

## Yoctosecond Metrology Through Hanbury Brown–Twiss Correlations from a Quark-Gluon Plasma

Andreas Ipp\* and Peter Somkuti†

*Institute for Theoretical Physics, Vienna University of Technology, Wiedner Hauptstraße 8-10/136, A-1040 Vienna, Austria*

(Received 10 July 2012; published 7 November 2012)

Expansion dynamics at the yoctosecond time scale affect the evolution of the quark gluon plasma (QGP) created in heavy ion collisions. We show how these dynamics are accessible through Hanbury Brown–Twiss (HBT) intensity interferometry of direct photons emitted from the interior of the QGP. A detector placed close to the beam axis is particularly sensitive to early polar momentum anisotropies of the QGP. Observing a modification of the HBT signal at the proposed FoCal detector of the LHC ALICE experiment would allow us to measure the isotropization time of the plasma and could provide first experimental evidence for photon double pulses at the yoctosecond time scale.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.192301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.192301)

PACS numbers: 25.75.Cj, 12.38.Mh, 25.75.Gz, 78.47.J–

The quark-gluon plasma (QGP) as created in heavy ion colliders like the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider or the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) exists for a duration of a few tens of yoctoseconds ( $1 \text{ ys} = 10^{-24} \text{ s}$ ). During its expansion, the plasma is exposed to different momentum anisotropies. Azimuthal anisotropies arise in noncentral collisions and are responsible for the elliptic flow [1,2]. Polar anisotropies arise at very early times right after the collision due to the longitudinal expansion of the plasma [3]. The latter kind of anisotropies causes a variety of fascinating effects: early polar momentum space anisotropies can induce Chromo-Weibel plasma instabilities [4–8], could allow for a violation of the viscosity bound [9], or can lead to photon double pulses that are separated merely by yoctoseconds [10].

Photons are a particularly suitable probe for the early phase of the plasma, because once they are produced through quark Compton scattering or quark-antiquark annihilation, they leave the strongly interacting plasma likely without further interaction. It turns out that the photon production process is strongly polarization and direction dependent [11,12]. A strong polar anisotropy can lead to temporary suppression of photon emission in a forward direction and thus to nontrivial pulse shapes that differ from the decay one would expect from an isotropically cooling plasma. Under particular conditions, even double pulses seem possible [10]. Measuring the pulse envelope of photons on the yoctosecond time scale would therefore provide firsthand information about this early evolution.

Unfortunately, there are no detectors available yet that could time-resolve a possible signal at the yoctosecond scale. State-of-the-art laser physics deals with attosecond metrology [13,14]. The next generation of laser facilities like the Extreme Light Infrastructure [15] or the International Center on Zetta-Exawatt Science and Technology [16] strive to produce zeptosecond photon pulses. Even though there are suggestions to characterize photon pulses down to zeptosecond time scale [17], their

applicability to photons from the QGP seems doubtful. A feasible way to resolve the space-time dynamics at the femtometer and yoctosecond scale is the Hanbury Brown–Twiss (HBT) interferometry. Different from intensity interferometry of hadrons (like  $\pi$  or  $\eta$ ) which essentially probe the surface of the plasma, photons provide information from the interior of the plasma [18,19]. Previous calculations of photon interferometry for central [20,21] and noncentral [22] heavy-ion collisions, including effects of extremely strong magnetic fields [23], have assumed isotropic photon emission even at the very early stages of the QGP.

In this Letter, for the first time, we take into account early polar momentum space anisotropies for the photon emission rates to calculate two-photon momentum correlations. Modifications of the correlation function of photons emitted close to the beam axis can be linked to a nontrivial temporal evolution of the photon emission due to polar anisotropy. We show that the detection of intensity correlations close to the beam axis, as illustrated in Fig. 1, will be feasible in the future Forward Calorimeter (FoCal) detector which is likely to be installed in 2017–2018 during the ALICE detector upgrade [24]. Our method would allow us to measure the isotropization time of a QGP, and could also establish experimentally the existence of photon double pulses at the yoctosecond time scale.

The photons of a few GeV energy we want to detect are predominantly produced at very early times, within a few yoctoseconds after the collision. In the description of correlation functions, we can thus neglect contributions from radiative decays of long-lived hadrons like  $\pi^0$  and  $\eta$ , because of much larger length and time scales involved which translate to relative momenta that are smaller by orders of magnitude [20]. Also, as in Refs. [10,25,26], we neglect a transverse expansion of the system, since the high-energy photons are most likely emitted close to the center of the QGP where longitudinal expansion dominates over transverse expansion at early times.




FIG. 1 (color online). Concept of HBT detection. Two heavy ions collide with impact parameter  $b$  and produce a QGP that rapidly expands at early times along the beam axis ( $z$  axis). Photons are emitted from the QGP and arrive at a detector placed in the forward direction, like the proposed FoCal detector [24]. HBT correlations are obtained from photons that arrive simultaneously, whose momentum vectors are separated by an angle  $\theta_{\text{rel}}$ .

The HBT correlation function for two photons with momenta  $\mathbf{k}$  and  $\mathbf{k}'$  is given by  $C_2(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') = P_2(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')/P_1(\mathbf{k})P_1(\mathbf{k}')$ , where  $P_1(\mathbf{k}) = \int d^4x w(x, k)$  is the single-particle and  $P_2(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')$  the two-particle inclusive distribution function [27]

$$P_2(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') = \int d^4x d^4x' w\left(x, \frac{k+k'}{2}\right) w\left(x', \frac{k+k'}{2}\right) \times \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} \cos(\Delta k \cdot \Delta x)\right], \quad (1)$$

with  $\Delta k = k - k'$  and  $\Delta x = x - x'$ . The factor  $\frac{1}{2}$  is a statistical factor from averaging over the photon spin [28]. The source function  $w(x, k) = dR(x, \mathbf{k})/(d^4x d^3k)$  describes the mean number of particles of four-momentum  $k$  emitted from a source element centered at the space-time point  $x$  [27]. A chaotic source is assumed, as contributions by correlated two-photon emissions are estimated to be negligible [20].

In the framework of the current formalism [18] one can show analytically that a source function composed of two temporally separated Gaussians leads to oscillations in momentum space in the HBT functions. However, oscillations in the correlation functions could also be caused by two spatially separated emission centers. The question arises how to distinguish a temporal variation from a

spatial variation in the HBT signal. This is possible by combining correlation measurements in different directions: HBT oscillations due to temporal separation are independent of the direction of observation, while spatially separated sources lead to a strong directional dependence of the HBT signal.

In practice, emission centers will not follow a perfect Gaussian shape. It has been found that the two-photon correlator shows a strongly non-Gaussian shape along the polar direction [22]. In the azimuthal direction, oscillations can appear due to a noncircular intersection region in noncentral collisions [22]. In the following we present evidence that early polar momentum space anisotropies can lead to observable modifications of the HBT signal, including the appearance of a side peak, although we are aware that it will be experimentally challenging to distinguish such modifications from other possible sources of oscillations in the HBT correlation functions. Note that there is also the possibility of introducing fake oscillations due to inaccurate numerical integrations [27,29]. We therefore carefully cross-checked our numerical results presented below using analytical and semianalytical models of temporally separated sources.

The longitudinal expansion of a plasma right after a collision can be described in two limiting cases: one is the ideal hydrodynamical evolution as described in the Bjorken expansion picture [30], where quark and gluon distribution functions stay isotropic throughout the expansion. The other extreme is the free-streaming limit [31], which neglects all parton interactions, and where momentum anisotropy increases over time. We base our calculation on a model that can interpolate between these two limiting cases. The momentum anisotropy is implemented through a modification of isotropic distribution functions  $f_{\text{iso}}$  according to [32]

$$f(\mathbf{p}) = f_{\text{iso}}(\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + \xi(\mathbf{p} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^2}), \quad (2)$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  points along the beam axis and the anisotropy parameter  $\xi = \langle p_T^2 \rangle / (2\langle p_L^2 \rangle) - 1$  is defined in the range  $-1 < \xi < \infty$ . Values of  $\xi > 0$  contract an isotropic distribution along the beam axis, while values of  $\xi < 0$  stretch it. A single parameter  $\delta$  can describe the scaling solutions of ideal hydrodynamical evolution ( $\delta = 0$ ) and free-streaming expansion ( $\delta = 2$ ), as well as other expansion scenarios like momentum-space broadening due to interactions ( $\delta = 2/3$ ) [33]. The time evolution of the anisotropy parameter  $\xi$  or the hard momentum scale  $p_{\text{hard}}$ , which plays the role of temperature in an anisotropic medium, is then given by

$$\xi(\tau) = \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_0}\right)^\delta - 1, \quad (3)$$

$$p_{\text{hard}}(\tau) = T_0 \left(\frac{\tau_0}{\tau}\right)^{(1-\delta/2)/3}. \quad (4)$$

We follow the model of the plasma evolution of Ref. [25] which introduced a smeared step function  $\lambda(\tau, \tau_{\text{iso}}, \gamma)$ . By basically replacing  $\delta \rightarrow \delta(1 - \lambda(\tau, \tau_{\text{iso}}, \gamma))$ , this function governs the change of  $\delta$  from e.g., 2 or 2/3 to  $\delta = 0$  at approximately the isotropization time  $\tau_{\text{iso}}$  with a parameter  $\gamma$  which determines the smoothness of the transition. The initial temperature distribution for central and noncentral collisions is assumed to be proportional to the thickness functions of the colliding nuclei according to the Glauber model [34]. As in Ref. [35], we use hard spheres to model the initial nuclear charge density.

The main contributions of photon production arise from quark-Compton scattering and quark-antiquark annihilation processes where infrared divergences are treated using hard thermal loop resummation [36]. In an anisotropic plasma, the corresponding photon production rate  $Ed^3R/d^3k$  shows strong directional dependence. It is obtained from a similar resummation, but due to the anisotropic distribution functions, the resulting integral expressions have to be evaluated numerically by means of Monte Carlo integration [11,12]. Corresponding expressions for bremsstrahlung or inelastic pair annihilation become important at lower energies. Therefore, as in Ref. [11], we do not take into account these soft scattering processes. As in Ref. [10], we use parameters that are relevant to heavy ion collisions at the LHC, with initial temperature  $T_0 = 845$  MeV, plasma freeze-out temperature  $T_c = 160$  MeV, nucleus radius  $R = 7.1$  fm, and a plasma formation time of  $\tau_0 = 0.088$  fm/c. To estimate the effect of early polar momentum anisotropies, various isotropization times starting from ideal hydrodynamic expansion ( $\tau_{\text{iso}} = \tau_0$ ) up to ideal free-streaming  $\delta = 2$  with isotropization time  $\tau_{\text{iso}} = 2$  fm/c are compared.

We consider two different configurations for the calculation of the HBT correlation function: a collinear and a noncollinear configuration. In the collinear configuration in Fig. 2, the two photon momentum vectors  $\mathbf{k}$  and  $\mathbf{k}'$  point to the same direction ( $\mathbf{k} \parallel \mathbf{k}'$ ) at a polar angle  $\theta$  away from the beam axis. As in Ref. [19,27], the free parameter of the two-particle HBT function is the momentum difference  $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}$ . We focus on collisions with a large impact parameter  $b \gtrsim 10$  fm so that a possible signal is not averaged out by the transverse size of the plasma [10]. For hydrodynamic expansion ( $\tau_{\text{iso}} = \tau_0$ ), the correlation function shows the unobtrusive behavior of a monotonically decreasing function. If one includes the effect of early polar momentum anisotropies with  $\tau_{\text{iso}} \gtrsim 1.5$  fm/c, however, the HBT function reveals a nontrivial shape and exhibits a plateau-like structure. The reason for the appearance of such plateaus is the modification of the photon production rate in an anisotropic plasma. The suppression of the photon production in the forward direction at times  $\tau_0 < \tau < \tau_{\text{iso}}$  before isotropization leads to a nontrivial emission envelope which could result in two temporally separated peaks [10]. Such an emission envelope leads to a




FIG. 2 (color online). HBT photon correlation for a collinear configuration. The detector is placed at a fixed angle  $\theta = 25^\circ$  ( $\eta \approx 1.5$ ) and the difference  $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}$  between collinear photon momenta  $\mathbf{k}' \parallel \mathbf{k}$  with  $k = 4$  GeV/c ( $k_T \approx 1.7$  GeV/c) is varied. The various isotropization time scales correspond to initial free-streaming expansion with intermediate polar momentum anisotropy starting from  $\tau_{\text{iso}} = 2$  fm/c (solid line) down to  $\tau_{\text{iso}} = \tau_0$  (dotted line) which corresponds to an ideal hydrodynamic expansion. The impact parameter is chosen as  $b = 10$  fm and the anisotropy model parameter as  $\gamma = 2$ .

side peak in the correlation function. A drawback of this configuration is that collinear photons cannot be readily resolved by photon calorimeters.

Therefore we also consider a noncollinear configuration as shown in Fig. 3. In this case, both photon momenta share the same magnitude ( $|\mathbf{k}| = |\mathbf{k}'|$ ), but are positioned at a relative angle  $\theta_{\text{rel}}$  to each other within the reaction plane. The selected parameter range is covered by the proposed FoCal detector [24]. In this forward detector, photons arrive highly blueshifted. A fixed photon momentum  $k = 25$  GeV/c as observed by the detector corresponds to a transverse momentum which decreases from  $k_T \approx 3.5$  GeV/c at  $\eta \approx 2.7$  to  $k_T \approx 0.9$  GeV/c at  $\eta \approx 4$ . Thus, these photons are most likely emitted from the early QGP. We see similar behavior in the noncollinear HBT function as in the collinear configuration. Early polar momentum space anisotropies result in a narrower correlation function as well as the emergence of a second maximum. In principle, two temporally separated photon emission peaks would lead to an oscillation of the correlation function, but beyond the first two peaks, further maxima are not identifiable for LHC parameters after integration over the space-time evolution of the QGP. The distance between the main peak and the side peak of the correlation function is inversely proportional to the time interval between two temporally separated peaks in configuration space, which can be estimated from the cosine term in Eq. (1), and thus also roughly inversely proportional to the isotropization




FIG. 3 (color online). HBT photon correlation for a detector placed in the forward direction. One momentum vector is placed at  $2^\circ$  away from the beam axis ( $\eta \approx 4$ ) and the other varied between  $2^\circ$  and  $8^\circ$  ( $\eta \approx 4$  to  $\eta \approx 2.7$ , at the same azimuthal angle) at fixed momentum  $k = 25$  GeV/c. A distinct difference and change of shape of the HBT function with respect to the isotropization time of the quark-gluon-plasma is observed. The impact parameter is  $b = 12$  fm and the anisotropy model parameter is  $\gamma = 2$ .

time. An assumed isotropization time of  $\tau_{\text{iso}} = 2$  fm/c leads to peaks in the correlation function separated by about  $3^\circ$  for the parameters chosen. Such a structure can in principle be observed in the proposed FoCal detector which will be able to resolve photons that are separated merely by a fraction of a degree [24].

The photon momentum correlations presented here could be influenced by various effects: Although transverse expansion can be neglected at very early times close to the center of a collision [10,25,26], the question is more delicate for highly noncentral collisions. The space-time evolution in the transverse direction may produce additional modifications of the photon correlation functions. Also, at very large rapidity one cannot assume a boost-invariant particle multiplicity. Taking this effect into account will affect the absolute rate of the observed photons, but it will not destroy the correlation between them. Photons produced from anisotropic jet-plasma interaction [37] may also modify the size of the effect presented here, although qualitatively a similar effect is expected.

Regarding the feasibility of the detection, it will be experimentally challenging, but not impossible. If one assumes an annual yield of at least  $10^6$  prompt photons in the energy range  $k_T = 1$  GeV/c to 4 GeV/c from heavy ion collisions that hit the FoCal detector [38], one would observe a few hundred photon pairs within the same time frame. The modification of the signal presented here is only caused by direct photons and should therefore be distinguishable from background photons.

To summarize, we have calculated the intensity correlation of photons produced at an early stage of the quark-gluon plasma, taking into account full polar momentum anisotropy. Besides other known sources that could lead to oscillations in the HBT signal, we found that the correlation function is particularly sensitive to the early time evolution of the plasma. Large isotropization times lead to distinctive modifications of the HBT correlation function with a side peak appearing a few degrees separated from the main peak. The detection of such a structure, for example in the proposed FoCal detector at the ALICE experiment, would enhance our knowledge about the early evolution of the QGP, including information about the isotropization process and the isotropization time. It could also provide the first indirect experimental evidence for possible photon double pulses at the yoctosecond time scale.

We thank Dmitri Peressounko and Yuri Kharlov for helpful discussions regarding LHC detector physics, and Lusaka Bhattacharya and Pradip Roy for discussions.

\*ipp@hep.itp.tuwien.ac.at

†somkuti@hep.itp.tuwien.ac.at

- [1] C. Adler *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 032301 (2003).
- [2] K. Aamodt *et al.* (ALICE Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 252302 (2010).
- [3] J.-P. Blaizot, F. Gelis, J.-F. Liao, L. McLerran, and R. Venugopalan, *Nucl. Phys.* **A873**, 68 (2012).
- [4] E. S. Weibel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2**, 83 (1959).
- [5] S. Mrowczynski, *Phys. Lett. B* **314**, 118 (1993).
- [6] P. Romatschke and M. Strickland, *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 036004 (2003).
- [7] P. B. Arnold, J. Lenaghan, and G. D. Moore, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2003) 002.
- [8] A. Ipp, A. Rebhan, and M. Strickland, *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 056003 (2011).
- [9] A. Rebhan and D. Steineder, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 021601 (2012).
- [10] A. Ipp, C. H. Keitel, and J. Evers, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 152301 (2009).
- [11] B. Schenke and M. Strickland, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 025023 (2007).
- [12] A. Ipp, A. Di Piazza, J. Evers, and C. H. Keitel, *Phys. Lett. B* **666**, 315 (2008).
- [13] M. Hentschel, R. Kienberger, Ch. Spielmann, G. A. Reider, N. Milosevic, T. Brabec, P. Corkum, U. Heinzmann, M. Drescher, and F. Krausz, *Nature (London)* **414**, 509 (2001).
- [14] F. Krausz and M. Ivanov, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 163 (2009).
- [15] F. Amiranoff *et al.*, Proposal for a European Extreme Light Infrastructure (ELI); <http://www.extreme-light-infrastructure.eu/pictures/ELI-scientific-case-id17.pdf>.
- [16] G. Mourou and T. Tajima, IZEST Brochure, <http://www.int-zest.com/>.
- [17] A. Ipp, J. Evers, C. H. Keitel, and K. Z. Hatsagortsyan, *Phys. Lett. B* **702**, 383 (2011).
- [18] D. Neuhauser, *Phys. Lett. B* **182**, 289 (1986).

- [19] D. K. Srivastava and J. I. Kapusta, *Phys. Rev. C* **48**, 1335 (1993).
- [20] S. A. Bass, B. Müller, and D. K. Srivastava, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 162301 (2004).
- [21] T. Renk, *Phys. Rev. C* **71**, 064905 (2005).
- [22] E. Frodermann and U. Heinz, *Phys. Rev. C* **80**, 044903 (2009).
- [23] K. Itakura and K. Hattori, [arXiv:1206.3022](https://arxiv.org/abs/1206.3022).
- [24] T. Peitzmann (ALICE Collaboration), *J. Phys. G* **38**, 124128 (2011).
- [25] M. Martinez and M. Strickland, *Phys. Rev. C* **78**, 034917 (2008).
- [26] L. Bhattacharya and P. Roy, *Phys. Rev. C* **81**, 054904 (2010).
- [27] A. Timmermann, M. Plumer, L. Razumov, and R. Weiner, *Phys. Rev. C* **50**, 3060 (1994).
- [28] C. Slotta and U. Heinz, *Phys. Lett. B* **391**, 469 (1997).
- [29] J. Aichelin, *Nucl. Phys.* **A617**, 510 (1997).
- [30] J. D. Bjorken, *Phys. Rev. D* **27**, 140 (1983).
- [31] B. Kämpfer and O. P. Pavlenko, *Nucl. Phys.* **A566**, 351 (1994).
- [32] P. Romatschke and M. Strickland, *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 036004 (2003).
- [33] R. Baier, A. H. Mueller, D. Schiff, and D. T. Son, *Phys. Lett. B* **502**, 51 (2001).
- [34] R. J. Glauber, *Lectures in Theoretical Physics* (Interscience, New York, 1959).
- [35] R. J. Fries, B. Müller, and D. K. Srivastava, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 132301 (2003).
- [36] J. I. Kapusta, P. Lichard, and D. Seibert, *Phys. Rev. D* **44**, 2774 (1991).
- [37] L. Bhattacharya and P. Roy, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **69**, 445 (2010).
- [38] Y. Hori, H. Hamagaki, and T. Gunji, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **293**, 012029 (2011).