Large β -Delayed One and Two Neutron Emission Rates in the Decay of ^{86}Ga

K. Miernik,^{1,2[,*](#page-4-0)} K. P. Rykaczewski,¹ C. J. Gross,¹ R. Grzywacz,^{1,3} M. Madurga,³ D. Miller,³ J. C. Batchelder,⁴

I. N. Borzov,^{1,5} N. T. Brewer,^{3,6} C. Jost,³ A. Korgul,² C. Mazzocchi,² A. J. Mendez II,¹ Y. Liu,¹

S. V. Paulauskas,³ D. W. Stracener,¹ J. A. Winger,⁷ M. Wolińska-Cichocka,^{1,4,8} and E. F. Zganjar⁹

¹Physics Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830, USA 2 Eaculty of Physics University of Warsaw Warsaw PL 00.681, Poland

 2 Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw PL-00-681, Poland

 3 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, USA

⁴Oak Ridge Associated Universities, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA
⁵Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia

⁵Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia
⁶Department of Physics and Astronomy, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee 37235, USA

⁷ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Mississippi State University, Mississippi 39762, USA
⁸ Heavy Joy Laboratory, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, PL 02,003, Poland

⁸ Heavy Ion Laboratory, University of Warsaw, Warsaw PL-02-093, Poland

 9 Department of Physics and Astronomy, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, USA

(Received 1 July 2013; published 24 September 2013)

Beta decay of ⁸⁶Ga was studied by means of β -neutron- γ spectroscopy. An isotopically pure ⁸⁶Ga beam
spectroscopy of the Holifield Radioactive Jon Beam Eacility using a recononce ionization laser ion source was produced at the Holifield Radioactive Ion Beam Facility using a resonance ionization laser ion source and high-resolution electromagnetic separation. The decay of ⁸⁶Ga revealed a half-life of 43^{+21}_{-15} ms and
large B delayed one neutron and two neutron branching ratios of $P_1 = 60(10)\%$ and $P_1 = 20(10)\%$ large β -delayed one-neutron and two-neutron branching ratios of $P_{1n} = 60(10)\%$ and $P_{2n} = 20(10)\%$. The $\beta \gamma$ decay of ⁸⁶Ga populated a 527 keV transition that is interpreted as the deexcitation of the first 2^+ state in the $N = 54$ isotone 86 Ge and suggests a quick open of deformation in Ge isotones beyond 2^+ state in the $N = 54$ isotone ⁸⁶Ge and suggests a quick onset of deformation in Ge isotopes beyond $N = 50$.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.132502](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.132502) PACS numbers: 23.20.Lv, 23.40. - s, 26.30.Hj, 27.50. +e

Beta-delayed neutron emission from nuclei (βn) was discovered and interpreted as early as 1939 [[1](#page-4-1),[2](#page-4-2)]. Today over 200 β -delayed neutron emitters are known. The process occurs whenever the β^- decay energy (Q_β) is larger than the neutron separation energy (S_n) in the daughter nucleus. It was not until 1960 that it was realized [\[3\]](#page-4-3) that multineutron emission may appear in very neutron-rich nuclei, when Q_β is larger than the two (or more) neutron separation energy $(S_{2n,3n}$). Beta-delayed two-neutron emission (β 2*n*) was observed for the first time in 1979 by Azuma et al. [\[4](#page-4-4)] for the case of ¹¹Li. So far 17 $\beta 2n$ emitters have been experimentally found [\[5](#page-4-5)]. The largest reported $\beta 2n$ probability is $P_{2n} = 16(6)\%$ for ¹⁹B [[6\]](#page-4-6).
There are only two known cases of $\beta 2n$ emitters heavier There are only two known cases of $\beta 2n$ emitters heavier
than iron, namely 98 Rb [7] and 100 Rb [8], where the than iron, namely $98Rb$ [\[7](#page-4-7)] and $100Rb$ [[8\]](#page-4-8), where the reported branching ratios are rather small, 0.060(9)% and $0.16(8)\%$, respectively.

Nuclear models like the finite-range droplet model with quasiparticle random-phase approximation (FRDM $+$ QRPA) [\[9](#page-4-9)[,10\]](#page-4-10) predict that in the decay of heavier neutronrich nuclei at and beyond the current experimental limits, β -delayed multineutron emission probability may be comparable to the β 1n decay. In fact, some of the reported β 1n branching ratios may include undetected $\beta 2n$ emission [\[11\]](#page-4-11). In view of the fact that the only known $\beta 2n$ branches in heavier nuclei are very small, it is also possible that the models are systematically overpredicting the probability of β 2n emission. So far insufficient experimental evidence exists to support or guide the theoretical models of $\beta 2n$ probabilities in heavy nuclei. These predictions affect, in particular, the astrophysical process of rapid-neutron capture (r -process) $[12,13]$ $[12,13]$.

In this Letter we report the observation of a large probability of β 2*n* emission from ⁸⁶Ga, an isotope that lies 15 neutrons away from the heaviest stable Ga and is located in the predicted *r*-process path [[14](#page-4-14)]. The Q_β is 15.3(8) MeV and the $S_{n,2n}$ of its β daughter (⁸⁶Ge) are estimated to be 4.7(3) and 7.8(3) MeV, respectively [\[15\]](#page-4-15). This places ^{86}Ga among the best candidates for the observation of the $\beta 2n$ channel [[16\]](#page-4-16). Therefore, we combined γ -ray and neutron detection systems in order to unambiguously identify this channel by observation of $\beta-\gamma$, β -neutron- γ , and β -neutron-neutron coincidences.

The experiment was performed at the Holifield Radioactive Ion Beam Facility (HRIBF) at Oak Ridge National Laboratory. The HRIBF [[17\]](#page-4-17) is an isotope separation on-line facility (ISOL), where a 50 MeV proton beam with an average intensity of 15 μ A was used to induce fission in a UC_x target. Ions of $86Ga$ were extracted from the Resonant Ionization Laser Ion Source (RILIS), utilizing a two-step ionization scheme [\[18\]](#page-4-18), accelerated to 200 keV kinetic energy, and mass analyzed by a two-stage mass separator having mass resolving powers $M/\Delta M$ of 1000 and 10 000, respectively.

The pure ⁸⁶Ga beam was transmitted to the Low-Energy Radioactive Ion Beam Spectroscopy Station (LeRIBSS). We compared the γ spectra with those obtained using the electron beam plasma ion source in previous experiments [\[19–](#page-4-19)[21\]](#page-4-20). We did not observe any impurities for the ⁸³;85;86Ga settings of the separator when the RILIS was used. It must be noted that the key to this achievement is the selective laser ionization of Ga isotopes combined with the high-resolution electromagnetic separation. With nonoptimized magnet settings, we were able to detect the presence of surface ionized atoms of $86Rb^m$, indicating that without the high resolution magnet, the superior beam purity could not have been achieved.

The LeRIBSS station was equipped with a moving tape collector (MTC), two high-purity germanium clover detectors, two plastic β detectors and 48³He ionization chambers for neutron detection. The neutron counters, containing in total about 600 liters of 3 He, were mounted in a thermalizing high-density polyethylene (HDPE) support with a 1-mm-thick cadmium outer shielding. The detection of neutrons in the 3 He counters is based on a capture reaction; therefore, the neutron-neutron coincidences cannot be triggered by the same particle. The beam was implanted into the tape positioned at the center of the setup. The measurement cycle consisted of 2 s activity buildup, 1 s decay with no beam on, and a 0.7 s tape transport that moved the irradiated spot into a chamber located behind 5 cm of lead shielding. This cycle was continuously repeated for 19 and 48 h, for ${}^{86}Ga$ and ${}^{85}Ga$ activities, respectively.

The germanium detector efficiencies were determined with standard γ -ray calibration sources. The efficiencies of β [ε_B = 50(5)%] and neutron counters [ε_n = 10(2)%], within the 100 μ s correlation window, were found from comparison between the on-line γ -ray data gated and not gated by the β and neutron detectors.

The readout of the detection system, including MTC logic signals, was based on the XIA Pixie16 Rev. F digital electronics modules [\[22\]](#page-4-21). The acquisition system was operated without a master trigger, and all events were recorded independently and time stamped with a 250 MHz clock synchronized across all modules. This allowed for the detailed off-line analysis of the data, including eventby-event analysis.

The β -gated and neutron-gated γ spectra for the ⁸⁵Ga
d ⁸⁶Ga settings are presented in Fig. 1. The 624 keV γ and ⁸⁶Ga settings are presented in Fig. [1](#page-1-0). The 624 keV γ ray dominating in the ⁸⁵Ga decay [see plot (b)] is clearly ray dominating in the $85Ga$ decay [see plot (b)] is clearly seen in all four γ spectra. This line was previously identified as the deexcitation of the first 2^+ level in ⁸⁴Ge [[19\]](#page-4-19). Since it is detected in both ⁸⁵Ga and ⁸⁶Ga neutron-gated γ
spectra - it must be emitted following $R1n$ and $R2n$ spectra, it must be emitted following $\beta 1n$ and $\beta 2n$, respectively.

The strongest line in the neutron-gated spectrum for the 86 Ga decay [Fig. [1\(c\)](#page-1-1)] is 107 keV, which has been assigned to ⁸⁵Ga decay [[23](#page-4-22)]. This γ
spectrum of ⁸⁵Ga decay but γ ray is seen in the β -gated γ spectrum of ⁸⁵Ga decay, but not in the neutron-gated data.

FIG. 1. (a) The low-energy part of the β -gated γ -ray spectrum for ⁸⁶Ga decay. Background lines are indicated by their parent activity. Other lines are marked with black circles (β 0n ${}^{86}Ga \rightarrow {}^{86}Ge$), gray circles (βn ${}^{86}Ga \rightarrow {}^{85}Ge$), open circles (β 2n ${}^{86}Ga \rightarrow$ activity. Other lines are marked with black circles ($\beta 0n$ ⁸⁶Ga \rightarrow ⁸⁶Ge), gray circles (βn ⁸⁶Ga \rightarrow ⁸⁵Ge), open circles ($\beta 2n$ ⁸⁶Ga \rightarrow ⁸⁴Ge) and squares ($\beta 0n$ ⁸⁵Ge \rightarrow ⁸⁵As). (b) Beta-gated decay. (d) Neutron-gated γ -ray spectrum for ⁸⁵Ga decay. Note changes to the vertical scale.

Therefore, it must be a deexcitation of a state in 85 Ge. We see a similar relationship for the 365 keV transition. The data for the ⁸⁵Ga decay show a clear coincidence between 107 and 365 keV transitions. We assign the 250 keV γ ray to the $\beta 1n-\gamma$ decay of ⁸⁶Ga, although it was not seen in the $\beta\gamma$ decay of ⁸⁵Ga. A similar situation exists in the decay of $\beta \gamma$ decay of ⁸⁵Ga. A similar situation exists in the decay of $\frac{83.84}{9}$ Ga, where the 247 keV $1/2^+$ state was weakly nonu- $83,84$ Ga, where the 247 keV $1/2^+$ state was weakly populated in ⁸³Ga $\beta \gamma$ decay yet strongly populated in ⁸⁴Ga β 1*n*- γ decay [19] β 1*n*- γ decay [[19\]](#page-4-19).
The 527 keV

The 527 keV γ ray is seen only in the β -gated γ spectrum for the 86Ga activity. It is not known in the decay of other $A = 86, 85,$ and 84 isotopes populated in the experiment. Therefore, we interpret this line as a transition in 86Ge. Based on the energy level systematics for the even-A Ge isotopes, we assign it to the deexcitation of the first 2^+ state in ⁸⁶Ge. As shown in Fig. [2,](#page-2-0) the 2^+ energies in Ge exhibit the expected decrease with increasing N above the closed shell ($N = 50$), as do the observed Kr isotopes. This is in contrast to the increase in the $N = 54$ isotone ⁸⁸Se 2⁺ energy (886 keV) observed by Jones *et al.* [\[24\]](#page-4-23).

The decay times of the events located in the 107, 250, 365, 527, and 624 keV peaks assigned to the decay of ${}^{86}Ga$ were analyzed with the maximum likelihood method on an event-by-event basis. The likelihood function included both grow-in and decay parts of the cycle as well as the probability of background events measured near the γ peaks. We found consistent results for all five peaks. The combined result yielded $T_{1/2} = 43^{+21}_{-15}$ ms. The dependen-
cy of the maximum likelihood function on the ⁸⁶Ga halfcy of the maximum likelihood function on the 86Ga halflife is shown in the Fig. $3(a)$. The probability densities of analyzed β -gated γ -ray events and events in the background gates are shown in Fig. $3(b)$. The same method was used for the 624 keV line following ⁸⁵Ga decay and resulted in $T_{1/2} = 92(4)$ ms [Fig. [3\(a\)\]](#page-2-1), in perfect agreement with 93(7) ms from Ref. [\[23\]](#page-4-22).

Since $\beta 2n$ decay is suggested based on the observed β -delayed neutron-gated γ -ray spectrum for

FIG. 2 (color online). Systematics of the 2^{+}_{1} energy in the $Z = 32-36$ isotones between $N = 46$ and 56 $Z = 32-36$ isotopes between $N = 46$ and 56.

⁸⁶Ga neutron-neutron coincidences should be observed in the ³He detector array. Figures $3(c)$ and $3(d)$ presents the ³He detector array. Figures [3\(c\)](#page-2-1) and [3\(d\)](#page-2-1) presents histograms of the β -neutron and β -neutron-neutron coincidence events versus the cycle time. It is worth noticing that all daughters and granddaughters of $86Ga$ are β -delayed neutron emitters and contribute to the spectrum. The random background was measured on-line, during the tape movement. It was found that the irradiated spot was already inside the shielded chamber after 300 ms of tape transport. Since the β particles were stopped by the shielding, only random β -neutron coincidences, within the 100 μ s time correlation window, may have been registered. We estimate that 5% of the total βn events are due to random correlations. However, the estimated probability of random $\beta 2n$ coincidences is 10^{-12} , and we did not record any $\beta 2n$ events during the background

FIG. 3. (a) Maximum likelihood analysis of the ⁸⁶Ga (black line) and 85Ga (gray line) half-lives. The dash-dotted lines show the maximum of the likelihood function. The dashed vertical lines show the 1σ limit. (b) Probability density of β -gated γ -ray
events in ⁸⁶Ga (black points). The gray points show the distrievents in 86Ga (black points). The gray points show the distribution of events in the background gates. The black line shows the probability density function obtained with the maximum likelihood method. The start of the activity collection corresponds to the zero on the time axis, and the dotted line shows the end of the grow-in part of the cycle. (c) Histogram of the $\beta 1n$
coincidence events for the ⁸⁶Ga decay. The dashed lines show the coincidence events for the 86 Ga decay. The dashed lines show the gates used in the branching ratio calculations (see text for more gates used in the branching ratio calculations (see text for more details). (d) Time distribution of the recorded $\beta 2n$ coincidence events for the ⁸⁶Ga decay.

measurement. The total number of observed events was 610 β -neutron (background subtracted) and 12 β -neutronneutron events (see Fig. [3](#page-2-2)).

In order to deduce the absolute β 1n and β 2n branching ratios we utilized the fact that the stopped beam contained only 86Ga, and all other activities emerged as decay products. We calculated the expectation number of neutrons per 86Ga ion, using a network of daughter and granddaughter activities which included isotopes of $84-86$ Ge, $83-86$ As, and 83-86Se. In this calculation, the only unknown parameters were $P_{1n}({}^{86}\text{Ge})$, $P_{1n}({}^{86}\text{Ga})$, and $P_{2n}({}^{86}\text{Ga})$; all half-lives and neutron branching ratios of other nuclei are known experimentally [[15](#page-4-15),[20](#page-4-24)]. The unknown parameters were adjusted in 5% steps between 0% and 100%. From the calculated β -gated neutron vs time spectrum, we derived the following values: (i) the ratio of counts in the 0–0.3 s cycle period to the total number of counts; (ii) the ratio of counts in the 2.3–3.0 s period to the total number of counts; (iii) the ratio of $\beta 2n$ events to βn events. The calculated ratios included β and neutron detection efficiencies and were compared with the experimental spectra [see Figs. $3(c)$ and $3(d)$].

We have found that only a relatively narrow subset of parameters explains the experimentally observed values. The resulting neutron emission probabilities are $P_{1n}({}^{86}Ga) = 60(10)\%$ and $P_{2n}({}^{86}Ga) = 20(10)\%$. At the same time we can give an estimate of 45(15)% for same time we can give an estimate of $45(15)\%$ for the unknown $P_{1n}^{(86)}$ Ge). The uncertainties of the P_n values
are mostly driven by a low statistics. From the comparison are mostly driven by a low statistics. From the comparison of the expectation number of neutrons per 86Ga ion and the number of detected neutrons, we found the absolute number of implanted ions to be $13\,600 \pm 1500$. This corresponds to an implantation of about 0.3 ion/s.

From the β -gated γ spectrum we have found the absolute number of counts in the peaks assigned to the decay of 86Ga. In all daughter activities we see that a significant number of decays must proceed through undetected γ transitions or directly to the ground state. The results are summarized in Fig. [4.](#page-3-0)

We have compared the $T_{1/2}$ and $P_{n,2n}$ values with the following model predictions: the FRDM $+$ QRPA [[9](#page-4-9)], the most recent version of that model FRDM $+$ QRPA2 [\[10\]](#page-4-10), and the microscopic spherical model based on the energy density functional DF3a with continuum quasiparticle random phase approximation (DF3a + CQRPA) [\[23](#page-4-22)[,25\]](#page-4-25). An additional calculation was performed within the latter framework with a phenomenological fragmentation of the β -strength function included, that, to some extent, mimics the deformation of the nucleus and other higher order effects beyond the proton-neutron QRPA. The summary is presented in Table [I](#page-3-1).

Except for the older $FRDM + QRPA$ the models overpredict the half-life and the P_{2n}/P_{1n} ratio. In the case of the $FRDM + QRPA2$ model the authors assumed a spherical shape for the $83-87$ Ga isotopes [[26](#page-4-26)]. However, for the first

FIG. 4. The proposed decay scheme of 86 Ga (not drawn to scale). The γ transitions are identified by energy in keV, the intensities, given in parenthesis, are normalized per 100 86Ga decays. The Q_β and $S_{n,2n}$ values are from [\[15\]](#page-4-15). Other values are from this work.

 2^+ state in ⁸⁶Ge located at 527 keV, we get a deformation parameter of $\beta_2 = 0.24(2)$ using Raman's empirical estimate [[27](#page-4-27)]. The FRDM model yields $\beta_2 = 0.17$ [\[9\]](#page-4-9) for 86Ge, also suggesting the onset of deformation. The inclusion of fragmentation of the β strength in the DF3a + CQRPA model improved the agreement with the experimental result, supporting the need to include the deformation.

Both models use a microscopic approach to obtain the β -strength function. The subsequent deexcitation is based on a simple assumption that the levels fed in β -decay located within the $S_{2n} - S_n$ window decay by 1n emission, and within the $Q_\beta - S_{2n}$ window by $2n$ emission. However, the large excitation energy of the daughter nucleus is expected to result in a high level density and strong mixing of the configurations that open multiple, highly fragmented, paths of decay. In order to provide more

TABLE I. Comparison of the experimental results and predictions of $86Ga$ half-life and β -delayed emission probabilities of theoretical models used in the astrophysical calculations.

Model	$T_{1/2}$ (ms)	P_{1n} (%)	P_{2n} (%)
Experiment	43^{+21}_{-15}	60(10)	20(10)
$FRDM + QRPA$	26	61	13
$FRDM + QRPA2$	128	20	44
DF3a + CQRPA	86	20	12
$DF3a + CQRPA + frag.$	68	28	22.

realistic branching ratio estimates, an improved model should take into account the statistical nature of the deexcitation process governed by the competition between the γ rays, $\beta 1n$, and $\beta 2n$ emissions throughout the entire Q_{β}
window window.

In summary, we report the first observation of ${}^{86}Ga \beta$ decay, its half-life, and absolute P_{1n} and P_{2n} values. In addition, the (2^+) state in ⁸⁶Ge was identified. The experiment was made possible with a pure beam of ⁸⁶Ga that was achieved through laser ionization and high-resolution electromagnetic separation. The β 2n decay branch is unambiguously identified by β -neutron-neutron correlations and by observation of the 624 keV transition in 84Ge. We observed for the first time a large $\beta 2n$ branch in a fission fragment nucleus. These results are of importance for guiding the development of nuclear structure and β -decay models, as well as in the simulation of the r-process and its resulting mass abundances. The results confirm the theoretical predictions of significant $\beta 2n$ probability in the decay of ⁸⁶Ga, and they suggest that the onset of deformation and the competition between $1n$ and $2n$ emission are important factors in the predictions of the half-life and delayed neutron branches of neutron-rich nuclei.

We would like to thank the HRIBF operations staff for the production of excellent radioactive ion beams. K. Miernik's research was performed as a Eugene P. Wigner Fellow and staff member at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory, managed by UT-Battelle, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC05- 00OR22725. This research is sponsored by the Office of Nuclear Physics, U.S. Department of Energy under Contracts No. DE-AC05-00OR22725 (ORNL), No. DE-FG02-96ER41006(MSU), No. DE-FG02-96ER40983 (UTK), and No. DE-AC05-06OR23100(ORAU). The authors from the University of Warsaw acknowledge the support of National Science Center of the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education, Grant No. 2011/01/B/ ST2/02476. I. B. is partially supported by Helmholtz Alliance EMMI and the Grant by IN2P3-RFBR under Agreement No. 110291054. This research was sponsored in part by the National Nuclear Security Administration under the Stewardship Science Academic Alliances program through DOE Cooperative Agreement No. DE-FG52-08NA28552.

[*k](#page-0-0)rzysztof.miernik@fuw.edu.pl

- [1] R. Roberts, R. Meyer, and P. Wang, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.55.510.2) 55, 510 [\(1939\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.55.510.2).
- [2] N. Bohr and J. Wheeler, *Phys. Rev.* **56**[, 426 \(1939\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.56.426).
- [3] V. Goldansky, Nucl. Phys. **19**[, 482 \(1960\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0029-5582(60)90258-3).
- [4] R. Azuma et al., *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.43.1652)* **43**, 1652 (1979).
- [5] M. Borge, *Phys. Scr.* **T152**[, 014013 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0031-8949/2013/T152/014013)
- [6] K. Yoneda et al., *Phys. Rev. C* 67[, 014316 \(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.67.014316).
- [7] P. Reeder, R. Warner, T. Yeh, R. Chrien, R. Gill, M. Shmid, H. Liou, and M. Stelts, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.47.483) 47, 483 [\(1981\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.47.483).
- [8] B. Jonson et al., Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Nuclei Far From Stability, Helsingør, 1981, CERN Report No. CERN-81-09 (CERN-Service d'information scientifique, Geneva, 1981), p. 265.
- [9] P. Möller, J. Nix, and K.-L. Kratz, [At. Data Nucl. Data](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/adnd.1997.0746) Tables 66[, 131 \(1997\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/adnd.1997.0746)
- [10] P. Möller, B. Pfeiffer, and K.-L. Kratz, *[Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.67.055802)* 67, [055802 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.67.055802)
- [11] D. Abriola, B. Singh, and I. Dillman, IAEA International Nuclear Data Committee I NDC(NDS)-0599 (2011).
- [12] G. Wallerstein et al., [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.69.995) 69, 995 (1997).
- [13] M.R. Mumpower, G.C. McLaughlin, and R. Surman, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/752/2/117) 752, 117 (2012).
- [14] K.-L. Kratz, [AIP Conf. Proc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2187893) 819, 409 (2006).
- [15] M. Wang, G. Audi, A. H. Wapstra, F. G. Kondev, M. MacCormick, X. Xu, and B. Pfeiffer, [Chinese Phys. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/36/12/003) 36[, 1603 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/36/12/003)
- [16] P. Möller, [http://t2.lanl.gov/nis/molleretal/publications/](http://t2.lanl.gov/nis/molleretal/publications/rspeed2002.html) [rspeed2002.html.](http://t2.lanl.gov/nis/molleretal/publications/rspeed2002.html)
- [17] J. Beene, D. W. Bardayan, A. G. Uribarri, C. J. Gross, K. L. Jones, J. F. Liang, W. Nazarewicz, D. W. Stracener, B. A. Tatum, and R. L. Varner, [J. Phys. G](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/38/2/024002) 38, 024002 [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/38/2/024002).
- [18] Y. Liu et al., [Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2012.12.041) 298[, 5 \(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2012.12.041).
- [19] J. Winger et al., Phys. Rev. C **81**[, 044303 \(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.81.044303).
- [20] C. Mazzocchi et al., *Phys. Rev. C* 87[, 034315 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.87.034315)
- [21] A. Korgul *et al.* (to be published).
- [22] [http://www.xia.com/.](http://www.xia.com/)
- [23] M. Madurga et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 109[, 112501 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.112501)
- [24] E. Jones et al., *Phys. Rev. C* **73**[, 017301 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.73.017301)
- [25] I.N. Borzov, *Phys. Rev. C* **67**[, 025802 \(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.67.025802).
- [26] B. Pfeiffer, K.-L. Kratz, and P. Möller, *[Prog. Nucl. Energy](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0149-1970(02)00005-7)* 41[, 39 \(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0149-1970(02)00005-7).
- [27] S. Raman, C. Nestor, and P. Tikkanen, [At. Data Nucl. Data](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/adnd.2001.0858) Tables 78[, 1 \(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/adnd.2001.0858).