Degeneracy and Criticality from Emergent Frustration in Artificial Spin Ice

Gia-Wei Chern, Muir J. Morrison, and Cristiano Nisoli

Theoretical Division and Center for Nonlinear Studies, LANL, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545, USA

(Received 29 October 2012; published 22 October 2013)

Although initially introduced to mimic the spin-ice pyrochlores, no artificial spin ice has yet exhibited the expected degenerate ice phase with critical correlations similar to the celebrated Coulomb phase in the pyrochlore lattice. Here we study a novel artificial spin ice based on a vertex-frustrated rather than pairwise frustrated geometry and show that it exhibits a quasicritical ice phase of extensive residual entropy and, significantly, algebraic correlations. Interesting in its own regard as a novel realization of frustration in a vertex system, our lattice opens new pathways to study defects in a critical manifold and to design degeneracy in artificial magnetic nanoarrays, a task so far elusive.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.177201](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.177201) PACS numbers: 75.10.Hk, 75.25. - j

Artificial spin ice (ASI) has raised considerable interest for its technological potentials, and as a tailorable medium to investigate collective phenomena in a materials-bydesign approach $[1-22]$ $[1-22]$. It was inspired by the so-called spin ice compounds [\[23](#page-4-2)[,24\]](#page-4-3), a special class of pyrochlore ferromagnets which, as water ice [[25](#page-4-4)–[27\]](#page-4-5), retain a finite entropy density even at very low temperatures. The nontrivial local ordering dictated by the so-called two-in-twoout ''ice rules'' [[25](#page-4-4)] in pyrochlore lattice gives rise to dipolarlike power-law spin correlations [\[28\]](#page-4-6) at large distances. A recent surprise is the realization that dipolar excitations in the ice manifold fractionalize into emergent magnetic monopole quasiparticles [[29](#page-4-7)]. Degeneracy is essential for magnetic monopoles to play a significant role in (artificial) spin ice.

The original artificial spin ice presented by Wang *et al.* consists of magnetically interacting elongated permalloy nanoislands arranged as links of a square lattice [\[2](#page-4-8),[4](#page-4-9),[5\]](#page-4-10). At low temperatures, magnetic configurations satisfying the 2-in-2-out ice rules in square lattice can be mapped to a sixvertex model. However, the anisotropic nature of magnetic interactions in a 2D arrangement lifts the degeneracy of the six distinct 2-in-2-out ice-rule vertices. The ground state of square ASI is thus the ordered phase of the F model [\[30](#page-4-11)[,31\]](#page-4-12). Proposals to circumvent this limit [\[32\]](#page-4-13), however, present technical challenges in nanofabrication. So far, only kagome ASI, with islands arranged along the edges of a honeycomb lattice $[14–16]$ $[14–16]$ $[14–16]$, exhibits an extensive degeneracy at the vertex-level description, resulting from the 2-in-1-out or 1-in-2-out pseudo-ice rules [\[33\]](#page-4-16). Yet this pseudo-ice regime is noncritical, with exponentially decaying spin correlation.

In this Letter, we show that a critical degenerate phase in ASI, reminiscent of 3D natural spin ice, can be realized by exploiting the concept of an emergent vertex frustration [\[17\]](#page-4-17), instead of frustrated pairwise interactions, thus solving a long-standing problem in the field. Specifically, we consider a ''shakti'' lattice shown in Fig. [1\(a\)](#page-0-0) which (as a graph) is isomorphic to the so-called Cairo pentagonal

tiling [\[34\]](#page-4-18). The degeneracy of this critical ice manifold follows from the inability of allocating all the vertices in their lowest energy configuration. This frustration in vertex allocation is in contrast to the conventional frustrated magnets in which the extensive degeneracy originates from a degeneracy built in the constituting units, e.g., tetrahedra or triangles. Here instead the elementary units, the vertices, are locally ordered, with a unique lowestenergy configuration. However, an emergent composite unit, the plaquette is frustrated toward the optimal allocation of all its vertices. We show that the degeneracy of

FIG. 1 (color online). (a) The lattice geometry of the shakti spin ice. The spin-ice state and the corresponding defect-vertex configuration in a typical disordered ground state are shown in panels (b) and (c), respectively. The defect type- II' vertices are indicated by circles. The defect configuration is further mapped to an emergent 6-vertex model in (d).

these plaquettes can be mapped to an exactly solvable thermal state of an emergent, frustrated six-vertex system, the F model. This emergent phase is known to be critical [[31](#page-4-12)].

The shakti lattice shown in Fig. $1(a)$ can be derived from the square lattice [\[2\]](#page-4-8) by alternatively placing an additional vertical or horizontal Permalloy island in each square plaquette. The lattice contains vertices with coordination numbers $z = 4$ or 3. Although the perpendicular geometry of the islands makes different vertex configurations nonequivalent (see Fig. [2](#page-1-0)), an extensive degeneracy is regained as not all vertices can assume their minimum-energy configurations simultaneously.

Since new generation annealing protocols $[1,6,18,19,21,35]$ $[1,6,18,19,21,35]$ $[1,6,18,19,21,35]$ $[1,6,18,19,21,35]$ $[1,6,18,19,21,35]$ $[1,6,18,19,21,35]$ might open a pathway to lower entropy states than the one described by vertex models, here we provide a comprehensive numerical study including the full long-range dipolar interactions of the shakti lattice. Yet, to understand the origin of the extensive degeneracy, we first focus on the magnetostatic energies of the vertices at the nearest-neighbor level.

Energetically, there are four distinct vertex types for the $z = 4$ sites, labeled by numerals I–IV, while the three types of $z = 3$ vertices are labeled as type I', II', and III' (see Fig. [2](#page-1-0)). For a realistic implementation, we are interested in energy hierarchies satisfying $\epsilon_{\rm I} < \epsilon_{\rm II} < \epsilon_{\rm III}$, $\epsilon_{\rm I'} < \epsilon_{\rm II'}$ $\epsilon_{\text{II}'},$ and $\epsilon_{\text{II}'} - \epsilon_{\text{I}'} < \epsilon_{\text{II}} - \epsilon_{\text{I}},$ which have been demonstrated experimentally $[1,2,4,5,16]$ $[1,2,4,5,16]$ $[1,2,4,5,16]$ $[1,2,4,5,16]$ $[1,2,4,5,16]$ $[1,2,4,5,16]$ $[1,2,4,5,16]$ $[1,2,4,5,16]$, and which we have further corroborated with micromagnetics simulations (see Supplemental Material [\[36\]](#page-4-24)). More importantly, the first two conditions therefore ensure there is no degeneracy at the vertex level: the finite residual entropy density of the ice phase (which is the ground state of the vertex model) is a consequence of vertex frustration, i.e., the inability of all vertices to reach the lowest-energy states simultaneously. The third condition ensures that the vertex frustration is accommodated with type II' , rather than type II, vertices. We stress that our results, as often in vertex models, only depend on the energy hierarchy of vertices, while the particular parametrization is largely irrelevant—a rather significant point, in view for practical implementations.

To explore potential low- T thermodynamic phases, we perform Monte Carlo simulations using the dumbbell model [\[8\]](#page-4-25) which takes into account the full long-range interactions between the nanoislands. We use the following

FIG. 2 (color online). The seven different types of vertices in artificial spin ice shown in Figs. $1(a)$ and $1(b)$, arranged by increasing energy left to right. The multiplicities of the various vertex types are $q_{\text{I}} = 2$, $q_{\text{II}} = 4$, $q_{\text{III}} = 8$, and $q_{\text{IV}} = 2$ for $z = 4$ vertices, and $q_{\text{I}'} = 2$, $q_{\text{II}'} = 4$, and $q_{\text{II}'} = 2$ for $z = 3$ vertices.

geometrical parameters: $l_s = 0.475a$ and $l_c = 0.95a$, where l_s and l_c are the length of the short and center nanoislands, respectively, and a is the length of the square plaquette. The dipole moments of the two types of islands are $\mu_c = 3\mu_s$, and the charges of the dumbbell are given by $Q = \mu/l$.

The simulation results are summarized in Fig. [3.](#page-1-1) At high temperatures the system is in an uncorrelated paramagnetic phase as the populations of various vertex types reach their respective multiplicities. A broad peak in the specific-heat [Fig. $3(c)$] signals the crossover into an ice phase dominated by vertices of types $I, I',$ and $II'.$ We will show in the following that this ice regime is a critical phase and is described by an exactly solvable F model. As temperature further decreases, the system undergoes a continuous transition into a phase characterized by a staggered ordering of magnetic charges; the corresponding order parameter Q_N versus temperature is shown in Fig. $3(d)$. Details of this long-range ordered state are presented below. The entropy density s of the system as a function of temperature is obtained by integrating the c/T curve. At high temperatures, the entropy density approaches k_B ln2 as expected for an Ising magnet [Fig. $3(c)$]. More importantly, a plateau $s_0 \approx 0.1178k_B$ appears in the F-model regime, indicating an extensive degeneracy of the ice phase.

FIG. 3 (color online). Monte Carlo simulation of the shakti spin ice with $N_s = 1280$ spins using the dumbbell representation for the computation of magnetostatic energies. (a) and (b) The fraction of various vertex types as a function of temperature T measured in units of the parameter α from the dumbbell representation. (c) The temperature dependence of entropy density s and specific-heat c. The entropy curve $s(T)$ is obtained by integrating the specific-heat $c(T)/T$. (d) The temperature dependence of order parameter Q_N characterizing the Néel-type charge order in the ground state; also shown are vertex populations with total charge $Q = 0$ and $Q = \pm 1$. The quasicritical F-model phase is indicated by the shaded regime.

We first consider the ice phase above the chargeordering transition, because it is the most likely to be observed experimentally and can be described by vertex considerations. While all $z = 4$ sites are minimum-energy type-I vertices in the low-T ice regime, only half of the $z = 3$ vertices are in the ground state, the other being type-II'; see Figs. $3(a)$ and $3(b)$. We can thus characterize the spin-ice state via the locations of these unhappy type- II' vertices (or "defects") on plaquettes: Figs. $1(b)$ and $1(c)$ show a generic disordered spin-ice state and the corresponding defect configuration, respectively. This mapping from spins into defects on plaquettes is at least 2-to-1: each spin-ice state and its time-reversal partner are mapped to the same defect configuration. Moreover, when both defects sit at the two ends of the center spin, i.e., in the type-6 plaquette in Fig. [4](#page-2-0), there is an additional Z_2 degree of freedom associated with the direction of that (red) spin.

By enumerating all possible magnetic configurations in a plaquette one notes that each plaquette has at least two defects in any spin-ice state. As a result, any configuration in which each plaquette has exactly two defects is a ground state, consistent with the numerical result that $n_{\text{II}'} = 1/2$ in the ice phase. This two-defects constraint is similar to the 2-in-2-out ice rule in square ice. Figure [4](#page-2-0) shows the six different two-defects configurations in a plaquette. Since there are two types of plaquettes in our lattice with different orientations of the center island, we refer to plaquettes with vertical (horizontal) center spin as type- A (B) plaquettes. We can bijectively map each defect configuration to an emergent 6-vertex state in the square lattice by drawing an arrow from a type-A to its neighboring type-B plaquette if their common edge contains a defect vertex; conversely, an edge without an unhappy vertex corresponds to an arrow pointing from a type- B to a type- A plaquette [Fig. [4](#page-2-0) and also Fig. $1(d)$].

The partition function of the emergent 6-vertex model can now be computed by taking into account the additional doublet degeneracy of type-6 vertices. Let $n₆$ denote the

FIG. 4 (color online). The six possible two-defects configurations in a plaquette (for both type A and B) and their mapping to the 2-in-2-out vertices.

number of type-6 vertices in a given 6-vertex configuration \mathcal{C} . The ground-state partition function of the shakti spin ice is simply

$$
Z = \sum_{\mathcal{C}} 2^{n_6} = \sum_{\mathcal{C}} (\sqrt{2})^{n_5 + n_6}.
$$
 (1)

Here we assume periodic boundary conditions. Since type-5 and 6 vertices are sources and sinks, respectively, of the horizontal arrows or "fluxes," and the total flux is conserved, thus $n_5 = n_6$ [\[30\]](#page-4-11). Even though $n_5 = n_6$ is strictly true only for periodic boundary conditions, we can expect $n_5 \sim n_6$ for any boundary conditions in the thermodynamic limit.

The degeneracy of Eq. [\(1\)](#page-2-1) can be estimated as: $W = W_{\text{ice}} \times 2^{N_{\square}(n_6)}$, where $W_{\text{ice}} = (\frac{4}{3})^{3N_{\square}/10}$ is the degen-eracy of the ideal square ice [\[30\]](#page-4-11), $\langle n_6 \rangle \sim 0.189$ is the average population of a type-6 vertex in an ice state, and N_{\square} is the number of plaquettes (or vertices in a 6-vertex state). Since $N_{\square} = N_s/5$ in shakti ice, where N_s is the number of spins, this gives a residual entropy $s_0 =$ $k_B \ln W/N_s \sim 0.1125k_B$, close to the numerical result.

The entropy density of the shakti spin ice can be exactly computed by recasting the partition function (1) (1) into that of the standard F model [\[31\]](#page-4-12),

$$
Z = \sqrt{2}^{N_{\square}} Z_F = \sqrt{2}^{N_{\square}} \sum_{\mathcal{C}} \prod_{i=1}^{6} \omega_i^{n_i}, \qquad (2)
$$

where ω_i denotes the statistical weight of the type-i vertex: $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega_3 = \omega_4 = e^{-K}$ and $\omega_5 = \omega_6 = 1$. The case of shakti ice then corresponds to $K = \frac{1}{2} \ln 2$. Note that without the Z_2 degree of freedom associated with the center spin in type-6 plaquettes, which could be frozen by application of a suitably small magnetic field, the system becomes Lieb's equal-weight six-vertex $(K = 0)$ or square-ice model [[31](#page-4-12)].

By varying the effective temperature $T_{\text{eff}} = 1/K$, the F-model undergoes a Kosterlitz-Thouless transition at $K_c = \ln 2$ [[30](#page-4-11)]. The correlation length is finite in the ordered state for $K > K_c$, while the system remains critical with an infinite correlation length for $K \leq K_c$. Our case with $K = K_c/2$ thus corresponds to a critical phase with disordered vertices and spins, as anticipated above.

The residual entropy of Eq. [\(2](#page-2-2)) is $S/k_B = \ln Z =$ $(N_{\square}/2) \ln 2 + \ln Z_F$. Computing the entropy density of the F model with $K = K_c/2$ via the Bethe ansatz [[31](#page-4-12)], we have the residual entropy density for the shakti ice,

$$
\frac{S}{N_{\square}k_B} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} \text{lncot}(k/2) dk = \frac{2G}{\pi} = 0.583122, \quad (3)
$$

where G is Catalan's constant $[31]$ $[31]$ $[31]$. Since the number of spins $N_s = 5N_{\square}$ in shakti ice, Eq. ([3](#page-2-3)) corresponds to an entropy $S/N_s k_B = 0.116624$ per spin, which is fairly close to our numerical value $s_0 = 0.1178k_B$ [Fig. [3\(c\)](#page-1-2)] as well as to our estimate above.

FIG. 5 (color online). The correlation function for (a) defect vertex, (b) magnetic charge, and (c) magnetic moment measured at the vertical edges of two plaquettes separated by r unit cells along the diagonal direction of the lattice (Monte Carlo simulations using the loop algorithm [[37](#page-4-26)]). Insets show the same data (with absolute values) in log-log plot, indicating power-law correlations $C(r) \sim r^{-\eta}$ with exponents $\eta_n = 1.96986 \pm$ 0.0046, $\eta_{O} = 0.497144 \pm 0.001952$, and $\eta_{s} = 0.502075 \pm 0.0046$ 0:002028, respectively. Panel (d) shows the probability distribution of loop lengths s.

As mentioned above, the isomorphism with the F model implies algebraic correlations, which we demonstrate by Monte Carlo simulations (Fig. [5](#page-3-0)). We employ the efficient loop algorithm in which detailed balance is always satisfied locally when constructing loops [[37](#page-4-26),[38](#page-4-27)]. The defectdefect correlation function is defined as $C_n(\mathbf{r}) =$ $\langle \delta n(\mathbf{r}) \delta n(0) \rangle$, where $\delta n(\mathbf{r}) = n(\mathbf{r}) - \langle n \rangle$, and $n(\mathbf{r}) = 1$ or 0 in presence or absence of defect at edge **r** and $\langle n \rangle = 1/2$. Figure [5\(a\)](#page-3-1) shows that $C_n(r)$ falls off quickly beyond a few lattice constants. A stronger correlation $C_O(r)$ = $\langle Q(\mathbf{r})Q(0)\rangle$ is obtained for the uncompensated magnetic charges $Q = \pm 1$ at the $z = 3$ vertices [see Fig. [5\(b\)](#page-3-1)]. By reconstructing spin configuration from the defect configuration generated via the loop algorithm, we also computed the spin-spin correlation $C_s(\mathbf{r})$ shown in Fig. [5\(c\)](#page-3-1). In all three cases, the log-log plots demonstrate algebraic decay. The oscillations indicate the preferred short-range local order of the ice phase as, intuitively, a staggered arrangement of magnetic charges should minimize their Coulomb repulsion. We emphasize, however, that this is distinct from the full charge-ordered phase discussed below. The lack of a length scale in a critical phase also implies that loops of all lengths will be found in a typical disordered state. Indeed, the probability distribution function $P(s)$

FIG. 6 (color online). (a) Clustering of defect vertices (shaded diamonds) along the x direction in the charge-ordered state. (b) Staggered ordering of magnetic charges and the spinconfiguration correspond to the defects pattern in (a). Here blue (dark) and red (light) circles denote ± 1 magnetic charges in natural units.

of loop length s shown in Fig. $5(d)$ exhibits a power-law distribution $P(s) \sim s^{-1.125}$ for short loops. A flat distribution at large s is due to winding loops in a finite system [[39](#page-4-28)].

Finally, we discuss the magnetic structure below the charge-ordering transition [\[36\]](#page-4-24). Interestingly, we find that a residual nonextensive degeneracy remains in shakti ice with Néel-type charge order; this residual degeneracy is related to an emergent sliding symmetry [[40](#page-4-29)]. In this phase, the defect-vertex configuration exhibits a layered structure in which a period-2 1D ordering spontaneously appears in either the x or y directions. The ordering thus reduces the C_4 rotation symmetry to C_2 . Figure [6](#page-3-2) shows the crystallization of the defect vertices along the x direction and the corresponding spin and charge configuration. The system remains ''almost degenerate'' energetically as the 1D structure on a given chain is uniformly shifted by one lattice constant [\[36\]](#page-4-24); the residual degeneracy thus scales only as $exp(cL)$, where c is a constant and L is the linear size of the system. Since many of the layered states are not only nearly degenerate, but are also separated by a large energy barrier, the system is stuck in one of the layered states below the charge-ordering transition.

The lack of parametric dependence and the realistic energy hierarchy in our model make it realizable experimentally as the first mixed coordination ASI and the first extensively degenerate ASI in a critical phase. This phase can now be accessed with second generation annealing methods [[1](#page-4-0),[6](#page-4-19)[,18](#page-4-20)[,19,](#page-4-21)[21](#page-4-22),[35](#page-4-23)], thus opening novel directions in the study of frustration-induced degeneracy, dynamics of defects in a critical phase, and because of mixed coordination, monopole excitations in a background of magnetic charges [[20](#page-4-30)].

We thank P. Mellado, A. Libal, C. Reichhardt, and R. Moessner for useful comments. This work was carried out under the auspices of the National Nuclear Security Administration of the U.S. Department of Energy at Los Alamos National Laboratory under Contract No. DEAC52- 06NA25396. G. W. C. acknowledges the support of the LANL Oppenheimer Fellowship.

- [1] C. Nisoli, R. Moessner, and P. Schiffer, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.85.1473) 85[, 1473 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.85.1473)
- [2] R. F. Wang, C. Nisoli, R. S. Freitas, J. Li, W. McConville, B. J. Cooley, M. S. Lund, N. Samarth, C. Leighton, V. H. Crespi, and P. Schiffer, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature04447) 439, 303 (2006).
- [3] S. T. Bramwell, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/439273a) 439, 273 (2006).
- [4] C. Nisoli, R. Wang, J. Li, W.F. McConville, P.E. Lammert, P. Schiffer, and V. H. Crespi, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.217203) 98[, 217203 \(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.217203).
- [5] C. Nisoli, J. Li, X. Ke, D. Garand, P. Schiffer, and V. H. Crespi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105[, 047205 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.047205)
- [6] J. P. Morgan, A. Stein, S. Langridge, and C. H. Marrows, Nat. Phys. 7[, 75 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys1853)
- [7] J. P. Morgan, J. Akerman, A. Stein, C. Phatak, R. M. L. Evans, S. Langridge, and C. H. Marrows, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.024405) 87, [024405 \(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.024405).
- [8] G. Möller and R. Moessner, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**[, 140409 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.140409)
- [9] G.-W. Chern, P. Mellado, and O. Tchernyshyov, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.207202) Rev. Lett. 106[, 207202 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.207202)
- [10] P. E. Lammert, X. Ke, J. Li, C. Nisoli, D. M. Garand, V. H. Crespi, and P. Schiffer, Nat. Phys. 6[, 786 \(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys1728).
- [11] X. Ke, J. Li, C. Nisoli, P.E. Lammert, W. McConville, R. F. Wang, V. H. Crespi, and P. Schiffer, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.037205) 101[, 037205 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.037205).
- [12] E. Mengotti, L. J. Heyderman, A. Bisig, A. Fraile Rodriguez, L. Le Guyader, F. Nolting, and H. B. Braun, J. Appl. Phys. 105[, 113113 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3133202).
- [13] A. Libál, C. Reichhardt, and C. J. Olson Reichhardt, *[Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.228302)* Rev. Lett. 97[, 228302 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.228302).
- [14] M. Tanaka, E. Saitoh, H. Miyajima, T. Yamaoka, and Y. Iye, Phys. Rev. B 73[, 052411 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.052411)
- [15] Y. Qi, T. Brintlinger, and J. Cumings, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.094418) 77*, [094418 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.094418).
- [16] J. Li, X. Ke, S. Zhang, D. Garand, C. Nisoli, P. Lammert, V. H. Crespi, and P. Schiffer, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.092406) 81, 092406 [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.092406).
- [17] M. J. Morrison, T. R. Nelson, and C. Nisoli, [New J. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/15/4/045009) 15[, 045009 \(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/15/4/045009).
- [18] V. Kapaklis, U. B. Arnalds, A. Harman-Clarke, E. Th. Papaioannou, M. Karimipour, P. Korelis, A. Taroni, P. C. W. Holdsworth, S. T. Bramwell, and B. Hjoorvarsson, New J. Phys. 14[, 035009 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/14/3/035009)
- [19] C. Nisoli, New J. Phys. **14**[, 035017 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/14/3/035017).
- [20] G.-W. Chern and P. Mellado, [arXiv:1306.6154.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1306.6154)
- [21] S.J. Greaves and H. Muraoka, [J. Appl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4747910) 112, 043909 [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4747910).
- [22] A. Farhan, P. M. Derlet, A. Kleibert, A. Balan, R. V. Chopdekar, M. Wyss, L. Anghinolfi, F. Nolting, and L. J. Heyderman, Nat. Phys. 9[, 375 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2613)
- [23] M. J. Harris, S. T. Bramwell, D. F. McMorrow, T. Zeiske, and K. W. Godfrey, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.2554) 79, 2554 (1997).
- [24] S. T. Bramwell and M. J. P. Gingras, [Science](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1064761) 294, 1495 [\(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1064761).
- [25] V.F. Petrenko and R.W. Whitworth, Physics of Ice (Oxford University Press, New York, 1999).
- [26] J.D. Bernal and R.H. Fowler, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1749327) 1, 515 [\(1933\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1749327).
- [27] L. Pauling, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja01315a102) 57, 2680 (1935).
- [28] C. L. Henley, [Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-070909-104138) 1, 179 [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-070909-104138).
- [29] C. Castelnovo, R. Moessner, and S. L. Sondhi, [Nature](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature06433) (London) 451[, 42 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature06433).
- [30] R. J. Baxter, Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Physics (Academic, New York, 1982).
- [31] E.H. Lieb, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.18.1046)* **18**, 1046 (1967); E.H. Lieb and F. W. Wu, Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena (Academic, London, 1971), Vol. 1.
- [32] G. Möller and R. Moessner, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.237202)* **96**, 237202 [\(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.237202).
- [33] Inclusion of long-range interactions reveals an algebraic ice phase with ordered magnetic charge [[8](#page-4-25),[9\]](#page-4-31). However, this phase has not been found experimentally yet, and experimental results point to a satisfying approximation of honeycomb ice in terms of a nearest neighbor vertex model [[2,](#page-4-8)[4,](#page-4-9)[5,](#page-4-10)[14–](#page-4-14)[16\]](#page-4-15).
- [34] E. Ressouche, V. Simonet, B. Canals, M. Gospodinov, and V. Skumryev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103[, 267204 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.267204).
- [35] S. Zhang, I. Gilbert, C. Nisoli, G.-W. Chern, M. J. Erickson, L. OBrien, C. Leighton, P. E. Lammert1, V. H. Crespi, and P. Schiffer, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature12399) 500, 553 (2013).
- [36] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.177201) [supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.177201](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.177201) for details of the smectic phase.
- [37] H. G. Evertz, G. Lana, and M. Marcu, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.70.875)* **70**, [875 \(1993\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.70.875).
- [38] O.F. Syljuasen and M.B. Zvonarev, *[Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.70.016118)* 70, [016118 \(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.70.016118)
- [39] L.D.C. Jaubert, M. Haque, and R. Moessner, *[Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.177202)* Lett. 107[, 177202 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.177202)
- [40] Z. Nussinov and E. Fradkin, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.71.195120) 71, 195120 [\(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.71.195120); Z. Nussinov, C. D. Batista, and E. Fradkin, [Int. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217979206036326) [Mod. Phys. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217979206036326) 20, 5239 (2006).