; (1)

Abrikosov-Nielsen-Olesen String with Non-Abelian Moduli and Spin-Orbit Interactions

M. Shifman¹ and A. Yung^{1,2}

¹William I. Fine Theoretical Physics Institute, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, USA
² Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute Gatching, St. Petersburg 188300, Pussia 2 Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, St. Petersburg 188300, Russia

(Received 1 April 2013; published 14 May 2013)

It is generally believed that the spontaneous breaking of the Poincaré group by flux tubes (strings) generates only two zero modes localized on the string and associated with the spontaneous breaking of translational invariance (the so-called Low-Manohar argument). Being perfectly true in many instances this argument is nevertheless nonuniversal and has to be amended in the case of order parameters carrying spatial indices. We show that under certain circumstances additional zero (or quasizero) modes can appear due to spin symmetry.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.201602](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.201602) PACS numbers: 11.27.+d, 11.30.-j, 11.30.Qc, 11.90.+t

The Goldstone theorem [\[1\]](#page-2-0) relating spontaneously broken global symmetries to the Goldstone particles (massless, or gapless excitations) is one of the important theoretical cornerstones. If the pattern of the symmetry breaking is $G \rightarrow H$, where G is an internal symmetry group and H is its subgroup the number of the Goldstone particles is $v_r =$ $\dim G - \dim H$ in the relativistic theories (with the dispersion law $E \sim p$) and $\nu_{\text{nr}} = \left(\frac{dimG - dimH}{2}\right)/2$ in the non-
relativistic theories (with the dispersion law $E \sim n^2$) [2] relativistic theories (with the dispersion law $E \sim p^2$) [[2\]](#page-2-1).
This fact is widely used both in high-energy and condensed-This fact is widely used both in high-energy and condensedmatter theories. The above counting is inapplicable, however, to geometric (space-time) symmetries [\[3\]](#page-2-2) (and references therein). For instance, four-dimensional scalar electrodynamics with the Higgs potential for the complex scalar field enjoys the full Poincaré symmetry, with ten generators. The Abrikosov-Nielsen-Olesen string [\[4\]](#page-2-3) breaks the Poincaré group down to the two-dimensional "Poincaré group" (three generators) times $U(1)$ (one generator). Naively one may expect six zero modes. However, we have only two Goldstone excitations on the string world sheet (one in the nonrelativistic case) due to the fact that not all broken generators are independent operators on the string world sheet [\[3](#page-2-2)].

In this Letter we will show that the analysis of the spontaneous breaking of the geometric (space-time) symmetries [[3](#page-2-2)] contains a subtle point that can invalidate the above common wisdom and lead to the occurrence of extra moduli fields (gapless excitations, Goldstone particles) on the string world sheet. Such extra moduli fields can appear in models with the order parameters carrying spatial indices, such as those relevant for superfluidity in 3 He (see, e.g., [\[5](#page-2-4)]). This example was studied in the recent publication [[6\]](#page-2-5), which in fact inspired the general consideration presented below.

The necessary and sufficient condition for the extra massless excitations to appear on the string world sheet is the existence of a limit in which a spacial symmetry of the bulk (e.g., the O(3) rotation symmetry) becomes enhanced and (a part) of the enhanced symmetry acts as an internal symmetry spontaneously broken on the string. In these circumstances the $O(3)$ part of the Poincaré group which, being spontaneously broken, is *not* represented by independent gapless excitations in the standard treatment [[3\]](#page-2-2), acquires its own non-Abelian moduli fields, the Goldstone bosons localized on the string. (Nonperturbative effects tending to generate a mass gap in two dimensions can be made arbitrarily small, see below.)

While our assertion bears a general nature, it is convenient to explain its origin using a simple model suggested in [\[7\]](#page-2-6): scalar quantum electrodynamics supporting the Abrikosov-Nielsen-Olesen strings (Abrikosov strings in the nonrelativistic case) amended by a real scalar spin-1 field. The Lagrangian will explicitly break the Lorentz boost part of the Poincaré group, but this is irrelevant for the phenomenon under consideration. We will focus on the spontaneous breaking of the O(3) rotational part.

The model is described by an effective Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_v + \mathcal{L}_\gamma,$

where

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\nu} = -\frac{1}{4e^2} F_{\mu\nu}^2 + |\mathcal{D}^{\mu}\phi|^2 - V(\phi),
$$

\n
$$
V = \lambda (|\phi|^2 - \nu^2)^2
$$
 (2)

and

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\chi} = \partial_{\mu} \chi^{i} \partial^{\mu} \chi^{i} - \varepsilon (\partial_{i} \chi^{i})^{2} - U(\chi, \phi), \qquad i = 1, 2, 3,
$$
\n(3)

$$
U = \gamma [(-\mu^2 + |\phi|^2) \chi^i \chi^i + \beta (\chi^i \chi^i)^2], \qquad (4)
$$

with self-evident definitions of the fields involved, the covariant derivative, and the kinetic and potential terms. One can consider both, relativistic and nonrelativistic kinetic terms. For definiteness we will focus on the first choice. The parameter ε will be treated as adjustable, while all other constants e, λ , β , μ , and v can be chosen at will, with some mild constraints (e.g., $v > \mu$) discussed in [[7\]](#page-2-6). The model design is conceptually similar to that of the superconducting cosmic strings in [[8\]](#page-2-7). (The only difference is the ''second'' scalar field: in [\[7](#page-2-6)] it is real and has three components while in [[8](#page-2-7)] it is a complex field similar to ϕ .)

In the vacuum ϕ develops an expectation value $\phi_{\text{vac}} = v$, while χ^{i} does *not* condense. The global symmetries of the vacuum state are four translations and three $O(3)$ rotations, in addition to the $U(1)$ gauge symmetry which is implemented in the Higgs mode because the complex field ϕ is condensed.

If we disregard the χ^i fields, the model under consideration is the Abelian Higgs model which supports conventional flux tubes (strings), see, e.g., [[9\]](#page-2-8). They are topologically stable because of nontrivial windings of the ϕ field. The minimal flux tube is associated with a single winding.

In the core of such a tube the ϕ field tends to zero with necessity. Switching on the χ^i fields we observe that this implies the χ field destabilization [\[8\]](#page-2-7) in the core [as follows from Eq. [\(4\)](#page-0-0)]. Hence, inside the core the χ field no longer vanishes.

Our ''two-component'' flux tube (string) spontaneously breaks two translational symmetries, in the perpendicular x , y plane, and $O(3)$ rotations. The latter are spontaneously broken by the string orientation along the z axis [more exactly, $O(3) \rightarrow O(2)$, and by the orientation of the spin field χ^i inside the core of the flux tube.

In order to establish implications of this symmetry breaking let us start from the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$. In this limit, if we forget for a short while about the χ^i fields, the breaking $O(3) \rightarrow O(2)$ produces no extra Goldstone modes on the string world sheet. We have only two translational modes, because the order parameter is scalar, and the action of the broken rotational generators reduces to that of translational generators [\[3](#page-2-2)].

However, due to the fact that $\chi \neq 0$ in the core, the presence of the χ component in the string solution leads to the occurrence of two extra moduli on the string world sheet. Indeed, in the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$ the rotational O(3) symmetry is enhanced $[6]$; as a matter of fact, one adds *inde*pendent O(3) rotations of the spin field χ^i to the coordinate spacial rotations.

The spin symmetry is (spontaneously) broken down to O(2) on the string solution. This latter breaking gives rise to the two-dimensional O(3) sigma model [equivalent to the CP(1) model] on the string world sheet [whose target space is $O(3)/O(2)$]. As a result, in addition to two translational moduli we have two orientational moduli in the case at hand. Numerical calculations supporting the above assertion of the spontaneous breaking $O(3) \rightarrow O(2)$ in the string core will be presented elsewhere [\[10\]](#page-2-9).

Now, let us leave the limit $\varepsilon = 0$, and see what happens at $\epsilon \neq 0$. If ϵ is small, to the leading order in this parameter, we can determine the effective world-sheet action using the solution obtained at $\varepsilon = 0$. Note that at $\varepsilon \neq 0$ three Lorentz boosts are explicitly broken in Eq. ([4\)](#page-0-0). In addition, two distinct O(3) rotations mentioned above become entangled: $O(3) \times O(3)$ is no longer the exact symmetry of the model, but, rather, an approximate symmetry.

Implications on the string world sheet will ensue. Upon reflection it is easy to see that the term $\varepsilon(\partial_i \chi^i)^2$ lifts the degeneracy on the target space of the world-sheet O(3) degeneracy on the target space of the world-sheet $O(3)$ sigma model. The low-energy effective action on the string world sheet is

$$
S = \int dt dz (L_{O(3)} + L_{x_1}),
$$

\n
$$
L_{O(3)} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2g^2} [(\partial_a S^i)^2 + \varepsilon (\partial_z S^3)^2] \right\} - M^2 [1 - (S^3)^2],
$$
 (5)

$$
\mathcal{L}_{x_{\perp}} = \frac{T}{2} (\partial_a \vec{x}_{\perp})^2 + \tilde{M}^2 (S^3)^2 (\partial_z \vec{x}_{\perp})^2, \tag{6}
$$

where $\vec{x}_{\perp} = \{x(t, z), y(t, z)\}\$ are the translational moduli fields, three orientational (quasi)moduli fields $S^{i}(t, z)$ are constrained $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ constrained $(i = 1, 2, 3)$,

$$
S^i S^i = 1,\t\t(7)
$$

 $a = t$, z, are the string world-sheet coordinates, and T is the string tension. The constants g^2 and M^2 , \tilde{M}^2 are

$$
g^2 \sim \beta \gamma, \qquad \tilde{M}^2 \sim M^2 \sim \varepsilon \mu^2/\beta, \tag{8}
$$

assuming $\mu^2 \sim v^2$. If $\varepsilon \to 0$ (i.e., $M^2 = 0$) we recover the standard $O(3)$ sigma model with the target space standard O(3) sigma model, with the target space $O(3)/O(2)$ and two moduli fields (gapless excitations). With nonvanishing but small ε the gapless rotational excitations become quasigapless (note that $M^2 \sim \varepsilon$). (We assume that $M^2 \ll T$ At weak coupling in the bulk $\gamma \ll 1$ assume that $M^2 \ll T$. At weak coupling in the bulk $\gamma \ll 1$ and, hence, $g^2 \ll 1$.) The two-dimensional Lorentz boost is no longer a symmetry, since (as was mentioned above), the Lorentz boosts are explicitly broken by the $\varepsilon(\partial_i \chi^i)$
term in four dimensions, see Eq. (4) Þ $\acute{2}$ term in four dimensions, see Eq. [\(4\)](#page-0-0).

In high-energy physics M^2 is referred to as the twisted mass [\[11\]](#page-2-10). In condensed matter the $\varepsilon = 0$ limit of $\mathcal{L}_{O(3)}$ is known as the Heisenberg antiferromagnet model. Then the last term in Eq. [\(5\)](#page-1-0) can be interpreted as an external magnetic field of a special form giving rise to an isotropy term (e.g., [\[12](#page-2-11)] and discussion therein).

The impact of the mass term in Eq. (5) (5) depends on the sign of M^2 (inherited from ε). If M^2 is positive the ground state of the theory—the vacuum—is achieved at $S^3 = \pm 1$; i.e., the spin vector in the flux tube core is aligned with the tube axis (the so-called easy axis). If M^2 is negative, the ground state is achieved at $S^3 = 0$; i.e., the spin vector is perpendicular to the axis [\[12\]](#page-2-11) (the so-called easy plane). Then the vacuum manifold is developed (S_1) , the string becomes axially asymmetric, and instead of two quasigapless excitations we have one quasigapless and one classically gapless. (It can acquire a mass gap, though, through the Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless phase transition.)

So far we have discussed the theory on the string world sheet in the classical approximation. It is well known [\[13\]](#page-2-12) that in the $\varepsilon \to 0$ limit the model ([5\)](#page-1-0) is asymptotically free and develops a dynamical mass gap Λ as a result of infrared dynamics. The value of this mass gap is determined by the value of g^2 and can be made arbitrarily small with the appropriate choice of parameters. If Λ is smaller than M the discussion above remains valid. Otherwise, the mass gap is determined by Λ .

We are grateful to Alex Kamenev, W. Vinci, and G. Volovik for inspirational discussions. The work of M. S. is supported in part by DOE Grant No. DE-FG02- 94ER-40823. The work of A. Y. is supported by FTPI, University of Minnesota, by RFBR Grant No. 13-02-00042a and by Russian State Grant for Scientific Schools RSGSS-657512010.2.

[1] J. Goldstone, [Nuovo Cimento](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02812722) 19, 154 (1961); J. Goldstone, A. Salam, and S. Weinberg, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.127.965) 127, [965 \(1962\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.127.965).

- [2] H. B. Nielsen and S. Chadha, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(76)90025-0) B105, 445 [\(1976\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(76)90025-0).
- [3] I. Low and A. V. Manohar, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.101602)* **88**, 101602 [\(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.101602).
- [4] A. Abrikosov, Sov. Phys. JETP 32, 1442 (1957); H. Nielsen and P. Olesen, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(73)90350-7) B61, 45 (1973).
- [5] G. Volovik, The Universe in a Helium Droplet (Oxford University, New York, 2003); A. J. Leggett, Quantum Liquids (Oxford University, New York, 2006).
- [6] M. Nitta, M. Shifman, and W. Vinci, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.081702) 87, [081702 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.081702)
- [7] M. Shifman, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**[, 025025 \(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.025025).
- [8] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B249**[, 557 \(1985\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(85)90022-7).
- [9] M. Shifman, Advanced Topics in Quantum Field Theory (Cambridge University Press, New York, 2012).
- [10] S. Monin, M. Shifman, and A. Yung (to be published).
- [11] L. Alvarez-Gaumé and D. Z. Freedman, [Commun. Math.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01206053) Phys. 91[, 87 \(1983\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01206053); S. J. Gates, C. M. Hull, and M. Roček, Nucl. Phys. B248[, 157 \(1984\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(84)90592-3)
- [12] I. Affleck, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.56.408)* **56**, 408 (1986).
- [13] A. M. Polyakov, *Phys. Lett.* **59B**[, 79 \(1975\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(75)90161-6).