Three Classes of Newtonian Three-Body Planar Periodic Orbits

Milovan Šuvakov* and V. Dmitrašinović

Institute of Physics Belgrade, University of Belgrade, Pregrevica 118, 11080 Belgrade, Serbia (Received 2 November 2012; published 14 March 2013)

We present the results of a numerical search for periodic orbits of three equal masses moving in a plane under the influence of Newtonian gravity, with zero angular momentum. A topological method is used to classify periodic three-body orbits into families, which fall into four classes, with all three previously known families belonging to one class. The classes are defined by the orbits' geometric and algebraic symmetries. In each class we present a few orbits' initial conditions, 15 in all; 13 of these correspond to distinct orbits.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.114301

PACS numbers: 45.50.Jf, 05.45.-a, 95.10.Ce

After Bruns showed that there are 18 degrees of freedom but only 10 integrals of motion in the dynamics of three Newtonian bodies, late in the nineteenth century [1], it has been clear that the three-body problem can not be solved in the same sense as the two-body one. That realization led to Poincaré's famous dictum [2] "... what makes these (periodic) solutions so precious to us, is that they are, so to say, the only opening through which we can try to penetrate in a place which, up to now, was supposed to be inaccessible."Consequently (new) periodic three-body solutions have been sought ever since, though a significant number were found only after 1975. They may be classified in three families: (1) the Lagrange-Euler one, dating back to the eighteenth century analytical solutions, supplemented by one recent orbit due to Moore [3], (2) the Broucke-Hadjidemetriou-Henon family, dating to the mid-1970s [4–9], with periodic rediscoveries of certain members of this family [3,10], and (3) the Figure-8 family discovered by Moore in 1993 [3], rediscovered in 2000 [11], and extended to the rotating case [12–17], (see also Ref. [18] and the gallery of orbits in Ref. [19]).

The aforementioned rediscoveries raise the issue of proper identification and classification of periodic threebody trajectories. Moore [3] used braids drawn out by the three particles' trajectories in 2 + 1 dimensional spacetime [20] to label periodic solutions. This method does not associate a periodic orbit with a single braid, however, but with the "conjugacy class" of a braid group element, i.e., with all cyclic permutations of the strand crossings constituting a particular braid. While reasonably effective for the identification of individual orbits, braids are less efficient at classifying orbits into families.

Montgomery [21] suggested using the topological properties of trajectories on the so-called shape-space sphere [22] to classify families of three-body orbits. That method led Chenciner and Montgomery to their rediscovery of the figure-8 orbit [11] and informed the present study. No solutions belonging to new topological classes "higher" than the figure-8 one have been found in Newtonian gravity since then, however.

Here we report the results of our ongoing numerical search for periodic collisionless planar solutions with zero angular momentum in a two-parameter subspace of (the full four-dimensional space of) scaled zero-angularmomentum initial conditions. This subspace is defined as that of collinear configurations with one body exactly in the middle between the other two, with vanishing angular momentum and vanishing time derivative of the hyperradius at the initial time. At first we found around 50 different regions containing candidates for periodic orbits, at return proximity of 10^{-1} in the phase space, in this section of the initial conditions space. Then, we refined these initial conditions to the level of return proximity of less then $<10^{-6}$ by using the gradient descent method. Here we present 15 solutions, which can be classified into 13 topologically distinct families. This is because two pairs of initial conditions specify only two independent solutions, as the respective members of the pairs are related by a simple rescaling of space and time. Before describing these orbits and their families we must specify the topological classification method more closely.

Montgomery [21] noticed the connection between the "fundamental group of a two sphere with three punctures," i.e., the "free group on two letters" (a, b), and the conjugacy classes of the "projective colored or pure braid group" of three strands PB_3 . Graphically, this method amounts to classifying closed curves according to their topologies on a sphere with three punctures. A stereographic projection of this sphere onto a plane, using one of the punctures as the "north pole" effectively removes that puncture to infinity, and reduces the problem to one of classifying closed curves in a plane with two punctures. That leads to the aforementioned free group on two letters (a, b), where (for definiteness) a denotes a clockwise full turn around the right-hand-side puncture, and b denotes the counterclockwise full turn around the other puncture; see Ref. [18]. For better legibility we denote their inverses by capitalized letters $a^{-1} = A$, $b^{-1} = B$. Each family of orbits is associated with the conjugacy class of a free group element. For example, the conjugacy class of the free group element aB contains A(aB)a = Ba. To appreciate the utility of this classification one must first identify the two sphere with three punctures with the shape-space sphere and the three two-body collision points with the punctures.

With two three-body Jacobi relative coordinate vectors, $\rho = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathbf{x_1} - \mathbf{x_2}), \lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(\mathbf{x_1} + \mathbf{x_2} - 2\mathbf{x_3})$, there are three independent scalar three-body variables, i.e., $\rho \cdot \lambda$, ρ^2 , and λ^2 . Thus the "internal configuration space" of the planar three-body problem is three dimensional. The hyper-radius $R = \sqrt{\rho^2 + \lambda^2}$ defines the overall size of the system and removes one of the three linear combinations of scalar variables. Thus, one may relate the three scalars to a (unit) hyperspace three-vector $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ with the Cartesian components $n'_x = \frac{2\rho \cdot \lambda}{R^2}$, $n'_y = \frac{2\rho \times \lambda}{R^2}$ and $n'_z = \frac{\lambda^2 - \rho^2}{R^2}$. The domain of these three-body variables is a sphere with unit radius [22]; see Ref. [18] and Fig. 1(a). The equatorial circle corresponds to collinear configurations (degenerate triangles) and the three points on it correspond to the twobody collisions (these are Montgomery's "punctures").

If one disallows collisions in a periodic orbit, then the orbit's trajectory on the sphere cannot be continuously stretched over any one of these three punctures, and the orbit's characteristic conjugacy class is thereby fixed; in this sense the topology characterizes the orbit. Thus, periodic solutions belonging to a single collisionless family are topologically equivalent closed curves on the shape-space sphere with three punctures in it. For example, the three previously known families of orbits in shape space are shown in Ref. [18].

One may divide the orbits into two types according to their symmetries in the shape space: (I) those with reflection symmetries about two orthogonal axes-the equator and the zeroth meridian passing through the "far" collision point; and (II) those with a central reflection symmetry about a single point—the intersection of the equator and the aforementioned zeroth meridian. Similarly, one may divide the orbits according to algebraic exchange symmetries of (conjugacy classes of) their free group elements: (A) with free group elements that are symmetric under $a \leftrightarrow A$ and $b \leftrightarrow B$, (B) with free group elements symmetric under $a \leftrightarrow b$ and $A \leftrightarrow B$, and (C) with free group elements that are not symmetric under either of the two symmetries (A) or (B). We have observed empirically that, for all presently known orbits, the algebraic symmetry class (A) always corresponds to the geometric class (I), and that the algebraic class (C) always corresponds to the geometric class (II), whereas the algebraic class (B) may fall into either of the two geometric classes. The first examples, to our knowledge, of higher topology trajectories on the shape-space sphere are the two (new) zero-angular-momentum periodic solutions reported in Ref. [23], albeit in a different (the socalled Y-string) potential. Here we show only the new orbits in Newtonian gravity.

(I.A) As new members of this class, we present three orbits in Table I: butterflies I & II and the bumblebee. We



FIG. 1 (color online). The (translucent) shape-space sphere, with its back side also visible here. Three two-body collision points (bold red circles)—punctures in the sphere—lie on the equator. (a) The solid black line encircling the shape sphere twice is the figure-8 orbit. (b) Class I.A butterfly I orbit (I.A.1). Note the two reflection symmetry axes. (c) Class I.B moth I orbit (I.B.1) on the shape-space sphere. Note the two reflection symmetry axes. (d) Class II.B yarn orbit (II.B.1) on the shape-space sphere. Note the single-point reflection symmetry. (e) Class II.C yin-yang I orbit (II.C.2) on the shape-space sphere. Note the single-point reflection symmetry. (f) An illustration of a real space orbit, the yin-yang II orbit (II.C.3a).

show butterfly I in Fig. 1(b). The butterfly's free group element is $(ab)^2(AB)^2$. Note its close relation to the figure-8 orbit's free group element (ab)(AB)—both orbits belong to this class. We have found two distinct butterfly orbits with the same topology (see Table I) but with different periods and sizes of trajectories, both on the shape sphere and in real space; see Ref. [18]. This kind of multiplicity of solutions is not the first one of its kind: there are two (very similar in appearance, yet distinct) kinds of figure-8 [14].

(I.B) An example of this class of solutions is the moth I orbit, shown in Fig. 1(c). We have found a number of other solutions that belong to this class of solutions with visibly different geometrical patterns on the shape sphere and different free group elements; see Table I and Ref. [18].

(II.B) An example of this class of solutions with algebraic symmetry (B), but with only a central geometric symmetry, is the yarn orbit (II.B.1), shown in Fig. 1(d).

(II.C) An example of this class without algebraic symmetries is the simplest zero-angular-momentum yin-yang I orbit (II.C.2), shown in Fig. 1(e). There are two different sets of initial conditions (see Table I) that lead to the same yin-yang orbit in shape space, due to the fact that this trajectory crosses the initial configuration on the shape-space sphere twice in one period, albeit with different velocities. Therefore the two sets of initial conditions have different energies, so that their periods are different, yet both correspond to the same orbit, *modulo* rescaling of the space and time; see Ref. [7]. We have found four sets of initial conditions (see Table I) corresponding to two

distinct (i.e., having different free group elements) solutions that belong to this (yin-yang) general class. All yin-yang orbits seem to emerge from a single quasi-one-dimensional periodic orbit with collisions [18], very much like the Broucke-Hadjidemetriou-Henon ones emerge from the Schubart (colliding) orbit [24].

In conclusion, we have shown 13 new, distinct equal mass, zero-angular-momentum, planar collisionless periodic three-body orbits that can be classified in three new (and one old) classes. If the figure-8 orbit and its family can be used as a benchmark, then we expect each of the new orbits to define a family of periodic solutions with nonzero angular momentum. We expect our solutions to be either stable or marginally unstable, as otherwise they probably would not have been found by the present method.

No three objects with equal masses and zero angular momentum have been found by observational astronomers, as yet, so our solutions cannot be directly compared with observed data [25]. Most of the three-body systems identified in observations thus far belong either to the Lagrange-Euler class, or to the quasi-Keplerian Broucke-Hadjidemetriou-Henon class of solutions.

Besides obvious questions, such as the study of stability, and the search for the associated nonzero-angularmomentum solutions, there are other directions for future research, such as the nonequal mass solutions [27], the general-relativistic extensions of these orbits [28], as well as the gravitational wave patterns that they generate [29,30].

TABLE I. Initial conditions and periods of three-body orbits. $\dot{x}_1(0)$, $\dot{y}_1(0)$ are the first particle's initial velocities in the *x* and *y* directions, respectively, *T* is the period. The other two particles' initial conditions are specified by these two parameters, as follows: $x_1(0) = -x_2(0) = -1$, $x_3(0) = 0$, $y_1(0) = y_2(0) = y_3(0) = 0$, $\dot{x}_2(0) = \dot{x}_1(0)$, $\dot{x}_3(0) = -2\dot{x}_1(0)$, $\dot{y}_2(0) = \dot{y}_1(0)$, $\dot{y}_3(0) = -2\dot{y}_1(0)$. The Newton's gravity coupling constant *G* is taken as *G* = 1 and equal masses as $m_{1,2,3} = 1$. All solutions have "inversion partners" (mirror images) in all four quadrants; i.e., if $\dot{x}_1(0)$, $\dot{y}_1(0)$ is a solution, so are $\pm \dot{x}_1(0)$, $\pm \dot{y}_1(0)$. Some of these partners are exactly identical to the originals, others are identical up to time reversal, and yet others are related to the originals by a reflection; we consider all of them to be physically equivalent to the originals. Note that two pairs of initial conditions in the same quadrant (II.C.2a and II.C.2b, and II.C.3b) specify only two independent solutions; see the text for explanation.

Class, number, and name	$\dot{x}_{1}(0)$	$\dot{y}_{1}(0)$	Т	Free group element
I.A.1 butterfly I	0.30689	0.12551	6.2356	$(ab)^2(AB)^2$
I.A.2 butterfly II	0.39295	0.09758	7.0039	$(ab)^2 (AB)^2$
I.A.3 bumblebee	0.18428	0.58719	63.5345	$(b^2(ABab)^2A^2(baBA)^2ba)(B^2(abAB)^2a^2(BAba)^2BA)$
I.B.1 moth I	0.46444	0.39606	14.8939	ba(BAB)ab(ABA)
I.B.2 moth II	0.43917	0.45297	28.6703	$(abAB)^2A(baBA)^2B$
I.B.3 butterfly III	0.40592	0.23016	13.8658	$(ab)^2(ABA)(ba)^2(BAB)$
I.B.4 moth III	0.38344	0.37736	25.8406	$(babABA)^2 a (abaBAB)^2 b$
I.B.5 goggles	0.08330	0.12789	10.4668	$(ab)^2 ABBA(ba)^2 BAAB$
I.B.6 butterfly IV	0.350112	0.07934	79.4759	$((ab)^2(AB)^2)^6A((ba)^2(BA)^2)^6B$
I.B.7 dragonfly	0.08058	0.58884	21.2710	$(b^2(ABabAB))(a^2(BAbaBA))$
II.B.1 yarn	0.55906	0.34919	55.5018	$(babABabaBA)^3$
II.C.2a yin-yang I	0.51394	0.30474	17.3284	$(ab)^2(ABA)ba(BAB)$
II.C.2b yin-yang I	0.28270	0.32721	10.9626	$(ab)^2(ABA)ba(BAB)$
II.C.3a yin-yang II	0.41682	0.33033	55.7898	$(abaBAB)^3(abaBAbab)(ABAbab)^3(AB)^2$
II.C.3b yin-yang II	0.41734	0.31310	54.2076	$(abaBAB)^3(abaBAbab)(ABAbab)^3(AB)^2$

Having searched in only one two-dimensional section of the full four-dimensional space of initial conditions, we expect other types of orbits to appear, (single members of which have already been seen, e.g., the goggles (I.B.5) and the dragonfly (I.B.7) orbits in Table I), in different sections of the full space of initial conditions. Last, but not least, new numerical solutions in the Newtonian potential may lead to new analytical solutions, for example, of the kind found in Ref. [31] after the numerical discovery of the figure-8 orbit, albeit in the $-1/r^2$ potential. Thus, our work may shed further light on the three-body problem.

This work was supported by the Serbian Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development under Grants No. OI 171037 and No. III 41011.

*To whom all correspondence should be addressed. suki@ipb.ac.rs

- [1] H. Bruns, Acta Math. 11, 25 (1887).
- H. Poincaré, in Les Méthodes Nouvelles de la Mécanique Céleste, tomes I (1892) & III (1899) (Réédition Blanchard, Paris, 1987), Chap. XXIX, no. 341, sentence translated by A. Chenciner.
- [3] C. Moore, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 3675 (1993).
- [4] R. Broucke and D. Boggs, Celest. Mech. 11, 13 (1975).
- [5] J. D. Hadjidemetriou and Th. Christides, Celest. Mech. 12, 175 (1975).
- [6] J.D. Hadjidemetriou, Celest. Mech. 12, 255 (1975).
- [7] R. Broucke, Celest. Mech. 12, 439 (1975).
- [8] M. Henon, Celest. Mech. 13, 267 (1976).
- [9] M. Henon, Celest. Mech. 15, 243 (1977).
- [10] R. Vanderbei, Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 1017, 422 (2004).
- [11] A. Chenciner and R. Montgomery, Ann. Math. 152, 881 (2000).
- [12] M. Nauenberg, Phys. Lett. A 292, 99 (2001).

- [13] A. Chenciner, J. Gerver, R. Montgomery, and C. Simó, in *Geometry, Mechanics, and Dynamics Part III* (Springer, New York, 2002), pp. 287–308.
- [14] C. Simó, in *Celestial Mechanics*, edited by A. Chenciner, R. Cushman, C. Robinson, and Z. J. Xia, Contemporary Mathematics Vol. 292 (AMS, Providence, RI, 2002), p. 209.
- [15] A. Chenciner, J. Fejoz, and R. Montgomery, Nonlinearity 18, 1407 (2005).
- [16] R. Broucke, A. Elipe, and A. Riaguas, Chaos, Solitons Fractals 30, 513 (2006).
- [17] M. Nauenberg, Celest. Mech. 97, 1 (2007).
- [18] M. Šuvakov and V. Dmitrašinović (to be published).
- [19] http://suki.ipb.ac.rs/3body/.
- [20] V. V. Prasolov and A. B. Sossinsky, *Knots, Links, Braids and 3-Manifolds*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs Vol. 154 (AMS, Providence, RI, 1997).
- [21] R. Montgomery, Nonlinearity 11, 363 (1998).
- [22] T. Iwai, J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.) 28, 964 (1987).
- [23] M. Šuvakov and V. Dmitrašinović, Phys. Rev. E 83, 056603 (2011).
- [24] J. v. Schubart, Astron. Nachr. 283, 17 (1956).
- [25] Theoretical calculations of the outcome of binary-onbinary "scattering" have been done, however, with the outcome of three-body systems that correspond to the figure-8 solution [26].
- [26] D. C. Heggie, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 318, L61 (2000).
- [27] J. Galán, F.J. Muñoz-Almaraz, E. Freire, E. Doedel, and A. Vanderbauwhede, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 241101 (2002).
- [28] T. Imai, T. Chiba, and H. Asada, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 201102 (2007).
- [29] T. Chiba, T. Imai, and H. Asada, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 377, 269 (2007).
- [30] Y. Torigoe, K. Hattori, and H. Asada, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 251101 (2009).
- [31] T. Fujiwara, H. Fukuda, and H. Ozaki, J. Phys. A 36, 2791 (2003).