-4-19)

$$
\rho^{B}(r,t) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} T_{\text{loc}}^{E}(r,t) = \int_{0}^{t} \delta[x_{E}(\tau) - r]d\tau, \qquad (15)
$$

for the BE $x_E(\tau)$. By performing a similar transformation,
substituting $t = \frac{1}{\tau}$ by $t = -\frac{1}{\tau}$ the time at which the minimum substituting t_{max} by t_{min} —the time at which the minimum is reached—we can show that $\rho^{B}(r, t)$ for BB and $\rho^{E}(r, t)$ for BE are identical in law.

The LT of the PDF of $T_{\text{loc}}^E(r, t)$ in Eq. [\(15\)](#page-3-1), $\langle e^{-\lambda T_{\text{loc}}^E(r, t)} \rangle_E$, where $\langle \cdots \rangle_E$ refers to the average over the BE, can be computed using path integral techniques. As explained above in Eq. [\(7](#page-2-4)) we introduce a cutoff $\epsilon > 0$ such that $x_E(0) = x_E(t) = \varepsilon$ and obtain $\langle e^{-\lambda T_{\text{loc}}^E(r,t)} \rangle_E$ as

$$
\langle e^{-\lambda T_{\text{loc}}^{E}(r,t)}\rangle_{E} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\langle \varepsilon |e^{-H_{\lambda}t} | \varepsilon \rangle}{\langle \varepsilon |e^{-H_{0}t} | \varepsilon \rangle},\tag{16}
$$

where H_{λ} is given in Eq. [\(8](#page-2-8)) with $V(x) = \delta(x - r)$. The spectrum of H_{λ} can be computed and one obtains spectrum of H_{λ} can be computed and one obtains

$$
\langle e^{-\lambda T_{\text{loc}}^{E}(r,t)}\rangle = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dk \sqrt{\frac{2t^3}{\pi}} k^2 e^{-k^2 t/2}}{1 + \frac{4\lambda}{k} \sin(kr) (\frac{\lambda}{k} \sin(kr) + \cos(kr))}.
$$
\n(17)

By studying the large λ behavior of Eq. [\(17\)](#page-3-2), which is of order $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^0)$, we can show that the PDF of $\rho^B(r, t)$ has an expression similar to, albeit different from, the one for BM in Eq. ([3\)](#page-1-1): $P_t^B(\rho, r) = F_W^B(r, t) \delta(\rho) + p_t^B(\rho, r)$, where $F_W^B(r, t)$ is the distribution function of the width of the

RB [30] $F_B^B(r, t) = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-2t^2/2} [1 - (4t^2r^2/t)]$ BB [[39](#page-4-36)] $F_W^B(r, t) = 1 + 2 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} e^{-2l^2r^2/t} [1 - (4l^2r^2/t)],$
while $p^B(\alpha, r)$ is now a different distribution while $p_t^B(\rho, r)$ is now a different distribution.
Although the moments $u^B(r, t) = \sqrt{\frac{\rho B(r)}{r}}$

Although the moments $\mu_k^B(r, t) = \langle [\rho^B(r, t)]^k \rangle$ can be tained from Eq. (17), there is a much simpler way to obtained from Eq. ([17](#page-3-2)), there is a much simpler way to compute them by using the mapping to $T_{\text{loc}}^E(r, t)$ of a BE
(15) One has indeed $u^B(r, t) = (\mathbf{\Pi}^k - \mathbf{\hat{f}}^t dt, \delta[r_0(t, t) - r])$ [\(15\)](#page-3-1). One has indeed $\mu_k^B(r,t) = \langle \prod_{i=1}^k \int_0^t dt_i \delta[x_E(t_i) - r] \rangle_E$, which can be written as convolutions of propagators of the BE. This calculation can be performed to get [see also Eq. (38) in Ref. [\[40\]](#page-4-37)]

$$
\mu_k^B(r, 1) = 2\sqrt{2\pi}k! \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} (-1)^l \binom{k-1}{l} \Phi^{(k-2)}[2r(l+1)],
$$
\n(18)

with $\Phi^{(-1)} = -d\Phi^{(0)}/dr$ and where the $\Phi^{(j)}$'s have been
defined below Eq. (10) For $k = 1$ one finds the mean defined below Eq. ([10](#page-2-7)). For $k = 1$, one finds the mean DOS for the BB on the unit time interval, $\bar{\rho}^B(x) =$ $\mu_1^B(x, 1) = 4xe^{-2x^2}$, as found in Ref. [[40](#page-4-37)]. Note that it coincides in this case with the PDF of the maximum of a coincides in this case with the PDF of the maximum of a BB [which is a generic property for periodic signals such that $x(t) = x(0)$ [[41](#page-4-38)]].

One can also show that Eq. (18) (18) (18) yields back the complicated though explicit formula for $p_t^B(\rho, r)$ found in
Ref [40,42] using a completely different method. In par-Ref. [[40](#page-4-37),[42](#page-4-39)] using a completely different method. In particular, for large ρ , one finds $p_1^B(\rho, r) \sim 16\rho^3 e^{-(\rho+2r)^2/2}$
[40.42] slightly different from Eq. (4) for BM while [\[40](#page-4-37)[,42\]](#page-4-39), slightly different from Eq. ([4\)](#page-1-3) for BM, while $\lim_{\rho \to 0} p_1^B(\rho, r) = p_1^B(0, r)$ where $p_1^B(0, r) = \frac{1}{2}\delta(r) + \frac{1}{2} F(B(r, r))$. This formula can be interpreted exactly as $\frac{1}{2}\partial_r F_W^B(r, t)$. This formula can be interpreted exactly as we did for BM [see below Eq. [\(14\)](#page-3-0)].

Discussion.—The method developed here, in particular the formulas in Eqs. $(5)-(7)$ $(5)-(7)$ $(5)-(7)$. is very general and can be used to study any functional of x_{max} . Here we have studied the case of $\rho(r, t)$ in Eq. ([1\)](#page-0-1), which corresponds to $V(x) =$ $\delta(x - r)$ but another class of functionals of x_{max} , with
several annications are of the form $T(t) = f'(x)$ several applications, are of the form $T_{\alpha}(t) = \int_{0}^{+\infty} [x_{\text{max}} - x(\tau)]^{\alpha} d\tau$. They correspond to a potential $V(x) = x^{\alpha}$ in $[x(\tau)]^{\alpha} d\tau$. They correspond to a potential $V(x) = x^{\alpha}$ in Fox (7) and (8). The case $\alpha = -1$ is quite interesting as Eqs. [\(7](#page-2-4)) and [\(8](#page-2-8)). The case $\alpha = -1$ is quite interesting as
T $\alpha(t)$ describes the fluctuations of the cost of the optimal $T_{-1}(t)$ describes the fluctuations of the cost of the optimal
search algorithm for the maximum of a RW [43,441]. search algorithm for the maximum of a RW [[43](#page-4-40),[44](#page-4-41)]. The case $\alpha = -1/2$ is also interesting as $T_{-1/2}(t)$ describes the largest exit time of a particle diffusing through a random largest exit time of a particle diffusing through a random (Brownian) potential. Finally the case $\alpha = 1$ corresponds to the area under a Brownian meander [\[29](#page-4-28)[,30\]](#page-4-29). Our method [\(5\)](#page-2-5)–([7](#page-2-4)) allows us to study the statistics of $T_{\alpha}(t)$ for any value of α , interpolating between the aforementioned observables, using a unifying physical approach. Besides the potential applications of the method developed here, our exact results for near-extreme statistics of a strongly correlated process as BM, gives rise to further challenging questions. The first one concerns the temporal resolution of the density of near extremes. While in Eq. (1) (1) (1) we have studied a time integrated observable, it is natural to study the statistics of the quantity $\delta[x_{\text{max}} - x(\tau) - r]$ and its correlations at different values of τ Finally it will be $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ a interesting to extend the present results to other stochastic processes, like for instance Lévy flights or branching processes.

We thank C. Banderier for useful correspondence and S. Sabhapandit for useful discussions at the earliest stage of this work. We also acknowledge support by ANR Grant No. 2011-BS04-013-01, WALKMAT, and in part by the Indo-French Centre for the Promotion of Advanced Research under Project No. 4604-3. G. S acknowledges partial support from the Labex PALM (project RANDMAT).

[*a](#page-0-2)nthony.perret@lptms.u-psud.fr

[†](#page-0-2) comtet@lptms.u-psud.fr

[‡](#page-0-2) majumdar@lptms.u-psud.fr

[§](#page-0-2) gregory.schehr@lptms.u-psud.fr

- [1] E.J. Gumbel, Statistics of Extremes (Columbia University, New York, 1958).
- [2] R. W. Katz, M. P. Parlange, and P. Naveau, [Adv. Water](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1708(02)00056-8) Resour. 25[, 1287 \(2002\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1708(02)00056-8)
- [3] P. Embrecht, C. Klüppelberg, and T. Mikosh, *Modelling* Extremal Events for Insurance and Finance (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997).
- [4] S.N. Majumdar and J.-P. Bouchaud, [Quant. Finance](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14697680802569093) 8, [753 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14697680802569093).
- [5] J.-P. Bouchaud and M. Mézard, [J. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/30/23/004) 30, 7997 [\(1997\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/30/23/004).
- [6] D. S. Dean and S. N. Majumdar, *[Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.64.046121)* **64**, 046121 [\(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.64.046121).
- [7] P. Le Doussal and C. Monthus, [Physica \(Amsterdam\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4371(02)01317-1) 317A[, 140 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4371(02)01317-1)
- [8] D. S. Fisher and D. A. Huse, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.38.373)* 38, 373 (1988).
- [9] D. S. Fisher and D. A. Huse, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.38.386)* **38**, 386 (1988).
- [10] C. Monthus and P. Le Doussal, [Eur. Phys. J. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjb/e2004-00351-2) 41, 535 [\(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjb/e2004-00351-2).
- [11] H.A. David and H.N. Nagaraja, Order Statistics (Wiley, New York, 2003), 3rd ed.
- [12] E. Brunet and B. Derrida, [Europhys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/87/60010) 87, 60010 [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/87/60010).
- [13] E. Brunet and B. Derrida, [J. Stat. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10955-011-0185-z) **143**, 420 (2011).
- [14] N. R. Moloney, K. Ozogány, and Z. Rácz, *[Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.84.061101)* 84, [061101 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.84.061101)
- [15] G. Schehr and S. N. Majumdar, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.040601) 108, [040601 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.040601)
- [16] S. N. Majumdar, P. Mounaix, and G. Schehr, *[Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.070601)* Lett. 111[, 070601 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.070601)
- [17] F. Omori, J. Coll. Sci., Imp. Univ. Tokyo 7, 111 (1894).
- [18] D. Vere-Jones, Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am. 59, 1535 (1969).
- [19] A. M. Petersen, F. Wang, S. Havlin, and H. E. Stanley, Phys. Rev. E 82[, 036114 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.82.036114)
- [20] S. Sabhapandit and S. N. Majumdar, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.140201)* 98, [140201 \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.140201)
- [21] Ph. Ciais et al., [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature03972) **437**, 529 (2005).
- [22] S. Sabhapandit, S. N. Majumdar, and S. Redner, [J. Stat.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2008/03/L03001) [Mech. \(2008\) L03001.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2008/03/L03001)
- [23] P. Lévy, Compos. Math. 7, 283 (1940).
- [24] A. G. Pakes and F. W. Steutel, [Australian J. Stat.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-842X.1997.tb00534.x) 39, 179 [\(1997\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-842X.1997.tb00534.x).
- [25] A. G. Pakes and Y. Li, [Stat. Probab. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7152(98)00148-5) 40, 395 (1998).
- [26] M. Politi, N. Millot, and A. Chakraborti, [Physica](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2011.05.029) [\(Amsterdam\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2011.05.029) 391A, 147 (2012).
- [27] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.240601) [supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.240601](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.240601) for details.
- [28] S. N. Majumdar, Curr. Sci. 89, 2076 (2005).
- [29] S.N. Majumdar and A. Comtet, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.225501) 92, [225501 \(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.225501)
- [30] S. N. Majumdar and A. Comtet, [J. Stat. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10955-005-3022-4) 119, 777 [\(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10955-005-3022-4).
- [31] They can be written as $\Phi^{(j)}(x) = p_j(x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(x^2/2)} +$ $q_j(x)$ erfc $(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}})$ where p_j and q_j are rational polynomials
of degree $i-2$ and $i-1$ respectively for $i \ge 2$ [32] of degree $j^2 - 2$ and $j - 1$, respectively, for $j \ge 2$ [\[32\]](#page-4-31).
P. Chassaing and G. Louchard J. Algorithms 44
- [32] P. Chassaing and G. Louchard, [J. Algorithms](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0196-6774(02)00209-2) 44, 29 [\(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0196-6774(02)00209-2).
- [33] J. Pitman, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999), Vol. 1709, pp. 388–394.
- [34] W. Feller, [Ann. Math. Stat.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177729589) **22**, 427 (1951).
- [35] Note that this expression $[Eq. (12)]$ $[Eq. (12)]$ $[Eq. (12)]$ is similar though different from the one found for the local time of a BE [\[36](#page-4-42),[37](#page-4-43)].
- [36] G. Hooghiemstra, [Proc. Am. Math. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1982-0633293-5) **84**, 127 (1982).
- [37] B. Gittenberger and G. Louchard, [J. Appl. Probab.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1239/jap/1032374458) 36, 350 [\(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1239/jap/1032374458).
- [38] W. Vervaat, [Ann. Probab.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/aop/1176995155) 7, 143 (1979).
- [39] K.L. Chung, Ark. Mat. **14**[, 155 \(1976\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02385832)
- [40] L. Takács, [J. Appl. Math. Stoch. Anal.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/S1048953395000207) 8, 209 (1995).
- [41] T. W. Burkhardt, G. Györgyi, N. R. Moloney, and Z. Racz, Phys. Rev. E 76[, 041119 \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.76.041119)
- [42] L. Takács, [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.89.11.5011) 89, 5011 [\(1992\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.89.11.5011).
- [43] A. M. Odlyzko, [Random Struct. Algorithms](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/rsa.3240060215) **6**, 275 (1995).
- [44] P. Chassaing, J.F. Marckert, and M. Yor, [Ann. Appl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/aoap/1069786499) Probab. 13[, 1264 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/aoap/1069786499)