## Formations of Dumbbell C<sub>118</sub> and C<sub>119</sub> inside Clusters of C<sub>60</sub> Molecules by Collision with $\alpha$ Particles

H. Zettergren,<sup>1,\*</sup> P. Rousseau,<sup>2,3</sup> Y. Wang,<sup>4,5</sup> F. Seitz,<sup>1</sup> T. Chen,<sup>1</sup> M. Gatchell,<sup>1</sup> J. D. Alexander,<sup>1</sup> M. H. Stockett,<sup>1</sup> J. Rangama,<sup>2</sup> J. Y. Chesnel,<sup>2,3</sup> M. Capron,<sup>2,3</sup> J. C. Poully,<sup>2,3</sup> A. Domaracka,<sup>2</sup> A. Méry,<sup>2,3</sup> S. Maclot,<sup>2,3</sup> H. T. Schmidt,<sup>1</sup> L. Adoui,<sup>2,3</sup> M. Alcamí,<sup>4</sup> A. G. G. M. Tielens,<sup>6</sup> F. Martín,<sup>4,5</sup> B. A. Huber,<sup>2</sup> and H. Cederquist<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Stockholm University, S-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

<sup>2</sup>CIMAP, UMR 6252, CEA/CNRS/ENSICAEN/Université de Caen Basse-Normandie,

bd Henri Becquerel, BP 5133, F-14070 Caen cedex 05, France

<sup>3</sup>Université de Caen Basse-Normandie, Esplanade de la Paix, F-14032 Caen, France

<sup>4</sup>Departamento de Química, Módulo 13, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28049 Madrid, Spain

<sup>5</sup>Instituto Madrileño de Estudios Avanzados en Nanociencia (IMDEA-Nano), Cantoblanco, 28049 Madrid, Spain

<sup>6</sup>Leiden University, Leiden Observatory, NL-2300 RA Leiden, Netherlands

(Received 30 November 2012; published 1 May 2013)

We report highly selective covalent bond modifications in collisions between keV alpha particles and van der Waals clusters of  $C_{60}$  fullerenes. Surprisingly,  $C_{119}^+$  and  $C_{118}^+$  are the dominant molecular fusion products. We use molecular dynamics simulations to show that  $C_{59}^+$  and  $C_{58}^+$  ions—effectively produced in prompt knockout processes with  $He^{2+}$ —react rapidly with  $C_{60}$  to form dumbbell  $C_{119}^+$  and  $C_{118}^+$ . Ion impact on molecular clusters in general is expected to lead to efficient secondary reactions of interest for astrophysics. These reactions are different from those induced by photons.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.185501

PACS numbers: 61.48.-c, 31.15.xv, 36.40.Qv

Photodriven intracluster reactions have been observed in clusters of fullerenes [1,2] and in, e.g., clusters of methanol, water, dimethyl ether, and acetic acid molecules [3]. Clusters of fullerenes are of particular interest in this context as C<sub>60</sub> and C<sub>70</sub> recently have been observed in space [4,5] at temperatures indicating that they may reside on grains [4] or are in the solid phase (fullerite) [6]. The question of how fullerenes form in space and elsewhere [7–9] is still open and here we report experimental observations on specific very efficient fullerene growth processes inside small pieces of fullerite material. These ion-impact induced growth processes in which so-called dumbbell fullerene systems are formed are inherently different from the ones induced by photons [1,2] for reasons that will be discussed in the following.

The lowest energy barrier for formation of covalently bound dumbbell  $C_{120}$  from two *neutral*  $C_{60}$  molecules is roughly one or two electron volts [10]. Thus, two  $C_{60}$ molecules in their ground states may, in principle, form such a  $C_{120}$  system when the center-of-mass kinetic energy is larger than this barrier. However, molecular dynamics simulations by Jakowski, Irle, and Morokuma [11] have shown that, in practice, much larger kinetic energies are needed to form dumbbell  $C_{120}$  ( $\geq 60 \text{ eV}$ ) or single-cage  $C_{120} (\geq 100 \text{ eV})$  efficiently [11]. The reason is that at least one C<sub>60</sub> cage needs to rearrange to form covalent bonds with the other cage on very short, picosecond, time scales. This is highly unlikely in a single encounter at low kinetic energy, as the transferred energy is redistributed over the fullerene molecule and a critical energy needs to be localized in a specific bond in order to break it. On the other hand, the reverse reaction (dissociation) may proceed at much lower energies but after many vibrations and on much longer time scales. For C<sub>60</sub>-C<sub>60</sub> collisions at kinetic energies above 60/100 eV covalent bond formation becomes much more likely but will then also give internally hot dumbbell/single-cage C120. Single-cage C120 will fragment through sequences of C2 emissions yielding molecular mass distributions with an even number of carbon atoms [1] as  $C_2$  loss is the lowest-energy dissociation channel at about 10 eV. The dumbbell  $C_{120} \rightarrow C_{60} + C_{60}$ dissociation energy is only a couple of eV [10] and this will then be the dominant decay channel. In Fig. 1 we indicate



FIG. 1. Center-of-mass kinetic energies required to efficiently form the covalent  $C_{120}$  dimer ( $\geq 60 \text{ eV}$ ) or the fully fused  $C_{120}$ fullerene ( $\geq$ 100 eV) in C<sub>60</sub> + C<sub>60</sub> collisions according to molecular dynamics simulations [11]. Binding energies are from the present calculations (cf. text).

binding energies of the van der Waals dimer, dumbbell  $C_{120}$ , and the  $C_{120}$  fullerene from reference molecular structure calculations [B3LYP-6-31G(d)] with basis set superposition error correction [12] and Grimme's dispersion correction [13].

In this Letter we report combined experimental and theoretical results on covalent bond modification processes following collisions between 22.5 keV He<sup>2+</sup> and van der Waals clusters of C<sub>60</sub> molecules. These processes are very efficient and take place during the fragmentation of the cluster-i.e., on the few picosecond time scale. Surprisingly, dumbbell  $C^+_{119}$  and  $C^+_{118}$  are the dominant reaction products. The  $He^{2+}\mbox{-}ion$  impact is key here. It leads to prompt knockout of one or two carbon atoms producing  $C_{59}^+$  and  $C_{58}^+$  inside the cluster. Further, these ions are very reactive in comparison with  $C_{60}^+$  (cf. Fig. 1). There are no adjacent pentagons in  $C_{60}^+$  while such motifs are present in  $C_{58}^+$ . Thus, while the bonds are mainly of the unreactive sp<sup>2</sup> type in  $C_{60}^+$  they have more sp<sup>3</sup> character in  $C_{58}^+$ , which strongly favors covalent bonding with  $C_{60}$ . The  $C_{59}^+$  is even more reactive as it has 8- and 9-membered rings with unsaturated carbon atoms.

The experimental part of the work was carried out at the ARIBE facility at GANIL in Caen, France. There, a 22.5 keV He<sup>2+</sup> ion beam was produced in an ECR ion source and chopped into 0.5  $\mu$ s long pulses at a rate of 1.9 kHz. These projectile ions interact with clusters of fullerenes from a liquid nitrogen cooled cluster aggregation source operated with a flow of He gas [14]. The cold source produces neutral van der Waals clusters following a broad lognormal size distribution [15]. When the beam pulse has left the interaction region, the extraction voltage is switched on after a delay of 0.1  $\mu$ s and the mass-to-charge ratio of the positively charged collision products are measured by a linear time-of-flight mass spectrometer. Secondary electrons are emitted when the ions hit a metal plate at the end of the spectrometer and these electrons are guided to a MCP detector by a weak magnetic field. This gives high detection efficiencies, which allows for coincidence measurements of charged fragments stemming from single collision events.

In Fig. 2 we show two representations of the measured mass-to-charge state distributions. The top panel shows the total distribution, i.e., the spectrum of all detected ions, and the bottom panel shows the distribution for ions detected in coincidence with one or several intact  $C_{60}^+$  ions. In both cases the  $C_{60}^+$  peak dominates the intensity providing clear evidence that most collisions between He<sup>2+</sup> ions and van der Waals clusters of  $C_{60}$  molecules lead to single- or multiple ionization *and* fragmentation of the clusters, but rarely to fragmentation of the individual  $C_{60}^+$  ions. The  $C_{60}^+$  peaks are broad in both spectra due to kinetic energy releases which become much larger when several  $C_{60}^+$  ions are 0.9  $\pm$  0.1 eV as extracted from the full width



FIG. 2. The measured mass-to-charge distributions following 22.5 keV  $\text{He}^{2+} + [\text{C}_{60}]_n$  collisions. The top and bottom panels show the distributions including all events (total spectrum) and for events correlated with one or several intact  $\text{C}_{60}^+$  ions (coincidences with  $\text{C}_{60}^+$  ions), respectively. Note the different scales for the left and right panels. The inset in the lower right panel shows a zoom-in on the mass region from 100 to 125 carbon masses per atomic unit of charge,  $n_{\rm C}/e$ .

at half maximum of the  $C_{60}^+$  peak in the lower left panel of Fig. 2. Small amounts of  $C_{60-2m}^+$  fragments are also observed and are mainly due to evaporation of  $m C_2$  units [7] from the few hotter  $C_{60}^+$  ions emitted from the clusters. The narrow  $[C_{60}]_n^+$  and  $[C_{60}]_n^{2+}$  peaks at large mass-tocharge ratios (labeled as  $n^+$  and  $n^{2+}$  in the upper right panel in Fig. 2) are mainly due to collisions in which the clusters have been ionized but not fragmented. These peaks are observed for events in which one and only one ion is produced and are very weak in coincidence with  $C_{60}^+$  ions as can be seen in the lower right panel of Fig. 2. The latter spectrum is instead dominated by peaks at the positions for 120, 119, and 118 carbon masses per atomic unit of charge,  $n_{\rm C}/e$ , where the intensity relation is 0.44:1:0.48 in a Gaussian fit. Taking the differences in flight times into account we find that these three peaks are almost twice as broad as (a factor of  $1.7 \pm 0.1$  broader than) the narrow  $[C_{60}]_n^+$  ( $[C_{60}]_6^+$ ) peaks in the upper panel of Fig. 2. This shows that the  $n_{\rm C}/e = 120$ , 119, 118 peaks are due to cluster fragmentation processes. The peak at  $n_{\rm C}/e = 120$ may be due to (i)  $[C_{60}]_2^+$  dimers remaining after emissions of  $C_{60}^+$  ions and  $C_{60}$  molecules from larger clusters similar to what has been observed for other loosely bound cluster systems [17,18], and/or (ii)  $C_{60}^+ + C_{60} \rightarrow C_{120}^+$ covalent bond formation. The peaks at  $n_{\rm C}/e = 119$  and  $n_{\rm C}/e = 118$  are, in contrast, most likely due to low energy

 $C_{59}^+ + C_{60}$  and  $C_{58}^+ + C_{60}$  reactions in which covalently bound  $C_{119}^+$  and  $C_{118}^+$  dumbbell systems are formed. The highly reactive  $C_{59}^+$  and  $C_{58}^+$  ions are efficiently produced in prompt single- and double knockout processes together with singly ionized  $C_{60}$  molecules along ion trajectories through the clusters. Similar knockout processes have been identified in  $C_{60}^-$  + He collisions from which only  $C_{59}^+$  and  $C_{58}^+$  fragments but no smaller fragments like  $C_{56}^+$  were detected [19]. For  $C_{60}^-$  collisions on Ar or Xe,  $C_{59}^+$  fragments were not observed [20] because penetrating collisions often lead to knockouts of several carbon atoms due to larger nuclear stopping energies (see also Ref. [21]).

We have performed stopping energy calculations for 22.5 keV  $He^{2+}$  ions colliding with  $C_{60}$  monomers and  $[C_{60}]_n$  clusters, in order to investigate the significance of direct knockout processes. Density functional theory (DFT) [22] is then first used to calculate the electron density distribution for the C<sub>60</sub> monomer. This distribution is used to model the individual molecules in the  $[C_{60}]_n$ clusters which are placed according to icosahedral cluster geometries [23]. We have verified the validity of this simplified approach by comparison with the real electron density distribution in the case of the van der Waals dimer. In the next step we employ a Monte Carlo approach to launch large numbers of He<sup>2+</sup> ions at such, randomly oriented, C<sub>60</sub> monomers or clusters where we use friction coefficients from Puska and Nieminen [24] (fits to their results) to determine the electronic energy loss for ions traversing the target electron clouds. We use our DFT results for the electron density distributions along each individual ion trajectory and we also calculate the related nuclear energy loss along the same trajectories using the well-established method described, for example, in Ref. [20]. We find that electronic stopping processes dominate and induce typical excitation energies in excess of 100 eV and with wide distributions and a weak dependence on cluster size, while typical nuclear stopping energies are significantly lower. In most cases the resulting excitations should lead to fragmentation of the clusters but seldom to fragmentations of the individual molecules which is consistent with the present experimental observations (cf. Fig. 2). The reason is that the electronic excitation energy is distributed rapidly [25,26] over the whole cluster before fragmentation such that the individual molecules most often become sufficiently cold to stay intact [17].

From the Monte Carlo simulations described above, we have also extracted the absolute cross sections for transfer of at least 15.1 eV—the energy required to remove a single carbon atom in a hard He-C nuclear scattering process in C<sub>60</sub>. For this we have used DFT at the B3LYP-6-31G(d) level to calculate the difference in energy between the C<sub>60</sub> and the C<sub>59</sub> + C systems where the geometry of the C<sub>59</sub> is assumed to be frozen during the very fast knockout process. We have further calculated the *adiabatic* energies at the same level of DFT for  $C_{60}^+ \rightarrow C_{58}^+ + C_2$ ,  $C_{60}^+ \rightarrow C_{59}^+ + C_3$ , and  $C_{59}^+ \rightarrow C_{58}^+ + C_3$  dissociation to be 10.7, 11.9, and 5.4 eV, respectively. Thus,  $C_{59}^+$  is very fragile and a second carbon atom may be easily lost in some of the knockout processes.

Using the same Monte Carlo approach, we calculate the single knockout cross sections for 22.5 keV He<sup>2+</sup> impact to be  $0.4 \times 10^{-15}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> for C<sub>60</sub> monomers and  $5 \times$  $10^{-15}$  cm<sup>2</sup> for  $[C_{60}]_{13}$  clusters. The geometrical cross section of a C<sub>60</sub> molecule is about  $4 \times 10^{-15}$  cm<sup>2</sup>, and, using the calculated numbers above we conclude that substantial fractions (about 10%) of the target-penetrating collisions lead to single-atom knockout for clusters in the present size range. The registered numbers of events containing either  $C^+_{119}$  or  $C^+_{118}$  ions are about  $4.5 \times 10^3$  for the spectrum in Fig. 2. The corresponding total number of events is  $7 \times$  $10^5$ . We use the over-the-barrier concept [27] to estimate that only about 30% of the latter are due to penetrating collisions for typical cluster sizes. The remaining part is due to ionizing peripheral collisions which are too distant for single atom knockout. With this we estimate that  $4.5 \times 10^3 / (0.3 \times 7 \times 10^5)$ , roughly 2%, of the penetrating collisions yield  $C_{119}^+$  or  $C_{118}^+$ . That is, one out of five of the prompt single atom knockouts lead to dumbbell formation corresponding to a cross section of  $\sim 1 \times$  $10^{-15} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ for } [C_{60}]_{13}.$ 

We have performed classical molecular dynamics (MD) simulations for  $C_{60}^+ + C_{60}$ ,  $C_{59}^+ + C_{60}$ , and  $C_{58}^+ + C_{60}$  collisions and for  $C_{59}^+$  and  $C_{58}^+$  interacting with several  $C_{60}$  molecules inside fragmenting clusters in order to



FIG. 3 (color online). Left to right: A cluster of thirteen molecules just after a prompt single carbon knockout process  $\text{He}^{2+} + \text{C}_{60} \rightarrow \text{C}_{59}^+ + \text{C} + \dots$ , followed by three snapshots from our molecular dynamics simulations. The  $\text{C}_{59}^+$  molecule (in red) collides with a  $\text{C}_{60}$  molecule such that a covalently bound dumbbell  $\text{C}_{119}^+$  system forms within a few picoseconds.



FIG. 4 (color online). Kinetic energies required for covalent bond formations in collisions between  $C_{58}^+$ ,  $C_{59}^+$ , or  $C_{60}^+$ , and  $C_{60}$  on picosecond time scales according to the present molecular dynamics simulations. The dissociation energies are calculated for the ground states of the most stable dumbbell structures (cf. text).

investigate efficiencies of bond formation processes. We have varied the center-of-mass collision energies and used different internal temperatures of the ions and the DL\_POLY package [28] in the microcanonical (*NVE*) ensemble. With the Tersoff potential [29] for carbon-carbon interactions we find that covalently bound dumbbell  $C_{119}^+$  and  $C_{118}^+$  systems are formed for collision energies all the way down to 0.9 eV, i.e., the typical kinetic energy which we measure for intact fullerenes emitted from fragmenting clusters. We have confirmed that the variation of the average Tersoff potential with the intercage distance is very similar to the variation of the density functional theory [BLYP-6-31G(d)] potential with rigid fullerene cages.

In Fig. 3, we show results from the MD simulations following prompt (femtosecond) knockout of a single carbon atom from the central  $C_{60}$  molecule in a  $[C_{60}]_{13}$  cluster in the archetype icosahedral geometry [23]. The so-formed  $C_{59}^+$  ion is given a kinetic energy of a few eV for the simulations and in the figure we see how two molecular cages link to form a covalent  $C^{+}_{119}\ \mbox{system}$  within a few picoseconds. A possible  $C^+_{119}$  structure with three intermediate atoms forming sp<sup>3</sup> bonds between the two cages is shown in Fig. 4. Interestingly, the  $C^{+}_{119}$  and  $C^{+}_{118}$  systems have adiabatic dissociation energies of 5.4 and 3.0 eV, respectively, which are significantly higher than that of  $C_{120}^+$  at 1.0 eV—as we have calculated at the B3LYP-6-31G(d) level of theory for the ground states in all three cases. Thus, not only do the  $C^{+}_{119}$  and  $C^{+}_{118}$  systems form much more readily than  $C_{120}^+$ , they are also inherently more stable and therefore more likely to remain intact once they are formed (cf. Fig. 4).

Ion-induced bond rearrangement processes have been observed before for, e.g., highly charged ions colliding on *single* molecules [30]. In this Letter we have shown that keV ions may induce very efficient routes to molecular growth processes when such rearrangement processes involve other molecules inside a cluster. We have found that ion impact often leads to nonstatistical decay processes and thus to highly reactive fragments of the individual molecules while photoabsorption leads to statistical fragmentation favoring more stable and less reactive fragments. Until now it has been assumed that processing of molecules and molecular clusters in space mostly is driven by interactions with photons. Examples here are the processing of interstellar molecules, molecular clusters, grains, etc., by UV photons in the outflows from dying stars [31] and formation of fullerenes from, e.g., large polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) molecules in the interstellar medium [9]. The present results demonstrate that processing by ions likely opens up very different molecular growth routes. This ion processing may be particularly relevant for shocks driven by supernova explosions.

This work was supported by the Swedish Research Council (Contracts No. 621-2008-3773, No. 621-2009-3468, and No. 621-2011-4047). The authors thank Fabien Noury and Stephane Guillous for supplying the ion beam. We acknowledge computer time from CCC-UAM and BSC Mare Nostrum. The work is partially supported by the Projects No. FIS2010-15127, No. CTQ2010-17006 CSD2007-00010 (MICINN), No. S2009/MAT1726 (CAM), and the CNRS PICS-05356. Studies of interstellar chemistry at Leiden Observatory are supported through advanced-ERC Grant No. 246976 from the European Research Council, through a grant by the Dutch Science Agency, NWO, as part of the Dutch Astrochemistry Network, and through the Spinoza premie from the Dutch Science Agency, NWO.

\*Corresponding author. henning@fysik.su.se

- [1] M. Hedén, K. Hansen, and E. E. B. Campbell, Phys. Rev. A **71**, 055201 (2005).
- [2] C. Yeretzian, K. Hansen, F. Diederich, and R. L. Whetten, Nature (London) 359, 44 (1992).
- [3] J. H. Litman, B. L. Yoder, B. Schlappi, and R. Signorell, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 15, 940 (2012).
- [4] J. Cami, J. Bernard-Salas, E. Peeters, and S.E. Malek, Science 329, 1180 (2010).
- [5] D.A. García-Hernandez, A. Manchado, and P. García-Lario, Astrophys. J. Lett. **724**, L39 (2010).
- [6] A. Evans *et al.*, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. Lett. **421**, L92 (2012).
- [7] S. Irle, G. Zheng, and K. Morokuma, J. Phys. Chem. B 110, 14 531 (2006).
- [8] P. W. Dunk, N. K. Kaiser, C. L. Hendrickson, J. P. Quinn, C. P. Ewels, Y. Nakanishi, Y. Sasaki, H. Shinohara, A. G. Marshall, and H. W. Kroto, Nat. Commun. 3, 855 (2012).

- [9] O. Berne and A. G. G. M. Tielens, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 109, 401 (2012).
- [10] D. Porezag, M. R. Pederson, T. Frauenheim, and T. Köhler, Phys. Rev. B 52, 14963 (1995).
- [11] J. Jakowski, S. Irle, and K. Morokuma, Phys. Rev. B 82, 125443 (2010).
- [12] S.F. Boys and F. Bernardi, Mol. Phys. 19, 553 (1970).
- [13] S. Grimme, J. Comput. Chem. 27, 1787 (2006).
- [14] T. Bergen et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 70, 3244 (1999).
- [15] J. Maul, T. Berg, E. Marosits, G. Schönhense, and G. Huber, Phys. Rev. B 74, 161406 (2006).
- [16] S. Tomita, H. Lebius, A. Brenac, F. Chandezon, and B. A. Huber, Phys. Rev. A 67, 063204 (2003).
- [17] A.I.S. Holm *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 213401 (2010).
- [18] H. A. B. Johansson *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A **84**, 043201 (2011).
- [19] S. Tomita, P. Hvelplund, S. B. Nielsen, and T. Muramoto, Phys. Rev. A 65, 043201 (2002).
- [20] M. C. Larsen, P. Hvelplund, M. O. Larsson, and H. Shen, Eur. Phys. J. D 5, 283 (1999).
- [21] H. Zettergren et al., J. Chem. Phys. 133, 104301 (2010).

- [22] M. J. Frisch et al., Gaussian 09 Revision A.1 (Gaussian Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2009).
- [23] The Cambridge Cluster Database, D.J. Wales, J.P.K. Doye, A. Dullweber, M.P. Hodges, F.Y. Naumkin F. Calvo, J. Hernndez-Rojas, and T.F. Middleton, http:// www-wales.ch.cam.ac.uk/CCD.html.
- [24] M. J. Puska and R. M. Nieminen, Phys. Rev. B 27, 6121 (1983).
- [25] H. Zettergren, H. T. Schmidt, P. Reinhed, H. Cederquist, J. Jensen, P. Hvelplund, S. Tomita, B. Manil, J. Rangama, and B. A. Huber, Phys. Rev. A 75, 051201 (2007).
- [26] H. Zettergren, Y. Wang, A. M. Lamsabhi, M. Alcamí, and F. Martín, J. Chem. Phys. 130, 224302 (2009).
- [27] J. Burgdörfer, P. Lerner, and F. W. Meyer, Phys. Rev. A 44, 5674 (1991).
- [28] I. Todorov, W. Smith, K. Trachenko, and M. Dove, J. Mater. Chem. 16, 1911 (2006).
- [29] J. Tersoff, Phys. Rev. B 37, 6991 (1988).
- [30] S. De, J. Rajput, A. Roy, P.N. Ghosh, and C.P. Safvan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 213201 (2006).
- [31] J. Bernard-Salas, J.J. Cami, E. Peeters, A.P. Jones, and E.R. Micelotta, Astrophys. J. 757, 41 (2012).