

Pseudorapidity Density of Charged Particles in $p + \text{Pb}$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV

B. Abelev *et al.*^{*}

(ALICE Collaboration)

(Received 18 October 2012; published 18 January 2013)

The charged-particle pseudorapidity density measured over four units of pseudorapidity in nonsingle-diffractive $p + \text{Pb}$ collisions at a center-of-mass energy per nucleon pair $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV is presented. The average value at midrapidity is measured to be 16.81 ± 0.71 (syst), which corresponds to 2.14 ± 0.17 (syst) per participating nucleon, calculated with the Glauber model. This is 16% lower than in nonsingle-diffractive pp collisions interpolated to the same collision energy and 84% higher than in $d + \text{Au}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 0.2$ TeV. The measured pseudorapidity density in $p + \text{Pb}$ collisions is compared to model predictions and provides new constraints on the description of particle production in high-energy nuclear collisions.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.032301

PACS numbers: 25.75.-q

Particle production in proton-lead collisions, in contrast to pp , is expected to be sensitive to nuclear effects in the initial state. In particular, coherence effects in the nuclear wave function are expected to influence the initial parton flux as well as the underlying description of particle production in the scattering processes. Therefore, measurements in $p + \text{Pb}$ collisions at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at CERN provide an essential experimental tool to discriminate between the initial and final state effects and allow one to attribute the latter to the formation of hot QCD matter in heavy-ion collisions [1]. Moreover, at LHC energies, the nuclear wave function is probed at the small parton fractional momentum x . The growth of the parton densities with decreasing x must be limited to satisfy unitarity bounds. One of the mechanisms providing such a limitation is often referred to as gluon saturation. Its theoretical description varies between models of particle production, resulting in significant differences in the predictions of the charged-particle pseudorapidity density. Thus, the measurements of particle production in $p + \text{Pb}$ collisions constrain and potentially exclude certain models and enhance the understanding of QCD at small x and the initial state.

In this Letter, the measurement of the primary charged-particle pseudorapidity density in $p + \text{Pb}$ collisions at a nucleon-nucleon center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV with the ALICE detector [2] is reported. The primary charged-particle density, $dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta_{\text{lab}}$, is measured in nonsingle-diffractive (NSD) $p + \text{Pb}$ collisions for $|\eta_{\text{lab}}| < 2$, where $\eta_{\text{lab}} = -\text{Intan}(\theta/2)$ and θ is the polar angle between the charged-particle direction and the beam

axis (z). Primary particles are defined as prompt particles produced in the collision, including decay products, except those from weak decays of strange particles. The data are compared to model predictions [3–7] and to measurements in proton-nucleus [8,9], NSD [10–16], and inelastic [12,17–19] pp ($p\bar{p}$) as well as central heavy-ion [19–30] collisions.

The $p + \text{Pb}$ collisions were provided by the LHC during a short pilot run performed in September 2012 in preparation for the $p + \text{Pb}$ physics run scheduled for the beginning of 2013. The two-in-one magnet design of the LHC imposes the same magnetic rigidity of the beams in the two rings. Beam 1 consisted of protons at 4 TeV energy circulating in the negative z direction in the ALICE laboratory system, while beam 2 consisted of fully stripped ^{208}Pb ions at 82×4 TeV energy circulating in the positive z direction. This configuration resulted in collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV in the nucleon-nucleon center-of-mass system that moves with a rapidity of $\Delta y_{NN} = 0.465$ in the direction of the proton beam.

The main detector for the present analysis is the silicon pixel detector (SPD), located in the inner barrel of the ALICE detector inside a solenoidal magnet providing a magnetic field of 0.5 T. The SPD consists of two cylindrical layers of hybrid silicon pixel assemblies covering $|\eta_{\text{lab}}| < 2.0$ for the inner layer and $|\eta_{\text{lab}}| < 1.4$ for the outer layer with respect to vertices at the nominal interaction point. A total of 9.8×10^6 pixels of size $50 \times 425 \mu\text{m}^2$ are read out, of which 93.5% were active during the run. The primary trigger signal was provided by the VZERO counters, two arrays of 32 scintillator tiles each covering the full azimuth within $2.8 < \eta_{\text{lab}} < 5.1$ (VZERO-A) and $-3.7 < \eta_{\text{lab}} < -1.7$ (VZERO-C). The signal amplitude and arrival time collected in each scintillator are recorded. The time resolution is better than 1 ns, allowing discrimination of beam-beam collisions from background events produced outside of the interaction region. Additionally, two neutron zero degree calorimeters

*Full author list given at end of the article.

(ZDCs) are used, which are located at +112.5 m (ZNA) and -112.5 m (ZNC) from the interaction point. Their energy resolution is about 20% for single neutrons with a few TeV energy. Each ZDC also provided a trigger with high efficiency for single neutrons that was used to collect a control sample of events for the estimation of the efficiency of the VZERO trigger.

During the run, beams consisting of 13 bunches were circulating, with about 10^{10} protons and 6×10^7 Pb ions per bunch. In the ALICE interaction region, eight pairs of bunches were colliding, leading to a luminosity of $8 \times 10^{25} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$. The luminous region had a rms width of 6.3 cm in the z direction and about 60 μm in the transverse direction. The trigger was configured for high efficiency for hadronic events, requiring a signal in either VZERO-A or VZERO-C. This configuration led to an observed trigger rate of about 200 Hz with a hadronic collision rate of about 150 Hz. In the off-line analysis, a signal is required in both VZERO-A and VZERO-C. Beam gas and other machine-induced background triggers with deposited energy above the thresholds in the VZERO or ZDC detectors are suppressed by requiring the arrival time to be compatible with that of a nominal $p + \text{Pb}$ interaction. The contamination from background is estimated from control triggers on noncolliding bunches and found to be negligible.

In principle, the event sample obtained after these requirements consists of NSD collisions as well as single-diffractive (SD) and electromagnetic (EM) interactions. The efficiency of the trigger and event selection on the different processes is estimated using a combination (cocktail) of the following Monte Carlo event generators: (a) DPMJET [31] for NSD $p + \text{Pb}$ interactions, (b) PHOJET [32] tuned to pp data at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ and 7 TeV [33] together with a Glauber model [34] for the contribution from SD interactions, and (c) STARLIGHT [35] used together with PYTHIA [36] or PHOJET [32] for the proton excitation in the electromagnetic field of the ^{208}Pb nucleus. The DPMJET [31] generator, which is based on the Gribov-Glauber approach and treats soft and hard scattering processes in an unified way, includes incoherent SD collisions of the projectile proton with target nucleons that are concentrated mainly on the surface of the nucleus. These are removed by requiring that at least one of the binary nucleon-nucleon interactions is NSD. The relative weight of the events in the cocktail is given by the cross sections of the corresponding processes, which are taken to be 2.0 b (0.1 b) for NSD (SD) collisions (estimated from the Glauber model) and 0.1–0.2 b for EM interactions (estimated from STARLIGHT calculations). The detector response to the cocktail is simulated using a model of the ALICE detector and the GEANT3 simulation tool [37]. An efficiency of 99.2% for NSD collisions and a negligible contamination from SD and EM interactions are obtained.

From the collected data sample used for the analysis, 0.8×10^6 events pass the selection criteria. Among the

selected events, 98.5% are found to have a primary vertex. The corresponding fraction in DPMJET [31] for NSD collisions is 99.4%, with the probability of selecting an event without a primary vertex of 41%. Taking into account the difference of the fraction of events without a vertex in the data and the simulation results in an overall selection efficiency of 96.4% for NSD events entering the analysis.

The $dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta_{\text{lab}}$ analysis techniques employed are identical to those described in Ref. [28], where a similar measurement is reported for Pb-Pb collisions. Events are selected with a reconstructed vertex within $|z_{\text{vtx}}| < 18$ cm, which results in an $|\eta_{\text{lab}}| < 2$ coverage for the $dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta_{\text{lab}}$ measurement. Tracklet candidates are formed using the position of the primary vertex and two hits, one on each SPD layer. From these candidates, tracklets are selected by a requirement on the sum of the squares of the differences (residuals) in azimuthal and polar angles relative to the primary vertex for each hit, effectively selecting charged particles with transverse momentum (p_{T}) above 50 MeV/ c , while particles below 50 MeV/ c are mostly absorbed by detector material. The charged-particle pseudorapidity density is then obtained from the measured distribution of tracklets $dN_{\text{tracklets}}/d\eta_{\text{lab}}$ as $dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta_{\text{lab}} = \alpha(1 - \beta)dN_{\text{tracklets}}/d\eta_{\text{lab}}$. The correction α accounts for the acceptance and efficiency for a primary particle to produce a tracklet, while β is the contamination of reconstructed tracklets from combinations of hits not produced by the same primary particle. Both are determined as a function of the z position of the primary vertex and the pseudorapidity of the tracklet from detector simulations using DPMJET [31] and GEANT3 [37] and found to be on average 1.2 and 0.01, respectively. Since the corrections applied in the analysis implicitly only account for the fraction of events without a vertex given by the simulation, the $dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta_{\text{lab}}$ is further corrected by -2.2% for the difference of this fraction in the data and the simulation.

The following sources of systematic uncertainties have been considered. The uncertainty in detector acceptance is estimated to be 1.5%, determined from the change of the multiplicity at a given η_{lab} by varying the range of the z position of the vertex. The uncertainties resulting from the subtraction of the combinatorial background and from the contribution of weak decays are estimated to be 0.3% and 0.8%, respectively. They are determined from the comparison in data and simulation of the tracklet residual distributions, in which the tails are dominated by combinatorial background and secondaries. The uncertainty due to the particle composition is estimated to be 1%, which was determined by changing the relative abundances of pions, kaons, and protons by a factor of 2 in the simulation. The uncertainty due to the correction down to zero p_{T} is estimated to be 1% by varying the amount of undetected particles at low p_{T} by 50%. The uncertainty related to the trigger and event selection efficiency for NSD collisions is estimated to be 3.1% using a small sample of events




FIG. 1 (color online). Pseudorapidity density of charged particles measured in NSD $p + \text{Pb}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV compared to theoretical predictions [3–7]. The calculations [4,5] have been shifted to the laboratory system.

collected with the ZNA trigger with an off-line selection on the deposited energy corresponding to approximately 12 neutrons from the Pb remnant. The value used for the threshold has been determined from DPMJET with associated nuclear fragment production [38] and was chosen to suppress the contamination of the EM and SD interactions. In total, a systematic uncertainty of about 3.8% is obtained by adding in quadrature all the contributions.

The resulting pseudorapidity density is presented in Fig. 1 for $|\eta_{\text{lab}}| < 2$. A forward-backward asymmetry between the proton and lead hemispheres is clearly visible. The measurement is compared to particle production models [3–7] that describe similar measurements in other collision systems [9,19–30]. The two-component models [4,6] combine perturbative QCD processes with soft interactions and include nuclear modification of the initial parton distributions. The saturation models [3,5,7] employ coherence effects to reduce the number of soft gluons available for particle production below a given energy scale. The calculations [3,6,7] at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV were provided by the authors in the laboratory system. The calculations that were performed in the center-of-mass system [4,5] have been shifted by Δy_{NN} into the ALICE laboratory system. For low- p_T particles, this is only an approximation of a Lorentz transformation. In the η_{lab} range of our measurement, the error on the $dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta_{\text{lab}}$ density induced by this procedure is estimated using the HIJING model [39] and found to be below 6%. It is worth noting that the HIJING calculations include single diffraction, which from the HIJING generator [39] is estimated to be about 4%. A comparison of the model calculations with the data shows that most of the models that include shadowing [6] or saturation [3,7] predict the measured multiplicity values to within 20% (see also Table I).

TABLE I. Comparison of the pseudorapidity distribution between data and the models at $\eta_{\text{lab}} = -2, 0$, and 2 (integrated in 0.2 units of pseudorapidity) as well as the ratio of $dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta_{\text{lab}}$ at $\eta_{\text{lab}} = 2$ to that at $\eta_{\text{lab}} = -2$. The uncertainty introduced by taking the ratio neglecting the Jacobian amounts to about 2% and 6%, estimated for the saturation and HIJING models, respectively.

	$dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta_{\text{lab}}$	$dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta_{\text{lab}} _{\eta_{\text{lab}}=2.0}$	$dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta_{\text{lab}} _{\eta_{\text{lab}}=-2.0}$	
	-2.0	0.0	2.0	$dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta_{\text{lab}} _{\eta_{\text{lab}}=2.0}$
ALICE	16.65 ± 0.65	17.24 ± 0.66	19.81 ± 0.78	1.19 ± 0.05
Saturation models				
IP saturation [5]	17.55	20.55	23.11	1.32
KLN [3]	15.96	17.51	22.02	1.38
rcBK [7]	14.27	16.94	22.51	1.58
HIJING				
2.1, no shadowing [6]	23.58	22.67	24.96	1.06
2.1 $s_g = 0.28$ [6]	18.30	17.49	20.21	1.10
B \bar{B} 2.0, no shadowing [4]	20.03	19.68	23.24	1.16
B \bar{B} 2.0, with shadowing [4]	12.97	12.09	15.16	1.17
DPMJET [31]	17.50	17.61	20.67	1.18

The *HIJING/B \bar{B} 2.0* [4] model, which uses an energy and nuclear thickness dependent string tension to mimic the effect of strong longitudinal color fields, predicts values below the data when including shadowing and above the data when excluding shadowing. DPMJET [31] (normalized to NSD) and HIJING 2.1 [6], where the gluon shadowing parameter $s_g = 0.28$ was tuned to describe experimental data on rapidity distributions in $d + \text{Au}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 0.2$ TeV [9,19], give values that are closest to the data. Both also describe the pseudorapidity shape relatively well, whereas the saturation models [3,5,7] exhibit a steeper η_{lab} dependence than the data. This can also be seen in Table I by quantifying the density at midrapidity, near the proton and lead peak regions, as well as the ratio of $dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta_{\text{lab}}$ at $\eta_{\text{lab}} = 2$ to that at $\eta_{\text{lab}} = -2$, for the data (integrated in 0.2 units of pseudorapidity) and the models. The error introduced by taking the ratio amounts to about 2% and 6% for the saturation and HIJING models.

The charged-particle pseudorapidity density at midrapidity in the laboratory system ($|\eta_{\text{lab}}| < 0.5$) is $dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta_{\text{lab}} = 17.35 \pm 0.01 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.67 (\text{syst})$. The statistical uncertainty is neglected in the following. To obtain the pseudorapidity density in the center-of-mass system, the data is integrated in the range $-0.965 < \eta_{\text{lab}} < 0.035$ and corrected for the effect of the Δy shift. The correction is estimated from the HIJING model [39] to be 3%, with an uncertainty of 1.5%, added in quadrature to the systematic uncertainty. The resulting pseudorapidity density in the nucleon-nucleon center-of-mass system is $dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta_{\text{cms}} = 16.81 \pm 0.71 (\text{syst})$.

In order to compare bulk particle production in different collision systems, the charged-particle density is scaled by the number of participating nucleons, determined using the Glauber model [34], with a nuclear radius of 6.62 ± 0.06 fm and a skin depth of 0.546 ± 0.010 fm, a hard-sphere exclusion distance of 0.4 ± 0.4 fm for the lead nucleus, a radius of 0.6 ± 0.2 fm for the proton, and an inelastic nucleon-nucleon cross section of 70 ± 5 mb. The latter is obtained by interpolating data at different center-of-mass energies [40] including measurements at 2.76 and 7 TeV [33,41]. The number of participants for minimum-bias events is found to be distributed with an average $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle = 7.9 \pm 0.6$ and an rms width of 5.1. The uncertainty of 7.6% on $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$ is obtained by varying the parameters of the Glauber calculation within the ranges mentioned above (as explained in Ref. [42]). Note that the number of participants would increase by only 2.5% if normalized to NSD events in the Glauber calculation. Normalizing to the number of participants gives $(dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta_{\text{cms}})/\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle = 2.14 \pm 0.17$ (syst). In Fig. 2, this value is compared to measurements in $p + \text{Au}$ and $d + \text{Au}$ [8,9] collisions, NSD [10–16], and inelastic [12,17–19] pp ($p\bar{p}$) as well as central heavy-ion [19–30] collisions, over a wide range of collision energies. (Data for $d + \text{Au}$ at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 200$ GeV from Refs. [43,44] are consistent with that from Ref. [9] and not shown in the figure.) The $(dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta_{\text{cms}})/\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$ at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV is found to be 16% lower than in NSD pp and consistent with inelastic

pp collisions interpolated to $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV and 84% higher than in $d + \text{Au}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 0.2$ TeV.

In summary, the charged-particle pseudorapidity density in $|\eta_{\text{lab}}| < 2$ in nonsingle-diffractive $p + \text{Pb}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV is presented. At midrapidity, $dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta_{\text{cms}} = 16.81 \pm 0.71$ (syst) is measured, corresponding to 2.14 ± 0.17 (syst) charged particles per unit pseudorapidity per participant, where the number of participants is calculated with the Glauber model. The new measurement extends the study of charged-particle densities in proton-nucleus collisions into the TeV scale and provides new constraints on the description of particle production in high-energy nuclear collisions.

The ALICE collaboration would like to thank J. Albacete, A. Dumitru, M. Gyulassy, A. Rezaeian, V. Topor, P. Tribedy and X.-N. Wang for helpful discussions and their model predictions. The ALICE collaboration would like to thank all its engineers and technicians for their invaluable contributions to the construction of the experiment and the CERN accelerator teams for the outstanding performance of the LHC complex. The ALICE collaboration acknowledges the following funding agencies for their support in building and running the ALICE detector: State Committee of Science, Calouste Gulbenkian Foundation from Lisbon and Swiss Fonds Kidagan, Armenia; Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Financiadora de Estudos e Projetos (FINEP), Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP); National Natural Science Foundation of China (NNSFC), the Chinese Ministry of Education (CMOE) and the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (MSTC); Ministry of Education and Youth of the Czech Republic; Danish Natural Science Research Council, the Carlsberg Foundation and the Danish National Research Foundation; The European Research Council under the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme; Helsinki Institute of Physics and the Academy of Finland; French CNRS-IN2P3, the 'Region Pays de Loire', 'Region Alsace', 'Region Auvergne' and CEA, France; German BMBF and the Helmholtz Association; General Secretariat for Research and Technology, Ministry of Development, Greece; Hungarian OTKA and National Office for Research and Technology (NKTH); Department of Atomic Energy and Department of Science and Technology of the Government of India; Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN) and Centro Fermi–Museo Storico della Fisica e Centro Studi e Ricerche ‘Enrico Fermi,’ Italy; MEXT Grant-in-Aid for Specially Promoted Research, Japan; Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna; National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF); CONACYT, DGAPA, México, ALFA-EC and the HELEN Program (High-Energy physics Latin-American-European Network); Stichting voor Fundamenteel Onderzoek der Materie (FOM) and the Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO), Netherlands; Research Council of Norway (NFR);




FIG. 2 (color online). Charged-particle pseudorapidity density at midrapidity normalized to the number of participants, calculated with the Glauber model, for $p + \text{Pb}$, $p + \text{Au}$, and $d + \text{Au}$ [8,9] collisions as a function of $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}}$, compared to NSD [10–16] and inelastic [12,17–19] pp ($p\bar{p}$) collisions as well as central heavy-ion [19–30] collisions. The curves $\propto s_{\text{NN}}^{0.11}$ and $s_{\text{NN}}^{0.15}$ (from Ref. [28]) are superimposed on the NSD pp ($p\bar{p}$) and central heavy-ion data, respectively, while $\propto s_{\text{NN}}^{0.10}$ (from Ref. [18]) is superimposed on the inelastic pp ($p\bar{p}$) data.

Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education; National Authority for Scientific Research-NASR (Autoritatea Națională pentru Cercetare Științifică-ANCS); Ministry of Education and Science of Russian Federation, International Science and Technology Center, Russian Academy of Sciences, Russian Federal Agency of Atomic Energy, Russian Federal Agency for Science and Innovations and CERN-INTAS; Ministry of Education of Slovakia; Department of Science and Technology, South Africa; CIEMAT, EELA, Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia of Spain, Xunta de Galicia (Consellería de Educación), CEADEN, Cubaenergía, Cuba, and IAEA (International Atomic Energy Agency); Swedish Research Council (VR) and Knut & Alice Wallenberg Foundation (KAW); Ukraine Ministry of Education and Science; United Kingdom Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC); The United States Department of Energy, the United States National Science Foundation, the State of Texas, and the State of Ohio.

- [1] C. Salgado *et al.*, *J. Phys. G* **39**, 015010 (2012).
- [2] K. Aamodt *et al.* (ALICE Collaboration), *JINST* **3**, S08002 (2008).
- [3] A. Dumitru, D. E. Kharzeev, E. M. Levin, and Y. Nara, *Phys. Rev. C* **85**, 044920 (2012).
- [4] G. Barnafoldi, J. Barrette, M. Gyulassy, P. Levai, and V. Topor Pop, *Phys. Rev. C* **85**, 024903 (2012).
- [5] P. Tribedy and R. Venugopalan, *Phys. Lett. B* **710**, 125 (2012).
- [6] R. Xu, W.-T. Deng, and X.-N. Wang, arXiv:1204.1998.
- [7] J.L. Albacete, A. Dumitru, H. Fujii, and Y. Nara, arXiv:1209.2001.
- [8] T. Alber *et al.* (NA35 Collaboration), *Eur. Phys. J. C* **2**, 643 (1998); the reported value has been obtained by multiplying the quoted results for negative charged hadrons by 2, and, using HIJING [39], a correction for -20% with a $\pm 10\%$ uncertainty has been applied for the transformation from rapidity to pseudorapidity.
- [9] B. Back *et al.* (PHOBOS Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 082301 (2004).
- [10] C. Albajar *et al.* (UA1 Collaboration), *Nucl. Phys.* **335B**, 261 (1990).
- [11] K. Alpgard *et al.* (UA5 Collaboration), *Phys. Lett.* **121B**, 209 (1983).
- [12] G. Alner *et al.* (UA5 Collaboration), *Z. Phys. C* **33**, 1 (1986).
- [13] B.I. Abelev *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. C* **79**, 034909 (2009).
- [14] F. Abe *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **41**, 2330 (1990).
- [15] K. Aamodt *et al.* (ALICE Collaboration), *Eur. Phys. J. C* **68**, 345 (2010).
- [16] V. Khachatryan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), *J. High Energy Phys.* (2010) 041, 02
- [17] W. Thome *et al.*, *Nucl. Phys.* **129B**, 365 (1977).
- [18] K. Aamodt *et al.* (ALICE Collaboration), *Eur. Phys. J. C* **68**, 89 (2010).
- [19] B. Alver *et al.* (PHOBOS Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. C* **83**, 024913 (2011).
- [20] M.C. Abreu *et al.* (NA50 Collaboration), *Phys. Lett. B* **530**, 43 (2002).
- [21] C. Adler *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 112303 (2001).
- [22] I.G. Bearden *et al.* (BRAHMS Collaboration), *Phys. Lett. B* **523**, 227 (2001).
- [23] I.G. Bearden *et al.* (BRAHMS Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 202301 (2002).
- [24] K. Adcox *et al.* (PHENIX Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 3500 (2001).
- [25] B.B. Back *et al.* (PHOBOS Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 3100 (2000).
- [26] B.B. Back *et al.* (PHOBOS Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 102303 (2001).
- [27] B.B. Back *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 052303 (2003).
- [28] B. Abelev *et al.* (ALICE Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 252301 (2010).
- [29] G. Aad *et al.* (ATLAS Collaboration), *Phys. Lett. B* **710**, 363 (2012).
- [30] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), *J. High Energy Phys.* (2011) 141, 08
- [31] S. Roesler, R. Engel, and J. Ranft, arXiv:hep-ph/0012252.
- [32] R. Engel, J. Ranft, and S. Roesler, *Phys. Rev. D* **52**, 1459 (1995).
- [33] B. Abelev *et al.* (ALICE Collaboration), arXiv:1208.4968.
- [34] B. Alver, M. Baker, C. Loizides, and P. Steinberg, arXiv:0805.4411.
- [35] O. Djupsland and J. Nystrand, *Phys. Rev. C* **83**, 041901 (2011).
- [36] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna, and P.Z. Skands, *J. High Energy Phys.* (2006) 026, 05
- [37] R. Brun *et al.*, CERN Program Library Long Write-up No. W5013, GEANT Detector Description and Simulation Tool, 1994.
- [38] V. Andersen *et al.*, *Adv. Space Res.* **34**, 1302 (2004).
- [39] X.-N. Wang and M. Gyulassy, *Phys. Rev. D* **44**, 3501 (1991).
- [40] K. Nakamura *et al.* (Particle Data Group), *J. Phys. G* **37**, 075021 (2010).
- [41] G. Antchev *et al.* (TOTEM Collaboration), *Europhys. Lett.* **96**, 21002 (2011).
- [42] K. Aamodt *et al.* (ALICE Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 032301 (2011).
- [43] I. Arsene *et al.* (BRAHMS Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 032301 (2005).
- [44] B. Abelev *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), arXiv:nucl-ex/0703016.

B. Abelev,¹ J. Adam,² D. Adamová,³ A. M. Adare,⁴ M. M. Aggarwal,⁵ G. Aglieri Rinella,⁶ M. Agnello,⁷ A. G. Agocs,⁸ A. Agostinelli,⁹ Z. Ahmed,¹⁰ N. Ahmad,¹¹ A. Ahmad Masoodi,¹¹ S. U. Ahn,^{12,13} S. A. Ahn,¹³ M. Ajaz,¹⁴ A. Akhmedov,¹⁵ D. Aleksandrov,¹⁶ B. Alessandro,⁷ R. Alfaro Molina,¹⁷ A. Aliche,^{18,19} A. Alkin,²⁰

- E. Almaráz Aviña,¹⁷ J. Alme,²¹ T. Alt,²² V. Altini,²³ S. Altinpinar,²⁴ I. Altsybeev,²⁵ C. Andrei,²⁶ A. Andronic,²⁷ V. Anguelov,²⁸ J. Anielski,²⁹ C. Anson,³⁰ T. Antičić,³¹ F. Antinori,³² P. Antonioli,¹⁸ L. Aphecetche,³³ H. Appelshäuser,³⁴ N. Arbor,³⁵ S. Arcelli,⁹ A. Arend,³⁴ N. Armesto,³⁶ R. Arnaldi,⁷ T. Aronsson,⁴ I. C. Arsene,²⁷ M. Arslanbek,³⁴ A. Asryan,²⁵ A. Augustinus,⁶ R. Averbeck,²⁷ T. C. Awes,³⁷ J. Äystö,³⁸ M. D. Azmi,^{11,39} M. Bach,²² A. Badalà,⁴⁰ Y. W. Baek,^{41,12} R. Bailhache,³⁴ R. Bala,^{42,7} R. Baldini Ferroli,¹⁹ A. Baldissari,⁴³ F. Baltasar Dos Santos Pedrosa,⁶ J. Bán,⁴⁴ R. C. Baral,⁴⁵ R. Barbera,⁴⁶ F. Barile,²³ G. G. Barnaföldi,⁸ L. S. Barnby,⁴⁷ V. Barret,⁴¹ J. Bartke,⁴⁸ M. Basile,⁹ N. Bastid,⁴¹ S. Basu,¹⁰ B. Bathen,²⁹ G. Batigne,³³ B. Batyunya,⁴⁹ C. Baumann,³⁴ I. G. Bearden,⁵⁰ H. Beck,³⁴ N. K. Behera,⁵¹ I. Belikov,⁵² F. Bellini,⁹ R. Bellwied,⁵³ E. Belmont-Moreno,¹⁷ G. Bencedi,⁸ S. Beole,⁵⁴ I. Berceanu,²⁶ A. Bercuci,²⁶ Y. Berdnikov,⁵⁵ D. Berenyi,⁸ A. A. E. Bergognon,³³ D. Berzano,^{54,7} L. Betev,⁶ A. Bhasin,⁴² A. K. Bhati,⁵ J. Bhom,⁵⁶ L. Bianchi,⁵⁴ N. Bianchi,⁵⁷ J. Bielčík,² J. Bielčíková,³ A. Bilandžić,⁵⁰ S. Bjelogrlic,⁵⁸ F. Blanco,⁵³ F. Blanco,⁵⁹ D. Blau,¹⁶ C. Blume,³⁴ M. Boccioli,⁶ S. Böttger,⁶⁰ A. Bogdanov,⁶¹ H. Bøggild,⁵⁰ M. Bogolyubsky,⁶² L. Boldizsár,⁸ M. Bombara,⁶³ J. Book,³⁴ H. Borel,⁴³ A. Borissov,⁶⁴ F. Bossú,³⁹ M. Botje,⁶⁵ E. Botta,⁵⁴ E. Braidot,⁶⁶ P. Braun-Munzinger,²⁷ M. Bregant,³³ T. Breitner,⁶⁰ T. A. Browning,⁶⁷ M. Broz,⁶⁸ R. Brun,⁶ E. Bruna,^{54,7} G. E. Bruno,²³ D. Budnikov,⁶⁹ H. Buesching,³⁴ S. Bufalino,^{54,7} O. Busch,²⁸ Z. Buthelezi,³⁹ D. Caballero Orduna,⁴ D. Caffarri,^{70,32} X. Cai,⁷¹ H. Caines,⁴ E. Calvo Villar,⁷² P. Camerini,⁷³ V. Canoa Roman,⁷⁴ G. Cara Romeo,¹⁸ W. Carena,⁶ F. Carena,⁶ N. Carlin Filho,⁷⁵ F. Carminati,⁶ A. Casanova Díaz,⁵⁷ J. Castillo Castellanos,⁴³ J. F. Castillo Hernandez,²⁷ E. A. R. Casula,⁷⁶ V. Catanescu,²⁶ C. Cavicchioli,⁶ C. Ceballos Sanchez,⁷⁷ J. Cepila,² P. Cerello,⁷ B. Chang,^{38,78} S. Chapelard,⁶ J. L. Charvet,⁴³ S. Chattopadhyay,¹⁰ S. Chattopadhyay,⁷⁹ I. Chawla,⁵ M. Cherney,⁸⁰ C. Cheshkov,^{6,81} B. Cheynis,⁸¹ V. Chibante Barroso,⁶ D. D. Chinellato,⁵³ P. Chochula,⁶ M. Chojnacki,^{50,58} S. Choudhury,¹⁰ P. Christakoglou,⁶⁵ C. H. Christensen,⁵⁰ P. Christiansen,⁸² T. Chujo,⁵⁶ S. U. Chung,⁸³ C. Cicalo,⁸⁴ L. Cifarelli,^{9,6,19} F. Cindolo,¹⁸ J. Cleymans,³⁹ F. Coccetti,¹⁹ F. Colamaria,²³ D. Colella,²³ A. Collu,⁷⁶ G. Conesa Balbastre,³⁵ Z. Conesa del Valle,⁶ M. E. Connors,⁴ G. Contin,⁷³ J. G. Contreras,⁷⁴ T. M. Cormier,⁶⁴ Y. Corrales Morales,⁵⁴ P. Cortese,⁸⁵ I. Cortés Maldonado,⁸⁶ M. R. Cosentino,⁶⁶ F. Costa,⁶ M. E. Cotallo,⁵⁹ E. Crescio,⁷⁴ P. Crochet,⁴¹ E. Cruz Alaniz,¹⁷ E. Cuautle,⁸⁷ L. Cunqueiro,⁵⁷ A. Dainese,^{70,32} H. H. Dalsgaard,⁵⁰ A. Danu,⁸⁸ K. Das,⁷⁹ I. Das,⁸⁹ S. Das,⁹⁰ D. Das,⁷⁹ S. Dash,⁵¹ A. Dash,⁹¹ S. De,¹⁰ G. O. V. de Barros,⁷⁵ A. De Caro,^{92,19} G. de Cataldo,⁹³ J. de Cuveland,²² A. De Falco,⁷⁶ D. De Gruttola,⁹² H. Delagrange,³³ A. Deloff,⁹⁴ N. De Marco,⁷ E. Dénes,⁸ S. De Pasquale,⁹² A. Deppman,⁷⁵ G. D. Erasmo,²³ R. de Rooij,⁵⁸ M. A. Diaz Corchero,⁵⁹ D. Di Bari,²³ T. Dietel,²⁹ C. Di Giglio,²³ S. Di Liberto,⁹⁵ A. Di Mauro,⁶ P. Di Nezza,⁵⁷ R. Divià,⁶ Ø. Djupsland,²⁴ A. Dobrin,^{64,82} T. Dobrowolski,⁹⁴ B. Dönigus,²⁷ O. Dordic,⁹⁶ O. Driga,³³ A. K. Dubey,¹⁰ A. Dubla,⁵⁸ L. Ducroux,⁸¹ P. Dupieux,⁴¹ M. R. Dutta Majumdar,¹⁰ A. K. Dutta Majumdar,⁷⁹ D. Elia,⁹³ D. Emschermann,²⁹ H. Engel,⁶⁰ B. Erazmus,^{6,33} H. A. Erdal,²¹ B. Espagnon,⁸⁹ M. Estienne,³³ S. Esumi,⁵⁶ D. Evans,⁴⁷ G. Eyyubova,⁹⁶ D. Fabris,^{70,32} J. Faivre,³⁵ D. Falchieri,⁹ A. Fantoni,⁵⁷ M. Fasel,²⁷ R. Fearick,³⁹ D. Fehlker,²⁴ L. Feldkamp,²⁹ D. Feleau,⁸⁸ A. Feliciello,⁷ B. Fenton-Olsen,⁶⁶ G. Feofilov,²⁵ A. Fernández Téllez,⁸⁶ A. Ferretti,⁵⁴ A. Festanti,⁷⁰ J. Figiel,⁴⁸ M. A. S. Figueiredo,⁷⁵ S. Filchagin,⁶⁹ D. Finogeev,⁹⁷ F. M. Fionda,²³ E. M. Fiore,²³ M. Floris,⁶ S. Foertsch,³⁹ P. Foka,²⁷ S. Fokin,¹⁶ E. Fragiocomo,⁹⁸ A. Francescon,^{6,70} U. Frankenfeld,²⁷ U. Fuchs,⁶ C. Furget,³⁵ M. Fusco Girard,⁹² J. J. Gaardhøje,⁵⁰ M. Gagliardi,⁵⁴ A. Gago,⁷² M. Gallio,⁵⁴ D. R. Gangadharan,³⁰ P. Ganoti,³⁷ C. Garabatos,²⁷ E. Garcia-Solis,⁹⁹ I. Garishvili,¹ J. Gerhard,²² M. Germain,³³ C. Geuna,⁴³ A. Gheata,⁶ M. Gheata,^{88,6} B. Ghidini,⁹³ P. Ghosh,¹⁰ P. Gianotti,⁵⁷ M. R. Girard,¹⁰⁰ P. Giubellino,⁶ E. Gladysz-Dziadus,⁴⁸ P. Glässel,²⁸ R. Gomez,^{101,74} E. G. Ferreiro,³⁶ L. H. González-Trueba,¹⁷ P. González-Zamora,⁵⁹ S. Gorbunov,²² A. Goswami,¹⁰² S. Gotovac,¹⁰³ V. Grabski,¹⁷ L. K. Graczykowski,¹⁰⁰ R. Grajcarek,²⁸ A. Grelli,⁵⁸ A. Grigoras,⁶ C. Grigoras,⁶ V. Grigoriev,⁶¹ S. Grigoryan,⁴⁹ A. Grigoryan,¹⁰⁴ B. Grinyov,²⁰ N. Grion,⁹⁸ P. Gros,⁸² J. F. Grosse-Oetringhaus,⁶ J.-Y. Grossiord,⁸¹ R. Grossiord,⁶ F. Guber,⁹⁷ R. Guernane,³⁵ C. Guerra Gutierrez,⁷² B. Guerzoni,⁹ M. Guilbaud,⁸¹ K. Gulbrandsen,⁵⁰ H. Gulkanyan,¹⁰⁴ T. Gunji,¹⁰⁵ A. Gupta,⁴² R. Gupta,⁴² Ø. Haaland,²⁴ C. Hadjidakis,⁸⁹ M. Haiduc,⁸⁸ H. Hamagaki,¹⁰⁵ G. Hamar,⁸ B. H. Han,¹⁰⁶ L. D. Hanratty,⁴⁷ A. Hansen,⁵⁰ Z. Harmanová-Tóthová,⁶³ J. W. Harris,⁴ M. Hartig,³⁴ A. Harton,⁹⁹ D. Hasegan,⁸⁸ D. Hatzifotiadou,¹⁸ S. Hayashi,¹⁰⁵ A. Hayrapetyan,^{6,104} S. T. Heckel,³⁴ M. Heide,²⁹ H. Helstrup,²¹ A. Herghelegiu,²⁶ G. Herrera Corral,⁷⁴ N. Herrmann,²⁸ B. A. Hess,¹⁰⁷ K. F. Hetland,²¹ B. Hicks,⁴ B. Hippolyte,⁵² Y. Hori,¹⁰⁵ P. Hristov,⁶ I. Hřivnáčová,⁸⁹ M. Huang,²⁴ T. J. Humanic,³⁰ D. S. Hwang,¹⁰⁶ R. Ichou,⁴¹ R. Ilkaev,⁶⁹ I. Ilkiv,⁹⁴ M. Inaba,⁵⁶ E. Incani,⁷⁶ G. M. Innocenti,⁵⁴ P. G. Innocenti,⁶ M. Ippolitov,¹⁶ M. Irfan,¹¹ C. Ivan,²⁷ V. Ivanov,⁵⁵ A. Ivanov,²⁵ M. Ivanov,²⁷ O. Ivanytskyi,²⁰ A. Jachołkowski,⁴⁶ P. M. Jacobs,⁶⁶ H. J. Jang,¹³ R. Janik,⁶⁸ M. A. Janik,¹⁰⁰ P. H. S. Y. Jayaratna,⁵³ S. Jena,⁵¹ D. M. Jha,⁶⁴ R. T. Jimenez Bustamante,⁸⁷ P. G. Jones,⁴⁷ H. Jung,¹²

- A. Jusko,⁴⁷ A. B. Kaidalov,¹⁵ S. Kalcher,²² P. Kaliňák,⁴⁴ T. Kalliokoski,³⁸ A. Kalweit,^{108,6} J. H. Kang,⁷⁸ V. Kaplin,⁶¹ A. Karasu Uysal,^{6,109} O. Karavichev,⁹⁷ T. Karavicheva,⁹⁷ E. Karpechev,⁹⁷ A. Kazantsev,¹⁶ U. Kebschull,⁶⁰ R. Keidel,¹¹⁰ K. H. Khan,¹⁴ P. Khan,⁷⁹ M. M. Khan,¹¹ S. A. Khan,¹⁰ A. Khanzadeev,⁵⁵ Y. Kharlov,⁶² B. Kileng,²¹ D. W. Kim,^{12,13} T. Kim,⁷⁸ B. Kim,⁷⁸ J. H. Kim,¹⁰⁶ J. S. Kim,¹² M. Kim,⁷⁸ S. Kim,¹⁰⁶ D. J. Kim,³⁸ S. Kirsch,²² I. Kisel,²² S. Kiselev,¹⁵ A. Kisiel,¹⁰⁰ J. L. Klay,¹¹¹ J. Klein,²⁸ C. Klein-Bösing,²⁹ M. Kliemant,³⁴ A. Kluge,⁶ M. L. Knichel,²⁷ A. G. Knospe,¹¹² M. K. Köhler,²⁷ T. Kollegger,²² A. Kolojvari,²⁵ V. Kondratiev,²⁵ N. Kondratyeva,⁶¹ A. Konevskikh,⁹⁷ R. Kour,⁴⁷ V. Kovalenko,²⁵ M. Kowalski,⁴⁸ S. Kox,³⁵ G. Koyithatta Meethaleveedu,⁵¹ J. Kral,³⁸ I. Králik,⁴⁴ F. Kramer,³⁴ A. Kravčáková,⁶³ T. Krawutschke,^{28,113} M. Krelina,² M. Kretz,²² M. Krivda,^{47,44} F. Krizek,³⁸ M. Krus,² E. Kryshen,⁵⁵ M. Krzewicki,²⁷ Y. Kucherlaev,¹⁶ T. Kugathasan,⁶ C. Kuhn,⁵² P. G. Kuijer,⁶⁵ I. Kulakov,³⁴ J. Kumar,⁵¹ P. Kurashvili,⁹⁴ A. Kurepin,⁹⁷ A. B. Kurepin,⁹⁷ A. Kuryakin,⁶⁹ V. Kushpil,³ S. Kushpil,³ H. Kvaerno,⁹⁶ M. J. Kweon,²⁸ Y. Kwon,⁷⁸ P. Ladrón de Guevara,⁸⁷ I. Lakomov,⁸⁹ R. Langoy,²⁴ S. L. La Pointe,⁵⁸ C. Lara,⁶⁰ A. Lardeux,³³ P. La Rocca,⁴⁶ R. Lea,⁷³ M. Lechman,⁶ K. S. Lee,¹² S. C. Lee,¹² G. R. Lee,⁴⁷ I. Legrand,⁶ J. Lehnert,³⁴ M. Lenhardt,²⁷ V. Lenti,⁹³ H. León,¹⁷ M. Leoncino,⁷ I. León Monzón,¹⁰¹ H. León Vargas,³⁴ P. Lévai,⁸ J. Lien,²⁴ R. Lietava,⁴⁷ S. Lindal,⁹⁶ V. Lindenstruth,²² C. Lippmann,^{27,6} M. A. Lisa,³⁰ H. M. Ljunggren,⁸² P. I. Loenne,²⁴ V. R. Loggins,⁶⁴ V. Loginov,⁶¹ D. Lohner,²⁸ C. Loizides,⁶⁶ K. K. Loo,³⁸ X. Lopez,⁴¹ E. López Torres,⁷⁷ G. Løvhøiden,⁹⁶ X.-G. Lu,²⁸ P. Luetting,³⁴ M. Lunardon,⁷⁰ J. Luo,⁷¹ G. Luparello,⁵⁸ C. Luzzi,⁶ K. Ma,⁷¹ R. Ma,⁴ D. M. Madagodahettige-Don,⁵³ A. Maevskaia,⁹⁷ M. Mager,^{108,6} D. P. Mahapatra,⁴⁵ A. Maire,²⁸ M. Malaev,⁵⁵ I. Maldonado Cervantes,⁸⁷ L. Malinina,⁴⁹ D. Mal'Kevich,¹⁵ P. Malzacher,²⁷ A. Mamonov,⁶⁹ L. Manceau,⁷ L. Mangotra,⁴² V. Manko,¹⁶ F. Manso,⁴¹ V. Manzari,⁹³ Y. Mao,⁷¹ M. Marchisone,^{41,54} J. Mareš,¹¹⁴ G. V. Margagliotti,^{73,98} A. Margotti,¹⁸ A. Marín,²⁷ C. Markert,¹¹² M. Marquard,³⁴ I. Martashvili,¹¹⁵ N. A. Martin,²⁷ P. Martinengo,⁶ M. I. Martínez,⁸⁶ A. Martínez Davalos,¹⁷ G. Martínez García,³³ Y. Martynov,²⁰ A. Mas,³³ S. Masciocchi,²⁷ M. Masera,⁵⁴ A. Masoni,⁸⁴ L. Massacrier,³³ A. Mastroserio,²³ Z. L. Matthews,⁴⁷ A. Matyja,^{48,33} C. Mayer,⁴⁸ J. Mazer,¹¹⁵ M. A. Mazzoni,⁹⁵ F. Meddi,¹¹⁶ A. Menchaca-Rocha,¹⁷ J. Mercado Pérez,²⁸ M. Meres,⁶⁸ Y. Miake,⁵⁶ L. Milano,⁵⁴ J. Milosevic,⁹⁶ A. Mischke,⁵⁸ A. N. Mishra,^{102,117} D. Miśkowiec,^{27,6} C. Mitu,⁸⁸ S. Mizuno,⁵⁶ J. Mlynarz,⁶⁴ B. Mohanty,¹⁰ L. Molnar,^{8,6,52} L. Montaño Zetina,⁷⁴ M. Monteno,⁷ E. Montes,⁵⁹ T. Moon,⁷⁸ M. Morando,⁷⁰ D. A. Moreira De Godoy,⁷⁵ S. Moretto,⁷⁰ A. Morreale,³⁸ A. Morsch,⁶ V. Muccifora,⁵⁷ E. Mudnic,¹⁰³ S. Muhuri,¹⁰ M. Mukherjee,¹⁰ H. Müller,⁶ M. G. Munhoz,⁷⁵ L. Musa,⁶ A. Musso,⁷ B. K. Nandi,⁵¹ R. Nania,¹⁸ E. Nappi,⁹³ C. Nattrass,¹¹⁵ S. Navin,⁴⁷ T. K. Nayak,¹⁰ S. Nazarenko,⁶⁹ A. Nedosekin,¹⁵ M. Nicassio,^{23,27} M. Niculescu,^{88,6} B. S. Nielsen,⁵⁰ T. Niida,⁵⁶ S. Nikolaev,¹⁶ V. Nikolic,³¹ V. Nikulin,⁵⁵ S. Nikulin,¹⁶ B. S. Nilsen,⁸⁰ M. S. Nilsson,⁹⁶ F. Noferini,^{18,19} P. Nomokonov,⁴⁹ G. Nooren,⁵⁸ N. Novitzky,³⁸ A. Nyanin,¹⁶ A. Nyatha,⁵¹ C. Nygaard,⁵⁰ J. Nystrand,²⁴ A. Ochirov,²⁵ H. Oeschler,^{108,6} S. K. Oh,¹² S. Oh,⁴ J. Oleniacz,¹⁰⁰ A. C. Oliveira Da Silva,⁷⁵ C. Oppedisano,⁷ A. Ortiz Velasquez,^{82,87} A. Oskarsson,⁸² P. Ostrowski,¹⁰⁰ J. Otwinowski,²⁷ K. Oyama,²⁸ K. Ozawa,¹⁰⁵ Y. Pachmayer,²⁸ M. Pachr,² F. Padilla,⁵⁴ P. Pagano,⁹² G. Paić,⁸⁷ F. Painke,²² C. Pajares,³⁶ S. K. Pal,¹⁰ A. Palaha,⁴⁷ A. Palmeri,⁴⁰ V. Papikyan,¹⁰⁴ G. S. Pappalardo,⁴⁰ W. J. Park,²⁷ A. Passfeld,²⁹ B. Pastirčák,⁴⁴ D. I. Patalakha,⁶² V. Paticchio,⁹³ B. Paul,⁷⁹ A. Pavlinov,⁶⁴ T. Pawlak,¹⁰⁰ T. Peitzmann,⁵⁸ H. Pereira Da Costa,⁴³ E. Pereira De Oliveira Filho,⁷⁵ D. Peresunko,¹⁶ C. E. Pérez Lara,⁶⁵ D. Perini,⁶ D. Perrino,²³ W. Peryt,¹⁰⁰ A. Pesci,¹⁸ V. Peskov,^{6,87} Y. Pestov,¹¹⁸ V. Petráček,² M. Petran,²⁶ M. Petris,²⁶ P. Petrov,⁴⁷ M. Petrovici,²⁶ C. Petta,⁴⁶ S. Piano,⁹⁸ A. Piccotti,⁷ M. Pikna,⁶⁸ P. Pillot,³³ O. Pinazza,⁶ L. Pinsky,⁵³ N. Pitz,³⁴ D. B. Piyarathna,⁵³ M. Planinic,³¹ M. Płoskoń,⁶⁶ J. Pluta,¹⁰⁰ T. Pocheptsov,⁴⁹ S. Pochybova,⁸ P. L. M. Podesta-Lerma,¹⁰¹ M. G. Poghosyan,⁶ K. Polák,¹¹⁴ B. Polichtchouk,⁶² A. Pop,²⁶ S. Porteboeuf-Houssais,⁴¹ V. Pospíšil,² B. Potukuchi,⁴² S. K. Prasad,⁶⁴ R. Preghenella,^{18,19} F. Prino,⁷ C. A. Pruneau,⁶⁴ I. Pschenichnov,⁹⁷ G. Puddu,⁷⁶ V. Punin,⁶⁹ M. Putiš,⁶³ J. Putschke,⁶⁴ E. Quercigh,⁶ H. Qvigstad,⁹⁶ A. Rachevski,⁹⁸ A. Rademakers,⁶ T. S. Räihä,³⁸ J. Rak,³⁸ A. Rakotozafindrabe,⁴³ L. Ramello,⁸⁵ A. Ramírez Reyes,⁷⁴ R. Raniwala,¹⁰² S. Raniwala,¹⁰² S. S. Räsänen,³⁸ B. T. Rascanu,³⁴ D. Rathee,⁵ K. F. Read,¹¹⁵ J. S. Real,³⁵ K. Redlich,^{94,119} R. J. Reed,⁴ A. Rehman,²⁴ P. Reichelt,³⁴ M. Reicher,⁵⁸ R. Renfordt,³⁴ A. R. Reolon,⁵⁷ A. Reshetin,⁹⁷ F. Rettig,²² J.-P. Revol,⁶ K. Reygers,²⁸ L. Riccati,⁷ R. A. Ricci,¹²⁰ T. Richert,⁸² M. Richter,⁹⁶ P. Riedler,⁶ W. Riegler,⁶ F. Riggi,^{46,40} M. Rodríguez Cahuantzi,⁸⁶ A. Rodriguez Manso,⁶⁵ K. Røed,^{24,96} D. Rohr,²² D. Röhricht,²⁴ R. Romita,^{27,121} F. Ronchetti,⁵⁷ P. Rosnet,⁴¹ S. Rossegger,⁶ A. Rossi,^{6,70} C. Roy,⁵² P. Roy,⁷⁹ A. J. Rubio Montero,⁵⁹ R. Rui,⁷³ R. Russo,⁵⁴ E. Ryabinkin,¹⁶ A. Rybicki,⁴⁸ S. Sadovsky,⁶² K. Šafářík,⁶ R. Sahoo,¹¹⁷ P. K. Sahu,⁴⁵ J. Saini,¹⁰ H. Sakaguchi,¹²² S. Sakai,⁶⁶ D. Sakata,⁵⁶ C. A. Salgado,³⁶ J. Salzwedel,³⁰ S. Sambyal,⁴² V. Samsonov,⁵⁵ X. Sanchez Castro,⁵² L. Šándor,⁴⁴ A. Sandoval,¹⁷ M. Sano,⁵⁶ S. Sano,¹⁰⁵ G. Santagati,⁴⁶

- R. Santoro,^{6,19} J. Sarkamo,³⁸ E. Scapparone,¹⁸ F. Scarlassara,⁷⁰ R. P. Scharenberg,⁶⁷ C. Schiaua,²⁶ R. Schicker,²⁸ C. Schmidt,²⁷ H. R. Schmidt,¹⁰⁷ S. Schreiner,⁶ S. Schuchmann,³⁴ J. Schukraft,⁶ T. Schuster,⁴ Y. Schutz,^{6,33} K. Schwarz,²⁷ K. Schweda,²⁷ G. Scioli,⁹ E. Scomparin,⁷ P. A. Scott,⁴⁷ R. Scott,¹¹⁵ G. Segato,⁷⁰ I. Selyuzhenkov,²⁷ S. Senyukov,⁵² J. Seo,⁸³ S. Serici,⁷⁶ E. Serradilla,^{59,17} A. Sevcenco,⁸⁸ A. Shabetai,³³ G. Shabratova,⁴⁹ R. Shahoyan,⁶ S. Sharma,⁴² N. Sharma,^{5,115} S. Rohni,⁴² K. Shigaki,¹²² K. Shtejer,⁷⁷ Y. Sibiriak,¹⁶ M. Siciliano,⁵⁴ E. Sicking,²⁹ S. Siddhanta,⁸⁴ T. Siemiarczuk,⁹⁴ D. Silvermyr,³⁷ C. Silvestre,³⁵ G. Simatovic,^{87,31} G. Simonetti,⁶ R. Singaraju,¹⁰ R. Singh,⁴² S. Singha,¹⁰ V. Singhal,¹⁰ B. C. Sinha,¹⁰ T. Sinha,⁷⁹ B. Sitar,⁶⁸ M. Sitta,⁸⁵ T. B. Skaali,⁹⁶ K. Skjerdal,²⁴ R. Smakal,² N. Smirnov,⁴ R. J. M. Snellings,⁵⁸ C. Søgaard,^{50,82} R. Soltz,¹ H. Son,¹⁰⁶ J. Song,⁸³ M. Song,⁷⁸ C. Soos,⁶ F. Soramel,⁷⁰ I. Sputowska,⁴⁸ M. Spyropoulou-Stassinaki,¹²³ B. K. Srivastava,⁶⁷ J. Stachel,²⁸ I. Stan,⁸⁸ G. Stefanek,⁹⁴ M. Steinpreis,³⁰ E. Stenlund,⁸² G. Steyn,³⁹ J. H. Stiller,²⁸ D. Stocco,³³ M. Stolpovskiy,⁶² P. Strmen,⁶⁸ A. A. P. Suaide,⁷⁵ M. A. Subieta Vásquez,⁵⁴ T. Sugitate,¹²² C. Suire,⁸⁹ R. Sultanov,¹⁵ M. Šumbera,³ T. Susa,³¹ T. J. M. Symons,⁶⁶ A. Szanto de Toledo,⁷⁵ I. Szarka,⁶⁸ A. Szczepankiewicz,^{48,6} A. Szostak,²⁴ M. Szymański,¹⁰⁰ J. Takahashi,⁹¹ J. D. Tapia Takaki,⁸⁹ A. Tarantola Peloni,³⁴ A. Tarazona Martinez,⁶ A. Tauro,⁶ G. Tejeda Muñoz,⁸⁶ A. Telesca,⁶ C. Terrevoli,²³ J. Thäder,²⁷ D. Thomas,⁵⁸ R. Tieulent,⁸¹ A. R. Timmins,⁵³ D. Tlustý,² A. Toia,^{22,70,32} H. Torii,¹⁰⁵ L. Toscano,⁷ V. Trubnikov,²⁰ D. Truesdale,³⁰ W. H. Trzaska,³⁸ T. Tsuji,¹⁰⁵ A. Tumkin,⁶⁹ R. Turrisi,³² T. S. Tveter,⁹⁶ J. Ulery,³⁴ K. Ullaland,²⁴ J. Ulrich,^{124,60} A. Uras,⁸¹ J. Urbán,⁶³ G. M. Urciuoli,⁹⁵ G. L. Usai,⁷⁶ M. Vajzer,^{2,3} M. Vala,^{49,44} L. Valencia Palomo,⁸⁹ S. Vallero,²⁸ P. Vande Vyvre,⁶ M. van Leeuwen,⁵⁸ L. Vannucci,¹²⁰ A. Vargas,⁸⁶ R. Varma,⁵¹ M. Vasileiou,¹²³ A. Vasiliev,¹⁶ V. Vechernin,²⁵ M. Veldhoen,⁵⁸ M. Venaruzzo,⁷³ E. Vercellin,⁵⁴ S. Vergara,⁸⁶ R. Vernet,¹²⁵ M. Verweij,⁵⁸ L. Vickovic,¹⁰³ G. Viesti,⁷⁰ Z. Vilakazi,³⁹ O. Villalobos Baillie,⁴⁷ A. Vinogradov,¹⁶ Y. Vinogradov,⁶⁹ L. Vinogradov,²⁵ T. Virgili,⁹² Y. P. Viyogi,¹⁰ A. Vodopyanov,⁴⁹ K. Voloshin,¹⁵ S. Voloshin,⁶⁴ G. Volpe,⁶ B. von Haller,⁶ I. Vorobyev,²⁵ D. Vranic,²⁷ J. Vrláková,⁶³ B. Vulpescu,⁴¹ A. Vyushin,⁶⁹ V. Wagner,² B. Wagner,²⁴ R. Wan,⁷¹ Y. Wang,⁷¹ M. Wang,⁷¹ D. Wang,⁷¹ Y. Wang,²⁸ K. Watanabe,⁵⁶ M. Weber,⁵³ J. P. Wessels,^{6,29} U. Westerhoff,²⁹ J. Wiechula,¹⁰⁷ J. Wikne,⁹⁶ M. Wilde,²⁹ G. Wilk,⁹⁴ A. Wilk,²⁹ M. C. S. Williams,¹⁸ B. Windelband,²⁸ L. Xaplanteris Karampatsos,¹¹² C. G. Yaldo,⁶⁴ Y. Yamaguchi,¹⁰⁵ S. Yang,²⁴ H. Yang,^{43,58} S. Yasnopolskiy,¹⁶ J. Yi,⁸³ Z. Yin,⁷¹ I.-K. Yoo,⁸³ J. Yoon,⁷⁸ W. Yu,³⁴ X. Yuan,⁷¹ I. Yushmanov,¹⁶ V. Zaccole,⁵⁰ C. Zach,² C. Zampolli,¹⁸ S. Zaporozhets,⁴⁹ A. Zarochentsev,²⁵ P. Závada,¹¹⁴ N. Zaviyalov,⁶⁹ H. Zbroszczyk,¹⁰⁰ P. Zelnicek,⁶⁰ I. S. Zgura,⁸⁸ M. Zhalov,⁵⁵ H. Zhang,⁷¹ X. Zhang,^{41,71} F. Zhou,⁷¹ D. Zhou,⁷¹ Y. Zhou,⁵⁸ J. Zhu,⁷¹ H. Zhu,⁷¹ J. Zhu,⁷¹ X. Zhu,⁷¹ A. Zichichi,^{9,19} A. Zimmermann,²⁸ G. Zinovjev,²⁰ Y. Zoccarato,⁸¹ M. Zynovyev,²⁰ and M. Zyzak³⁴

(ALICE Collaboration)

¹Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, USA²Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic³Nuclear Physics Institute, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Řež u Prahy, Czech Republic⁴Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, USA⁵Physics Department, Panjab University, Chandigarh, India⁶European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland⁷Sezione INFN, Turin, Italy⁸KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary⁹Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Bologna, Italy¹⁰Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre, Kolkata, India¹¹Department of Physics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India¹²Gangneung-Wonju National University, Gangneung, Korea¹³Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information, Daejeon, Korea¹⁴COMSATS Institute of Information Technology (CIIT), Islamabad, Pakistan¹⁵Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia¹⁶Russian Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, Russia¹⁷Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico¹⁸Sezione INFN, Bologna, Italy¹⁹Centro FermiCentro Studi e Ricerche e Museo Storico della Fisica "Enrico Fermi," Rome, Italy²⁰Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kiev, Ukraine²¹Faculty of Engineering, Bergen University College, Bergen, Norway²²Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany²³Dipartimento Interateneo di Fisica M. Merlin" and Sezione INFN, Bari, Italy

²⁴*Department of Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway*²⁵*V. Fock Institute for Physics, St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia*²⁶*National Institute for Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest, Romania*²⁷*Research Division and ExtreMe Matter Institute EMMI, GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung, Darmstadt, Germany*²⁸*Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany*²⁹*Institut für Kernphysik, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Münster, Germany*³⁰*Department of Physics, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA*³¹*Rudjer Bošković Institute, Zagreb, Croatia*³²*Sezione INFN, Padova, Italy*³³*SUBATECH, Ecole des Mines de Nantes, Université de Nantes, CNRS-IN2P3, Nantes, France*³⁴*Institut für Kernphysik, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany*³⁵*Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie (LPSC), Université Joseph Fourier, CNRS-IN2P3,**Institut Polytechnique de Grenoble, Grenoble, France*³⁶*Departamento de Física de Partículas and IGFAE, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain*³⁷*Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA*³⁸*Helsinki Institute of Physics (HIP) and University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland*³⁹*Physics Department, University of Cape Town and iThemba LABS, National Research Foundation, Somerset West, South Africa*⁴⁰*Sezione INFN, Catania, Italy*⁴¹*Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire (LPC), Clermont Université, Université Blaise Pascal,
CNRS-IN2P3, Clermont-Ferrand, France*⁴²*Physics Department, University of Jammu, Jammu, India*⁴³*Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique, IRFU, Saclay, France*⁴⁴*Institute of Experimental Physics, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Košice, Slovakia*⁴⁵*Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India*⁴⁶*Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Catania, Italy*⁴⁷*School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom*⁴⁸*The Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Cracow, Poland*⁴⁹*Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (JINR), Dubna, Russia*⁵⁰*Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark*⁵¹*Indian Institute of Technology Bombay (IIT), Mumbai, India*⁵²*Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien (IPHC), Université de Strasbourg, CNRS-IN2P3, Strasbourg, France*⁵³*University of Houston, Houston, Texas, USA*⁵⁴*Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Turin, Italy*⁵⁵*Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, Russia*⁵⁶*University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan*⁵⁷*Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, INFN, Frascati, Italy*⁵⁸*Nikhef, National Institute for Subatomic Physics and Institute for Subatomic Physics of Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands*⁵⁹*Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain*⁶⁰*Institut für Informatik, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany*⁶¹*Moscow Engineering Physics Institute, Moscow, Russia*⁶²*Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia*⁶³*Faculty of Science, P.J. Šafárik University, Košice, Slovakia*⁶⁴*Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, USA*⁶⁵*Nikhef, National Institute for Subatomic Physics, Amsterdam, Netherlands*⁶⁶*Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California, USA*⁶⁷*Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA*⁶⁸*Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics, Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia*⁶⁹*Russian Federal Nuclear Center (VNIIEF), Sarov, Russia*⁷⁰*Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Padova, Italy*⁷¹*Central China Normal University, Wuhan, China*⁷²*Sección Física, Departamento de Ciencias, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Lima, Peru*⁷³*Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Trieste, Italy*⁷⁴*Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados (CINVESTAV), Mexico City and Mérida, Mexico*⁷⁵*Universidade de São Paulo (USP), São Paulo, Brazil*⁷⁶*Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Cagliari, Italy*⁷⁷*Centro de Aplicaciones Tecnológicas y Desarrollo Nuclear (CEADEN), Havana, Cuba*⁷⁸*Yonsei University, Seoul, Korea*⁷⁹*Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India*⁸⁰*Physics Department, Creighton University, Omaha, Nebraska, USA*⁸¹*Université de Lyon, Université Lyon 1, CNRS/IN2P3, IPN-Lyon, Villeurbanne, France*⁸²*Division of Experimental High Energy Physics, University of Lund, Lund, Sweden*

⁸³*Pusan National University, Pusan, Korea*⁸⁴*Sezione INFN, Cagliari, Italy*⁸⁵*Dipartimento di Scienze e Innovazione Tecnologica dell'Università del Piemonte Orientale
and Gruppo Collegato INFN, Alessandria, Italy*⁸⁶*Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico*⁸⁷*Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico*⁸⁸*Institute of Space Sciences (ISS), Bucharest, Romania*⁸⁹*Institut de Physique Nucléaire d'Orsay (IPNO), Université Paris-Sud, CNRS-IN2P3, Orsay, France*⁹⁰*Bose Institute, Department of Physics and Centre for Astroparticle Physics and Space Science (CAPSS), Kolkata, India*⁹¹*Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas, Brazil*⁹²*Dipartimento di Fisica E.R. Caianiello" dell'Università and Gruppo Collegato INFN, Salerno, Italy*⁹³*Sezione INFN, Bari, Italy*⁹⁴*National Centre for Nuclear Studies, Warsaw, Poland*⁹⁵*Sezione INFN, Rome, Italy*⁹⁶*Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway*⁹⁷*Institute for Nuclear Research, Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia*⁹⁸*Sezione INFN, Trieste, Italy*⁹⁹*Chicago State University, Chicago, Illinois, USA*¹⁰⁰*Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland*¹⁰¹*Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa, Culiacán, Mexico*¹⁰²*Physics Department, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, India*¹⁰³*Technical University of Split FESB, Split, Croatia*¹⁰⁴*A. I. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory (Yerevan Physics Institute) Foundation, Yerevan, Armenia*¹⁰⁵*University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan*¹⁰⁶*Department of Physics, Sejong University, Seoul, Korea*¹⁰⁷*Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany*¹⁰⁸*Institut für Kernphysik, Technische Universität Darmstadt, Darmstadt, Germany*¹⁰⁹*Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey*¹¹⁰*Zentrum für Technologietransfer und Telekommunikation (ZTT), Fachhochschule Worms, Worms, Germany*¹¹¹*California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, California, USA*¹¹²*The University of Texas at Austin, Physics Department, Austin, Texas, USA*¹¹³*Fachhochschule Köln, Köln, Germany*¹¹⁴*Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague, Czech Republic*¹¹⁵*University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA*¹¹⁶*Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università La Sapienza" and Sezione INFN, Rome, Italy*¹¹⁷*Indian Institute of Technology Indore (IITI), Indore, India*¹¹⁸*Budker Institute for Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia*¹¹⁹*Institut of Theoretical Physics, University of Wroclaw, Wroclaw, Poland*¹²⁰*Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro, INFN, Legnaro, Italy*¹²¹*Nuclear Physics Group, STFC Daresbury Laboratory, Daresbury, United Kingdom*¹²²*Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan*¹²³*Physics Department, University of Athens, Athens, Greece*¹²⁴*Kirchhoff-Institut für Physik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany*¹²⁵*Centre de Calcul de l'IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France*