## Limits on Spin-Independent Couplings of WIMP Dark Matter with a *p*-Type Point-Contact Germanium Detector

<span id="page-0-0"></span>H. B. Li,<sup>1</sup> H. Y. Liao,<sup>1</sup> S. T. Lin,<sup>1,2</sup> S. K. Liu,<sup>3</sup> L. Singh,<sup>1,4</sup> M. K. Singh,<sup>1,4</sup> A. K. Soma,<sup>1,4</sup> H. T. Wong,<sup>1,[\\*](#page-3-0)</sup> Y. C. Wu,<sup>5</sup> W. Zhao,<sup>5</sup> G. Asryan,<sup>1</sup> Y. C. Chuang,<sup>1</sup> M. Deniz,<sup>2</sup> J. M. Fang,<sup>6</sup> C. L. Hsu,<sup>1</sup> T. R. Huang,<sup>1</sup> G. Kiran Kumar,<sup>1</sup> S. C. Lee,<sup>1</sup> J. Li,<sup>5</sup> J. M. Li,<sup>5</sup> Y. J. Li,<sup>5</sup> Y. L. Li,<sup>5</sup> C. W. Lin,<sup>1</sup> F. K. Lin,<sup>1</sup> Y. F. Liu,<sup>1,7</sup> H. Ma,<sup>5</sup> X. C. Ruan,<sup>8</sup> Y. T. Shen,<sup>1</sup> V. Singh,<sup>4</sup> C. J. Tang,<sup>3</sup> C. H. Tseng,<sup>1</sup> Y. Xu,<sup>1,7</sup> S. W. Yang,<sup>1</sup> C. X. Yu,<sup>1,7</sup> Q. Yue,<sup>5</sup> Z. Zeng,<sup>5</sup> M. Zeyrek,<sup>9</sup> and Z. Y. Zhou<sup>8</sup>

(TEXONO Collaboration)

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei 11529, Taiwan<sup>1</sup><br><sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Dokuz Eylül University, Buca, İzmir 35160  $^{2}$ Department of Physics, Dokuz Eylül University, Buca, İzmir 35160, Turkey  $3$ Department of Physics, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610065, China  $^{4}$ Department of Physics, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi 221005, India  ${}^{5}$ Department of Engineering Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China <sup>6</sup>Kuo-Sheng Nuclear Power Station, Taiwan Power Company, Kuo-Sheng 207, Taiwan  $^{7}$ Department of Physics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China  ${}^8$ Department of Nuclear Physics, Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing 102413, China <sup>9</sup>Department of Physics, Middle East Technical University, Ankara 06531, Turkey (Received 4 March 2013; revised manuscript received 21 May 2013; published 25 June 2013)

We report new limits on a spin-independent weakly interacting massive particle (WIMP)-nucleon interaction cross section using 39.5 kg days of data taken with a  $p$ -type point-contact germanium detector of 840 g fiducial mass at the Kuo-Sheng Reactor Neutrino Laboratory. Crucial to this study is the understanding of the selection procedures and, in particular, the bulk-surface events differentiation at the sub-keV range. The signal-retaining and background-rejecting efficiencies were measured with calibration gamma sources and a novel  $n$ -type point-contact germanium detector. Part of the parameter space in the cross section versus WIMP-mass implied by various experiments is probed and excluded.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.261301](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.261301) PACS numbers: 95.35.+d, 29.40.Wk, 95.55.Vj

About one-quarter of the energy density of the Universe can be attributed to cold dark matter [[1\]](#page-3-1) whose nature and properties are unknown. Weakly interacting massive particles (WIMPs denoted by  $\chi$ ) are its leading candidates. There are intense experimental efforts to study  $\chi N \rightarrow \chi N$ elastic scattering via the direct detection of nuclear recoils. Most experimental programs are optimized for mass range at  $m_v \sim 10$ –100 GeV, motivated by popular supersymmetric models. Germanium detectors sensitive to sub-keV recoil energy were identified and demonstrated as possible means to probe the "low-mass" WIMPs with  $m<sub>x</sub>$ 10 GeV  $[2]$  $[2]$  $[2]$ . This inspired the development of *p*-type point-contact germanium detectors  $(pGe)$  with modular mass of kg scale [[3\]](#page-3-3).

Our earlier measurements at the Kuo-Sheng Reactor Neutrino Laboratory (KSNL, with a shallow depth of about 30 meter water equivalent) using a four-element array with a total mass of 20 g and analysis threshold of 220 eVee (''ee'' denoting electron equivalent energy throughout) have placed constraints on  $m_{\chi} > 3$  GeV [[4\]](#page-3-4). The CoGeNT experiment reported data with a 440 g detector [\[5](#page-3-5)] showing an excess of events at the sub-keV range over the background models. A consistent annual modulation signature was observed. An allowed region in

the spin-independent  $\chi N$  couplings  $(\sigma_{\chi N}^{SI})$  was derived. Intense interest and theoretical speculations in the lowmass WIMP region were generated [\[6](#page-3-6)]. The low energy data of the CDMS and XENON experiments [[7\]](#page-3-7) have subsequently excluded the allowed region with different detector techniques, while the original interpretations were defended [[8](#page-3-8)]. It is crucial to have independent experiments which can probe the CoGeNT allowed region and provide further understanding on the detector response and the nature of the sub-keV events in Ge detectors.

We report new results with a  $p$ Ge of 840 g fiducial mass (actual crystal mass 926 g) at KSNL. The low-background facilities as well as the hardware, trigger, and data acquisition configurations were described in our previous work [\[4,](#page-3-4)[9](#page-3-9)]. The detector was enclosed by an NaI(Tl) anti-Compton (AC) detector and copper passive shieldings inside a plastic bag purged by nitrogen gas evaporated from the liquid nitrogen dewar. This setup was further shielded by, from inside out, 5 cm of copper, 25 cm of boron-loaded polyethylene, 5 cm of steel, and 15 cm of lead. This structure was surrounded by cosmic-ray (CR) veto panels made of plastic scintillators read out by photomultipliers. Both AC and CR detectors are crucial, serving both as vetos to reject background and as tags to identify samples for efficiency measurements.

Signals from the point contact are supplied through a reset preamplifier. The output is distributed to a fast-timing amplifier which keeps the rise-time information, and to amplifiers at both 6 and 12  $\mu$ s shaping time which provide energy information. Signals from the outer surface electrode are processed with a resistive feedback preamplifier and followed by amplifier at 4  $\mu$ s shaping time. The fasttiming, slow-shaping, and AC-NaI(Tl) output were digitized by flash analog-to-digital converters at 200, 60, and 20 MHz, respectively. The discriminator and timing outputs of the CR panels were also recorded. The physics triggers are provided by the discriminator output of the 6  $\mu$ s shaping pulses. The trigger efficiency of 100% above 300 eVee was verified by test pulser events. A total of 53.8 days of data were taken, where the data acquisition dead time was 12.6%, measured by random trigger events. Energy calibration was achieved by the internal x-ray peaks and the zero energy was defined with the pedestals provided by the random events. The range in between was cross-checked with pulser events. The electronics noise edge was at 400 eVee.

A cut-based analysis is adopted. There are three categories of selection criteria: (i) the ''physics versus noise events'' (PN) cuts differentiate physics signals from spurious electronic noise; (ii) the AC and CR cuts identify events with activities only at the  $p$ Ge target, and (iii) the ''bulk versus surface events'' (BS) cut selects events at the interior. In addition, the efficiencies and suppression factors ( $\epsilon_X$ ,  $\lambda_X$ ) for every selection (X = PN, AC, CR, BS) are measured. They correspond to the probabilities of (signal, background) events being correctly identified. The physics events selected by the PN cuts are categorized by " $AC^{-(+)} \otimes CR^{-(+)} \otimes B(S)$ ," where  $AC^{-(+)}$  and  $CR^{-(+)}$ <br>represent AC and CR signals in anticoincidence (coincirepresent AC and CR signals in anticoincidence (coincidence), respectively, while B (S) denote the bulk (surface) samples. The  $\chi N$  candidates would therefore manifest as  $AC^- \otimes CR^- \otimes B$  events.<br>Background suppressie

Background suppression with the PN, AC, and CR cuts and the evaluations of their respective ( $\epsilon_X$ ,  $\lambda_X$ ) follow the well-studied procedures of earlier experiments [[4](#page-3-4),[9](#page-3-9)[,10\]](#page-3-10). The PN cuts are based on pulse shape characteristics and correlations among the fast and shaping signals. They suppress spurious triggers induced by microphonics effects or the tails of pedestal fluctuations. Background induced by the preamplifier reset is identified by the timing correlations with the reset instant. The in situ doubly tagged  $AC^+ \otimes CR^+$  events serve as the physics reference samples, with which  $\epsilon_{PN}$  shown in Fig. [2\(c\)](#page-2-0) are accurately measured. The majority of the electronics-induced events above the noise edge are identified ( $\lambda_{PN} \sim 1$ ). The efficiencies for the AC and CR selections are measured by the random events to be, respectively,  $\epsilon_{AC} > 0.99$  and  $\epsilon_{CR} = 0.93$ . The suppressions are  $\lambda_{AC} = 1.0$  above the

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

FIG. 1. Scatter plot of the pGe rise time  $(log_{10}[\tau])$  versus energy. The  $\tau_0$  line corresponds to the BS cut in this analysis, with  $\tau$  scan indicating the range of cut-stability test. Typical B<sup>'</sup> (S') pulses at  $T \sim 700$  eVee are depicted in the insets.

NaI(Tl) threshold of 20 keVee, while  $\lambda_{CR} = 0.92$ , measured by reference cosmic samples in which the energy depositions at NaI(Tl) are above 20 MeVee.

The BS selection, on the other hand, is a unique feature to pGe. The surface electrode is a lithium-diffused  $n^+$ layer of mm-scale thickness. Partial charge collection in the surface layer gives rise to reduced measurable energy and slower rise time  $(\tau)$  in its fast-timing output, as compared to those in the bulk region  $[5,11,12]$  $[5,11,12]$  $[5,11,12]$  $[5,11,12]$  $[5,11,12]$  $[5,11,12]$  $[5,11,12]$ . The thickness of the S layer was derived to be  $(1.16 \pm 0.09)$  mm, via the comparison of simulated and observed intensity ratios of  $\gamma$ peaks from a  $^{133}$ Ba source [[13\]](#page-4-0). This gives rise to a fiducial mass of 840 g, or a data size of 39.5 kg days.

The  $log_{10}[\tau]$  versus measured energy (T) scatter plot is displayed in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) The boundary between the bulk and surface layers is not well defined, giving rise to events between the two bands. The observed and actual rates are denoted by  $(B', S')$  and  $(B, S)$ , respectively. Events with  $\tau$ <br>less (larger) than  $\tau_0$  are categorized as  $B'(S')$ . Typical  $B'$ less (larger) than  $\tau_0$  are categorized as B' (S'). Typical B' (S') events at  $T \sim 700$  eVee are shown At  $T > 2.7$  keVee (S') events at  $T \sim 700$  eVee are shown. At  $T > 2.7$  keVee where the  $\tau$  resolution is better than the separation between where the  $\tau$  resolution is better than the separation between the two bands, the assignments  $B = B'$  and  $S = S'$  are justified. At lower energy,  $(B', S')$  and  $(B, S)$  are related by the counled equations: by the coupled equations:

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
B' = \epsilon_{BS}B + (1 - \lambda_{BS})S, \qquad S' = (1 - \epsilon_{BS})B + \lambda_{BS}S,
$$
  
(1)

with an additional unitarity constrain  $B + S = B' + S'$ .<br>The calibration of  $(\epsilon_{\text{DQ}} - \lambda_{\text{DQ}})$  involves at least two me

The calibration of ( $\epsilon_{\text{BS}}$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{BS}}$ ) involves at least two measurements of  $(B', S')$  where  $(B, S)$  are independently<br>known. The pulser events are inappropriate since their known. The pulser events are inappropriate since their fast-timing output exhibits different pulse shapes from those of physics events. Instead, three complementary data samples, as displayed in Fig.  $2(a)$ , were adopted.

(I) Surface-rich events with  $\gamma$ -ray sources. Calibrations with both low and high energy  $\gamma$  sources (<sup>241</sup>Am at 60 keVee and  $137Cs$  at 662 keVee, respectively) were



<span id="page-2-0"></span>FIG. 2 (color online). The derivation of  $(\epsilon_{BS}, \lambda_{BS})$ . (a) The measured total and  $B'$  spectra from  $p$ Ge with the surface-rich  $\gamma$ -ray (<sup>241</sup>Am, <sup>137</sup>Cs) and bulk-rich cosmic-ray induced neutrons. They are compared to reference B spectra acquired via simulations for  $\gamma$  rays and *n*Ge measurement for cosmic neutrons. (b) Allowed bands at threshold and at a high energy band. (c) The measured ( $\epsilon_{BS}$ ,  $\lambda_{BS}$ ) and  $\epsilon_{PN}$  as functions of energy. Independent measurement on  $\epsilon_{BS}$  with Ga-L x rays is included.

performed. As displayed in Fig.  $2(a)$ , the measured B' spectra are compared to the reference B derived from full simulation with surface layer thickness of 1.16 mm as input. The simulated B spectra due to external  $\gamma$  sources over a large range of energy are flat for  $T < 10$  keVee.

(II) Bulk-rich events with cosmic-ray induced fast neutrons. A 523 g first-of-its-kind n-type point-contact germanium  $(nGe)$  detector was constructed. The components and dimensions are identical to those of  $p$ Ge. The surface of  $n\text{Ge}$  is a  $p^+$  boron implanted electrode of submicron thickness. There are no anomalous surface effects. Data were taken under identical shielding configurations at KSNL. The trigger efficiency was 100% above  $T =$ 500 eVee and energy calibration was obtained from the standard internal x-ray lines. The  $AC^- \otimes CR^+$  condition<br>selects cosmic-ray induced fast neutron events without selects cosmic-ray induced fast neutron events without associated  $\gamma$  activities, which manifest mostly ( $\sim$  85%) as bulk events. Accordingly, the  $AC^- \otimes CR^+$  spectrum in <br>nGe is taken as the B reference and compared with those of <sup>n</sup>Ge is taken as the B reference and compared with those of  $AC^- \otimes CR^+ \otimes B'$  in pGe.<br>
Using calibration data (

Using calibration data (I) and (II), ( $\epsilon_{BS}$ ,  $\lambda_{BS}$ ) are measured by solving the coupled equations in Eq. [\(1](#page-1-1)). Standard error propagation formulas are adopted to derive their uncertainties using errors in  $(B, B', S')$  as input. As ex-<br>amples the three allowed bands at threshold and at a high amples, the three allowed bands at threshold and at a high energy band are illustrated in Fig.  $2(b)$ . The different orientations of the bands are consequences of the different depth distributions of the samples, which give rise to different B:S ratios. The bands have common overlap regions, indicating the results are insensitive to the event locations. The surface-rich  $\gamma$  events and the bulk-rich cosmic-ray induced neutron events play complementary roles in constraining  $\lambda_{BS}$  and  $\epsilon_{BS}$ , respectively. The results are depicted in Fig.  $2(c)$ , with  $\epsilon_{PN}$  overlaid. By comparing the measured in situ Ga-<sup>L</sup> x-ray peak at 1.3 keVee after BS selection to that predicted by the corresponding  $K$  peak at 10.37 keVee, a consistent  $\epsilon_{BS}$  is independently measured.

The raw spectrum and those of  $AC^- \otimes CR^-(\otimes B')$  are<br>picted in Fig. 3. The peaks correspond to known K-shell depicted in Fig.  $3$ . The peaks correspond to known K-shell x rays from the cosmogenically activated isotopes. The ( $\epsilon_{\rm BS}$ ,  $\lambda_{\rm BS}$ )-corrected spectrum of AC<sup>-</sup>  $\otimes$  CR<sup>-</sup>  $\otimes$  B is<br>shown in the large inset Frrors above  $T \sim 800$  eVee are shown in the large inset. Errors above  $T \sim 800$  eVee are dominated by statistical uncertainties, while those below have additional contributions from the BS calibration errors of Fig.  $2(c)$ , which increase as the efficiencies deviate from unity at low energy. The analysis threshold is placed at 500 eVee, where  $(\epsilon_{BS}, \lambda_{BS}) \sim 0.5$  and the BS selection is no longer valid. The stability of  $(\epsilon_{BS}, \lambda_{BS}, B', S')$ <br>S' B) is studied over changes of  $\tau_0$  within the  $\tau_0$ -scan range S', B) is studied over changes of  $\tau_0$  within the  $\tau$ -scan range

<span id="page-2-1"></span>

FIG. 3 (color online). Measured energy spectra showing the raw data and those with  $AC^- \otimes CR^-(\otimes B')$  selections. The large<br>inset shows the ( $\epsilon_{\text{DQ}}$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{DQ}}$ )-corrected  $AC^- \otimes CR^- \otimes R$  spectrum inset shows the  $(\epsilon_{BS}, \lambda_{BS})$ -corrected AC<sup>-</sup>  $\otimes$  CR<sup>-</sup>  $\otimes$  B spectrum, with a flat background and *L*-shell x-ray peaks overlaid. The with a flat background and L-shell x-ray peaks overlaid. The small inset depicts the residual spectrum superimposed with that due to an allowed (excluded) cross section at  $m<sub>\chi</sub> = 7$  GeV.

<span id="page-3-13"></span>

FIG. 4 (color online). Exclusion plot of spin-independent  $\chi N$ coupling at 90% confidence level, superimposed with the results from other benchmark experiments and CoGeNT with and without surface background subtraction.

of Fig. [1](#page-1-0). Measurements of B are stable and independent of  $\tau_0$ , as indicated by the small variations relative to the uncertainties. On the contrary,  $(\epsilon_{BS}, \lambda_{BS})$  exhibit significant shifts in the expected directions. These features indicate that the BS calibration procedures are valid and robust. The systematic errors due to parameter choices are of minor effects to the total uncertainties.

High energy  $\gamma$  rays from ambient radioactivity produce flat electron-recoil background at low energy, as verified by the <sup>241</sup>Am and <sup>137</sup>Cs spectra of Fig. [2\(a\),](#page-2-0) and by the *in situ*  $AC^+ \otimes CR^- \otimes B$  spectra. This, together with the *L*-shell  $x$ -ray lines predicted by the higher energy *K* peaks are x-ray lines predicted by the higher energy  $K$  peaks, are subtracted from AC<sup>-</sup>  $\otimes$  CR<sup>-</sup>  $\otimes$  B. At a given  $m_{\chi}$ , the flat<br>background is measured at an energy range of at least background is measured at an energy range of at least 1.7 keVee and beyond the tail  $(< 1\%)$  of the  $\gamma N$  recoil spectra. The residual spectrum corresponds to  $\chi N$  candidate events and is depicted in the small inset of Fig. [3.](#page-2-1) Constraints on  $\sigma_{\chi N}^{SI}$  are derived via the "binned Poisson" method [\[14\]](#page-4-1) with conventional astrophysical models [\[1\]](#page-3-1) (local density of  $0.3 \text{ GeV}/\text{cc}$  and Maxwellian velocity distribution with  $v_0 = 220$  km/s and  $v_{\text{esc}} = 544$  km/s). The event rates of  $\chi N$  spin-independent interaction cannot be larger than the residual spectrum. The quenching function in Ge is derived with the TRIM software which matches well with existing data [\[10\]](#page-3-10). As illustration, the  $\chi N$  recoil spectrum due to an allowed (excluded)  $\sigma_{\chi N}^{SI}$  at  $m_{\chi} = 7$  GeV is shown in Fig. [3.](#page-2-1) An exclusion plot of  $\sigma_{\chi}^{SI}$ <br>Nature  $m_{\chi}$  at 00% confidence level is displayed in Fig. 4. versus  $m<sub>x</sub>$  at 90% confidence level is displayed in Fig. [4.](#page-3-13) Bounds from other benchmark experiments are superimposed [\[5,](#page-3-5)[7](#page-3-7)[,15](#page-4-2)]. The favored region from the CoGeNT data with additional surface background subtraction [\[6\]](#page-3-6) is included. An order of magnitude improvement over our previous results [[4\]](#page-3-4) is achieved. Part of the published DAMA, CRESST II, and CoGeNT allowed regions are probed and excluded. We note that an excess remains in the sub-keV region not yet accounted for in this analysis, the understanding of which is the theme of our ongoing investigations.

Studies continue on <sup>p</sup>Ge and <sup>n</sup>Ge at KSNL. Projects on the improvement of electronics and sub-noise-edge analysis [[12](#page-3-12)] are being pursued. The dedicated dark matter experiment CDEX with sub-keV germanium detectors is taking data at the new China Jinping Underground Laboratory [[16](#page-4-3)]. This facility provides attractive features such as a rock overburden exceeding 2400 m and horizontal drive-in access.

This work is supported by an Academia Sinica Investigator 2011-15 grant, Contract No. 99-2112-M-001-017-MY3 from the National Science Council, Taiwan, and Contract No. 108T502 from TUBITAK, Turkey.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>[\\*C](#page-0-0)orresponding author.

htwong@phys.sinica.edu.tw

- <span id="page-3-2"></span><span id="page-3-1"></span>[1] M. Drees and G. Gerbier, Phys. Rev. D 86, 289 (2012), and references therein.
- <span id="page-3-3"></span>[2] O. Yue et al., High Energy Phys. Nucl. Phys. 28, 877 (2004); H. T. Wong, H. B. Li, J. Li, Q. Yue, and Z. Y. Zhou, [J. Phys. Conf. Ser.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/39/1/064) 39, 266 (2006).
- [3] P. N. Luke, F. S. Goulding, N. W. Madden, and R. H. Pehl, [IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/23.34577) 36, 926 (1989); P. S. Barbeau, J. I. Collar, and O. Tench, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 09](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2007/09/009) [\(2007\) 009.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2007/09/009)
- <span id="page-3-5"></span><span id="page-3-4"></span>[4] H. T. Wong, [Mod. Phys. Lett. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217732308027801) 23, 1431 (2008); S. T. Lin et al., Phys. Rev. D 79[, 061101\(R\) \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.061101)
- <span id="page-3-6"></span>[5] C. E. Aalseth et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**[, 251301 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.251301); 106[, 131301 \(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.131301); 107[, 141301 \(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.141301); [arXiv:1208.5737.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1208.5737)
- <span id="page-3-7"></span>[6] D. Hooper, *[Phys. Dark Univ.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dark.2012.07.001)* **1**, 1 (2012); C. Kelso, D. Hooper, and M. R. Buckley, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.043515) 85, 043515 [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.043515), and references therein.
- [7] D. S. Akerib et al., Phys. Rev. D 82[, 122004 \(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.122004); Z. Ahmed et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 106[, 131302 \(2011\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.131302) J. Angle et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 107[, 051301 \(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.051301); E. Aprile et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 109[, 181301 \(2012\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.181301) Z. Ahmed et al., [arXiv:1203.1309.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1203.1309)
- <span id="page-3-9"></span><span id="page-3-8"></span>[8] J.I. Collar, [arXiv:1010.5187;](http://arXiv.org/abs/1010.5187) [arXiv:1103.3481](http://arXiv.org/abs/1103.3481); [arXiv:1106.0653;](http://arXiv.org/abs/1106.0653) J.I. Collar and N.E. Fields, [arXiv:1204.3559.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1204.3559)
- <span id="page-3-10"></span>[9] H. B. Li et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**[, 131802 \(2003\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.131802) H. T. Wong et al., Phys. Rev. D 75[, 012001 \(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.012001); M. Deniz et al., Phys. Rev. D 81[, 072001 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.072001)
- <span id="page-3-11"></span>[10] S. T. Lin et al., [arXiv:0712.1645v4.](http://arXiv.org/abs/0712.1645v4)
- [11] U. Tamm, W. Michaelis, and P. Coussieu, [Nucl. Instrum.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0029-554X(67)90329-1) Methods 48[, 301 \(1967\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0029-554X(67)90329-1); M. G. Strauss and R. N. Larsen, [Nucl. Instrum. Methods](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0029-554X(67)90263-7) 56, 80 (1967); E. Sakai, [IEEE](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TNS.1971.4325866) [Trans. Nucl. Sci.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TNS.1971.4325866) 18, 208 (1971).
- <span id="page-3-12"></span>[12] H. T. Wong, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0218271811019645) 20, 1463 (2011).
- <span id="page-4-0"></span>[13] E. Aguayo et al., [Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2012.11.004) A 701[, 176 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2012.11.004)
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[14] C. Savage, G. Gelmini, P. Gondolo, and K. Freese, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 04 \(2009\) 010.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2009/04/010)
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[15] R. Bernabei et al., [Eur. Phys. J. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1303-9) 67, 39 (2010); M. Felizardo et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108[, 201302 \(2012\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.201302) G.

Angloher et al., [Eur. Phys. J. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-1971-8) 72, 1971 (2012); S. Archambault et al., [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.03.078) 711, 153 (2012).

<span id="page-4-3"></span>[16] K. J. Kang, J. P. Cheng, Y. H. Chen, Y. J. Li, M. B. Shen, S. Y. Wu, and Q. Yue, [J. Phys. Conf. Ser.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/203/1/012028) 203, 012028 [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/203/1/012028); Q. Yue and H. T. Wong, [J. Phys. Conf. Ser.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/375/1/042061) 375, [042061 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/375/1/042061)