Robust Formation of Skyrmions and Topological Hall Effect Anomaly in Epitaxial Thin Films of MnSi

Yufan Li, ^{1,2} N. Kanazawa,¹ X. Z. Yu, ³ A. Tsukazaki,¹ M. Kawasaki,^{1,3}

M. Ichikawa,¹ X. F. Jin,² F. Kagawa,¹ and Y. Tokura^{1,3}

¹Department of Applied Physics and Quantum Phase Electronics Center (QPEC), University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan ² State Key Laboratory of Surface Physics and Department of Physics Eudan University Shanghai 20043

²State Key Laboratory of Surface Physics and Department of Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

 ${}^{3}C$ ross-Correlated Materials Research Group (CMRG) and Correlated Electron Research Group (CERG),

RIKEN Advanced Science Institute, Wako 351-0198, Japan

(Received 16 September 2012; published 12 March 2013)

Magnetotransport properties have been investigated for epitaxial thin films of B20-type MnSi grown on Si(111) substrates. Lorentz transmission electron microscopy images clearly point to the robust formation of Skyrmions over a wide temperature-magnetic field region. New features distinct from those reported previously for MnSi are observed for epitaxial films: a shorter (nearly half) period of the spin helix and Skyrmions, and a topological Hall effect anomaly consisting in \sim 2.2 times enhancement of the amplitude and in the opposite sign with respect to bulk samples.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.117202](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.117202) PACS numbers: 75.30.Kz, 72.25.Ba, 73.61.At, 75.70.Ak

The topological spin texture called the Skyrmion, in which the constituent spins point in all directions wrapping up a sphere, has recently been observed in the helimagnets with a noncentrosymmetric structure $[1-5]$ $[1-5]$ $[1-5]$. This novel magnetic structure has attracted great interest not only for its rich physics related to the quantum Berry phase (Skyrmion number) [\[6](#page-4-2)–[8\]](#page-4-3), but also for its potential applications. It is found that the Skyrmion motion can be driven by a current density as low as 10^5 – 10^6 A/m² [\[9](#page-4-4)[–11\]](#page-4-5), in contrast with the case of magnetic domain walls of conventional ferromagnets, in which the critical current density of 10^9-10^{11} A/m² is required [\[12](#page-4-6)[,13\]](#page-4-7). This high current sensitivity may promise the potential application of the Skyrmion in the next-generation magnetic recording technique as well as other related spintronic devices.

The Skyrmion-hosting B20-type crystal structure is cubic but noncentrosymmetric, and hence, hosts the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction. This antisymmetric spin exchange interaction competes with symmetric Heisenberg exchange and Zeeman interactions, producing rich magnetic structures, such as helical (proper screw) spin structure [\[14,](#page-4-8)[15](#page-4-9)], conical spin structure $[14,16]$ $[14,16]$ $[14,16]$ $[14,16]$, and field-induced ferromagnetism. The Skyrmion spin texture, which is a superposition of three helices perpendicular to the external magnetic field H , is also a consequence of the competition, but can be found only in quite a limited temperature-magnetic field $(T-H)$ region (so-called A phase) for bulk crystals [\[1](#page-4-0)[,17](#page-4-11)]. The coverage of the Skyrmion phase, however, turns out to be greatly enlarged in thin plates $[18]$ $[18]$; when H is applied normal to film planes, the extended Skyrmion phases have been observed by Lorentz transmission electron microscopy (TEM) in freestanding thin plate specimens, the thickness of which are reduced to ≤ 100 nm by ion milling the bulk samples such as $Fe_{0.5}Co_{0.5}Si$ [[2\]](#page-4-13), FeGe [[3\]](#page-4-14), MnSi [\[4](#page-4-15)], and insulating multiferroic $Cu₂OSeO₃$ [[5\]](#page-4-1).

In the light of both fundamental research and application, epitaxial growth of these B20 compound thin films is more beneficial because the thickness can be better controlled, the transport properties can be precisely measured, and the desired patterning for the device fabrication is easy to implement. Intensive effort has been dedicated into fabricating epitaxial B20 thin films [\[19–](#page-4-16)[27](#page-4-17)]. In previous studies on MnSi $/Si(111)$ thin films [[24](#page-4-18)[,25\]](#page-4-19), it was concluded from the analysis of magnetization data with a theoretical model that the helical propagation vector is normal to the film plane at the ground state and that the in-plane Skyrmion string is formed in the presence of an in-plane external field [\[26\]](#page-4-20); however, these arguments appear contradictory to the other results in B20-type compound thin films [[2–](#page-4-13)[4](#page-4-15),[27](#page-4-17)]. A recent study on the fabrication of $FeGe/Si(111)$ thin films has argued that the topological Hall effect (THE) exists over a wide $T-H$ region [[27](#page-4-17)]. The THE itself is not limited to the Skyrmion spin structure alone [\[7](#page-4-21),[8](#page-4-3)], but is emergent in other noncoplanar spin systems as well. To resolve the magnetic structure and the origin of the THE in epitaxial B20 thin films, the one-to-one correspondence between Skyrmion formation and the THE is highly desired. In this Letter, we report the realization of epitaxial $MnSi/Si(111)$ thin film and demonstrate Skyrmion-derived THE through the combination of transport measurements and the real-space observations by Lorentz TEM.

Our optimized scheme of the epitaxial growth of MnSi(111) thin film is to start with depositing 4 monolayer (ML) Mn at room temperature onto a Si(111)-(7 \times 7) surface followed by annealing at $200\degree C$ to form a seed layer of MnSi, then depositing Mn and Si at room temperature either by coevaporation or by repeating Mn/Si sandwich layers, and finally annealing it at $300-400$ °C. The single-phase nature was checked by the grazingincident x-ray diffraction, which shows no detectable

FIG. 1 (color online). Basic physical properties of 10 nm $MnSi/Si(111)$ film. (a) Grazing-incident x-ray diffraction. (b) Crosssection TEM image. (c) Field-cooled magnetization measured with an in-plane external field of 50 mT \parallel MnSi $[11\bar{2}]$ (left axis) and ρ_{xx} -T curve with no external field (right axis). The dashed line indicates T_c . (d), (f), and (h) Lorentz TEM images (over-focused) at various temperatures and magnetic fields. The corresponding fast-Fourier-transforms (FFTs) are shown in the insets. (e), (g), and (i) The filtered images of the raw images (d), (f), and (h), respectively, which are obtained by shadowing the background noise and selecting magnetic reflection (circled by yellow lines in the inserted FFTs).

impurity phase [Fig. $1(a)$]. The crystalline quality is also verified in terms of both cross-section TEM image [Fig. [1\(b\)](#page-1-0)] and residual resistivity ratio $\rho(300K)/\rho(2K)$, which is approximately 10 for 10 nm thick film and 36 for 50 nm thick film [\[28\]](#page-4-22).

The transition temperature T_c of the 10 nm MnSi film is determined to be \sim 45 K from the temperature profile of field-cooling magnetization M and zero-field cooling longitudinal resistivity ρ_{xx} -T [Fig. [1\(c\)\]](#page-1-0). The T_c of 50 nm film is found to be almost identical with that of the 10 nm film. The present T_c is appreciably higher than those of bulk MnSi (29.5 K) and freestanding thin film sliced off from the bulk (22.5 K for the 50 nm film). The enhanced T_c is well rationalized by the tensile strain induced by the -3% lattice mismatch between MnSi (111) and Si (111), or effective negative pressure, as discussed in the previous works [[17](#page-4-11)[,23\]](#page-4-23). Below T_c , a sufficiently large field aligns all the spins, and turns the system into the induced ferromagnetic (spin-collinear) state. This transition manifests itself as the kinklike behavior in M-H and ρ_{yx} -H curves [Figs. $2(c)$ –2(f)]. The saturated magnetization (M_s) at 2 K, which is derived as extrapolating M from high field to zero field, is found to be $0.42 \pm 0.02 \mu_B$ per Mn atom, in good agreement with the reported value for bulk MnSi [[29](#page-4-24)].

Figures $1(d)-1(i)$ $1(d)-1(i)$ $1(d)-1(i)$ show representative Lorentz TEM images on the 10 nm $MnSi/Si(111)$ film. At 6 K under zero external field, the helical structure is clearly observed as the alternating bright and dark stripes in a Lorentz TEM image $[Fig. 1(d)]$ $[Fig. 1(d)]$ $[Fig. 1(d)]$. The vortexlike Skyrmions [bright or dark] dots shown in Figs. $1(f)$ and $1(h)$] can be observed at higher temperatures (18 K and 30 K) under 400 mT normal to the film. For clarity, we also present the filtered images [Figs. $1(e)$, $1(g)$, and $1(i)$], which are reconstructed from the filtered magnetic reflection via the fast Fourier transform. Here we note that the Lorentz TEM observation reveals remarkable differences from previous results in bulk MnSi [\[1,](#page-4-0)[14](#page-4-8)[,16\]](#page-4-10) and the freestanding thin film [[4\]](#page-4-15). First, the period of helical structure (λ_h) is 8.5 nm in the 10 nm MnSi film [illustrated by the arrows in Fig. $1(d)$], about half of that in the bulk specimen (18 nm). This discrepancy likely originates from different exchange coupling strengths, because λ_h is determined by the ratio J/D , where J and D are the Heisenberg and Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya exchange couplings, respectively [\[15](#page-4-9)[,30\]](#page-4-25); the tensile strain from the substrate may alter the magnetic parameter, or substantially increase D. Second, the Skyrmions observed in the epitaxial 10 nm film show little sign of long-range order (i.e., the hexagonal Skyrmion

crystal) and form a glassy state. This feature invokes the presence of disorder, which may come from possible offstoichiometry of Mn/Si and/or lattice defects. These observations point to a sort of hierarchical nature of Skyrmion formation; i.e., the local Skyrmion formations themselves are robust against disorder, while their long-range crystalline packing may be prevented by the presence of disorder.

To see how the Skyrmion formation affects transport properties, we looked into the field dependence of Hall resistivity ρ_{yx} of the 10 nm and 50 nm films. The results are shown in Figs. $2(e)$ and $2(f)$ [see Figs. $4(a)$ and $4(b)$ for magnified views in the low-field region]. For both films, humplike anomalies can be clearly seen between 15 K and 35 K when $0 < H < H_c$, i.e., in accord with the T-H region of Skyrmions observed by the Lorentz TEM. Under the possible presence of topologically nontrivial spin structure, the total Hall resistivity can in general be expressed as the sum of various contributions: $\rho_{yx} = R_0 H + \rho_{yx}^A + \rho_{yx}^T$, where R_0 is the normal Hall coefficient, ρ_{yx}^A the anomalous Hall resistivity, and ρ_{yx}^T the topological Hall resistivity. In Figs. $2(e)$, $2(f)$, $4(a)$, and $4(b)$, the THE signal clearly coexists with a large background of normal Hall effect and anomalous Hall effect. To extract the THE quantitatively, ρ_{yx}^A should be singled out from ρ_{yx} first. Here we analyze ρ_{yx}^{A} by employing the following scaling [\[31–](#page-4-26)[33](#page-4-27)]:

FIG. 2 (color online). Magnetoresistance $\Delta \rho_{xx}/\rho_{xx}$, field dependence of magnetization M and Hall resistivity ρ_{yx} of the 10 nm [(a), (c), and (e), respectively] and 50 nm [(b), (d), and (f), respectively] films. The magnetic field was applied normal to the film plane. In (a) and (b), arbitrary offsets are added for clarity. In (c) and (d), the diamagnetic background of Si substrate estimated by the M-H curve at 200 K is subtracted from the raw data. The solid lines in (e) and (f) are the fittings for $H > H_c$ (see the text), reproducing the normal component plus anomalous Hall, $R_0H + \rho_{yx}^A$.

 $\rho_{yx}^A = \alpha M \rho_{xx0} + \beta M \rho_{xx0}^2 + b M \rho_x^2$ (1)

where the terms with α , β , and b correspond to the skew scattering, the side jump, and the intrinsic contribution, respectively, while ρ_{xx0} stands for the residual resistivity. We derived ρ_{yx}^{A} by extrapolating ρ_{yx} in a high field region (linear fitting from 9 T to 5 T) to zero field. When $T \rightarrow 0$ K, both ρ_{yx}^A and ρ_{xx} take their residual values, and thus, Eq. [\(1\)](#page-2-1) reduces into a simpler equation, $\rho_{yx0}^{A}/\rho_{xx0}$ = $\alpha M_s + (\beta + b)M_s \rho_{xx0}$. Accordingly, we show in Fig. [3\(a\)](#page-2-2) the result obtained at 2 K from the four 10 nm thick samples with different ρ_{xx0} values [[34](#page-4-28)]. From the linear fitting, αM_s is determined to be -3.5×10^{-3} . The same value seems applicable to 50 nm film as well [see Fig. $3(a)$].

In terms of conductivity, Eq. (1) (1) can be written equivalently as $[31,33]$ $[31,33]$ $[31,33]$ $[31,33]$ $[31,33]$:

$$
\sigma_{xy}^A = (\alpha M \sigma_{xx0}^{-1} + \beta M \sigma_{xx0}^{-2}) \sigma_{xx}^2 + \sigma_{xy}^{int}, \qquad (2)
$$

where again the terms with α , β correspond to the skew scattering $\sigma_{xy}^{\text{skew}}$ and the side jump σ_{xy}^{sj} , respectively, while the last term denotes the intrinsic anomalous Hall conductivity σ_{xy}^{int} . It is straightforward to see that $\sigma_{xy}^{sj} + \sigma_{xy}^{\text{int}} =$ $\sigma_{xy}^A - \alpha M \sigma_{xx0}^{-1} \sigma_{xx}^2$. We plot now in Fig. [3\(b\)](#page-2-2) both σ_{xy}^A and $\sigma_{xy}^A - \sigma_{xy}^{\text{skew}}$ as a function of temperature for all the 10 nm

FIG. 3 (color online). (a) The plot of $\rho_{yx0}^{A}/\rho_{xx0}$ vs ρ_{xx0} obtained in the 10 nm films #1–#4 and the 50 nm film. The measurement was carried out at 2 K. The solid line is the linear fitting to the results for the 10 nm films (#1–#4). (b) σ_{xy}^A (circles) and $\sigma_{xy}^A - \sigma_{xy}^{skew}$ (squares) of the 10 nm films. σ_{xy}^{int} (pentagrams) plotted for comparison with $\sigma_{xy}^A - \sigma_{xy}^{\text{skew}}$ was derived from the fitting (see the text). The superscripts are defined in the text.

thick samples. It is interesting to observe that while σ_{xy}^A scatters among different samples especially at low temperatures, the temperature variations of derived $\sigma_{xy}^{sj} + \sigma_{xy}^{int}$ reduce to a single universal, sample-independent curve. This feature presumably implies that the sample-dependent extrinsic term σ_{xy}^{sj} is negligibly small, i.e., $\beta \approx 0$ [\[32\]](#page-4-29).

The total Hall resistivity can, therefore, be expressed as

$$
\rho_{yx} = R_0 H + (\alpha \rho_{xx0} + b \rho_{xx}^2) M + \rho_{yx}^T.
$$
 (3)

For $H > H_c$, ρ_{yx}^T is supposed to be zero, because the induced ferromagnetism has no spin chirality. Hence, R_0 and b can be determined, respectively, from the intercept and the slope of the linear fitting of $(\rho_{yx} - \alpha M \rho_{xx0})/H$ vs $\rho_{xx}^2 M/H$ (not shown), with the α value as determined above. In this analysis, we used the $\rho_{xx}-H$ curves shown in Figs. [2\(a\)](#page-2-0) and [2\(b\).](#page-2-0) The fitted results of R_0H + $(\alpha \rho_{xx0} + b \rho_{xx}^2) M$ are shown as the solid lines in Figs. $2(e)$ and $2(f)$ and Figs. $4(a)$ and $4(b)$. As a crosscheck, we also plotted σ_{xy}^{int} derived from the fitting result as $\sigma_{xy}^{\text{int}} =$ bM in Fig. $3(b)$ and found that the temperature profile of obtained σ_{xy}^{int} reasonably coincides with $\sigma_{xy}^A = \sigma_{xy}^{\text{skew}}$ as derived above. In this way, we can extract ρ_{xx}^T from

FIG. 4 (color online). ρ_{yx} of (a) the 10 nm film and (b) the 50 nm film [magnified in the low-field region of Figs. $2(e)$ and $2(f)$, respectively]. The lines are the fitting curves for $H > H_c$, reproducing $R_0H + \rho_{yx}^A$. (c) and (d) The representative ρ_{yx} -H curve of 25 K and its decomposition into R_0H , ρ_{yx}^A , and ρ_{yx}^T for the 10 nm film (c) and the 50 nm film (d). (e) and (f) The contour mapping of ρ_{yx}^T of (e) the 10 nm film and (f) the 50 nm film. The squares in (e) and (f) denote H_c defined by the kink field in *M-H* curves and ρ_{yx} -*H* curves.

the difference between the total Hall resistivity ρ_{vr} and the fitted curve $R_0H + (\alpha \rho_{xx0} + b\rho_{xx}^2)M$ below H_c . In Figs. [4\(c\)](#page-3-0) and [4\(d\)](#page-3-0) we show the representative ρ_{vx} -H curves measured at $T = 25$ K for the 10 nm film and 50 nm film, respectively, and the corresponding three components R_0H , ρ_{yx}^A , and ρ_{yx}^T derived from the analysis.

In the following, we discuss ρ_{yx}^T in the light of the Skyrmion formations. To see the global feature of ρ_{yx}^T , we present the contour mapping of derived ρ_{vx}^T as a function of T and H [Figs. $4(e)$ and $4(f)$ for the 10 nm and 50 nm films, respectively]. A finite ρ_{xx}^T is found in both films for $T > 10$ K and $0 < H < H_c$, consistent with the Lorentz TEM observation, which finds the Skyrmion state at 18 K and 30 K under $H = 400$ mT. The exclusive onset of the Hall-effect anomaly in the region where $T < T_c$ and $H < H_c$ appears to favor its explanation by topologically nontrivial magnetic structure, making other possible mechanisms to cause the nonlinear behavior of the normal Hall effect, e.g., the coexistence of multiple types of carriers, unlikely. Under this assumption it is possible to link the positive ρ_{yx}^T to the formation of the Skyrmion state and consider Figs. $4(e)$ and $4(f)$ as the magnetic phase diagrams of MnSi thin films. Obviously, the Skyrmion state as evidenced by the emergence of the THE is stabilized over a broad region in the T-H plane, spanning from 10 K to T_c and occupying almost all the region below H_c except near zero field; this is in sharp contrast to the small A phase region in bulk MnSi [\[1](#page-4-0)[,35](#page-4-30)]. The maximum value of ρ_{yx}^T in the epitaxial thin films is about 10 n Ω cm, approximately twice as large as that in bulk MnSi $(-4.5 \text{ n}\Omega \text{ cm})$ [[35](#page-4-30)], albeit the signs are opposite, which will be discussed later. Furthermore, for both the 10 nm and 50 nm films, the sign of ρ_{yx}^T flips from positive to negative when $T < 5$ K. This behavior is more prominent in the 10 nm film [see the raw data shown in Fig. $4(a)$], where the maximum magnitude of ρ_{yx}^T (about -7.8 n Ω cm) is comparable to that of the positive ρ_{yx}^T observed at higher temperatures. Unfortunately this temperature range $(T<5K)$ cannot be reached in our Lorentz TEM setup. The spin texture in this low temperature region requires further investigations.

The sign of ρ_{yx}^T is considered to depend on the spin polarization (P) of charge carriers. The band structure calculation of B20 bulk MnSi indicates that the net spin polarization of the electron state near the Fermi surface is very sensitive to the position of Fermi level [\[36](#page-4-31)[,37\]](#page-4-32), and the sign of P may be inverted even within the accuracy of the calculation [[36](#page-4-31)]. The density of states near Fermi level is overwhelmingly contributed by d electrons [\[36\]](#page-4-31), which are rather localized, and the contributions of the itinerant s band is rather small; this feature makes the calculation of P of the charge carriers even more delicate. All these facts suggest that the P may be affected largely by a small change in the band structure, which could be induced, for example, by the tensile strain or even by the temperature variations. The large (by half) change of the helical period in the present thin film may also signal the modification of the electronic structure. This may explain the discrepancy of the sign of ρ_{yx}^T between epitaxial MnSi film and bulk MnSi.

In conclusion, we have succeeded in fabricating epitaxial $MnSi/Si(111)$ thin films that host the Skyrmion phase. A combination of the Lorentz TEM and measurement of the topological Hall effect reveals that the Skyrmion phase is extended over a much wider temperature-magnetic field range than the Skyrmionic A phase of bulk MnSi. The results for the 10 nm and 50 nm MnSi films show consistently that the sign of topological Hall resistivity is opposite to that in bulk MnSi, which may reflect the sign change of the conduction electron spin polarization affected by the epitaxial lattice strain, possible nonstoichiometry, and temperature variation.

The authors wish to thank N. Nagaosa for fruitful discussions. This work was partially supported by "KAKENHI" (Grants No. 24224009 and No. 24684020), from the MEXT, and by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) through its ''Funding Program for World-Leading Innovative R&D on Science and Technology (FIRST Program).''

- [1] S. Mühlbauer, B. Binz, F. Jonietz, C. Pfleiderer, A. Rosch, A. Neubauer, R. Georgii, and P. Böni, [Science](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1166767) 323, 915 (2009)
- [2] X. Z. Yu, Y. Onose, N. Kanazawa, J. H. Park, J. H. Han, Y. Matsui, N. Nagaosa, and Y. Tokura, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature09124) 465[, 901 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature09124)
- [3] X. Z. Yu, N. Kanazawa, Y. Onose, K. Kimoto, W. Zhang, S. Ishiwata, Y. Matsui, and Y. Tokura, [Nat. Mater.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmat2916) 10, 106 [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmat2916).
- [4] A. Tonomura, X. Z. Yu, K. Yanagisawa, T. Matsuda, Y. Onose, N. Kanazawa, H. S. Park, and Y. Tokura, Nano Lett. 12[, 1673 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nl300073m).
- [5] S. Seki, X. Z. Yu, S. Ishiwata, and Y. Tokura, [Science](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1214143) 336, [198 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1214143).
- [6] J. Ye, Y. B. Kim, A. J. Millis, B. I. Shraiman, P. Majumdar, and Z. Tešanović, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.3737)* **83**, 3737 (1999).
- [7] P. Bruno, V. K. Dugaev, and M. Taillefumier, *[Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.096806)* Lett. 93[, 096806 \(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.096806).
- [8] M. Onoda, G. Tatara, and N. Nagaosa, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.73.2624) 73[, 2624 \(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.73.2624)
- [9] F. Jonietz, S. Mühlbauer, C. Pfleiderer, A. Neubauer, W. Münzer, A. Bauer, T. Adams, R. Georgii, P. Böni, R. A. Duine et al., Science 330[, 1648 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1195709)
- [10] T. Schulz, R. Ritz, A. Bauer, M. Halder, M. Wagner, C. Franz, C. Pfleiderer, K. Everschor, M. Garst, and A. Rosch, Nat. Phys. 8[, 301 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2231)
- [11] X. Z. Yu, N. Kanazawa, W. Z. Zhang, T. Nagai, T. Hara, K. Kimoto, Y. Matsui, Y. Onose, and Y. Tokura, [Nat.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1990) Commun. 3[, 988 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1990).
- [12] E. B. Myers, D. C. Ralph, J. A. Katine, R. N. Louie, and R. A. Buhrman, Science 285[, 867 \(1999\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.285.5429.867)
- [13] M. Feigenson, J. W. Reiner, and L. Klein, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.247204)* 98[, 247204 \(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.247204).
- [14] Y. Ishikawa, K. Tajima, D. Bloch, and M. Roth, [Solid State](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(76)90057-0) Commun. 19[, 525 \(1976\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(76)90057-0)
- [15] P. Bak and M. H. Jensen, J. Phys. C 13[, L881 \(1980\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0022-3719/13/31/002)
- [16] S. V. Grigoriev, S. V. Maleyev, A. I. Okorokov, Y. O. Chetverikov, P. Böni, R. Georgii, D. Lamago, H. Eckerlebe, and K. Pranzas, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.74.214414) 74, 214414 [\(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.74.214414).
- [17] N. Potapova, V. Dyadkin, E. Moskvin, H. Eckerlebe, D. Menzel, and S. Grigoriev, Phys. Rev. B 86[, 060406 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.060406)
- [18] S.D. Yi, S. Onoda, N. Nagaosa, and J.H. Han, *[Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.054416)* B 80[, 054416 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.054416)
- [19] E. Magnano, E. Carleschi, A. Nicolaou, T. Pardini, M. Zangrando, and F. Parmigiani, Surf. Sci. 600[, 3932 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.susc.2006.02.067)
- [20] S. Higashi, P. Kocán, and H. Tochihara, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.205312)* 79, [205312 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.205312)
- [21] S. Azatyan, O. Utas, N. Denisov, A. Zotov, and A. Saranin, Surf. Sci. 605[, 289 \(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.susc.2010.10.031).
- [22] E. Magnano, F. Bondino, C. Cepek, F. Parmigiani, and M. C. Mozzati, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3392373) 96, 152503 (2010).
- [23] E. A. Karhu, S. Kahwaji, T. L. Monchesky, C. Parsons, M. D. Robertson, and C. Maunders, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.184417) 82, [184417 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.184417)
- [24] E. A. Karhu, S. Kahwaji, M. D. Robertson, H. Fritzsche, B. J. Kirby, C. F. Majkrzak, and T. L. Monchesky, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.060404) Rev. B 84[, 060404 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.060404)
- [25] E.A. Karhu, U.K. Rößler, A.N. Bogdanov, S. Kahwaji, B. J. Kirby, H. Fritzsche, M. D. Robertson, C. F. Majkrzak, and T. L. Monchesky, Phys. Rev. B 85[, 094429 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.094429).
- [26] M. N. Wilson, E. A. Karhu, A. S. Quigley, U. K. Rößler, A. B. Butenko, A. N. Bogdanov, M. D. Robertson, and T. L. Monchesky, Phys. Rev. B 86[, 144420 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.144420)
- [27] S. X. Huang and C. L. Chien, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.267201)* **108**, 267201 [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.267201).
- [28] For comparison, the residual resistivity ratio for our 30 nm thick film is 32.7, higher than the previously reported value 25.2 for 29.8 nm thick film (Ref. [\[26\]](#page-4-20)).
- [29] M. Lee, Y. Onose, Y. Tokura, and N. P. Ong, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.172403)* 75[, 172403 \(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.172403).
- [30] O. Nakanishi, A. Yanase, A. Hasegawa, and M. Kataoka, [Solid State Commun.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(80)91004-2) 35, 995 (1980).
- [31] Y. Tian, L. Ye, and X. Jin, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.087206)* **103**, 087206 [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.087206).
- [32] L. Ye, Y. Tian, X. Jin, and D. Xiao, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.220403)* 85, [220403 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.220403)
- [33] A. Shitade and N. Nagaosa, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.81.083704) 81, 083704 [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.81.083704).
- [34] The 10 nm thick film #1 was MBE grown by codepositing Mn and Si on a MnSi seed layer at 270° C. The seed layer was prepared as described in the text. The 10 nm thick films $\#2-\#4$ were fabricated by preparing Mn/Si layers on the seed layer at room temperature followed by annealing as described in the text, where layers of #2 and #3 were prepared by depositing sandwich structures of repeating Mn/Si layers in $4ML/4ML$ and $12ML/12ML$, respectively, and layer #4 by codepositing Mn and Si simultaneously at room temperature. The 50 nm thick film was fabricated in the similar way as the 10 nm thick film #3.
- [35] A. Neubauer, C. Pfleiderer, B. Binz, A. Rosch, R. Ritz, P. G. Niklowitz, and P. Böni, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.186602)* **102**, 186602 [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.186602).
- [36] T. Jeong and W. E. Pickett, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.70.075114) 70, 075114 [\(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.70.075114).
- [37] M. Hortamani, L. Sandratskii, P. Kratzer, I. Mertig, and M. Scheffler, Phys. Rev. B 78[, 104402 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.104402).