## Dissipation-Assisted Quantum Information Processing with Trapped Ions

A. Bermudez,<sup>1</sup> T. Schaetz,<sup>2</sup> and M. B. Plenio<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institut für Theoretische Physik, Albert-Einstein Alle 11, Universität Ulm, 89069 Ulm, Germany<br><sup>2</sup>Physikalisches Institut, Albert Ludwigs Universität Freihurg, Hermann Herder Strasse 3, 70104 Freihurg  $^{2}$ Physikalisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Hermann-Herder-Strasse 3, 79104 Freiburg, Germany (Received 11 October 2012; revised manuscript received 21 December 2012; published 14 March 2013)

We introduce a scheme to perform dissipation-assisted quantum information processing in ion traps considering realistic decoherence rates, for example, due to motional heating. By means of continuous sympathetic cooling, we overcome the trap heating by showing that the damped vibrational excitations can still be exploited to mediate coherent interactions as well as collective dissipative effects. We describe how to control their relative strength experimentally, allowing for protocols of coherent or dissipative generation of entanglement. This scheme can be scaled to larger ion registers for coherent or dissipative many-body quantum simulations.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.110502](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.110502) PACS numbers: 03.67.Lx, 32.80.Qk, 37.10.Ty

Among the platforms for quantum computation (QC) and simulations  $(QS)$  [[1](#page-4-0)], trapped ions [\[2\]](#page-4-1) stand out as excellent small-scale prototypes, which have a welldefined road map towards large-scale devices based on microfabrication  $[3,4]$  $[3,4]$  $[3,4]$ . The success of this technology depends on the impact of various sources of decoherence, such as the anomalous heating induced by the electric noise emanating from the trap electrodes [[5](#page-4-4)]. The strong ion-ion couplings, required for scalable QC or QS, demand that the ions lie closer to the electrodes of these miniaturized traps, where the heating is critical and must be carefully considered. A strategy to minimize it is to cryogenically cool the setup  $[6]$  $[6]$  $[6]$ , or to clean the electrodes by laser ablation  $[7]$ or ion bombardment [\[8](#page-4-7)]. Although these approaches are promising, a substantial residual noise still exists. We propose to minimize it by applying sympathetic laser cooling continuously during the whole QC-QS protocol.

Sympathetic cooling requires active laser cooling of a subset of ions, and passive cooling of the remaining ions by Coulomb interaction. This technique may overcome the motional heating [[9](#page-4-8),[10](#page-4-9)], and has already been implemented between sequential gates for QC [[11](#page-4-10)]. However, the larger heating rates in surface traps would further require cooling during the gates. There are different schemes along these lines. (i) In the absence of fluctuating electric gradients, interactions can be mediated by vibrational modes robust to the heating, while continuously cooling the remaining modes [\[9](#page-4-8)]. (ii) By using far-detuned state-dependent forces [\[12\]](#page-4-11), the mediated interactions do not rely on the motional coherence and can thus withstand a heating or cooling that is considerably weaker than the interactions. (iii) For ground-state cooled crystals, resolved-sideband cooling may provide a dissipative force that improves the success or fidelity of protocols that are shorter than the inverse of the heating rate [[13](#page-4-12)]. Unfortunately, these requirements are not met in current surface traps. (i) Since ions lie close to the electrodes, electric gradients prevent the isolation of robust modes. (ii), (iii) Heating rates in room-temperature

setups (1 phonon/ms  $[14]$ ) coincide with the above protocol's speed [\[12,](#page-4-11)[13\]](#page-4-12).

In this work, we propose a dissipation-assisted protocol based on an always-on sympathetic cooling that overcomes the anomalous heating for surface traps. We identify regimes where the sympathetically cooled vibrational modes can be used as mediators of both coherent interactions and collective dissipation. Since we only require Doppler cooling, this proposal can be applied to larger registers for QC or QS.

*Model*.—We consider an array of two types of ions  $\{\sigma, \tau\}$ confined in a radio frequency (rf) trap [Fig. [1\(a\)](#page-0-0)]. Two hyperfine ground states  $\{|\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle\}$  of the  $\sigma$  ions provide the playground for QC or QS, whereas the  $\tau$  ions act as an auxiliary gadget to sympathetically cool the crystal. In particular, the  $\tau$  ions are Doppler cooled by using a standing wave that is red detuned from a dipole-allowed transition with decay rate  $\Gamma_{\tau}$  [\[15\]](#page-4-14). On the other hand, the  $\sigma$  ions are subjected to a spin-phonon coupling obtained from ions are subjected to a spin-phonon coupling obtained from two Raman beams in a traveling-wave configuration [[16\]](#page-4-15). When the laser cooling is strong, the atomic degrees of freedom of the  $\tau$  ions can be traced out [[17](#page-4-16)], and one



<span id="page-0-0"></span>FIG. 1 (color online). (a) Coulomb crystal in a surface trap. The laser-cooled ions (red) assist the coherent or dissipative dynamics of the spins of the physical ions (blue). Both isotopes may be stored in the same individual minima without affecting the resultant lattice geometry. (b) Proof-of-principle experiment with three ions in a conventional rf trap. The red arrows correspond to a standing wave providing the Doppler cooling of the central ion, whereas the blue arrows lead to a running wave tuned to the axial red sideband of the outer ions.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>obtains a master equation for the reduced dynamics of the  $\sigma$  spins and the collective vibrations

$$
\dot{\mu} = -i[H_{\sigma} + H_{\text{ph}} + V_{\sigma}^{\text{ph}}, \mu] + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mu),
$$
  

$$
\mu = \text{Tr}_{\tau, \text{atomic}}\{\rho\}.
$$
 (1)

Here, we have introduced the bare spin and phonon Hamiltonians  $H_{\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \omega_0^{\sigma} \sigma_i^2$ ,  $H_{ph} = \sum_n \omega_n b_n^{\dagger} b_n$ ,<br>where  $\omega_0^{\sigma}$  and  $\omega_n$  are the electronic and longitudinal<br>normal-mode frequencies [18] Additionally  $\sigma_0^z = 1.5 \times$  $\sum_i \omega_0^{\sigma} \sigma_i^z$ ,  $H_{\text{ph}} = \sum_n \omega_n b_n^{\dagger} b_n$ ,<br>the electronic and longitudinal normal-mode frequencies [\[18](#page-4-17)]. Additionally,  $\sigma_i^z = |\uparrow_i\rangle \times$  $i = \frac{1}{i} \wedge$  $\langle \hat{\mathcal{L}}_i | - | \hat{\mathcal{L}}_i \rangle \langle \hat{\mathcal{L}}_i |$ , and  $b_n^{\dagger}$ ,  $b_n$  are the operators that create-<br>annihilate phonons. The two crucial ingredients in (1) for annihilate phonons. The two crucial ingredients in ([1](#page-1-0)) for our dissipation-assisted protocol are as follows.

(i) A spin-phonon coupling, provided by the Raman beams tuned to the so-called red sideband [\[17\]](#page-4-16), leads to

$$
V_{\sigma}^{\text{ph}} = \sum_{i,n} \mathcal{F}_{in}^{\sigma} \sigma_i^+ b_n e^{-i\omega_{\sigma}t} + \text{H.c.},
$$

$$
\mathcal{F}_{in}^{\sigma} = \frac{i\Omega_{\sigma}}{2} \eta_n^{\sigma} \mathcal{M}_{in} e^{i\phi_i},
$$

where  $\sigma_i^+ = |\uparrow_i\rangle\langle\downarrow_i|$ , and the sum is extended to all  $\sigma$  ions and normal modes. Here O is the Rabi frequency of the and normal modes. Here,  $\Omega_{\sigma}$  is the Rabi frequency of the Raman beams  $\omega_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k})$  is its frequency (wave vector) and Raman beams,  $\omega_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}_{\sigma})$  is its frequency (wave vector), and  $\phi_i = \mathbf{k}_{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{r}_i^{\sigma}$  is defined in terms of the ion position  $\mathbf{r}_i^{\sigma}$ . The I amb-Dicke parameter  $\mathbf{r}_{i}^{\sigma} = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{e}$ .  $\sqrt{2m_{\phi}}$  describes Lamb-Dicke parameter  $\eta_n^{\sigma} = \mathbf{k}_{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{e}_d / \sqrt{2m_{\sigma}\omega_n}$  describes the laser counting to the *n*th normal mode, where the *i*th the laser coupling to the nth normal mode, where the ith ion displacement along the direction  $e_d$  is given by  $\mathcal{M}_{in}$ , and  $m_{\sigma}$  is the ion mass.

(ii) An effective phonon damping, provided by the sympathetic Doppler cooling [[17](#page-4-16)], which can be described by

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mu) = \sum_{n} \{ \Gamma_n^+ (b_n^{\dagger} \mu b_n - b_n b_n^{\dagger} \mu) + \Gamma_n^- (b_n \mu b_n^{\dagger} - b_n^{\dagger} b_n \mu) \} + \text{H.c.}
$$

Here, we have introduced Lorentzian-shaped coolingheating couplings, which allow for an experimental control of the damping of the vibrational modes, and have the expression  $\Gamma_n^{\pm} = \sum_l (\frac{1}{2} \Omega_{\tau} \eta_n^{\pi} \mathcal{M}_{ln})^2 / [\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\tau} + i(-\Delta_{\tau} \pm \omega_n)].$ <br>Note that we sum over all the laser-cooled  $\tau$  ions. In these expression  $\mathbf{1}_n - \sum_l (\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}_\tau \mathbf{T}_n \mathbf{1}_l - \mathbf{T}_l \mathbf{T}_\tau + \mathbf{1}_l - \mathbf{T}_\tau - \mathbf{1}_l \mathbf{T}_l)$ .<br>Note that we sum over all the laser-cooled  $\tau$  ions. In these expressions, we have introduced the laser Rabi frequency  $\eta_n^{\tau} = \mathbf{k}_{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{e}_p / \sqrt{2m_{\tau}\omega_n}$ .<br>The master equation  $\tau$ , its detuning  $\Delta_{\tau}$ , and its wave vector  $\mathbf{k}_{\tau}$  that determines

The master equation ([1](#page-1-0)) describes an array of spins coupled to a set of damped vibrational modes. The idea now is to use the quanta of these modes, i.e., the phonons, as mediators of a coherent spin-spin interaction. However, in addition to the coherent dynamics, the phonons also provide an indirect coupling to the electromagnetic reservoir leading to some collective dissipation on the spins. Our goal is to find suitable regimes where these collective effects still allow for QC or QS. To guide this search, note that the two-qubit gates implemented in different laboratories [\[19\]](#page-4-18) use nearly resonant spin-dependent forces and rely on the motional coherence to suppress the residual spin-phonon entanglement. Since the motional coherence is absent in our case, we must work in the far off-resonant regime [\[12](#page-4-11)[,20\]](#page-4-19), where  $|\mathcal{F}_{in}^{\sigma}| \ll |\delta_n| \ll \omega_n$ , such that  $\delta = \omega - (\omega^{\sigma} - \omega)$ . In this regime motional excita- $\delta_n = \omega_{\sigma} - (\omega_0^{\sigma} - \omega_n)$ . In this regime, motional excitations by the spin-phonon coupling are negligible. We identify below the additional conditions to tailor the coherent or dissipative phonon-mediated processes in the presence of laser cooling.

Collective Liouvillian.—For the values considered below, the laser-cooling rates reach  $W_n \approx 10^{-2} \omega_n$ . In this case, the cooling is very strong, and the vibrations reach the steady state very fast. Hence, we can apply the theory of Schrieffer-Wolf transformations for open systems  $[21]$  to trace out the phonons from Eq.  $(1)$ , and obtain an effective Liouvillian

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
\dot{\mu}_{\sigma} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}(\mu_{\sigma}) = -i[H_{\text{eff}}, \mu_{\sigma}] + \mathcal{D}_{\text{eff}}(\mu_{\sigma}), \quad (2)
$$

where  $\mu_{\sigma} = Tr_{ph}\{\mu\}$ . Here, the coherent Hamiltonian is

$$
H_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{i>j} (J_{ij}^{\text{eff}} \sigma_i^+ \sigma_j^- + \text{H.c.}) + \sum_{in} \frac{1}{2} B_{in}^{\text{eff}} \sigma_i^z,
$$

which contains the phonon-mediated interactions of strength  $J_{ij}^{\text{eff}}$ , which describe processes where a phonon is virtually created and then absorbed elsewhere in the chain. These interactions can be used to implement gates for QC, or to explore spin models for QS. Additionally, we also find an ac-Stark shift, which can be interpreted as an effective magnetic field  $B<sub>in</sub><sup>eff</sup>$ , arising from the processes where the phonon is created and absorbed by the same ion. Note that the same virtual phonon exchange also introduces an indirect dissipation in ([2](#page-1-1)),

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\text{eff}}(\mu_{\sigma}) = \sum_{i,j} \Gamma_{ij}^{\text{eff}} (\sigma_i^+ \mu_{\sigma} \sigma_j^- - \sigma_j^- \sigma_i^+ \mu_{\sigma} + \text{H.c.})
$$

$$
+ \sum_{i,j} (\Gamma_{ij}^{\text{eff}} + \Gamma_{ij}^{\text{eff}})
$$

$$
\times (\sigma_i^- \mu_{\sigma} \sigma_j^+ - \sigma_j^+ \sigma_i^- \mu_{\sigma} + \text{H.c.}),
$$

where  $\Gamma_{ij}^{\text{eff}}$ ,  $\Gamma_{ij}^{\text{eff}}$  are the strengths of the collective<br>processes of gropphonesus and stimulated discipation processes of spontaneous and stimulated dissipation, respectively.

To find the correct regime for QC or QS purposes, we must compare the time scales derived from the expressions

$$
J_{ij}^{\text{eff}} = -\sum_{n} \frac{\mathcal{F}_{in}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{F}_{jn}^{\sigma})^*}{\tilde{\delta}_n^2 + W_n^2} \tilde{\delta}_n,
$$
  
\n
$$
B_{in}^{\text{eff}} = -\frac{\mathcal{F}_{in}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{F}_{in}^{\sigma})^*}{\tilde{\delta}_n^2 + W_n^2} \tilde{\delta}_n (2\bar{n}_n + 1),
$$
  
\n
$$
\Gamma_{ij}^{\text{eff}} = +\sum_{n} \frac{\mathcal{F}_{in}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{F}_{jn}^{\sigma})^*}{\tilde{\delta}_n^2 + W_n^2} W_n,
$$
  
\n
$$
\Gamma_{ij}^{\text{eff}} = \sum_{n} \frac{\mathcal{F}_{in}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{F}_{jn}^{\sigma})^*}{\tilde{\delta}_n^2 + W_n^2} W_n \bar{n}_n.
$$

Here, the laser cooling leads to the effective cooling rates  $W_n = \text{Re}\{\Gamma_n^- - \Gamma_n^+\}$  that damp the ion vibrations, and to a

Lamb-type shift of the vibrational frequencies leading to  $\delta_n = \delta_n + \text{Im}\{\Gamma_n^+ - (\Gamma_n^-)^*\}$ . Additionally,  $\bar{n}_n = \text{Re}(\Gamma_n^+) / W_n$ <br>are the mean phonon numbers in the steady state of the  $o_n = o_n + \ln\{1 \mid n\} - (1 \mid n)$ . Additionally,  $n_n = \text{Re}(1 \mid n) / w_n$ <br>are the mean phonon numbers in the steady state of the laser cooling. From these expressions, it is clear that by tuning the ratio  $\mathcal{R}_n = W_n(\bar{n}_n + 1)/|\delta_n|$ , we control if the spin interactions prevail over the dissination  $\mathcal{R} \ll 1$  or spin interactions prevail over the dissipation  $\mathcal{R}_n \ll 1$  or vice versa  $R_n \gg 1$ .<br>Coherent and diss

Coherent and dissipative generation of entanglement.— We consider the simplest scenario to test our scheme: a three-ion chain in a linear Paul trap [Fig.  $1(b)$ ]. To use realistic parameters, we consider <sup>25</sup>Mg<sup>+</sup> - <sup>24</sup>Mg<sup>+</sup> - <sup>25</sup>Mg<sup>+</sup>, and set the axial trap frequency to  $\omega_z/2\pi$ 4:1MHz, which is possible by optimizing the trap voltages. The dipole-allowed transition  $|g\rangle = |3S_{1/2}\rangle \leftrightarrow |e\rangle = |3P_{1/2}\rangle$ of <sup>24</sup>Mg<sup>+</sup>, which is characterized by  $\lambda_{\tau} \approx 280.3$  nm and  $\Gamma$  /2 $\pi \approx 41.4$  MHz, shall be used for continuous sympa- $\frac{1}{\tau}/2\pi \approx 41.4$  MHz, shall be used for continuous sympa-<br>thetic cooling. By applying an external magnetic field, we  $\Gamma_{\tau}/2\pi \approx 41.4$  MHz, shall be used for continuous sympacan encode the spins in a couple of Zeeman sublevels  $|F, M\rangle$  of the ground-state manifold of <sup>25</sup>Mg<sup>+</sup>, e.g.,  $| \uparrow \rangle$  =  $|2, 2\rangle$  and  $| \downarrow \rangle = |3, 3\rangle$ . This leads to a resonance frequency of  $\omega_0^{\sigma}/2\pi \approx 1.79$  GHz, and a negligible decay rate of  $\Gamma$   $/2\pi \approx 10^{-14}$  Hz. Finally, a pair of off-resonant lasers  $\Gamma_{\sigma}/2\pi \approx 10^{-14}$  Hz. Finally, a pair of off-resonant lasers drives the axial red sideband through an excited state in the  $3P_{3/2}$  manifold of <sup>25</sup>Mg<sup>+</sup>, such that  $\eta_1^{\sigma} \approx 0.16$ .<br>The isotopic mass ratio *m* /*m*  $\approx 0.96$  implies

The isotopic mass ratio  $m_{\tau}/m_{\sigma} \approx 0.96$  implies that the rational modes are almost unchanged with respect axial vibrational modes are almost unchanged with respect to the homogeneous chain,  $\omega_n/2\pi \approx \{4.1,7.1,10.1\} \text{MHz}.$ To attain a wide range of values for the ratio  $\mathcal{R}_n$ , we tune the Raman lasers closer to the highest-frequency mode, the so-called Egyptian mode, such that the detunings are  $\delta_n/2\pi \in \{6.2, 3.2, 0.3\}$  MHz. Note that, due to the large detuning from the remaining modes, the collective effects will be mediated by the Egyptian mode. To sympathetically cool it, we place the cooling isotope at the middle of the chain, such that it coincides with the node of a standing-wave laser  $[15]$  $[15]$ . This laser has a frequency that is red detuned from the transition, and we set the detuning to be  $\Delta_{\tau} = -\Gamma_{\tau}/2$ . This leads to a steady-state mean<br>phonon number  $\bar{p}_2 = 0.65$  independent of the standingto be  $\Delta_{\tau} = -1 \frac{\tau}{2}$ . This leads to a steady-state mean<br>phonon number  $\bar{n}_3 = 0.65$  independent of the standing-<br>wave Rabi frequency. Therefore, we can modify it 0.2 wave Rabi frequency. Therefore, we can modify it  $0.2 \le$ thus the ratio  $\mathcal{R}_3$ . This allows for reaching regimes of either dominant dissination or interactions. We remark  $_{\tau}/\Gamma_{\tau} \leq 2$  in order to control the cooling rate  $W_3$ , and us the ratio  $\mathcal{R}_3$ . This allows for reaching regimes of either dominant dissipation or interactions. We remark that the laser used for cooling  $24Mg^{+}$  will be highly detuned from the cooling transition of  $^{25}Mg^+$  (i.e.,  $\Delta/2\pi \approx$  2.7 THz), such that the induced decay rate for the considered regime fulfills  $\Gamma_{\tau}(\Omega_{\tau}/\Delta)^{2}/(2\pi) \le$ <br>10<sup>-2</sup> Hz Therefore this laser only contributes with off- $10^{-2}$  Hz. Therefore, this laser only contributes with off-<br>resonant ac-Stark shifts that shall be considered later on resonant ac-Stark shifts that shall be considered later on. We now explore two possible applications.

(a) Coherent generation of entanglement. Our goal is to use the coherent phonon-mediated interaction in Eq. ([2\)](#page-1-1) to generate entanglement between the  $25Mg^{+}$  ions. By setting  $10^{-3}$ , such that  $\mathcal{R}_3 \approx 7 \times 10^{-3}$ , and the Hamiltonian part<br>of the Liouvillian (2) thus dominates. Initializing the spin  $\tau_{\tau} = 0.15\Gamma_{\tau}$ , we obtain a cooling rate of  $W_3/\omega_3 \approx 4.3 \times 10^{-3}$  such that  $R_3 \approx 7 \times 10^{-3}$  and the Hamiltonian part of the Liouvillian [\(2](#page-1-1)) thus dominates. Initializing the spin

state in  $|\psi_{\sigma}(0)\rangle = |\uparrow_{1}\downarrow_{3}\rangle$ , and setting  $\Omega_{\sigma}\eta_{3}^{\sigma} \approx 10W_{3}$ , such that the distance between the ions is an integer multiple of that the distance between the ions is an integer multiple of the effective Raman wavelength, we obtain the Bell state  $|\psi_B\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow_1\downarrow_3\rangle - i |\downarrow_1\uparrow_3\rangle)$  for  $t_f \approx 4$  ms [Fig. [2\(a\)\]](#page-2-0). In the numerical simulations, we have considered a realistic heating rate for macroscopic rf traps of  $\Gamma_{ah} \approx$ 0.1 phonon/ms, by substituting  $\Gamma_n^+ \to \Gamma_n^+ + \Gamma_{an}$  in the dissinator of (1) From this figure, we observe that even 0.1 phonon/ms, by substituting  $\mathbf{1}_n \to \mathbf{1}_n + \mathbf{1}_{an}$  in the dissipator of [\(1\)](#page-1-0). From this figure, we observe that, even if the process is slower than the usual gates [[19](#page-4-18)], it prevails over the phonon-mediated decoherence leading to errors as low as  $\epsilon_B \sim 10^{-2}$ . Note that such errors are not sufficient<br>for fault-tolerance OC, which require  $\epsilon_0 \sim 10^{-2}$ –10<sup>-4</sup> for fault-tolerance QC, which require  $\epsilon_{ft} \sim 10^{-2} - 10^{-4}$ .<br>On the one hand, we can achieve lower error rates by On the one hand, we can achieve lower error rates by working with larger detunings. On the other hand, this leads to slower gates, which require an additional scheme to decouple from other sources of decoherence that shall be introduced below. Finally, for the anomalous heating rates in microfabricated surface traps  $\Gamma_{ah} \approx 1$  phonon/ms, the same parameters lead to errors  $\epsilon_B \approx 2 \times 10^{-2}$  for  $t_f \approx$ <br>5 ms, which illustrates the robustness of our scheme with 5 ms, which illustrates the robustness of our scheme with respect to motional heating. Let us also advance that our protocol might be scaled directly to many ions for QS [[17\]](#page-4-16), which do not require such small error rates.

(b) Dissipative generation of entanglement. A different possibility would be to exploit the collective dissipation in

<span id="page-2-1"></span>

<span id="page-2-0"></span>FIG. 2 (color online). (a) In the main panel, we show the coherent flip-flop dynamics for the three-ion setup, when the red sideband is tuned close to the highest-frequency vibrational mode. The solid lines represent the spin populations ( $P_{\uparrow,1}$ , blue;  $P_{\uparrow,3}$ , red) given by the original Liouvillian ([1\)](#page-1-0), while the symbols  $(P_{\uparrow,1},$ circles;  $P_{1,3}$ , squares) correspond to the effective description ([2](#page-1-1)). In the left-hand lower panel, the fidelity  $\mathcal{F}_B = |\langle \psi_B | \mu_\sigma | \psi_B \rangle|$  with the Bell state  $|\psi_B\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\hat{1}_1 \hat{1}_3 \rangle - i |\hat{1}_1 \hat{1}_3 \rangle)$  for a single flip-flop exchange is displayed. An optimization of the fidelity for different gate times  $t_B$  is shown in the right-hand lower panel. In both cases, green solid lines represent the complete Liouvillian [\(1](#page-1-0)), whereas the stars follow from the effective description [\(2\)](#page-1-1). (b) In the upper panel, the dissipative dynamics under Eq.  $(1)$  ( $P_{\text{t}}$ , blue solid line;  $P_{\uparrow,3}$ , red solid line) and Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-1) ( $P_{\uparrow,1}$ , circles;  $P_{\uparrow,3}$ , squares) is shown. In the lower panel, we display the fidelity  $\mathcal{F}_{-} =$ <br> $\{(\phi_1 | \psi_1 | \phi_2) \}$  with the Bell state  $\{(\phi_2) = \frac{1}{2}(|\phi_1|, |\phi_2|) | |\phi_2| \}$  $|\langle \phi_- | \mu_\sigma | \phi_- \rangle|$  with the Bell state  $|\phi_- \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (|\uparrow_1 \downarrow_3 \rangle - |\downarrow_1 \uparrow_3 \rangle)$ . Again, we use gray (green) solid lines for the complete Liouvillian [\(1\)](#page-1-0) and stars for the effective description [\(2](#page-1-1)).

Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-1) to generate entanglement in the steady state. The idea is to set  $\Omega_{\tau} = 2\Gamma_{\tau}$ , such that the dissipative part of the liquidity is not vector. rice a is to set  $\Omega_{\tau} = 2I_{\tau}$ , such that the dissipative part of the<br>Liouvillian [\(2\)](#page-1-1) becomes dominating  $R_3 \approx 2.3$ , and we can<br>exploit a superradiant or subradiant phenomenon [22]. By exploit a superradiant or subradiant phenomenon [\[22\]](#page-4-21). By controlling the ion-ion distance with respect to the Raman wavelength such that  $\mathbf{k}_{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{r}_{1}^{\sigma} - \mathbf{r}_{3}^{\sigma}) = p\pi$ , where  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,<br>the decay rates fulfill  $\Gamma^{\text{eff}} = \Gamma^{\text{eff}} = \Gamma_{\infty}$  and  $\Gamma^{\text{eff}} = \Gamma^{\text{eff}} = \Gamma_{\infty}$ the decay rates fulfill  $\Gamma_{11}^{\text{eff}} = \Gamma_{\text{eff}}^{\text{eff}} = \Gamma_{\text{eff}}$ , and  $\Gamma_{13}^{\text{eff}} = \Gamma_{\text{eff}}^{\text{eff}} =$ <br>(-1)P $\Gamma$  a (equally for  $\Gamma^{\text{left}}$ ) In this limit, the dissinator in  $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$  ${}^p\Gamma_{\text{eff}}$  (equally for  $\Gamma_{ij}^{\text{eff}}$ ). In this limit, the dissipator in [\(2\)](#page-1-1) can be written as

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\text{eff}}(\mu_{\sigma}) = L_{-}\mu_{\sigma}L_{-}^{\dagger} + L_{+}\mu_{\sigma}L_{+}^{\dagger} - L_{-}^{\dagger}L_{-}\mu_{\sigma}
$$

$$
- L_{+}^{\dagger}L_{+}\mu_{\sigma} + \text{H.c.},
$$

where we have introduced the collective jump operators  $L_{-} = \sqrt{\Gamma_{\text{eff}}(\bar{n}_3 + 1)} [\sigma_1^- + (-1)^p \sigma_3^-]$  $L_{+} = \sqrt{\Gamma_{\text{eff}} \bar{n}_3} [\sigma_1^+ + (-1)^p \sigma_3^+]$ . One can check that<br>the symmetric or antisymmetric Bell states  $|\phi_{+}\rangle =$  and the symmetric or antisymmetric Bell states  $|\phi_{\pm}\rangle = \frac{1}{2} (|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle)$  are dark states of these jump operators  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  ( $\int$   $\uparrow$   $\downarrow$   $\downarrow$   $\downarrow$   $\uparrow$   $\downarrow$   $\downarrow$   $\uparrow$   $\downarrow$   $\downarrow$   $\uparrow$   $\downarrow$   $\downarrow$   $\uparrow$   $\downarrow$   $\downarrow$   $\uparrow$   $\downarrow$ for  $p$  odd or  $p$  even, respectively. These are the so-called subradiant decay channels [\[23\]](#page-4-22), which allows us to get a mixed stationary state that is partially entangled. In particular, starting from  $|\Psi_{\sigma}(0)\rangle = |\uparrow_{1}\downarrow_{3}\rangle$  for p even, and steady state  $|\phi_{-}\rangle$  for  $t \gg t_{ss} \approx 50 \mu s$  with fidelities<br>around 30% [Fig. 2(b)] [24]. Note that this phononic  $\sigma \eta_3^{\sigma} \approx W_3$ , we obtain a decoherence-free entangled<br>eady state  $|\phi \rangle$  for  $t \gg t \approx 50$  us with fidelities around 30% [Fig.  $2(b)$ ] [[24](#page-4-23)]. Note that this phononic subradiance is not affected by limitations in the ratio of the ion-ion distance with respect to the wavelength of the emitted light. The collective nature of the vibrations that mediate the subradiance allows us to surpass the limitations of the pioneering trapped-ion experiments [\[26\]](#page-4-24). We also note that the ultimate limit of 50% cannot be achieved due to the thermal contribution to Eq. ([2\)](#page-1-1). However, schemes originally formulated for cavities [\[27\]](#page-4-25) can be adapted for our trapped-ion setting to reach unit fidelities.

Let us emphasize that, although we have considered a particular example, the scheme is also applicable to other ion species. For the regime of dominant dissipation, any ion will work equally well. Conversely, for dominant coherent interactions, the required strong sympatheticcooling strengths and even larger detunings are likely to be optimized for crystals with two light isotopes. At this point, it is also worth commenting that the strong rates provided by standing-wave laser cooling are required to obtain the target states in time scales which are not pro-hibitively large. In light of the results shown in Fig. [2,](#page-2-1) the regime of coherent interactions necessarily requires standing-wave cooling. Conversely, the regime of leading dissipation is faster, and may also work with the more standard traveling-wave cooling. Let us note, however, that the experiments  $[28]$  $[28]$  $[28]$  show that standing-wave cooling with a precise positioning of the ions with respect to the standing wave is possible.

Sources of noise.—In addition to the motional heating, other sources of noise become relevant for the time scales of the above protocols 0.1–10 ms. In fact, fluctuating magnetic fields and laser intensities, together with

thermal noise, lead to the dephasing term  $H_n = \sum_i \frac{1}{2}$ <br>  $[\nabla \text{ref} + F(t)]\sigma^z$  Here  $R^{\text{eff}}$  in Eq. (2) introduces no  $\sum_{i=1}^n B_{in}^{\text{eff}} + F_i(t) \cdot \int \sigma_i^z$ . Here,  $B_{in}^{\text{eff}}$  in Eq. [\(2](#page-1-1)) introduces noise<br>is fluctuations over the phonon steady state, and  $F_i(t)$  is a via fluctuations over the phonon steady state, and  $F_i(t)$  is a<br>random process that models the noise of external magnetic random process that models the noise of external magnetic fields, or uncompensated ac-Stark shifts. This random noise, which typically has a short correlation time  $\tau_c$ , leads to a dephasing rate  $\Gamma_d/2\pi \sim 0.1$ –1 kHz [\[17\]](#page-4-16).

For any practical implementation of the proposed protocol, this dephasing should be carefully considered. The standard approach for prolonging the coherence of a system consists of a sequence of refocusing pulses, a technique known as pulsed dynamical decoupling [[29\]](#page-4-27). Another approach, known as continuous dynamical decoupling, produces a similar effect by continuous drivings [\[30](#page-4-28)[,31\]](#page-4-29). As recently demonstrated experimentally [[32\]](#page-4-30), this technique allows us to implement robust two-qubit gates exploiting a single sideband [[31](#page-4-29)]. As a by-product, we show that this tool allows for slower gates, and thus smaller errors in principle. Moreover, it also provides a new gadget to tailor the collective Liouvillian [\(2\)](#page-1-1).

We apply a continuous driving resonant with the spins, such that the bare spin Hamiltonian reads  $H_{\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \omega_{0}^{\sigma} \sigma_{i}^{z} + [\Omega_{d} \sigma_{i}^{+} \cos(\omega_{d} t) + \text{H.c.}],$  with  $\omega_{d} = \omega_{0}^{\sigma}$ . In this regime a modified Schrieffer-Wolf transformation 2 this regime, a modified Schrieffer-Wolf transformation leads to  $\mu_{\sigma} = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{eff}(\mu_{\sigma})$ , where

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\tilde{L}_{\rm eff}(\mu_{\sigma}) = -i[\tilde{H}_{\rm eff} + \tilde{H}_n, \mu_{\sigma}] + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\rm eff}(\mu_{\sigma}) + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_n(\mu_{\sigma}).
$$
\n(3)

In the limit of a strong driving [\[17\]](#page-4-16), the Hamiltonian above corresponds to an interacting Ising model

$$
\tilde{H}_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{i>j} \tilde{J}_{ij}^{\text{eff}} \sigma_i^x \sigma_j^x + \sum_{in} \frac{1}{2} \Omega_d \sigma_i^x,
$$

and we obtain a collective phonon-mediated dephasing

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\text{eff}}(\mu_{\sigma}) = \sum_{i,j} \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^{\text{eff}}(2\sigma_i^x \mu_{\sigma} \sigma_j^x - \sigma_j^x \sigma_i^x \mu_{\sigma} - \mu_{\sigma} \sigma_j^x \sigma_i^x),
$$

where we have assumed that the surface-trap array is designed such that  $\mathbf{k}_L \cdot \mathbf{r}_i^{\sigma} = 2\pi p$ , with  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We<br>emphasize that the interaction strengths and dissipation emphasize that the interaction strengths and dissipation rates,

$$
\tilde{J}_{ij}^{\text{eff}} = -\sum_{n} \frac{|\mathcal{F}_{in}^{\sigma} \mathcal{F}_{jn}^{\sigma}|}{\tilde{\delta}_{n}^{2} + W_{n}^{2}} \frac{\tilde{\delta}_{n}}{2},
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^{\text{eff}} = \sum_{n} \frac{|\mathcal{F}_{in}^{\sigma} \mathcal{F}_{jn}^{\sigma}|}{\tilde{\delta}_{n}^{2} + W_{n}^{2}} \frac{W_{n}}{2} \left(\bar{n}_{n} + \frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

;

lead to a very similar control parameter  $\ddot{\mathcal{R}}_n =$  $W_n(\bar{n}_n + \frac{1}{2})/|\delta_n|$ . Accordingly, under the same assumptions as we considered above we can interpolate between tions as we considered above, we can interpolate between regimes where the coherent Ising interactions dominate over the collective dephasing, or vice versa. In addition to the new range of possibilities offered by this collective Liouvillian, note that the dephasing noise terms contribute to the new Liouvillian ([3\)](#page-3-0) with

$$
\tilde{H}_n = \sum_i \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Omega}_d \sigma_i^x,
$$
  

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_n(\mu_\sigma) = \sum_i \sum_{\alpha = y, z} \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Gamma}_d (\sigma_i^\alpha \mu_\sigma \sigma_i^\alpha - \mu_\sigma),
$$

where we have assumed that the noise is local, and introduced  $\Omega_d = (B_{jn}^{\text{eff}} \delta_n \tau_c + \Gamma_d)/2\Omega_d \tau_c$ , and  $\Gamma_d = \Gamma_d/(\Omega_d \tau_c)^2$  $\frac{c}{n}$ in the limit of a strong driving  $\Omega_d \tau_c \gg 1$  [\[17\]](#page-4-16). According<br>to the above constraints, these noisy terms are suppressed In the finit of a strong driving  $\Omega_d \tau_c \gg 1$  [17]. According<br>to the above constraints, these noisy terms are suppressed by a sufficiently strong driving. To be more specific, for noise correlation times on the order of  $\tau_c = 10^{-2}/\Gamma_d$  and detunings  $\delta$  /2 $\pi \sim 0$  1–1 MHz it suffices to apply drivdetunings  $\delta_n/2\pi \sim 0.1$ –1 MHz, it suffices to apply drivings with  $\Omega_d/2\pi \approx 10$  MHz to reduce the noise by more<br>than 2 orders of magnitude. Therefore, we can decouple than 2 orders of magnitude. Therefore, we can decouple from the noise efficiently, while preserving the collective part of the Liouvillian for QC or QS. In contrast to Fig.  $2(a)$ , the new Liouvillian ([3](#page-3-0)) allows for the coherent generation of all four Bell states.

Conclusions.—We have proposed a scheme based on sympathetic cooling to overcome the anomalous heating in surface traps, while allowing for QC or QS. The sympathetic cooling becomes a tool to tailor the collective effects of the Liouvillian. By controlling a single parameter, namely, the laser-cooling power, we have shown how the Liouvillian interpolates between regimes of dominating coherent interactions or collective dissipation, both of which allow for generation of entanglement. Moreover, this control may also be exploited for coherent or dissipative many-body QS.

This work was supported by PICC, DFG (SCHA973/1-6), and by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. A. B. thanks FIS2009-10061 and QUITEMAD. We thank A. Albrecht and J. Almeida for useful discussions.

- <span id="page-4-0"></span>[1] T. D. Ladd, F. Jelezko, R. Laflamme, Y. Nakamura, C. Monroe, and J.L. O'Brien, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature08812) 464, 45 [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature08812); J. I. Cirac and P. Zoller, Nat. Phys. 8[, 264 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2275)
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[2] R. Blatt and D. Wineland, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature07125) 453, 1008 [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature07125); R. Blatt and C. F. Roos, Nat. Phys. 8[, 277 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2252)
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[3] See D. J. Wineland and D. Leibfried, [Laser Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/lapl.201010125) 8, [175 \(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/lapl.201010125), and references therein.
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[4] See Ch. Schneider, D. Porras, and T. Schaetz, [Rep. Prog.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/75/2/024401) Phys. 75[, 024401 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/75/2/024401), and references therein.
- <span id="page-4-5"></span><span id="page-4-4"></span>[5] Q. A. Turchette *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. A* **61**[, 063418 \(2000\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.61.063418)
- [6] L. Deslauriers, S. Olmschenk, D. Stick, W. Hensinger, J. Sterk, and C. Monroe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97[, 103007 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.103007); J. Labaziewicz, Y. Ge, P. Antohi, D. Leibrandt, K. Brown, and I. Chuang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100[, 013001 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.013001)
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[7] D. T. C. Allcock, L. Guidoni, T. P. Harty, C. J. Ballance, M. G. Blain, A. M. Steane, and D. M. Lucas, [New J. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/13/12/123023) 13[, 123023 \(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/13/12/123023).
- <span id="page-4-8"></span><span id="page-4-7"></span>[8] D. A. Hite et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**[, 103001 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.103001)
- [9] D. Kielpinski, B. King, C. Myatt, C. Sackett, Q. Turchette, W. Itano, C. Monroe, D. Wineland, and W. Zurek, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.61.032310) Rev. A 61[, 032310 \(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.61.032310); G. Morigi and H. Walther, [Eur.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s100530170275) Phys. J. D 13[, 261 \(2001\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s100530170275)
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>[10] H. Rohde, S. T. Gulde, C. F Roos, P. A. Barton, D. Leibfried, J. Eschner, F. Schmidt-Kaler, and R. Blatt, [J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1464-4266/3/1/357) Opt. B 3[, S3 \(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1464-4266/3/1/357); M. D. Barrett et al., [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.68.042302) 68, [042302 \(2003\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.68.042302) J. P. Home, M. McDonnell, D. Szwer, B. Keitch, D. Lucas, D. Stacey, and A. Steane, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.79.050305) 79[, 050305\(R\) \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.79.050305)
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>[11] J. P. Home, D. Hanneke, J. D. Jost, J. M. Amini, D. Leibfried, and D. J. Wineland, Science 325[, 1227 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1177077)
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>[12] A. Sørensen and K. Mølmer, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.1971)* **82**, 1971 [\(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.1971).
- <span id="page-4-12"></span>[13] A. Beige, *Phys. Rev. A* **67**, 020301(*R*) (2003); **69**[, 012303](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.69.012303) [\(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.69.012303).
- <span id="page-4-13"></span>[14] K. R. Brown, C. Ospelkaus, Y. Colombe, A. C. Wilson, D. Leibfried, and D. J. Wineland, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature09721) 471, 196 [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature09721); M. Harlander, R. Lechner, M. Brownnutt, R. Blatt, and W. Hänsel, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature09800) 471, 200 (2011).
- <span id="page-4-14"></span>[15] Standing-wave laser cooling is considered for generality. However, for the protocol of dissipative quantum information processing, the standard traveling-wave cooling may suffice (see below).
- <span id="page-4-15"></span>[16] See D. J. Wineland, C. Monroe, W. M. Itano, D. Leibfried, B. E. King, and D. M. Meekhof, [J. Res. Natl. Inst. Stand.](http://dx.doi.org/10.6028/jres.103.019) Technol. 103[, 259 \(1998\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.6028/jres.103.019) and references therein.
- <span id="page-4-16"></span>[17] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.110502) [supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.110502](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.110502) for a detailed description of dissipation and noise in ion crystals.
- <span id="page-4-17"></span>[18] The scheme works for any vibrational branch of the crystal (e.g., axial and radial in a chain) provided that the Coulomb couplings and sympathetic cooling rates are of comparable magnitude.
- <span id="page-4-18"></span>[19] See K.-A. Brickman Soderberg and C. Monroe, [Rep. Prog.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/73/3/036401) Phys. 73[, 036401 \(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/73/3/036401), and references therein.
- <span id="page-4-19"></span>[20] D. Porras and J. I. Cirac, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**[, 207901 \(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.207901)
- <span id="page-4-20"></span>[21] E.M. Kessler, *Phys. Rev. A* **86**[, 012126 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.012126)
- <span id="page-4-21"></span>[22] R. H. Dicke, *Phys. Rev.* **93**[, 99 \(1954\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.93.99) R. H. Lemberg, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.2.889) 2, 889 (1970); Z. Ficek and R. Tanas, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(02)00368-X) Rep. 372[, 369 \(2002\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(02)00368-X)
- <span id="page-4-22"></span>[23] M. Gross and S. Haroche, *Phys. Rep.* **93**[, 301 \(1982\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(82)90102-8).
- <span id="page-4-23"></span>[24] We have also computed an entanglement measure for the steady state, namely, the logarithmic negativity [\[25\]](#page-4-31)  $LN(\mu_{\sigma}^{ss}) \approx 0.12$ , to rule out that this corresponds to a product state product state.
- <span id="page-4-31"></span>[25] M. B. Plenio, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**[, 090503 \(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.090503).
- <span id="page-4-24"></span>[26] R. G. De Voe and R. G. Brewer, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.76.2049)* **76**, 2049 [\(1996\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.76.2049).
- <span id="page-4-25"></span>[27] M. J. Kastoryano, F. Reiter, and A. S. Sørensen, *[Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.090502)* Lett. 106[, 090502 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.090502)
- <span id="page-4-26"></span>[28] A. Walther, U. Poschinger, K. Singer, and F. Schmidt-Kahler, [Appl. Phys. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00340-011-4740-8) 107, 1061 (2012).
- <span id="page-4-27"></span>[29] E.L. Hahn, *Phys. Rev.* **80**[, 580 \(1950\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.80.580); L. Viola and S. Lloyd, Phys. Rev. A 58[, 2733 \(1998\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.58.2733) M. J. Biercuk, H. Uys, A. P. VanDevender, N. Shiga, W. M. Itano, and J. J. Bollinger, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature07951) 458, 996 (2009); D. J. Szwer, S. C. Webster, A. M. Steane, and D. M. Lucas, [J. Phys. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/44/2/025501) 44[, 025501 \(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/44/2/025501).
- <span id="page-4-28"></span>[30] N. Timoney, I. Baumgart, M. Johanning, A. F. Varón, M. B. Plenio, A. Retzker, and Ch. Wunderlich, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature10319) 476[, 185 \(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature10319); A. Noguchi, S. Haze, K. Toyoda, and S. Urabe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108[, 060503 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.060503).
- <span id="page-4-29"></span>[31] A. Bermudez, P. O. Schmidt, M. B. Plenio, and A. Retzker, Phys. Rev. A 85[, 040302\(R\) \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.040302).
- <span id="page-4-30"></span>[32] T. R. Tan et al., [arXiv:1301.3786.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1301.3786)