Metal-Insulator Transition and Orbital Reconstruction in Mott-Type Quantum Wells Made of NdNi O_3

Jian Liu,^{1,2,[*](#page-4-0)} M. Kareev,¹ D. Meyers,¹ B. Gray,¹ P. Ryan,³ J. W. Freeland,³ and J. Chakhalian¹

¹Department of Physics, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701, USA
²Advanced Light Source, Lawrance Barkeley National Laboratory, Barkeley, California 947

 A^2 Advanced Light Source, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

³Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA

(Received 26 November 2011; published 7 September 2012)

The metal-insulator transition and the underlying electronic and orbital structure in e_g^1 quantum wells based on $NdNiO₃$ were investigated by dc transport and resonant soft x-ray absorption spectroscopy. By comparing quantum wells of the same dimension but with two different confinement structures, we explicitly demonstrate that the quantum well boundary condition of correlated electrons is critical to selecting the many-body ground state. In particular, the long-range orderings and the metal-insulator transition are found to be strongly enhanced under quantum confinement by sandwiching $NdNiO₃$ with the wide-gap dielectric $LaAlO₃$, while they are suppressed when one of the interfaces is replaced by a surface (interface with vacuum). Resonant spectroscopy reveals that the reduced charge fluctuations in the sandwich structure are supported by the enhanced propensity to charge ordering due to the suppressed e_g orbital splitting when interfaced with the confining $LaAlO₃$ layer.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.107402](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.107402) PACS numbers: 78.70.Dm, 73.21.Fg, 73.63.Hs, 78.67.De

Low-dimensional electronic systems have been a focus of condensed matter physics for decades and are known to exhibit numerous fascinating quantum states. A prominent example is quantum well based on semiconductor heterojunctions which has become a foundation of modern technology. Recently, artificial confinement of Mott electrons is emerging as a compelling route to create new twodimensional (2D) systems unobtainable in the bulk form [\[1,](#page-4-1)[2](#page-4-2)]. Heterostructures involving, i.e., cuprates, manganites, and $LaAlO₃/SrTiO₃$, have revealed the spectacular versatility and fascinating playground of complex oxide interfaces [\[3–](#page-4-3)[6\]](#page-4-4).

In Mott quantum well structures, an ultrathin electronically active slab of correlated oxide is constrained in an epitaxial heterostructure where the vertical hopping of the d electrons is typically suppressed by dielectric oxide blocks. This geometry was previously shown to provide competing pathways to polar compensation [\[7,](#page-4-5)[8](#page-4-6)] and has recently been harnessed to generate unusual confinement-induced orbital reconstruction [\[9](#page-4-7)–[11\]](#page-4-8) and dimensionality-controlled metalinsulator transition (MIT) $[12–16]$ $[12–16]$ $[12–16]$. Appealing many-body phenomena have also been predicted, including proposals of half-metallic semi-Dirac points [[17](#page-4-11)] as well as various engaging orderings of charge, spin, and orbital [\[18](#page-4-12)[,19\]](#page-4-13). In particular, quantum confinement of the e_g^1 system based on the Ni^{III} (3d⁷) low-spin state in perovskite nickelate $RNiO₃$ (R denotes rare earth) is of great interest, due to the possibilities in inducing novel quantum states, e.g., topological phases $[20]$ $[20]$ $[20]$ and unconventional high- T_c superconductivity $[18,21,22]$ $[18,21,22]$ $[18,21,22]$ $[18,21,22]$ $[18,21,22]$ $[18,21,22]$ $[18,21,22]$. To realize these intriguing phenomena, a controlled manipulation of the orbital degeneracy of e_g electrons via confinement is required. While confinement-induced orbital polarizations were recently observed in both metallic and insulating states in (001) oriented superlattices [\[10](#page-4-17)[,11\]](#page-4-8), the quantum well structure has also been shown to affect the ground state from a threedimensional (3D) correlated metal to a (quasi-)2D Mott insulator with propensity to charge and spin orderings (CO and SO) in both superlattices and ultrathin films $[14–16,23,24]$ $[14–16,23,24]$ $[14–16,23,24]$ $[14–16,23,24]$. On the other hand, the underlying mechanism of confinement behind this Mott transition is still under debate [[25](#page-4-21)]. The key question therefore rests on the fundamental role of the confining interface in modulating the collective orderings in Mott quantum wells beyond affording the blocking boundary.

In this Letter, we demonstrate the remarkable capability of the confinement boundary condition on controlling the emerging electronic phase transitions of strongly correlated system in e^1_g quantum wells consisting of a NdNiO₃ active layer. While previous studies were devoted to $LaNiO₃$, which is a paramagnetic metal in the bulk, $NdNiO₃$ represents an attractive case of a Mott insulator with cooperative CO and SO that can melt into a correlated metal under increased temperature [\[26–](#page-4-22)[28](#page-4-23)] and/or compressive heteroepitaxial strain [[29](#page-4-24),[30](#page-4-25)]. Here we report on the dimensional crossover in two types of quantum wells (see Fig. [1](#page-1-0)) both of which are under identical compressive strain states: the first type involves a sandwiched well structure (SWS) where both sides are interfaced with dielectric $LaAlO₃$, while the other type employs an overlayer structure (OLS) where one of the confining boundaries is replaced by the interface with vacuum (i.e., surface). Transport measurements demonstrate that, while reducing dimensionality can introduce a MIT in both confinement geometries, the transition temperature and the associated charge- and spin-ordered insulating ground state are

FIG. 1 (color online). Cartoons of the two quantum well geometries with potential schematics. (a) Confinement by wide-gap dielectrics $(LaAIO₃)$ on both sides (sandwiched slab). (b) Confinement by a dielectric $(LaAIO_3)$ on one side and vacuum on the other.

remarkably enhanced in SWS compared with OLS. Polarization-dependent local x-ray spectroscopy has identified the presence of an orbital reconstruction between the two geometries, indicating that the fundamentally different response between OLS and SWS is caused by the orbital degeneracy-induced CO instability that couples with the MIT.

To create the quantum wells, high-quality epitaxial $NdNiO₃$ ultrathin slabs oriented along the pseudocubic (001) direction were grown by laser molecular beam epitaxy, as described elsewhere in detail [\[29](#page-4-24)[,31\]](#page-4-26). In situ reflection high energy electron diffraction was used to monitor the layer-by-layer growth for ''digital'' control of the quantum well structure. The compressive strain was achieved via the -0.3% epitaxial lattice mismatch with LaAlO₃ single crystal substrates $(5 \times 5 \text{ mm}^2)$ [\[29\]](#page-4-24). While ultrathin films of $NdNiO₃$ correspond to the $LaAlO₃/NdNiO₃/vacuum OLS$, additional three-unitcell (u.c.) slabs of $LaAlO₃$ were added on the top to achieve the LaAlO₃/NdNiO₃/LaAlO₃ SWS. The evolution of the ground state was studied by dc transport measurements from 300 to 2 K in a physical properties measurement system (PPMS, Quantum Design) using the van der Pauw method where the four corners are covered by Ohmic contacts (see Supplemental Material [[32\]](#page-4-27)). To explore the effects of different boundary conditions on the e_o orbital degeneracy, we have performed detailed resonant soft x-ray absorption measurements at 300 K at the Ni L edge at the 4ID-C beam line of the Advanced Photon Source [[4\]](#page-4-28). The local and element-specific characters of this technique are ideally suited for investigating electronic structure of layers buried in a multicomponent environment. To precisely determine the charge state, all spectra were aligned to a NiO standard measured simultaneously with the samples. In addition, each spectrum was normalized to the beam intensity monitored by a gold mesh set in front of the samples. Since the $3z^2 - r^2$ and $x^2 - y^2$ orbitals have lobes pointing perpendicular and parallel to the confinement *ab* plane, respectively, their hole states were probed by rotating the linear polarization of the light to the out-of-plane $(E \| c)$ and in-plane $(E \| a, b)$ directions, while fixing the sample position with a 20° grazing angle

FIG. 2 (color online). Sheet resistance versus temperature in $NdNiO₃$ quantum wells under OLS (a) and SWS (b). Solid curves are warming data. Cooling data are shown in dashed curves for samples that have hysteresis. Inset of (a): warming curves for 5-u.c. slabs in both geometries. The dashed line is a guide to eye for the extrapolation to lower temperatures in SWS. Inset of (b): comparison of the 10-u.c. OLS and SWS.

of the light $(70^{\circ}$ to surface normal) to avoid issues related to geometry corrections.

Figures $2(a)$ and $2(b)$ show the obtained temperaturedependent sheet resistance of two series of quantum wells of OLS and SWS, respectively, with the NdNi O_3 slab thicknesses of 15, 10, and 5 u.c.. As seen, while metallicity is well maintained at high temperatures in all samples, the OLS and SWS display distinctly different low-temperature evolutions upon reducing the confining dimension. Specifically, the 15-u.c. OLS remains metallic at low temperatures, which is expected as mentioned earlier due to the complete suppression of the bulk charge- and spinordered insulating ground state by the compressive heteroepitaxial strain [[29](#page-4-24),[30](#page-4-25)]. A similar behavior is observed in the 15-u.c. SWS, indicating that quantum confinement is playing a secondary role for thicker slabs, i.e., in the 3D regime. However, upon shrinking the quantum well to 10 u.c., while no significant change occurs to the lowtemperature behavior in OLS, a MIT clearly emerges in SWS at \sim 100 K below which the resistance raises gradually with a sizable thermal hysteresis, manifesting a strong first order character of the transition. These observations mark the striking difference between the two confinement structures as they are compared in the inset of Fig. [2\(b\)](#page-1-1). Upon further reduction of the $NdNiO₃$ slab thickness to 5 u.c., the enhanced quantum confinement facilitates an insulating ground state in OLS, due to the increased correlation or effective mass $[33]$. As seen in Fig. [2\(a\)](#page-1-1), below \sim 140 K, the resistance becomes gradually increasing with decreasing temperature accompanied by a small thermal hysteresis between cooling and warming, characteristic of a weak first order MIT. On the other hand, Fig. [2\(b\)](#page-1-1) shows that the insulating ground state is radically strengthened in SWS; the onset of the first order transition increases towards \sim 200 K, below which the resistance is drastically raised by orders of magnitude and eventfully reaching the measurement limit below 40 K, denoting a strong MIT. The sharp contrast with the 5-u.c. slab in OLS can also be readily seen in the inset of Fig. $2(a)$ when the resistance data taken on warming are plotted together. An extrapolation for the 5-u.c. SWS suggests that the resistance jump differs by more than three orders of magnitude from that of the OLS.

From the observations described above, one can clearly see that, while both types of confinement structures undergo a dimensionality-controlled MIT, the correlated electrons in $NdNiO₃$ quantum wells of OLS and SWS display drastically different behaviors in proximity to the 2D regime. These results unambiguously demonstrate that, when coupled with quantum confinement, the interface has tremendous effect on driving the MIT and the underlying collective long-range ordering. In connection to this, it is interesting to note that due to the reduced coordination of a top atomic layer, the surface is usually believed to have enhanced correlations [\[34\]](#page-4-30). In variance, this surface effect is ruled out by the suppressed MIT in OLS. Since $NdNiO₃$ represents an iconic example of synergetic CO and SO [\[26](#page-4-22)[,27\]](#page-4-31), the suppression or enhancement of these collective electronic behaviors in the quantum well regime accentuates the pivotal role of the confining boundary. Specifically, the strong first order MIT in SWS implies that the ordered insulating phase is favored by the interface with $LaAlO₃$. The surface, on the other hand, effectively suppresses these orderings and the first order character of the transition in OLS and opposes the carrier localization driven by confinement-induced correlations as the electrons remain relatively mobile. Given that the $NdNiO₃$ slab interfaces with $LaAlO₃$ on the other side, the effect of the surface in destabilizing CO and SO is conceivably much stronger than that in OLS. Moreover, note that the appearance of the first order MIT at the dimensional crossover in $NdNiO₃$ quantum wells is in sharp contrast with the observed second order MIT and Néel transition in confined LaNiO₃ [\[14–](#page-4-18)[16](#page-4-10)]. The cause of such difference between these two e^1_g systems will be an interesting subject for future study.

While the spin degeneracy of localized d electrons is lifted via SO, CO in single valent systems like $RNiO₃$ is to

FIG. 3 (color online). Polarization-dependent Ni L_2 -edge x-ray absorption spectrum (upper) and linear dichroism spectra (lower) $(I_{E\parallel c} - I_{E\parallel a,b})$ of 5- and 10-u.c. slabs of NdNiO₃ in OLS and SWS. Absorption spectrum of bulk $NdNiO₃$ is included for comparison. Note, different samples are compared by normalization to the whiteline intensity at the L_2 edge.

remove the e_g orbital degeneracy via charge disproportionation (e.g., $2Ni^{III} \rightarrow Ni^{II} + Ni^{IV}$), instead of the typical Jahn-Teller route [\[35\]](#page-4-32). In addition, the orbital physics has also been suggested to be crucial in realizing the cupratelike behavior in heterostructured e_g^1 systems [\[18](#page-4-12)[,22\]](#page-4-16). To gain further microscopic insight into the orbital response to different boundary conditions and avoid complication from the low-temperature orderings, we have performed linearly polarized x-ray absorption measurements above the MITs. We focused on the 5-u.c. quantum wells, where the effects of the quantum confinement and the boundary condition are strongly coupled, along with bulk $NdNiO₃$ included for direct comparison. First, we note that for the given small thicknesses of the NdNiO₃ slab (\sim 2 nm) and the top LaAlO₃ slab (\sim 1.2 nm), the electron-yield probing depth $(\geq 12 \text{ nm})$ is significantly larger than the total film thicknesses of both OLS and SWS; thus, in either case, while the $NdNiO₃$ slab can be assumed to be uniformly probed, the contribution from the top interfacial or surface region will be significant due to the small total thicknesses. Moreover, the Ni L_3 edge is overlapped by the intense La M_4 edge from LaAlO₃ (see Supplemental Material $[32]$ $[32]$ $[32]$), rendering the line shape distortion. From the distortion-free L_2 edge (see Fig. [3](#page-2-0)), however, one can observe that the spectra of the NdNiO₃ quantum wells taken at 300 K are similar to those of the bulk [[36](#page-4-33),[37](#page-4-34)], indicative of a Ni^{3+} charge state of the low-spin configuration ($S = 1/2$). In particular, the spectra consist of a main peak at \sim 871.2 eV and a weak **Example 2**
 Example 20
 Example 20

shoulder at the low-energy side due to the multiplet structure. This spectral line shape can be well described by the strong mixing of the d^7 and d^8 <u>L</u> configurations (here <u>L</u> denotes a ligand hole), due to the self-doping caused by the small or negative charge transfer energy [\[38](#page-4-35)[,39\]](#page-4-36). Meanwhile, a direct comparison of the spectra shows that, while the low-energy shoulder at the L_2 edge is smeared in the bulk, this feature emerges stronger in the quantum well regime due to the reduced effective dimension [[14](#page-4-18)].

Next, both OLS and SWS exhibit linear polarizationdependence across both the L_3 edge (see Supplemental Material $[32]$) and the L_2 edge (see Fig. [3](#page-2-0)). As seen at the bottom of Fig. [3,](#page-2-0) this dependence is further substantiated by the difference spectra $(I_{E||c} - I_{E||a,b})$. In particular, the difference spectra in OLS and SWS share a similar line shape. We recap that the presence of x-ray linear dichroism is the signature of charge anisotropy due to reduced local symmetry. The larger negative dichroic spectral weight at the high-energy side of both edges indicates that the overall absorption cross section is smaller with $E \parallel c$, implying a preferential electron (hole) occupation of the $3z^2 - r^2$ $(x^2 - y^2)$ orbital in both OLS and SWS. This assignment of orbital polarization is consistent with that of the $NiO₆$ octahedron distorted by compressive strain [\[40\]](#page-4-37). On the other hand, one can see that the orbital polarization is substantially larger in OLS than in SWS; the linear dichroic effect in OLS is about three times of that in SWS. The relative suppression of the $3z^2 - r^2$ orbital polarization in SWS strongly implies that the confining interface stabilizes the preferential occupation of a $x^2 - y^2$ orbital for the e_g electron, opposing the crystal field effect of compressive strain. This effect of the confining block originates from the interfacial interaction between Ni and Al across the apical oxygen [[21,](#page-4-15)[22](#page-4-16)] and has been previously reported for $\text{LaNiO}_3/\text{LaAlO}_3$ superlattices as an alternative for manipulating orbital polarization [\[10,](#page-4-17)[11\]](#page-4-8). In contrast, the absence of the confining layer on one side of the quantum well selects the $3z^2 - r^2$ orbital character, acting synergetically with the compressive strain; this observation is in good agreement with the recent density functional theory calculations [[41](#page-4-38)]. To further confirm this picture, we performed the same measurement on the 10-u.c. quantum wells, where the boundary effect is expectedly reduced. Indeed, as shown in Fig. [3](#page-2-0), the spectra of both structures clearly indicate their $3z^2 - r^2$ orbital characters with a much smaller but still observable difference between them. A close examination reveals that the dichroic effect of the SWS is slightly weaker (\sim 25%) than that of the OLS, which can be better seen in their difference spectra. These results corroborate that the confining interface (surface) favors the $x^2 - y^2 (3z^2 - r^2)$ orbital.

Identifying this counteracting effect between SWS and OLS in lifting orbital degeneracy elucidates the underlying role of the confining boundary in controlling the collectively ordered correlated ground state and the first order

MIT of the quantum wells. Specifically, while Jahn-Teller distortion is characteristic of orbitally degenerate d electrons in the strong interaction limit, CO (charge disproportionation) instability coupled with carrier localization has been shown to be a potent competing pathway to lift the orbital degeneracy on the verge of the localized-toitinerant crossover in charge-transfer compounds [[35\]](#page-4-32). This is due to the energy gain in the Hund's coupling which overcomes the reduced loss in Coulomb interaction. This mechanism is particularly efficient in charge-transfer systems such as $RNiO₃$ where charges fluctuate strongly via $d^7 \leftrightarrow d^8 \underline{L}$ and the charge-disproportionated Ni^{IV} site can be readily stabilized by forming a singlet state from the d^7 and d^8 L^2 configurations rather than creating the energetically unfavorable d^6 state. In fact, this CO picture has been successfully applied to explain the complex SO in $RNiO₃$ with the absence of orbital ordering [\[38\]](#page-4-35). The CO instability, however, would be naturally suppressed when orbital splitting is artificially introduced and/or enhanced, e.g., in quantum well structures. As a result, in the critical region of the quantum confinement, when the interface compensates the orbital splitting induced by strain, the CO instability initiates the strong MIT in SWS, In contrast, the ordered insulating ground state is rapidly weakened in OLS and the in-plane charge fluctuation $d_{3z^2-r^2}^7 \leftrightarrow$ $d^8 \underline{L}_{x^2-y^2}$ becomes more stable, as the orbital polarization is increased. This difference in the propensity to CO instability between OLS and SWS is further amplified by the absence of Madelung potential from the confining $LaAlO₃$ layer on one side of OLS; as the prerequisite to the e_g orbital degeneracy, the d^7 configuration is stabilized by the Madelung potential.

In conclusion, we have investigated the effect of different confinement geometries on the MIT and the orbital reconstruction of correlated electrons in $NdNiO₃$ quantum wells. Direct comparison between OLS and SWS under the stringent dimensionality control explicitly demonstrates that the interface plays a critical role in defining the many-body ground state of quantum-mechanically confined strongly interacting system, in addition to blocking the vertical hopping. While charge fluctuations are more favorable under confinement with the surface, the ordered insulating ground state is found to be markedly strengthened by interfacing with $LaAlO₃$, resulting in strong first order MITs. Orbital reconstruction was found between the two confinement structures by resonant x-ray spectroscopy combined with linear polarization-dependence study. The difference between OLS and SWS in triggering the first order MIT was revealed to be caused by the increased (suppressed) the e_g orbital polarization which reduces (enhances) the CO instability in the critical region of the Mott transition induced by quantum confinement. These findings illustrate how the fine balance between competing many-body states of Mott electrons in correlated quantum wells can be tuned by modulating the boundary condition. It is conceivable that such structures will be useful to exploit these properties in innovative electronic devices.

The authors acknowledge fruitful discussions with M. J. Han, M. van Veenendaal, J. M. Rondinelli, S. Okamoto, D. I. Khomskii, A. J. Millis, and G. A. Sawatzky. J. C. was supported by DOD-ARO under the Grant No. 0402-17291 and NSF Grant No. DMR-0747808. Work at the Advanced Photon Source, Argonne is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science under Grant No. DEAC02-06CH11357.

[*j](#page-0-0)xl026@uark.edu

Present address: Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA.

- [1] J. G. Bednorz, [Nature Mater.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmat1997) **6**, 621 (2007).
- [2] J. Mannhart and D. G. Schlom, Science 327[, 1607 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1181862)
- [3] A. Ohtomo and H.Y. Hwang, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature02308) 427, 423 [\(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature02308).
- [4] J. Chakhalian, J. W. Freeland, H.-U. Habermeier, G. Cristiani, G. Khaliullin, M. van Veenendaal, and B. Keimer, Science 318[, 1114 \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1149338)
- [5] G. Logvenov, A. Gozar, and I. Bozovic, [Science](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1178863) 326, 699 [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1178863).
- [6] M. Bibes, J. E. Villegas, and A. Barthelemy, [Adv. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00018732.2010.534865) 60[, 5 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00018732.2010.534865)
- [7] M. Takizawa et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**[, 236401 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.236401)
- [8] Jian Liu, M. Kareev, S. Prosandeev, B. Gray, P. Ryan, J. W. Freeland, and J. Chakhalian, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3371690) 96, 133111 [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3371690).
- [9] S. S. A. Seo et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**[, 036401 \(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.036401).
- [10] E. Benckiser et al., [Nature Mater.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmat2958) **10**, 189 (2011).
- [11] J. W. Freeland, J. Liu, M. Kareev, B. Gray, J. W. Kim, P. Ryan, R. Pentcheva, and J. Chakhalian, [Europhys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/96/57004) 96[, 57004 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/96/57004)
- [12] K. Yoshimatsu, T. Okabe, H. Kumigashira, S. Okamoto, S. Aizaki, A. Fujimori, and M. Oshima, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.147601) 104, [147601 \(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.147601).
- [13] K. Yoshimatsu, K. Horiba, H. Kumigashira, T. Yoshida, A. Fujimori, and M. Oshima, Science 333[, 319 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1205771)
- [14] J. Liu, S. Okamoto, M. van Veenendaal, M. Kareev, B. Gray, P. Ryan, J. W. Freeland, and J. Chakhalian, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.161102) Rev. B 83[, 161102\(R\) \(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.161102).
- [15] A. V. Boris *et al.*, Science 332[, 937 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1202647)
- [16] R. Scherwitzl, S. Gariglio, M. Gabay, P. Zubko, M. Gibert, and J.-M. Triscone, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106[, 246403 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.246403)
- [17] V. Pardo and W. E. Pickett, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.166803)* **102**, 166803 [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.166803).
- [18] J. Chaloupka and G. Khaliullin, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.016404) 100, [016404 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.016404)
- [19] G. Jackeli and G. Khaliullin, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.216804)* **101**, 216804 [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.216804).
- [20] A. Ruegg and G. A. Fiete, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.201103) 84 , $201103(R)$ [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.201103); K.-Y. Yang, W. Zhu, D. Xiao, S. Okamoto, Z. Wang, and Y. Ran, *ibid.* **84**[, 201104\(R\) \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.201104)
- [21] P. Hansmann, X. Yang, A. Toschi, G. Khaliullin, O. K. Andersen, and K. Held, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.016401) 103, 016401 [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.016401).
- [22] M. J. Han, C. A. Marianetti, and A. J. Millis, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.134408)* 82[, 134408 \(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.134408).
- [23] A. M. Kaiser et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**[, 116402 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.116402)
- [24] A. X. Gray et al., Phys. Rev. B 84[, 075104 \(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.075104).
- [25] P. Hansmann, A. Toschi, X. Yang, O. K. Andersen, and K. Held, Phys. Rev. B 82[, 235123 \(2010\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.235123) S. B. Lee, R. Chen, and L. Balents, ibid. 84[, 165119 \(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.165119).
- [26] G. Catalan, *[Phase Transit.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01411590801992463)* **81**, 729 (2008).
- [27] M. L. Medarde, [J. Phys. Condens. Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/9/8/003) 9, 1679 (1997).
- [28] U. Staub, G. I. Meijer, F. Fauth, R. Allenspach, J. G. Bednorz, J. Karpinski, S. M. Kazakov, L. Paolasini, and F. d'Acapito, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88[, 126402 \(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.126402).
- [29] J. Liu, M. Kareev, B. Gray, J. W. Kim, P. Ryan, B. Dabrowski, J. W. Freeland, and J. Chakhalian, [Appl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3451462) Phys. Lett. 96[, 233110 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3451462)
- [30] M. K. Stewart, J. Liu, M. Kareev, J. Chakhalian, and D. N. Basov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107[, 176401 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.176401)
- [31] D. J. Meyers et al., [arXiv:1112.5348.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1112.5348)
- [32] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.107402) [supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.107402](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.107402) for details.
- [33] S. Okamoto, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 201305(*R*) (2011).
- [34] A. Liebsch, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**[, 096401 \(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.096401).
- [35] I.I. Mazin, D.I. Khomskii, R. Lengsdorf, J.A. Alonso, W. G. Marshall, R. M. Ibberson, A. Podlesnyak, M. J. Martínez-Lope, and M.M. Abd-Elmeguid, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.176406) Lett. 98[, 176406 \(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.176406).
- [36] M. Medarde, A. Fontaine, J. L. Garcia-Munoz, J. Rodrı´guez-Carvajal, M. de Santis, M. Sacchi, G. Rossi, and P. Lacorre, Phys. Rev. B 46[, 14975 \(1992\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.46.14975)
- [37] C. Piamonteze, F. M. F. de Groot, H. C. N. Tolentino, A. Y. Ramos, N.E. Massa, J.A. Alonso, and M.J. Martínez-Lope, Phys. Rev. B 71[, 020406\(R\) \(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.71.020406)
- [38] T. Mizokawa, D. I. Khomskii, and G. A. Sawatzky, *[Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.61.11263)* Rev. B 61[, 11263 \(2000\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.61.11263)
- [39] T. Mizokawa, A. Fujimori , T. Arima, Y. Tokura, N. Mori, and J. Akimitsu, Phys. Rev. B 52[, 13865 \(1995\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.52.13865).
- [40] J. Chakhalian et al., [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.116805) 107, 116805 [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.116805).
- [41] M. J. Han and M. van Veenendaal, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.125137) 84, [125137 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.125137)