## Detection of a Biexciton in Semiconducting Carbon Nanotubes Using Nonlinear Optical Spectroscopy

<span id="page-0-0"></span>L. Colombier,<sup>1,2</sup> J. Selles,<sup>1,2</sup> E. Rousseau,<sup>1,2</sup> J. S. Lauret,<sup>3</sup> F. Vialla,<sup>4</sup> C. Voisin,<sup>4</sup> and G. Cassabois<sup>1,2[,\\*](#page-4-0)</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire Charles Coulomb UMR5221, Université Montpellier 2, F-34095 Montpellier, France<br><sup>2</sup>Laboratoire Charles Coulomb UMB5221, CNBS, F, 34005 Montpellier, France

 $2$ Laboratoire Charles Coulomb UMR5221, CNRS, F-34095 Montpellier, France

 ${}^{3}LPOM$ -ENS-Cachan, 61 Avenue du Président Wilson, 94235 Cachan Cedex, France

<sup>4</sup>Laboratoire Pierre Aigrain, Ecole Normale Supérieure, UPMC, Université Paris Diderot, CNRS UMR8551,

24 rue Lhomond, 75231 Paris Cedex 5, France

(Received 17 July 2012; published 7 November 2012)

We report the observation of the biexciton in semiconducting single-wall carbon nanotubes by means of nonlinear optical spectroscopy. Our measurements reveal the universal asymmetric line shape of the Fano resonance intrinsic to the biexciton transition. For nanotubes of the (9,7) chirality, we find a biexciton binding energy of 106 meV. From the calculation of the  $\chi^{(3)}$  nonlinear response, we provide a quantitative interpretation of our measurements, leading to an estimation of the characteristic Fano factor q of  $7 \pm 3$ . This value allows us to extract the first experimental information on the biexciton stability and we obtain a biexciton annihilation rate comparable to the exciton-exciton annihilation one.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.197402](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.197402)

PACS numbers: 78.67.Ch, 71.35.-y, 78.47.nd

Single-wall carbon nanotubes (SWNTs) are onedimensional nanostructures where the Coulomb interaction between the charge carriers strongly affects the optoelectronic properties. In semiconducting SWNTs, the first elementary excitation consists in the creation of an electron-hole pair with a huge binding energy, reaching several tenths of eV  $[1-3]$  $[1-3]$  $[1-3]$ . Compared to epitaxially grown semiconductor quantum wires [[4\]](#page-4-3), the binding of the socalled exciton is strongly enhanced in SWNTs, so that the optical response of SWNTs at room temperature is fully governed by the excitonic quasiparticles. As a matter of fact, their understanding is of great interest both from a fundamental point of view and for the development of carbon-based optoelectronic devices.

The recent observation of charged excitons in SWNTs [\[5\]](#page-4-4) has brought the first experimental piece to an intensive debate initiated by theoretical studies of the binding of excitonic complexes and their stability in SWNTs [\[6](#page-4-5)[–10\]](#page-4-6). The binding of one electron-hole pair and a hole (electron) in a positive (negative) trion, or the binding of two electrons and two holes in a biexciton have been predicted with energies as large as half to few hundreds of meV s, reminiscent of the nonperturbative exciton binding in SWNTs. However, the counterpart of the strong Coulomb correlations in SWNTs is the existence of efficient Auger processes that drive the population relaxation dynamics [\[11](#page-4-7)[,12\]](#page-4-8). The evidence of trions by means of photoluminescence spectroscopy in chemically-[[5](#page-4-4)] or optically-[\[13\]](#page-4-9) doped SWNTs has indeed demonstrated that these processes do not hinder the observation of excitonic complexes. Moreover, it was suggested that localization effects may play an important role for keeping radiative yields high enough for detecting their photoluminescence signal [\[13\]](#page-4-9). On the contrary, there is so far no evidence for a biexcitonic signature in the optical response of SWNTs, thus, raising fundamental issues on the difference between the excitonic complexes made of three (trion) or four (biexciton) charge carriers.

In this Letter, we report the observation of the biexciton in semiconducting SWNTs by means of nonlinear optical spectroscopy. Our measurements reveal the universal asymmetric line shape of the Fano resonance of the biexciton, with a biexciton binding energy of 106 meV in SWNTs of the (9,7) chirality. The calculation of the  $\chi^{(3)}$ nonlinear response allows us to perform a quantitative interpretation of our measurements and extract a characteristic Fano factor q of  $7 \pm 3$ . This value brings the first experimental information on the Coulomb coupling efficiency between the biexciton and the continuum of free electron-hole pairs.

The exploration of the biexciton optical response is performed by means of optical nonlinear spectroscopy. In Fig.  $1(a)$ , we display the energy level diagram of the exciton and biexciton states, and the pump-probe scheme implemented for our measurements. Under resonant excitation of the fundamental  $S_{11}$  excitonic state, labeled  $| X \rangle$ , the pump laser of energy  $E_P$  opens an absorption channel for the probe laser at an energy detuning  $E_{\text{probe}} - E_P$  given by the binding energy of the biexciton state labeled  $| XX\rangle$ . As pointed out in the pioneering work of Maruani and Chemla [\[14\]](#page-4-10), the biexciton level diagram is a model system in semiconductor physics for the observation of a Fano resonance. This effect arises from the quantum interference between two transitions that are further coupled by a specific interaction. In the case of the biexciton, the absorption of one photon from the exciton state  $|X\rangle$  leads either to the formation of a biexciton  $| XX\rangle$ , or to the excitation of the bound electron-hole pair to a state  $\vert$  eh)

<span id="page-1-2"></span>

<span id="page-1-0"></span>FIG. 1 (color online). Energy level diagram displaying the biexciton (a) and trion (b) transitions probed in our nonlinear optical experiments.  $E_P$  and  $E_{P2}$  stand for the energy of the first and second pump lasers, respectively,  $E_{\text{probe}}$  for the energy of the probe laser, and  $\gamma_B$  is the biexciton annihilation rate characterizing the Coulomb coupling efficiency between the biexciton state  $|XX\rangle$  and the  $S_{11}$  continuum of free electron-hole pair states  $|eh\rangle$ .

belonging to the  $S_{11}$  continuum of free electron-hole pairs [Fig. [1\(a\)](#page-1-0)]. The coupling between the  $| XX\rangle$  and  $| eh\rangle$  states is the biexciton annihilation process. A Fano resonance leads to an asymmetric line shape, as observed in our measurements and quantitatively analyzed at the end of this Letter. Before the presentation of our experiments, we further comment on the existence of a nonlinear signal for the trion state, labeled  $X^*$ , as recently reported in Ref. [[13\]](#page-4-9). In Fig.  $1(b)$ , we see that under resonant excitation of the exciton state  $| X \rangle$ , the pump laser may also open an absorption channel for the probe laser, at an energy detuning  $E_{\text{probe}} - E_{P}$  given by the trion binding energy, if the electron-hole pair of the exciton has dissociated and if one charge carrier has left the nanotube. The existence of an ionization process is thus, a strict requirement to the observation of the trion transition in optical measurements performed in nominally undoped SWNTs [\[13\]](#page-4-9). The experimental data presented in the latter study further suggest the localization of the trion in order to prevent its migration to photoinduced quenching sites, detrimental to the radiative properties of this excitonic complex. In summary, while both excitonic complexes give rise to induced absorption, which manifests as a negative signal in differential transmission experiments, we stress that in the case of the trion, the nonlinear signal is of extrinsic nature; whereas, it is intrinsic for the biexciton.

The sample characterization and the experimental setup are described in the Supplemental Material [\[15\]](#page-4-11). In Fig.  $2(a)$ , we present our differential transmission measurements at 10 K, for which the excitation density of the pump is 15 kW cm<sup>-2</sup>. When  $E_{\text{probe}} - E_P \sim 0$ , our measurements are performed in a degenerate configuration where nonlinear spectral-hole burning occurs within the SWNTs ensemble [[16](#page-4-12)]. As discussed in detail in Ref. [[16\]](#page-4-12),



<span id="page-1-1"></span>FIG. 2 (color online). (a) Differential transmission  $\Delta T/T$  of the probe laser at 10 K, as a function of the energy detuning  $E_{\text{probe}} - E_P$  between the probe and first pump lasers.  $E_P =$ 0.83 eV for the right part (blue dots), and  $E_p = 0.93$  eV for the left one (red squares) [[15](#page-4-11)]. The solid (black) line is a fit of the experimental data. Inset: expanded view of the biexciton line after subtracting the linear background and the induced absorption signal of the trion (described in the text and in Ref. [[15](#page-4-11)]). The dashed line is a fit of the experimental data in the Lorentzian limit ( $q = +\infty$ ). (b) Temperature dependence of the differential transmission  $\Delta T/T$  for  $E_P = 0.93$  eV, between 10 K and 50 K (the spectrum at 25 K is shifted by  $+0.2$  for clarity). (c) Pump power dependence of the nonlinear signal amplitude for the XX line (blue squares), and  $X^*$  line (red triangles).

the differential transmission signal  $\Delta T/T$  exhibits positive values, corresponding to the photobleaching of the fundamental  $S_{11}$  excitonic transition. This component has an amplitude of  $2 \times 10^{-4}$  and it is superimposed on a negative signal, which corresponds to a residual nonresonant photoabsorption in which the magnitude smoothly increases for larger negative detuning. The footprints of excitonic complexes appear when exploring energy detuning  $E_{\text{probe}} - E_{P}$ ranging from  $-90$  to  $-190$  meV. We observe two negative lines, with an amplitude on the order of  $3 \times 10^{-5}$ , that corresponds to pump-induced absorption, as expected for the biexciton and trion states (Fig. [1](#page-1-2)). The resonances appear at energy detunings  $E_{\text{probe}} - E_{P}$  of  $-150$  and -106 meV, and we show below how they can be attributed the trion  $X^*$  and the biexciton  $XX$ , respectively.

The first point deals with the values of the binding energies. In SWNTs of the same (9,7) chirality as ours [\[15\]](#page-4-11), Matsunaga et al. and Santos et al. reported a trion binding energy of [13](#page-4-9)5 meV  $[5]$  $[5]$  and 130 meV  $[13]$ , respectively. In our case, we measure a slightly larger value of 150 meV. This 15–20 meV redshift of the trion line is similar to the one reported in Ref. [[17](#page-4-13)] for the exciton line when comparing SWNTs in an aqueous suspension and in a gelatin matrix. Assuming that the trion binding energy follows the same scaling law in  $\epsilon^{-1.4}$  as the exciton one [[1\]](#page-4-1) (where  $\epsilon$  is the effective dielectric constant of the nanotube environment), this corresponds to a relative change of the dielectric constant of the order of 10%, as in Ref. [\[17\]](#page-4-13). Besides, recent theoretical calculations have

predicted a smaller binding energy for the biexciton than for the trion, with a ratio ranging from 0.7 to 0.85 [\[10\]](#page-4-6). From our experimental data, we obtain a value of 0.71, in agreement with the predictions of Ref. [[10\]](#page-4-6). Experimental measurements as a function of temperature further support our interpretation. The induced absorption line at  $-106$  meV displays the same temperature dependence in terms of broadening and amplitude as the excitonic photobleaching line [\[15](#page-4-11)], which was the focus of Ref. [[18](#page-4-14)]. The strong similarity between the biexciton and the exciton is also observed in semiconductor quantum dots, and it indicates the correlation of the thermallyassisted broadening processes involved in the genuine biexciton-exciton cascade [[19](#page-4-15)]. On the other hand, the amplitude of the nonlinear signal decreases more rapidly with temperature for the trion than for the biexciton, as shown in Fig.  $2(b)$ . Following Ref. [\[13\]](#page-4-9) concluding to the presence of specific localization effects for the detection of the trion emission signal, we interpret this phenomenology as due to a thermally-assisted carrier escape, resulting in a partial neutralization of the nanotube which reduces the pump-induced absorption signal at the energy of the trion. Finally, as far as the pump-power dependence is concerned, we observe in Fig.  $2(c)$  that the magnitude of the XX line displays a sublinear increase. If the biexciton induced absorption has to scale linearly with the density of photogenerated excitons [[20](#page-4-16)], SWNTs correspond to a singular case where the exciton density has a square-root dependence with the pump power  $[12,16]$  $[12,16]$  $[12,16]$  $[12,16]$  $[12,16]$ . This peculiarity arises from the efficient Auger processes that drive the relaxation dynamics of the excitonic population [\[11,](#page-4-7)[12\]](#page-4-8), thus leading to a sublinear increase of the biexciton signal with pump power. On the other hand, the trion nonlinear signal exhibits again a different behavior with a merely linear dependence [Fig.  $2(c)$ ] similar to the variations of the trion photoluminescence signal in the all-optical generation measurements of Ref. [[13](#page-4-9)]. All these facts strongly support the assignment of the lines in Fig.  $2(a)$  to the trion and biexciton complexes.

In order to further confirm the identification of the pump-induced absorption line at  $-106$  meV as due to the biexciton, we have experimentally tested a fundamental difference between the trion and biexciton states in terms of energy. The trion is an elementary excitation of a carbon nanotube with a residual charge carrier, and its energy is given by the exciton energy lowered by the trion binding energy. The biexciton is an elementary excitation of a neutral nanotube, and its energy is on the contrary twice the exciton energy lowered by the biexciton binding energy (Fig. [1](#page-1-2)). In other words, in the context of our nonlinear experiments, the energy of the biexciton quasiparticle is given by the sum  $E_{\text{probe}} + E_{P}$ , which is about 1.76 eV, while for the trion, the quasiparticle energy is simply given by  $E_{\text{probe}}$ , which is of the order of 0.78 eV. On the basis of this marked difference, we have tried to perform a resonant More precisely, we have carried out additional nonlinear measurements in an original dual-pump configuration where a second pump laser is used to resonantly excite the biexciton at an energy  $E_{P2}$  spanning the sum  $E_{\text{probe}}$  +  $E_P$  of the probe and first pump laser energies [Fig. [1\(a\)\]](#page-1-0). The second pump laser consists either of a cw Ti:sapphire laser with  $E_{P2}$  ranging from 1.47 to 1.76 eV, or of a HeNe laser of energy  $E_{P2}$  equal to 1.96 eV. We have studied the differential transmission  $\Delta T/T$  spectrum with [open blue circles, inset of Fig.  $3(a)$ ] and without [full red squares, inset of Fig.  $3(a)$ ] the additional pump laser, as a function of its energy  $E_{P2}$ , for a constant excitation density of 1.5 kW cm<sup> $-2$ </sup>. As shown in the inset of Fig. [3,](#page-2-1) there is a sizeable modification of the nonlinear signal intensity. In order to carefully characterize the variations of the differential transmission  $\Delta T/T$  spectrum with  $E_{P2}$ , we have evaluated  $\delta$ , defined as the absolute value of the deformation of the nonlinear spectrum in the biexciton spectral range [\[15\]](#page-4-11). As seen in Fig.  $3(b)$ ,  $\delta$  displays a systematic variation as a function of  $E_{P2}$  with a maximum recorded value at the energy of the biexciton, and no other resonance that would correspond to the  $X^*$  line or to the  $S_{22}$  excitonic state centered at 1.53 eV [\[15\]](#page-4-11). This latter observation rules out any trivial effect related to the density of additional excitons photogenerated by the second pump laser. In fact, the variation of  $\delta$  with  $E_{P2}$  is not correlated to the

excitation of the biexciton around the energy of 1.76 eV.

<span id="page-2-1"></span>

<span id="page-2-0"></span>FIG. 3 (color online). (a) Differential transmission  $\Delta T/T$  of the probe laser at 10 K, as a function of the sum of the probe energy  $E_{\text{probe}}$  and  $E_P = 0.93$  eV. (Inset) Differential transmission  $\Delta T/T$  spectrum in the biexciton spectral range, with (open blue circles) and without (full red squares) the additional pump laser at an energy  $E_{P2} = 1.76$  eV. (b) Deformation  $\delta$  of the differential transmission  $\Delta T/T$  spectrum as a function of the energy  $E_{P2}$  of the additional pump laser. The dashed line is a guide for the eyes of Lorentzian form.

excitation spectrum of the photoluminescence signal of the (9,7) SWNTs, as seen from the horizontal (white) arrows (in the sample characterization in the Supplemental Material  $[15]$ ) indicating the energies  $E_{P2}$  of the second pump laser. On the contrary, the deformation  $\delta$  is found to be maximum precisely when  $E_{P2}$  matches the expected value of 1.76 eV of the biexciton [Fig.  $3(a)$ ], thus confirming the identification of the biexciton in our nonlinear experiments. As far as the physical processes involved in our dual-pump nonlinear experiments are concerned, several interpretations are under consideration. In a perfect nanotube, the direct one-photon excitation of the biexciton is supposed to be forbidden [\[9](#page-4-17)]. However, any breakdown of this selection rule due to the nanotube deformation or the presence of defects can lead to a direct photogeneration of biexcitons, competing with the pump-induced absorption process. A more straightforward way of modifying the biexciton spectrum is the direct dipole-allowed photogeneration of free electron-hole pairs at the energy of the biexciton. This mechanism is expected to perturb the Coulomb coupling between  $| XX\rangle$  and  $| eh\rangle$  responsible for the biexciton annihilation. This interaction is at the heart of the Fano resonance profile of the biexciton, which is the focus of the last part of the Letter. The identification of the biexciton being confirmed by our dual pump nonlinear experiments, we eventually proceed with a quantitative analysis of the biexciton asymmetric line shape.

As demonstrated by Maruani and Chemla in bulk CuCl and CdS semiconductors [[14](#page-4-10)], biexciton in semiconductor materials provide a textbook example of a Fano resonance. The quantum interference between the two competing pathways [Fig.  $1(a)$ ] is highly sensitive to the Fano factor  $q$  which characterizes the interaction between the final states and which controls the Fano resonance asymmetry. In the context of biexciton in SWNTs, the Fano factor  $q$  is determined by the Coulomb coupling [denoted]  $\gamma_B$  in Fig. [1\(a\)](#page-1-0)] between  $| XX\rangle$  and  $| eh\rangle$  responsible for the biexciton annihilation. In order to evaluate the Fano factor  $q$ , we have performed the theoretical calculations of the nonlinear signal detected in our pump-probe mea-surements [\[15\]](#page-4-11). We reach a good agreement with our experimental data [Fig.  $2(a)$ ]. In particular, we fairly reproduce the asymmetric line shape of the biexciton [Fig.  $2(a)$ , inset] from which we extract a Fano factor q of  $7 \pm 3$ . The consideration of negative values of q leads to an asymmetric profile with a low-energy tail, which is inconsistent with our measurements systematically showing a high-energy tail, i.e., positive values of  $q$  [Fig. [2\(a\)](#page-1-1), inset]. As far as higher values of q, the limit  $q = +\infty$ consists in a vanishing coupling to the continuum, resulting to a simple Lorentzian model without any Fano effect. This limit corresponds to the dashed line in the inset of Fig.  $2(a)$ , which represents the best fit of our experimental data when varying the slope of the background and the width of the trion line [\[15\]](#page-4-11). Actually, finite positive values of  $q$  are mandatory in order to account for the asymmetry of the biexciton spectral profile [Fig.  $2(a)$ , inset]. Finally, we obtain an excitonic homogeneous linewidth  $\Gamma_X$  =  $11 \pm 2$  meV and a comparable one for the biexciton  $\Gamma_{XX} = 11 \pm 2$  meV, thus ruling out any significant difference in the coherence relaxation dynamics of the exciton and biexciton states.

The situation is assumed to be, on the contrary, radically different for the population relaxation dynamics since the radiative yield of the biexciton has been so far too low for the detection of any photoluminescence signal. The lack of evidence for a biexciton photoluminescence signal raises fundamental questions on the biexciton stability  $[6,7,9,13]$  $[6,7,9,13]$  $[6,7,9,13]$  $[6,7,9,13]$  $[6,7,9,13]$  in the context of the strong efficiency of Auger processes in SWNTs [\[11](#page-4-7)[,12\]](#page-4-8). In fact, our evaluation of the Fano factor provides a unique way for an estimation of the biexciton annihilation rate  $\gamma_B$ . According to the 1/L scaling law in one-dimensional systems, we rewrite  $\gamma_B$  as  $\frac{B}{L}$ , while the rate of the excitonexciton annihilation process is written as  $\gamma_A = \frac{A}{L}$  [[11\]](#page-4-7). From the expression of the Fano factor,  $q = \frac{\mu_{XX}}{\mu_{eh}} \frac{1}{\pi \rho \hbar v_B}$ , where  $\mu_{XX}$  and  $\mu_{eh}$  are the dipole moments of the two competing pathways (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-2) and  $\rho$  is the joint density of  $\vert$  eh) states, we deduce  $B \sim 1 \text{ ps}^{-1} \mu \text{m}$  [\[15\]](#page-4-11). In a first approximation, this value is identical to the typical A one for exciton-exciton annihilation, ranging from 0.24 to  $2 \text{ ps}^{-1} \mu \text{m}$  [[21](#page-4-19)[–23\]](#page-4-20). As a matter of fact, our analysis surprisingly reveals that the Auger processes responsible for the biexciton annihilation have an efficiency comparable to the exciton-exciton annihilation one. In our SWNTs with a length of the order of 500 nm, we obtain a biexciton annihilation time of about 0.5 ps, to be compared to the ps-time scale of the exciton-exciton annihilation [[11](#page-4-7),[12](#page-4-8)]. However, although Auger recombinations of exciton and biexciton occur on similar temporal scales, the former results in a remaining exciton with a lifetime in the nanosecond range while the latter leads to the irreversible decay of the biexciton state. This gives a new insight for understanding the current absence of a photoluminescence signal from the biexciton in the prospect of its future detection in emission.

In conclusion, we have reported the observation of the biexciton in carbon nanotubes, with a biexciton binding energy of 106 meV in nanotubes of the (9,7) chirality. Our measurements reveal the universal asymmetric line shape of the Fano resonance intrinsic to the biexciton transition. We find that the biexciton annihilation process has the same efficiency as the exciton-exciton annihilation one, thus raising fundamental questions for the quest of a biexciton photoluminescence signal and the evidence for a biexciton-exciton quantum cascade.

We gratefully acknowledge S. Rousset, P. Valvin, and C. L'Henoret for technical support, T. Guillet and B. Gil for helpful discussions. This work was financially supported by the Conseil Scientifique de l'Université Montpellier 2.

[\\*G](#page-0-0)uillaume.Cassabois@univ-montp2.fr

- <span id="page-4-1"></span><span id="page-4-0"></span>[1] V. Perebeinos, J. Tersoff, and P. Avouris, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.257402)* 92[, 257402 \(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.257402).
- [2] F. Wang, G. Dukovic, L. E. Brus, and T. F. Heinz, [Science](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1110265) 308[, 838 \(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1110265)
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[3] J. Maultzsch, R. Pomraenke, S. Reich, E. Chang, D. Prezzi, A. Ruini, E. Molinari, M. Strano, C. Thomsen, and C. Lienau, Phys. Rev. B 72[, 241402\(R\) \(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.72.241402).
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[4] M. Bayer, S. N. Walck, T. L. Reinecke, and A. Forchel, Phys. Rev. B 57[, 6584 \(1998\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.57.6584)
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[5] R. Matsunaga, K. Matsuda, and Y. Kanemitsu, *[Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.037404)* Lett. 106[, 037404 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.037404)
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>[6] T. G. Pedersen, K. Pedersen, H. D. Cornean, and P. Duclos, Nano Lett. 5[, 291 \(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nl048108q)
- <span id="page-4-18"></span>[7] D. Kammerlander, D. Prezzi, G. Goldoni, E. Molinari, and U. Hohenester, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99[, 126806 \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.126806)
- [8] I. V. Bondarev, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**[, 153409 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.153409)
- <span id="page-4-17"></span>[9] K. Watanabe and K. Asano, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.115406) 83, 115406 [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.115406).
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[10] K. Watanabe and K. Asano, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.035416) 85, 035416 [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.035416).
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>[11] F. Wang, G. Dukovic, E. Knoesel, L. E. Brus, and T. F. Heinz, Phys. Rev. B 70[, 241403\(R\) \(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.70.241403)
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>[12] Y.-Z. Ma, L. Valkunas, S. L. Dexheimer, S. M. Bachilo, and G. R. Fleming, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.157402) 94, 157402 [\(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.157402).
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>[13] S. Santos, B. Yuma, S. Berciaud, J. Shaver, M. Gallart, P. Gilliot, L. Cognet, and B. Lounis, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.187401) 107, [187401 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.187401)
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>[14] A. Maruani and D. S. Chemla, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.23.841)* 23, 841 (1981).
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>[15] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.197402) [supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.197402](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.197402) for details on the sample characterization, nonlinear optical experiments, Fano factor analysis, and theoretical calculations.
- <span id="page-4-12"></span>[16] D. T. Nguyen, C. Voisin, Ph. Roussignol, C. Roquelet, J. Lauret, and G. Cassabois, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.127401) 107, 127401 [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.127401).
- <span id="page-4-13"></span>[17] S. Berger, F. Iglesias, P. Bonnet, C. Voisin, G. Cassabois, J.-S. Lauret, C. Delalande, and P. Roussignol, [J. Appl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3116723) Phys. 105[, 094323 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3116723)
- <span id="page-4-14"></span>[18] D. T. Nguyen, C. Voisin, Ph. Roussignol, C. Roquelet, J. Lauret, and G. Cassabois, Phys. Rev. B 84[, 115463 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.115463)
- <span id="page-4-15"></span>[19] F. Gindele, K. Hild, W. Langbein, and U. Woggon, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.R2157) Rev. B 60[, R2157 \(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.R2157).
- <span id="page-4-16"></span>[20] A. S. Lenihan, M. V. G. Dutt, D. G. Steel, S. Ghosh, and P. Bhattacharya, Phys. Rev. B 69[, 045306 \(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.045306)
- <span id="page-4-19"></span>[21] F. Wang, Y. Wu, M. S. Hybertsen, and T. F. Heinz, *[Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.245424)* Rev. B 73[, 245424 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.245424)
- [22] L. Valkunas, Y. Z. Ma, and G. R. Fleming, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.115432)* 73[, 115432 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.115432).
- <span id="page-4-20"></span>[23] Y.-F. Xiao, T. Q. Nhan, M. W. B. Wilson, and J. M. Fraser, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104[, 017401 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.017401)