Nonspectral Relaxation in One Dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Processes

R. Toenjes, $1, *$ $1, *$ I. M. Sokolov, 2 and E. B. Postnikov³

¹Institute of Physics and Astronomy, Potsdam University, Potsdam-Golm 14476, Germany
²Institut für Physik, Humboldt Universität zu Barlin, Barlin 12480, Germany ²Institut für Physik, Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Berlin 12489, Germany 3 Department of Theoretical Physics, Kursk State University, Kursk 305000, Russia (Received 26 November 2012; revised manuscript received 8 March 2013; published 9 April 2013)

The relaxation of a dissipative system to its equilibrium state often shows a multiexponential pattern with relaxation rates, which are typically considered to be independent of the initial condition. The rates follow from the spectrum of a Hermitian operator obtained by a similarity transformation of the initial Fokker-Planck operator. However, some initial conditions are mapped by this similarity transformation to functions which grow at infinity. These cannot be expanded in terms of the eigenfunctions of a Hermitian operator, and show different relaxation patterns. Considering the exactly solvable examples of Gaussian and generalized Lévy Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes (OUPs) we show that the relaxation rates belong to the Hermitian spectrum only if the initial condition belongs to the domain of attraction of the stable distribution defining the noise. While for an ordinary OUP initial conditions leading to nonspectral relaxation can be considered exotic, for generalized OUPs driven by Lévy noise, such initial conditions are the rule.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.150602](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.150602) PACS numbers: 05.10.Gg, 05.40.Fb, 05.40.Jc

The relaxation of a physical system, prepared in a nonequilibrium state, to the equilibrium often shows a multiexponential pattern with decrements of single exponentials defining the relaxation rates. These rates are usually considered an intrinsic property of the system, independent of initial conditions and they follow from the spectrum of a Hermitian Hamiltonian operator obtained by a similarity transformation of the Fokker-Planck (FP) operator governing the evolution of the probability density. Methods of spectral analysis are central in physics, in particular, in quantum mechanics and in the theory of oscillations, and are universally employed to the solution of linear problems. Thus, the discussion of the spectrum of the FP operator is often the first step in the solution of the FP equation and the investigation of its relaxation properties $[1-3]$ $[1-3]$.

As we proceed to show, this first step might not deliver a complete picture. Initial distributions, which are not mapped to square integrable functions by the similarity transformation, cannot be expanded in terms of the eigenfunctions of the corresponding Hamiltonian operator and will therefore relax at rates that may not be given by the Hermitian spectrum. It is in this sense that we use the term nonspectral relaxation. The smallest nonspectral rate can be smaller than the smallest spectral relaxation rate and thus dominate the relaxation behavior over the whole time range.

Although the effect of nonspectral relaxation can be observed under quite general conditions, in this Letter we concentrate on the simplest, exactly solvable examples of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes (OUPs) describing the coordinate of an overdamped particle in a harmonic potential driven by a white noise. Because the OUP generally approximates random processes in the vicinity of a stable stationary point, it is a very important analytical tool in many fields of research, from statistical physics $[3-5]$ $[3-5]$ $[3-5]$ to theoretical neuroscience [\[6\]](#page-3-4), ecology [\[7](#page-3-5)], and economics [\[8\]](#page-3-6). Since the assumption of Gaussian fluctuations is violated in many nonequilibrium systems, in recent years the attention has been shifted to non-Gaussian statistics arising from Lévy noise $[8,9]$ $[8,9]$ $[8,9]$ $[8,9]$. In the present Letter, we consider both, the standard OUP driven by a Gaussian noise and a generalized OUP driven by symmetric, white Lévy noise. Even for the Gaussian OUP, the nonspectral relaxation of broad initial distributions has, surprisingly, never been considered in the textbooks and the applied mathematical literature $[1-3]$ $[1-3]$ $[1-3]$. For the Lévy OUP, the similarity transformation to the quantum harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian, which we present here, allows us to define a Hermitian spectrum for the corresponding fractional FP operator and hence to distinguish between spectral and nonspectral relaxation in this generalized case. The effect of nonspectral relaxation found in this Letter is of different nature from the nonspectral relaxation in the presence of multiplicative noise described in Ref. [[10](#page-3-8)] and from slow, nonexponential relaxation specific to subdiffusive processes [\[11\]](#page-3-9).

The time-dependent probability density $p(x, t)$ for a Gaussian diffusion process in a one dimensional potential $U(x)$ solves a FP equation [\[1](#page-3-1)] of the form

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}p(x,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}[U'(x)p(x,t)] + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}p(x,t) = \hat{L}p(x,t), \quad (1)
$$

where the time has been scaled to units of the inverse diffusion constant. The time independent, stationary solution is given as $p_{st}(x) = \frac{1}{Z}e^{-U(x)}$ where Z is determined by normal-
instinct The time avalation of the transformed function ization. The time evolution of the transformed function

$$
\psi(x,t) = p_{\rm st}(x)^{-(1/2)} p(x,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z}} e^{U(x)/2} p(x,t) \qquad (2)
$$

is given by a Hermitian operator \hat{H}

$$
-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(x,t) = \hat{H}\psi(x,t) = \left[V(x) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\right]\psi(x),\tag{3}
$$

with $V(x) = \left[\frac{1}{4}U'(x)^2 - \frac{1}{2}U''(x)\right]$ [[1\]](#page-3-1). Equation ([2\)](#page-0-0) defines a
similarity transformation between \hat{L} and $-\hat{H}$ and bence a similarity transformation between \hat{L} and $-\hat{H}$ and hence a transformation $\psi = \hat{S}_p$ from the space of the solutions of the FP equation to the space of the solutions of the Schrödinger-like equation ([3\)](#page-1-0). The eigenvalues $-\lambda$ of the Hamiltonian \hat{H}
are real valued and the corresponding eigenfunctions $\psi_{\alpha}(\mu)$ are real valued and the corresponding eigenfunctions $\psi_{\lambda}(x)$ form a basis in the Hilbert space of square integrable functions. A distribution $p(x, t)$ that can be expanded into the transformed eigenfunctions $\varphi_{\lambda}(x) = \psi_{\lambda}(x) \sqrt{p_{\text{st}}(x)}$ will relax at rates that are given by the eigenvalue spectrum of relax at rates that are given by the eigenvalue spectrum of \hat{H} . We call this a *spectral* relaxation pattern. However, from Eq. ([2\)](#page-0-0), it follows that only those distributions $p(x, t)$, that decay sufficiently faster at infinity than $1/\sqrt{p_{st}(x)}$ grows,
transform into square integrable functions $p(t|x, t)$ [2] If $U(x)$ transform into square integrable functions $\psi(x, t)$ [[2\]](#page-3-10). If $U(x)$ goes faster to infinity than logarithmically, $p(x, t)$ must decay exponentially. In this case, the existence of all moments is a necessary and, indeed, a sufficient condition for spectral relaxation. Other fully legitimate probability density functions, for instance, a Cauchy distribution $p(x, t_0) = (1/\pi) \times (x^2 + 1)^{-1}$ as an initial distribution for the EP equation are $(x^2 + 1)^{-1}$, as an initial distribution for the FP equation, are
manned to functions that grow rapidly at infinity and cannot mapped to functions that grow rapidly at infinity and cannot be expanded into the square integrable eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian. The relaxation for such initial conditions does not have to be spectral. Since one is usually interested in the Green's function of the system, which is the conditional probability density $p(x, t + \tau | x_0, t)$, square integrability of the transformed initial condition is always assumed and other cases have never been considered because they seem exotic or even unphysical. For the OUP with a linear restoring force, given by a mobility coefficient ν , the potentials are $U(x) =$ $\frac{1}{2} \nu x^2$ and $V(x) = \frac{1}{4} \nu^2 x^2 - \frac{1}{2} \nu$. The spectral relaxation rates
are given by the energy eigenvalues $-\lambda = n\nu$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are given by the energy eigenvalues $-\lambda_n = n\nu$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ of the quantum harmonic oscillator with ground state energy zero.

Let us proceed to show that the fractional FP operator of the Lévy OUP $[12,13]$ $[12,13]$ $[12,13]$ $[12,13]$ $[12,13]$ can also be mapped to the Hamiltonian of the quantum harmonic oscillator. The FP equation for the probability distribution of a Lévy flight in a harmonic potential reads

$$
\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \big[\nu x p(x, t) \big] + \Delta^{\mu/2} p(x, t) = \hat{L}_{\nu}^{\mu} p(x, t), \quad (4)
$$

with the parameter $0 < \mu \leq 2$ in the fractional derivative corresponding to the index of the stable law defining the Lévy noise. The fractional Laplacian is defined by its action in Fourier space: $\Delta^{\mu/2} p(x) \rightarrow -|k|^{\mu} p(k)$, where it is diagonal. We write \hat{L}^{μ}_{ν} for the corresponding fractional

ED executes depending on the poise persymptom is and the FP operator depending on the noise parameter μ and the mobility v. Equation [\(1](#page-0-1)) with $U(x) = \frac{1}{2} \nu x^2$ is a special
case of Eq. (4) with $u = 2$. In Fourier space, Eq. (4) is an case of Eq. [\(4](#page-1-1)) with $\mu = 2$. In Fourier space, Eq. ([4\)](#page-1-1) is an evolution equation for the characteristic function $p(k, t)$ = $E_p[e^{ikx}]$. There it has the form

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}p(k,t) = -\nu k \frac{\partial}{\partial k}p(k,t) - |k|^{\mu}p(k,t). \tag{5}
$$

By simply rescaling the argument with a diagonal transformation \hat{T}_{α} with integral kernel $T_{\alpha}(\kappa, k) =$ $\delta(|\kappa|^{1/\alpha}\text{sgn}(\kappa)-\tilde{k}),$

$$
[\hat{T}_{\alpha}p](\kappa, t) = \int T_{\alpha}(\kappa, k)p(k, t)dk = p(|\kappa|^{1/\alpha}sgn(\kappa), t),
$$
\n(6)

and using the chain rule $k\partial_k[\hat{T}_{\alpha}p] = \alpha \kappa \partial_{\kappa}[\hat{T}_{\alpha}p]$, we find
that with $\omega = \mu/2$, the transformed functions follow a that, with $\alpha = \mu/2$, the transformed functions follow a nonfractional FP equation:

$$
\frac{d}{dt}[\hat{T}_{\mu/2}p] = -\nu \frac{\mu}{2} \kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa} [\hat{T}_{\mu/2}p] + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \kappa^2} [\hat{T}_{\mu/2}p]
$$

$$
= \hat{L}_{\nu \frac{\mu}{2}}^2 [\hat{T}_{\mu/2}p]. \tag{7}
$$

For any $\alpha > 0$, the transformation is defined everywhere; it preserves the value $p(k = 0) = [\hat{T}_{\alpha} p](\kappa = 0)$, i.e., the pormalization in coordinate space and it has $\hat{T}^{-1} = \hat{T}$. normalization in coordinate space, and it has $\hat{T}_{\alpha}^{-1} = \hat{T}_{1/\alpha}$ as the inverse. Indeed, it defines the similarity transformation $\hat{T}_{\mu/2}\hat{L}_{\nu}^{\mu}\hat{T}_{\mu/2}^{-1} = \hat{L}_{\nu\mu/2}^2$ of the fractional FP operator \hat{L}_{ν}^{μ} to that of the nonfractional OUP $\hat{L}^2_{\nu\mu/2}$ with the coefficient
of a restaring force depending on the poise normator μ . of a restoring force depending on the noise parameter μ . In coordinate space, the transformation \hat{T}_{α} is an integral transform with the kernel

$$
T_{\alpha}(\chi, x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\kappa \chi - i|\kappa|^{1/\alpha} \text{sgn}(\kappa)x} d\kappa. \tag{8}
$$

Thus, applying the transformations \hat{S} and $\hat{T}_{\mu/2}$ in sequence, one can transform the fractional operator \hat{L}^{μ}_{ν} to the Hamiltonian of the questure harmonic oscillator with the Hamiltonian of the quantum harmonic oscillator with the harmonic eigenvalue spectrum $-\lambda_n = n\nu\frac{\mu}{2}$.
The spectrum of a Hermitian operator and its e

The spectrum of a Hermitian operator and its eigenfunctions are determined by the properties of the Hilbert space it is operating on. In the case of square integrable functions, there are selection rules that constrict the possible eigenvalues, and the corresponding eigenfunctions form a complete basis. Given the similarity transformation between the FP operators for the one dimensional Gaussian diffusion process in any potential or the generalized Lévy OUP and a quantum mechanical Hamiltonian, it is tempting to use the same selection rules in order to also resolve the identity in the space $L¹$ of integrable solutions of the initial FP equation. But one has to be aware that this is only possible in a subspace of $L¹$. However, both the solution of the eigenvalue problem and the complete timedependent solution of the fractional Fokker-Planck equation for the Lévy OUP can be found analytically. We therefore use this analytically tractable example as a showcase for nonspectral relaxation, which could otherwise not be explained by Hermitian spectral theory.

Since we only consider real valued functions in coordinate space, we can restrict the eigenfunctions $\varphi_{\lambda}(k)$ of the FP operator \hat{L}^{μ}_{ν} in Fourier space to those for which $\varphi_{\lambda}(-k) = \varphi_{\lambda}(k^*)$ holds. The eigenvalue problem $\hat{L}^{\mu}_{\nu} \varphi_{\lambda} =$ $\lambda \varphi_{\lambda}$ is solved via separation of variables by any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \leq 0$, and $a_{\lambda}, b_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}$ as

$$
\varphi_{\lambda}(k) = [a_{\lambda} + ib_{\lambda} \text{sgn}(k)]|k|^{-(\lambda/\nu)} e^{-(1/\nu\mu)|k|^{\mu}}. \quad (9)
$$

A nonzero coefficient a_{λ} means that the eigenfunction in coordinate space has a nonzero even part and a nonzero b_{λ} contributes to the odd part of $\varphi_{\lambda}(x)$. For the symmetric Lévy flight in a symmetric potential, the stationary solution must be even, and we find $p_{st}(k) = \varphi_0(k) =$ $\exp(-\frac{1}{\nu\mu}|k|^{\mu})$ and thus

$$
\varphi_{\lambda}(k) = [a_{\lambda} + ib_{\lambda} \text{sgn}(k)]|k|^{-(\lambda/\nu)} p_{\text{st}}(k). \qquad (10)
$$

The characteristic function $p(k, t + \tau)$ at time $t + \tau$ is the unique solution of the fractional FP equation ([5\)](#page-1-2) with a given initial characteristic function $p(k, t) = p_0(k)$ at time t. It is found by the method of characteristics and yields

$$
p(k, t + \tau) = \frac{p_0(k e^{-\nu \tau})}{p_{\rm st}(k e^{-\nu \tau})} p_{\rm st}(k).
$$
 (11)

Comparing Eqs. [\(10\)](#page-2-0) and [\(11\)](#page-2-1) we see that $p(k, t + \tau)$ has an expansion into the eigenfunctions of \hat{L}^{μ}_{ν} if the ratio $p_0/p_{\rm st}$ can be expanded as

$$
\frac{p_0(ke^{-\nu\tau})}{p_{\rm st}(ke^{-\nu\tau})} = \sum_{\lambda} [a_{\lambda} + ib_{\lambda} \text{sgn}(k)] |k|^{-(\lambda/\nu)} e^{\lambda\tau}.
$$
 (12)

In more general cases, the sum may be replaced by an integral with respect to an appropriate measure over the nonpositive real numbers. Note that both the initial distribution and the stationary distribution determine the relaxation rates to the equilibrium. Two-point correlation functions of observables with finite expectation and variance at equilibrium require the conditional probability distribution $p(x, t + \tau | x_0, t)$, which is the solution of the FP equation with a delta distribution $p_0(x) = \delta(x - x_0)$ at an initial
time t. The asymptotic relaxation rate of these correlation time *t*. The asymptotic relaxation rate of these correlation functions can be different from the relaxation rates of probability densities with other initial distributions.

It is beyond the scope of this Letter to study the conditions under which such an expansion exists. Instead, we show an example for which the expansion into an absolutely convergent series is known, and where the contributing eigenvalues λ are not identical with the harmonic spectrum $\lambda_n = -nv \frac{\mu}{2}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us consider a
Lévy stable distribution of index α centered around a Lévy stable distribution of index α centered around a point x_0 , which has the characteristic function $p_0(k) =$ $\exp(ikx_0 - \sigma_0 |k|^{\alpha})$. The fraction ([12](#page-2-2)) has the absolutely convergent series expansion convergent series expansion

$$
\frac{p_0(ke^{-\nu\tau})}{p_{\rm st}(ke^{-\nu\tau})} = \sum_{l,m,n=0}^{\infty} c_{lmn} |k|^{-(\lambda_{lmn}/\nu)} e^{\lambda_{lmn}\tau},\tag{13}
$$

with

$$
c_{lmn} = \frac{1}{l!m!n!} (ix_0 \text{sgn}(k))^{l} (-\sigma_0)^m \left(\frac{1}{\nu \mu}\right)^n, \qquad (14)
$$

and relaxation rates

$$
\lambda_{lmn} = -\nu(l + m\alpha + n\mu), \qquad l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}.
$$
 (15)

Note that odd eigenfunctions occur in the expansion only for odd l and asymmetric initial conditions $x_0 \neq 0$, and the smallest eigenvalue of an odd eigenfunction is simply given by the deterministic exponential relaxation of the mean to its stationary value zero at rate ν , independently of the noise parameter μ . Nonspectral relaxation rates are observed whenever the initial distribution does not belong to the domain of attraction of the stationary distribution (as a stable law), i.e., for $\alpha \neq \mu$, or $\mu < 2$ and $x_0 \neq 0$. A delta distribution at the origin, i.e., $x_0 = 0$ and $\sigma_0 = 0$, can be expanded into the even eigenfunctions corresponding to expanded into the even eigenfunctions corresponding to the harmonic eigenvalues $\lambda_{2n} = -n\nu\mu$ because this spe-
cial case belongs to the domain of attraction of all stable cial case belongs to the domain of attraction of all stable laws. The corresponding expansion was found in Ref. [[13\]](#page-3-12), which could mistakenly be interpreted as a hint that the complete eigenvalue spectrum of the FP operator for the Lévy OUP is, in fact, harmonic.

Given the time-dependent solution ([11](#page-2-1)) of the FP equation, in order to demonstrate nonspectral relaxation, one can look at the relaxation of the expected values of appropriate observables to their stationary values. Instead, here we use the L^2 distances

$$
\Delta_{+}^{2} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (p^{+}(x,\tau) - p_{st}(x))^{2} dx
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (p^{+}(k,\tau) - p_{st}(k))^{2} dk,
$$

\n
$$
\Delta_{-}^{2} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p^{-}(x,\tau)^{2} dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |p^{-}(k,\tau)|^{2} dk,
$$
 (16)

which are the square norms of the difference between the even and the odd parts of the time-dependent probability densities $p(x, \tau) = p^+(x, \tau) + p^-(x, \tau)$ and the corresponding parts of the stationary density $p_{st}(x) = p_{st}^{+}(x)$,
which has no odd part. The relaxation rates of these I^2 which has no odd part. The relaxation rates of these L^2 distances assume twice the value of the eigenvalues in the expansion [\(12\)](#page-2-2) of $p_0/p_{\rm st}$. As a particularly striking ex-ample, in Fig. [1,](#page-3-13) we plot the L^2 distances for the case of the Gaussian OUP (μ = 2) with a smoothly tempered, Lévy stable initial distribution [\[14](#page-3-14)[,15\]](#page-3-15) shifted to a point $x_0 \neq 0$. The characteristic function for the jump size distribution of the truncated Lévy flight with an exponential cutoff was found in Ref. [[15](#page-3-15)] and for $\alpha \neq 1$ is given by

$$
p_0(k) = \exp\left(ikx_0 - \sigma_0 \frac{\text{Re}[(\gamma + i|k|)^{\alpha}] - \gamma^{\alpha}}{\cos(\alpha \frac{\pi}{2})}\right).
$$
 (17)

FIG. 1 (color online). Semilogarithmic plot of the L^2 distances Δ_{+} and Δ_{-} between, respectively, the even and odd parts of the time-dependent and the stationary probability density, in the case $\frac{2}{4}$ and Δ^2 between, respectively, the even and odd parts of the reservation and the stationary probability density in the case of the OUP with Gaussian white noise ($\nu = 1$, $\mu = 2$) and a shifted, tempered α -stable initial distribution [Eq. ([17](#page-2-3)), $\sigma_0 = 1$, $\alpha = 2/3$, $r_0 = 11$. The asymptotic relaxation rate of the square $\alpha = 2/3$, $x_0 = 1$. The asymptotic relaxation rate of the square distance of the odd part (thin solid, blue line) is $-2\lambda_1 = 2\nu = 2$, independent of the cutoff parameter α . The square distance of independent of the cutoff parameter γ . The square distance of the even part displays a crossover from slow, nonspectral decay at a rate $2\alpha = 4/3$ to spectral relaxation at rate $-2\lambda_2 = 2\mu = 4$.
The transient is longer for smaller values of α i.e., broader The transient is longer for smaller values of γ , i.e., broader distributions. Here we have plotted Δ^2 for $\gamma = 0$, 10^{-6} , 10^{-4} , and 10^{-2} (hold solid lines). Using a Lévy stable distribution as and 10^{-2} (bold, solid lines). Using a Lévy stable distribution as
an initial condition i.e. $\gamma = 0$ nonspectral relaxation of Λ^2 is an initial condition, i.e., $\gamma = 0$, nonspectral relaxation of Δ^2_+ is observed at all times. The dashed lines are exponential functions observed at all times. The dashed lines are exponential functions $\frac{1}{2}$ exp($\lambda \tau$) with $\lambda = -4, -2$, and $-4/3$, drawn for comparison.
The L^2 distances were calculated according to Eqs. (11) (16) The L^2 distances were calculated according to Eqs. ([11\)](#page-2-1), [\(16\)](#page-2-4), and ([17](#page-2-3)) by numerical quadrature in Fourier space.

Since for $\gamma \neq 0$ all derivatives at $k = 0$, and hence all moments exist, we expect spectral relaxation at the asymptotic rates $-2\lambda_1 = 2\nu$ for the odd L^2 distance Δ^2 , and $-2\lambda_2 = 4\nu$ for the even L^2 distance Δ^2 . In Fig. 1 we $-2\lambda_2 = 4\nu$ for the even L^2 distance Δ_+^2 . In Fig. [1](#page-3-13) we
observe that Δ^2 relaxes at the spectral rate $-2\lambda_+ = 2\nu$ observe that Δ^2 relaxes at the spectral rate $-2\overline{\lambda}_1 = 2\nu$
independently of γ . On the other hand, the decay of Δ^2 independently of γ . On the other hand, the decay of Δ^2 , which is expected to be faster than its odd counterpart is which is expected to be faster than its odd counterpart, is delayed during a transient that depends on γ and may be considerably slower than 4ν , depending on the index α of the initial distribution. In fact, the transient decay rate is given by twice the smallest eigenvalue $\lambda = -\alpha$ used in the expansion of the α -stable law approximated by the initial distribution. For very small γ , before entering the asymptotic regime the even L^2 distance Δ^2 can become so small
that in experiments the crossover may not be observable at that in experiments the crossover may not be observable at all. While nonspectral relaxation is a transient phenomenon in the Gaussian OUP for broad α -stable initial distributions, the eigenvalues $\lambda_{ln} = -\nu(l + n\mu)$, $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$, used in the expansion of the conditional probability density, with a delta distribution as an initial condition, are nonspectral for any $\mu \neq 2$, i.e., for a generalized Lévy OUP.

In conclusion, we have shown that the spectrum of the Hermitian counterpart of a Fokker-Planck operator corresponding to a Gaussian diffusion process in a potential only determines the time evolution of initial probability densities possessing all moments. Even then, broad initial distributions may relax slower than expected from the Hermitian eigenvalue spectrum during a possibly long transient. This effect is a quite general property of relaxation from nonequilibrium initial conditions and must be taken into account in the interpretation of data in nonequilibrium systems. Furthermore, we have shown that the fractional Fokker-Planck operator for a Lévy flight in a harmonic potential is, by similarity transformation, related to the Hamiltonian of a quantum harmonic oscillator. However, in this case, even a δ distribution, which is not located at the origin, cannot be expanded into the transformed eigenfunctions of that Hamiltonian. Experimentally accessible quantities such as transition probabilities and autocorrelation functions of observables possessing second moments will therefore not relax at harmonic rates. While Hermitian operator spectral theory is a powerful tool to analyze a system, it is important to understand the limitations in its theoretical and experimental applications.

[*t](#page-0-2)oenjes@uni-potsdam.de

- [1] H. Risken, The Fokker-Planck Equation: Methods of Solution and Applications (Springer, Berlin, 1996).
- [2] D. Liberzon and R. W. Brockett, [SIAM J. Control Optim.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/S0363012998338193) 38[, 1453 \(2000\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/S0363012998338193)
- [3] B. U. Felderhof, *Phys. Rev. E* **86**[, 061103 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.86.061103)
- [4] W. Coffey, Y.P. Kalmykov, and J.T. Waldron, The Langevin Equation: With Applications to Stochastic Problems in Physics, Chemistry, and Electrical Engineering (World Scientific, Singapore, 2004).
- [5] D. T. Gillespie, [Am. J. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1119/1.18210) **64**, 225 (1996).
- [6] P. Lánský and J. P. Rospars, [Biol. Cybern.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00201415) **72**, 397 (1995); T. Verechtchaguina, I. M. Sokolov, and L. Schimansky-Geier, Phys. Rev. E 73[, 031108 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.73.031108).
- [7] M. A. Butler and A. A. King, Am. Nat. 164[, 683 \(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/426002)
- [8] O. E. Barndorff-Nielsen and Neil Shephard, [J. R. Stat. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1467-9868.00282) Ser. B 63[, 167 \(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1467-9868.00282).
- [9] B. Dybiec and E. Gudowska-Nowak, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.69.016105) 69, [016105 \(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.69.016105)
- [10] A. Schenzle and H. Brand, *Phys. Rev. A* **20**[, 1628 \(1979\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.20.1628); C. W. Gardiner and R. Graham, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.25.1851) 25, 1851 [\(1982\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.25.1851).
- [11] E. Barkai, *Phys. Rev. E* **63**[, 046118 \(2001\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.63.046118)
- [12] R. Metzler, E. Barkai, and J. Klafter, [Europhys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/epl/i1999-00279-7) 46, [431 \(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/epl/i1999-00279-7).
- [13] S. Jespersen, R. Metzler, and H.C. Fogedby, *[Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.59.2736)* 59[, 2736 \(1999\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.59.2736)
- [14] R.N. Mantegna and H.E. Stanley, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.2946)* **73**, [2946 \(1994\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.2946).
- [15] I. Koponen, *Phys. Rev. E* **52**[, 1197 \(1995\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.52.1197)