လ္စာ Gas Cloud G2 Can Illuminate the Black Hole Population Near the Galactic Center

Imre Bartos,^{1,2[,*](#page-3-0)} Zoltán Haiman,^{2,3} Bence Kocsis,⁴ and Szabolcs Márka^{1,2}

¹Department of Physics, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, USA
²Columbia Astrophysics Laboratory, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027

 2 Columbia Astrophysics Laboratory, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, USA

 3 Department of Astronomy, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, USA Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA

(Received 12 February 2013; revised manuscript received 4 May 2013; published 30 May 2013)

Galactic nuclei are expected to be densely populated with stellar- and intermediate-mass black holes. Exploring this population will have important consequences for the observation prospects of gravitational waves as well as understanding galactic evolution. The gas cloud G2 currently approaching Sgr A* provides an unprecedented opportunity to probe the black hole and neutron star population of the Galactic nucleus. We examine the possibility of a G2-cloud–black-hole encounter and its detectability with current x-ray satellites, such as Chandra and NuSTAR. We find that multiple encounters are likely to occur close to the pericenter, which may be detectable upon favorable circumstances. This opportunity provides an additional important science case for leading x-ray observatories to closely follow G2 on its way to the nucleus.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.221102](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.221102) PACS numbers: 98.38.Dq, 95.85.Nv, 97.60.Lf, 98.35.Jk

Introduction.—Stellar remnants are expected to migrate towards supermassive black holes (SMBHs) in galactic nuclei due to dynamical friction [[1](#page-3-1)]. In the case of the Milky Way, a steep density cusp of \sim 20 000 black holes (BHs) and a similar number of neutron stars (NSs) is predicted to be present in the central parsec [\[1–](#page-3-1)[4](#page-3-2)]. Much heavier, so-called intermediate-mass BHs (IMBHs; $M \gg$
10*M*₋) may also be present in the galactic nucleus due to $10M_{\odot}$), may also be present in the galactic nucleus due to mass segregation with stars (e.g., [[5\]](#page-3-3)).

Black holes play an important role in a wide range of fields. They are important in galaxy formation, accretion, and highenergy astrophysics, stellar evolution, gravitational-wave astrophysics, as well as general relativity. Nevertheless, despite their expected high densities in galactic nuclei, their discovery remains elusive.

The density of compact objects in galactic centers has important implications for the detection of gravitational waves (GWs). The close encounter of compact objects can result in gravitational radiation detectable by Earth-based interferometers (e.g., [\[6\]](#page-3-4)). Highly eccentric binaries may form due to GW losses in close encounters or due to the secular gravitational effects of the central supermassive black hole [\[7](#page-3-5)[,8\]](#page-3-6). The rate of such sources can be sufficiently high for many detections with advanced GW detectors [[4\]](#page-3-2). Since the GW signatures of these distinct sources differ significantly from traditional compact binary mergers [[9](#page-3-7)[,10](#page-3-8)], their detection will require the development of specialized GW search algorithms.

G2, a dense gas cloud with an estimated mass of $3M_{\oplus}$, is approaching the Galactic center on a highly eccentric trajectory [\[11–](#page-3-9)[13](#page-3-10)]. It will reach its pericenter of $\sim 10^{-3}$ pc around September 2013 [[13](#page-3-10)]. Through its plausible encounter with BHs in the galactic nucleus, G2 provides a unique opportunity to probe this BH population that is difficult to observe otherwise.

In this Letter, we examine the detectability of a BH-G2 encounter in the Galactic center. We show that multiple such encounters can occur near the pericenter, and we estimate their luminosity and discuss their detectability.

Probability of encounter.—Given the large expected population of stellar-mass BHs in the vicinity of Sgr A*, G2 may encounter some of these BHs which can be detectable via its x-ray emission. To estimate the probability of such encounters, we adopt the expected BH population derived by O'Leary et al. ([[4\]](#page-3-2); Model B). In their numerical model, O'Leary et al. find that BHs segregate and form a steep density cusp around Sgr A*, reaching BH number densities $n_{\text{BH}} > 10^9 \text{ pc}^{-3}$ within the central 10^{-3} pc . Depending on the mass distribution of the more massive BHs, the density cusp may become even steeper [[14\]](#page-3-11). The cusp is dominated by the most massive BHs in the inner region.

First, we calculate the probability that a BH is present in the nominal volume $V_{G2} \sim 10^{-9}$ pc³ of G2 (based on G2's radius $r_{G2} \sim 6 \times 10^{-4}$ pc as of early 2012 [12]) as a G2's radius $r_{G2} \sim 6 \times 10^{-4}$ pc as of early 2012 [\[12\]](#page-3-12)), as a function of the position of the cloud. We adopt the orbital function of the position of the cloud. We adopt the orbital parameters of the cloud from Gillessen et al. [[13](#page-3-10)]. We evaluate n_{BH} at positions along this orbit and conservatively assume that it is constant inside the entire volume of G2. We also assume that the volume and density of the cloud remains unchanged along its orbit (the density could significantly increase due to ram pressure and/or thermal pressure from the surrounding hot medium; nevertheless, it is estimated to remain constant due to tidal effects countering this increase; see $[12]$). The results, shown in Fig. [1](#page-1-0), indicate that close to its pericenter, G2 will host, on average, more than one BH.

Next, we calculate the expected total number $\langle N_{\text{BH}} \rangle$ of BHs encountered by G2 along its parabolic trajectory

FIG. 1 (color online). Expected number of stellar-mass BHs within G2 (with volume 2×10^{-10} pc³) as a function of the location of G2 ([0,0] corresponds to Sgr A*). The dashed line indicates the projected trajectory of G2.

around Sgr A*. We take an elongated cloud as described below. For simplicity, we assume that BHs enter the cloud perpendicular to its long side (which is the limiting case for eccentricity $e_{G2} \rightarrow 1$), corresponding to a projected
surface area of $A_{G2}^{side} \sim 10^{-6} - 10^{-5}$ pc². We integrate the
RH encounter rate for a full parabolic orbit of G2 (lasting BH encounter rate for a full parabolic orbit of G2 (lasting \approx 200 years) labeled S, with respect to time to obtain

$$
\langle N_{\rm BH} \rangle \approx \oint_{S} n_{\rm BH}(r) A_{\rm G2}^{\rm side} v_{\rm BH}(r) dt \sim 10,
$$
 (1)

where $r = r(t)$ is the distance between G2's center and Sgr A*, $v_{BH}(r)$ is the Keplerian velocity of a BH on a circular orbit at distance r from Sgr A^* , and dt is the orbital time of G2 between r and $r + dr$. The majority of the encounters occur close to the pericenter $(~16$ of them is expected within ± 0.5 yr from the pericenter).

It is also interesting to estimate the characteristic duration of a G2-BH encounter. For this, we take into account the fact that as it moves towards the pericenter, the cloud is stretched by tidal effects [[12\]](#page-3-12). We adopt an oblate ellipsoidal shape for the cloud with aspect ratio 1:10 (i.e., eccentricity of \sim 0.995), with the long axis oriented parallel to its trajectory (see Fig. 4 of [[12](#page-3-12)]). Given its elongated shape and the highly eccentric trajectory, BHs on a circular orbit around Sgr A* most likely cross G2 through its smaller cross section, i.e., perpendicular to G2's trajectory. Given the Keplerian velocity $v_k \approx 3800 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ of a body around Sgr A* at the pericenter distance of G2 and considering the ellipsoidal shape of the cloud, the typical crossing time for BHs through G2 is $t_{\times} \approx$ month. This is long enough to be captured with short exposures spaced \leq month apart and to be followed up in longer exposures over a month.

IMBHs can be born through multiple channels. The collapse of pregalactic (PopIII) stars can lead to the formation of IMBHs. Such process can add \sim 50 $(M_{\text{IMBH}}/150M_{\odot})$ IMBHs in the vicinity of the Galactic nucleus [[15](#page-3-13)]. Runaway growth in dense star clusters can also produce IMBHs [\[16,](#page-3-14)[17\]](#page-3-15). This process can result in ~50 IMBHs of masses ~10³ M_{\odot} within ~10 pc of the Galactic nucleus. IMBHs can also be formed via the merger of stellar-mass BHs, whose masses can further grow, e.g., via stellar collisions [\[18](#page-3-16)]. After formation through these distinct channels, IMBHs move towards the Galactic nucleus due to mass segregation (e.g., [\[5](#page-3-3)]). IMBHs could also be born and migrate inward in the SMBH accretion disk [\[19](#page-3-17)[,20\]](#page-3-18).

Expected luminosity and spectrum.—Here, we estimate the expected luminosity of a BH with mass M_{BH} moving through G2 with a relative velocity Δv , mostly following the discussion of $[21]$. For the rate of gas accretion by the BH, we adopt the Bondi-Hoyle-Lyttleton (BHL) rate (e.g., [\[22\]](#page-3-20)). Given the low temperature of the gas $[O(10^3K)]$, the gas sound speed is negligible compared to the expected relative velocities $[O(10^3 \text{ km/s})]$, and the BHL accretion rate can be written as

M_

$$
\dot{M}_{\text{BHL}} \sim 4\pi \rho_c G^2 M_{\text{BH}}^2 \Delta v^{-3},\tag{2}
$$

where ρ_c is the gas density. For representative values ρ where ρ_c is the gas density. For representative values $\rho_c \sim 10^{-18}$ g cm⁻³, $M_{BH} \sim 10M_{\odot}$, and $\Delta v \sim 10^3$ km s⁻¹ [[12\]](#page-3-12), the accretion rate will be $\dot{M}_{BH} \sim 10^{-6} \dot{M}_{BH}$, where the accretion rate will be $\dot{M}_{\text{BHL}} \sim 10^{-6} \dot{M}_{\text{Edd}}$, where $\dot{M}_{\text{Edd}} \approx 7 \times 10^{-15} (M_{\text{av}}/10M_{\odot}) M_{\text{c}} \text{ s}^{-1}$ is the fiducial $\dot{M}_{\text{Edd}} \approx 7 \times 10^{-15} (M_{\text{BH}}/10M_{\odot})\dot{M}_{\odot} \text{ s}^{-1}$ is the fiducial rate corresponding to the Eddington luminosity. Note rate corresponding to the Eddington luminosity. Note that the Bondi radius $R_B \approx 3 \times 10^{11} (M/10M_{\odot}) \times (A_2/10^3 \text{ km s}^{-1})^{-2}$ cm is much smaller than the size $(\Delta v/10^3 \text{ km s}^{-1})^{-2}$ cm is much smaller than the size
of G2 $R_{\text{CO}} \approx 2 \times 10^{15}$ cm validating the above accreof G2 $R_{\text{G2}} \approx 2 \times 10^{15}$ cm, validating the above accretion rate tion rate.

For low accretion rates, $\dot{M}_{\rm BHL} \lesssim 0.01 \dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$, only a small fraction of the accreted material is converted into electromagnetic radiation, while most of the energy is lost at the event horizon or through winds. We take the x-ray radiative efficiency of the accretion to be $\epsilon_x \approx \dot{M}_{\text{BHL}}/\dot{M}_{\text{Edd}}$ (assuming that $\sim 10\%$ of the bolometric luminosity is in the ing that \sim 10% of the bolometric luminosity is in the x-ray band) [\[21,](#page-3-19)[23](#page-3-21)[,24\]](#page-3-22). The expected x-ray luminosity $L_x = \epsilon_x \dot{M}_{\text{BHL}} c^2$ of the BH within G2 is thus

$$
L_x \approx 3 \times 10^{31} \,\text{erg}\,\text{s}^{-1} \rho_{18}^2 \left(\frac{M_{\text{BH}}}{100M_{\odot}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{\Delta v}{10^3 \,\text{km}\,\text{s}^{-1}}\right)^{-6},\tag{3}
$$

where $\rho_{18} = \rho_c/(10^{-18} \text{ g cm}^{-3})$ (the estimated cloud density is $\rho_{18} \approx 1$ [121]. We note that there are alternatives sity is $\rho_{18} \approx 1$ [[12](#page-3-12)]). We note that there are alternatives
to the accretion and radiation model discussed above. In to the accretion and radiation model discussed above. In general, accretion onto a BH may strongly depend on the topology of magnetic fields (e.g., [\[25](#page-3-23)[,26\]](#page-4-0)) or the angular momentum of the accreted gas [\[27\]](#page-4-1). The BH spin can also qualitatively affect accretion, potentially giving rise to powerful jets (e.g., [[26](#page-4-0)]). Alternative models could predict either a much higher or much lower luminosity (see, e.g., [[27](#page-4-1)[–29\]](#page-4-2)).

We showed above that the majority of the encounters is expected to occur in the inner region of G2's trajectory, where its speed approaches $\sim 6300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ [[13](#page-3-10)]. Since the expected luminosity greatly depends on Δv , those BH encounters for which the BH comoves with G2 at its pericenter (where G2's direction is tangential) will result in significantly increased luminosity. At the pericenter $r_{\text{peri}} \approx 10^{-3}$ pc of G2, the speed of a BH in a circular orbit is $v_{\text{peri}}^{\text{BH}} \approx 4500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; therefore, a BH whose orbital
plane is along to the orbital plane of G2 can vialed A v to plane is close to the orbital plane of G2 can yield $\Delta v \sim$ 10^3 km s⁻¹. Furthermore, if some BHs are on highly elliptical orbits similar to G2's, they can encounter G2 with an even lower Δv .

We adopt a hard radiation spectrum of $F_{\nu} \propto \nu^{-1}$ (where ν is the photon frequency), which is consistent with the typical spectrum of black-hole x-ray binaries in their quiescent state (e.g., [\[30](#page-4-3)[,31\]](#page-4-4)). We consider such an x-ray spectrum to be present in the photon energy range $0.1-100$ keV (cf. [[30](#page-4-3)-33]).

It is useful to compare the above luminosities to the expected luminosity of compact objects due to their interaction with the accretion disk of Sgr A*. Adopting accretion disk properties by Sadowski et al. [[34](#page-4-6)], the accretion disk at G2's pericenter distance from Sgr A* has a maximum density $\rho \sim 10^4$ cm⁻³ and temperature $T \sim 6 \times 10^8$ K. This temperature corresponds to an effective Δu 10^8 K. This temperature corresponds to an effective Δv of 4000 km s⁻¹. Given that Sgr A*'s accretion disk is much sparser than G2 at and beyond the pericenter, even a compact object corotating with the accretion disk will be significantly fainter than one that encounters G2.

Detectability.—To estimate the detectability of G2-BH and G2-NS encounters, we consider the Chandra x-ray observatory [\[35\]](#page-4-7). Chandra's high directional resolution and sensitive energy band (0.1–10 keV) makes it suitable for such analysis. For observations in the vicinity of the Galactic center, we adopt a detection limit of 10^{32} erg s⁻¹ (2–8 keV) for an observation time of one week. Note that G2 is already inside the distance corresponding to Chandra's point spread function from the Galactic center; this limit is therefore set by the shot noise from the Sgr A* source's own x-ray emission (cf. Chandra's sensitivity in other directions [\[36\]](#page-4-8)).

To estimate the detectability of a G2-BH encounter, we determine the parameters (M_{BH} and Δv) for which the encounter is detectable by Chandra. We take L_X from Eq. (3) (3) (3) and assume that an *effective* 20% of the total x-ray radiation is in the 2–8 keV band. Our results are shown in Fig. [2.](#page-2-0)

The figure shows that, for constant G2 density ($\rho_{18} = 1$),
llar-mass RHs ($M_{\text{max}} \approx 10M$) need to move along with stellar-mass BHs ($M_{BH} \sim 10M_{\odot}$) need to move along with G2 to be detectable. Adopting a simplified BH-velocity distribution model from [[2](#page-3-24)] with isotropic directional and constant velocity distribution up to the escape velocity, the expected number of detectable G2-cloud–stellar-mass-BH encounter is negligible. For ≥ 1 expected detection rate, a

FIG. 2 (color online). Maximum relative G2-BH velocity (Δv) at which a G2-BH encounter is detectable with Chandra, as a function of BH mass, for different G2 densities. For reference, the horizontal lines indicate the orbital speeds reached by G2 at different distances from Sgr A* and times from reaching the pericenter (shown next to the lines). G2-NS $(1.4M_{\odot})$ velocities are also indicated with " x " for $\rho_{18} = \{1, 10\}.$

measurement needs to be able to detect such an encounter with $\Delta v \lesssim 2900 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

The encounter of G2 with an IMBH is more promising. IMBHs on coplanar orbits can be detected at any mass, while for higher masses even IMBHs with less aligned orbits can be detected. A potential increase in G2's density (e.g., at its outer shell $[11]$) can further mitigate the dependence of detectability on orbital alignment. Using the BH-velocity distribution model described above (see [\[2](#page-3-24)]), one gets $\geq 50\%$ detection rate for G2-IMBH encounters for BH masses for which $\Delta v \le 4400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ is detectable.
With constant G2 density $a_{12} = 1$ this corresponds to With constant G2 density $\rho_{18} = 1$, this corresponds to $M_{\text{av}} \ge 5 \times 10^3 M_{\odot}$ i.e. if encounters with RHs above $M_{\text{BH}} \gtrsim 5 \times 10^3 M_{\odot}$, i.e., if encounters with BHs above $5 \times 10^{3} M_{\odot}$ occur, they provide a promising target for detection.

The potential observation of G2-NS encounters is another interesting possibility. While the radiative efficiency of accreting BHs is severely reduced due to the energy lost through the event horizon, the NS surface can reradiate the energy of the infalling matter, making the NS radiative efficiency significantly higher (e.g., [\[37\]](#page-4-9)). We adopt a NS radiative efficiency of $\epsilon_{\text{NS}} \sim 0.1$ (e.g., [[24,](#page-3-22)[37](#page-4-9)]). While the accretion rate of highly magnetized rotating NSs can be significantly reduced compared to the BHL rate, the magnetic field strengths of isolated NSs is poorly constrained and can be negligible [\[38\]](#page-4-10). Here, we consider such NSs with negligible magnetic fields. Strong magnetic fields could prevent a rotating NS crossing G2 from accreting [\[39\]](#page-4-11). The x-ray luminosity of such a NS with mass M_{NS} = 1.4 M_{\odot} accreting at the BHL rate [Eq. [\(2](#page-1-2))] will be

$$
L_{\rm X}^{\rm NS} \approx 4 \times 10^{31} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \rho_{18} \left(\frac{\Delta v}{10^3 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right)^{-3}
$$
. (4)

If, similarly to the case of BHs, \sim 20% of this radiation is in the 2–8 keV range, then G2-NS encounters are detectable with Chandra for $\Delta v \le 2000$ km s⁻¹.

To identify a G2 encounter in the background, one can utilize the expected duration (≥ 1 month) of such an encounter to exclude other permanent x-ray point sources. X-ray flares due to Sgr A*'s accretion disk can also be excluded, given their typical duration of $\ll 1$ month.

We note that the detectability of encounters could be reduced by the x-ray emission from interaction of G2 and the Sgr A* black hole's accretion disk, which could reach or exceed the quiescent x-ray luminosity of Sgr A* close to G2's pericenter [[11](#page-3-9),[13](#page-3-10)]. Nevertheless, the luminosity of this emission is uncertain, and it greatly depends on, e.g., the unknown density of the hot gas along the trajectory of G2. Further, this x-ray emission is expected to slowly change with time compared to G2-BH encounters.

Conclusion.—G2 represents a unique opportunity to probe the compact object population of the Galactic nucleus. We find that $O(10)$ of encounters of G2 with stellar mass BHs can occur in the vicinity of G2's pericenter ($\sim \pm 6$ months). Some of these encounters can be observable with the Chandra x-ray telescope. The point-source sensitivity of NuSTAR $[40]$ $[40]$ $[40]$ in the 1–10 keV range is $O(10^3)$ lower than Chandra's. However, if the encounter produces emission much harder than the ν^{-1} spectrum adopted here, NuSTAR could detect at least the most extreme configurations. Since an encounter lasts typically more than a month, multiple encounters can occur simultaneously. Furthermore, encounters with either NSs or with intermediate-mass BHs ($M_{BH} \gtrsim \text{few} \times 100 M_{\odot}$) may also occur and may be detectable.

For a constant cloud density of 10^{-18} g cm⁻³, the encounter with a stellar-mass BH ($\sim 10M_{\odot}$) may be detectable only if the relative velocity of G2 and the BH is $\Delta v \le 250$ km s⁻¹, significantly below the characteristic Keplerian speeds. In practice, this can occur if the BH is on elliptical orbit that is fortuitously aligned with the orbit of G2. Encounters with IMBHs have a better chance of being detected. The requirement on the IMBH's and G2's relative velocity gradually loosens for higher BH masses. Gor $M_{\text{BH}} \approx 5000 M_{\odot}$, 50% of the encounters become detectable (where we adopted a BH-velocity distribution model from [[2\]](#page-3-24)). NSs colliding with G2 with $\Delta v \le$ 750 km s^{-1} may also be detectable.

The existence of stellar-mass BHs in galactic nuclei depends on the details of stellar populations and stellar dynamics in the bulge. Likewise, the existence of more massive IMBHs in the bulge, as well as their abundance and characteristic masses, depend on models of PopIII star formation in the early universe, and on models of subsequent hierarchical galaxy assembly. Finally, there are large uncertainties in the expected luminosity and spectrum arising from low-angular momentum accretion in a high-velocity encounter. A potential detection would therefore be a probe of both galaxy formation and of BH accretion models.

The authors thank Akos Bogdan, Charles Hailey, Jules Halpern, Avi Loeb, Brian Metzger, Jeremiah Ostriker, and Frits Paerels for helpful discussions. The Columbia Experimental Gravity group is grateful for the generous support from Columbia University in the City of New York and from the National Science Foundation under cooperative agreement PHY-0847182. Z. H. acknowledges support from NASA Grant No. NNX11AE05G. I. B. received fellowship support from Columbia University.

[*i](#page-0-0)bartos@phys.columbia.edu

- [1] M. Morris, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/172607) **408**, 496 (1993).
- [2] J. Miralda-Escudé and A. Gould, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/317837) 545, 847 [\(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/317837).
- [3] M. Freitag, P. AmaroSeoane, and V. Kalogera, [Astrophys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/506193) J. 649[, 91 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/506193).
- [4] R.M. O'Leary, B. Kocsis, and A. Loeb, [Mon. Not. R.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.14653.x) Astron. Soc. 395[, 2127 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.14653.x).
- [5] B. Kocsis, A. Ray, and S. P. Zwart, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/752/1/67) 752, 67 [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/752/1/67).
- [6] B. Kocsis, M. E. Gáspár, and S. Márka, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/505641) 648, [411 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/505641).
- [7] S. Naoz et al., [arXiv:1206.4316.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1206.4316)
- [8] F. Antonini and H. Perets, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/757/1/27) 757, 27 (2012).
- [9] W. E. East, S. T. McWilliams, J. Levin, and F. Pretorius, Phys. Rev. D 87[, 043004 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.043004)
- [10] B. Kocsis and J. Levin, *Phys. Rev. D* **85**[, 123005 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.123005).
- [11] S. Gillessen *et al.*, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature10652) **481**, 51 (2012).
- [12] A. Burkert, M. Schartmann, C. Alig, S. Gillessen, R. Genzel, T. K. Fritz, and F. Eisenhauer, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/750/1/58) 750, [58 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/750/1/58)
- [13] S. Gillessen, R. Genzel, T. K. Fritz, F. Eisenhauer, O. Pfuhl, T. Ott, J. Cuadra, M. Schartmann, and A. Burkert, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/763/2/78) 763, 78 (2013).
- [14] U. Keshet, C. Hopman, and T. Alexander, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/698/1/L64) Lett. 698[, L64 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/698/1/L64)
- [15] P. Madau and M.J. Rees, [Astrophys. J. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/319848) 551, L27 [\(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/319848).
- [16] S. F. Portegies Zwart and S. L. W. McMillan, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/341798) 576[, 899 \(2002\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/341798)
- [17] M. Freitag, M. A. Gurkan, and F. A. Rasio, [Mon. Not. R.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10096.x) Astron. Soc. 368[, 141 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10096.x)
- [18] R. M. O'Leary, F. A. Rasio, J. M. Fregeau, N. Ivanova, and R. O'Shaughnessy, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/498446) 637, 937 (2006).
- [19] Y. Levin, [Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.11155.x) 374, 515 (2007).
- [20] B. McKernan, K.E.S. Ford, W. Lyra, and H.B. Perets, [Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21486.x) 425, 460 (2012).
- [21] S. Nayakshin and R. Sunyaev, [Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.11725.x) 377[, 1647 \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.11725.x)
- [22] R. Edgar, [New Astron. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.newar.2004.06.001) 48, 843 (2004).
- [23] R. Narayan and I. Yi, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/176343) 452, 710 (1995).
- [24] R. Narayan and J.E. McClintock, [New Astron. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.newar.2008.03.002) 51, [733 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.newar.2008.03.002).
- [25] J.C. McKinney, A. Tchekhovskoy, and R.D. Blandford, [Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21074.x) 423, 3083 (2012).
- [26] R. Narayan, A. Sadowski, R. F. Penna, and A. K. Kulkarni, [Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.22002.x) 426, 3241 (2012).
- [27] J. Li, J. Ostriker, and R. Sunyaev, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/767/2/105) **767**, 105 [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/767/2/105).
- [28] K.-W. Wong, J. A. Irwin, M. Yukita, E. T. Million, W. G. Mathews, and J. N. Bregman, [Astrophys. J. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/736/1/L23) 736, L23 [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/736/1/L23).
- [29] N. I. Shakura and R. A. Sunyaev, Astron. Astrophys. 24, 337 (1973).
- [30] A. A. Esin, R. Narayan, W. Cui, J. E. Grove, and S. N. Zhang, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/306186) 505, 854 (1998).
- [31] E. Agol and M. Kamionkowski, [Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2002.05523.x) 334[, 553 \(2002\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2002.05523.x)
- [32] E. Quataert and R. Narayan, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/307439) 520, 298 (1999).
- [33] A. K. H. Kong, R. Di Stefano, and F. Yuan, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/427025) Lett. 617[, L49 \(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/427025)
- [34] A. Sądowski, L. Sironi, D. Abarca, X. Guo, F. Özel, and R. Narayan, [Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt495) 432, 478 (2013).
- [35] M.C. Weisskopf, [arXiv:astro-ph/9912097.](http://arXiv.org/abs/astro-ph/9912097)
- [36] M. P. Muno, F. K. Baganoff, M. W. Bautz, W. N. Brandt, P. S. Broos, E. D. Feigelson, G. P. Garmire, M. R. Morris, G. R. Ricker, and L. K. Townsley, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/374639) 589, 225 [\(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/374639).
- [37] K. Menou, A. A. Esin, R. Narayan, M. R. Garcia, J. P. Lasota, and J.E. McClintock, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/307443) 520, 276 [\(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/307443).
- [38] R. Perna, R. Narayan, G. Rybicki, L. Stella, and A. Treves, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/377091) 594, 936 (2003).
- [39] A. F. Illarionov and R. A. Sunyaev, Astron. Astrophys. 39, 185 (1975).
- [40] J. E. Koglin et al., [Proc. SPIE Int. Soc. Opt. Eng.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/12.826724) 7437, 1 [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/12.826724).