## Flat-Band Ferromagnetism as a Pauli-Correlated Percolation Problem

M. Maksymenko,<sup>1,2</sup> A. Honecker,<sup>3,4</sup> R. Moessner,<sup>2</sup> J. Richter,<sup>5</sup> and O. Derzhko<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Condensed Matter Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, 1 Svientsitskii Street, 79011 L'viv, Ukraine

<sup>2</sup>Max-Planck-Institut für Physik Komplexer Systeme, Nöthnitzer Straße 38, 01187 Dresden, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Institut für Theoretische Physik, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, 37077 Göttingen, Germany <sup>4</sup>Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, 37073 Göttingen, Germany

<sup>5</sup>Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Magdeburg, P. O. Box 4120, 39016 Magdeburg, Germany

(Received 11 April 2012; published 28 August 2012)

We investigate the location and nature of the para-ferro transition of interacting electrons in dispersionless bands using the example of the Hubbard model on the Tasaki lattice. This case can be analyzed as a geometric site-percolation problem where different configurations appear with nontrivial weights. We provide a complete exact solution for the one-dimensional case and develop a numerical algorithm for the two-dimensional case. In two dimensions the paramagnetic phase persists beyond the uncorrelated percolation point, and the grand-canonical transition is via a first-order jump to an unsaturated ferromagnetic phase.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.096404

PACS numbers: 71.10.Fd, 64.60.De

Introduction.—The interplay of the Coulomb interaction with the Pauli principle was already recognized by Heisenberg [1] to give rise to a ferromagnetic exchange interaction, also encoded in Hund's rule about aligned spins in a partially filled shell. For a many-body system of correlated electrons with a flat band, when the interaction energy completely dominates over the kinetic energy, the ferromagnetic instability is one of the few problems for which exact results are available, albeit for a restricted range of fillings [2-7].

Flat band systems are receiving a great deal of attention right now, in particular with the view of realizing new many-body phases there (see Refs. [8-12] and references therein); in this context, the possibility of ferromagnetism as a many-body instability is also being considered [13]. It is therefore timely to provide a detailed study of the phase diagram and the critical properties of this form of magnetism: we analyze a flat-band ferromagnet with an on-site Hubbard interaction of strength  $U \ge 0$ . For U = 0, any state involving electrons occupying the flat band only is trivially a ground state.

Crucially, this degeneracy is only partially lifted when a repulsive U > 0 is switched on. First, since the flat band permits well-localized real-space electronic wave functions, at low density electrons can be placed on the lattice so that they do not overlap. Second, even if they do overlap, they can still avoid paying an energy penalty U: the basic reason is that the Pauli principle, by demanding an antisymmetric pair wave function, makes the overlap between two electrons on the same site vanish provided they are in a symmetric spin state. This is the origin of flat-band ferromagnetism.

As the density of electrons increases, ferromagnetic clusters of increasing size appear. The degeneracy, m + 1, of a ferromagnetic cluster containing m electrons, gives differing weights to different clustering of electrons. The ferromagnetic transition corresponds to the emergence of a cluster containing a nonzero fraction of the electrons.

An early remark by Mielke [2] likened this problem to one of percolation. Mielke and Tasaki [3,4] noted that, for a class of flat-band ferromagnets on particular decorated lattices, the percolation problem in question is not a standard one [14,15] but rather one including nontrivial weights.

Here, we develop this analogy in detail. First of all, we point out that the interaction between the clusters, on account of its "statistical origin" in the Pauli principle, is unusual in that it is range-free and purely geometric-two particles interact only if they form part of the same cluster. The interaction is genuinely many-body in that it cannot be decomposed into a sum of pairwise terms. It is effectively repulsive and only depends on the size of the cluster, irrespective of its shape. Despite its long range, the statistical interaction does saturate.

This motivates the study of the resulting unusual percolation problem, which we call Pauli-correlated percolation (PCP). We find that it has a number of interesting features in its own right. It provides an instance of a problem in the quantum physics of strongly correlated electrons which can be "reduced" to a highly nontrivial problem in *clas*sical statistical mechanics, on which an entirely different set of tools can be brought to bear. We first demonstrate some special features of this problem by providing a complete exact solution of the one-dimensional (1D) version of this model, which corresponds to a sawtooth lattice potentially realized in strongly correlated sawtoothlike compounds such as CeRh<sub>3</sub>B<sub>2</sub> [5]. Unlike standard percolation, this exhibits a tendency to break up large clusters as

well as a development of spatial (anti)correlations. Its percolation transition at full filling is continuous.

Next, we carry out an analysis of the phase diagram for the two-dimensional (2D) Tasaki lattice, a decorated square lattice (see left panel of Fig. 1). Using a numerical algorithm custom-tailored to the problem at hand by extending the Hoshen-Kopelman and Newman-Ziff algorithms [16,17] for standard percolation, we establish that the ferromagnetic transition does indeed take place at a filling comfortably in excess of the corresponding well-known percolation transition on the square lattice at  $p_c = 0.592746...$  [15,17]. In the grand-canonical ensemble, this transition is of first order; in the canonical ensemble we find concomitant phase-separated states (see Fig. 1 for some examples).

Pauli-correlated percolation and flat-band ferromagnetism.—As a representative system with a dispersionless (flat) band, let us consider the Tasaki model [3,4], although our approach in principle can be adapted to other flat-band lattices. The enumeration of all ground states of the repulsive Hubbard model on the Tasaki lattice maps to a percolation problem where each occupied site on a hypercubic lattice corresponds to an electron localized in a trapping cell (whose wave function only overlaps with that of electrons in adjacent cells). (The details of this mapping, which are unimportant for the following, are relegated to the Supplemental Material [18]). All ground states can be labeled by the possible geometric configurations of nelectrons distributed over  $\mathcal{N}$  traps, labeled by q, and a nontrivial weight of each state [4]

$$W(q) = \prod_{i=1}^{M_q} e^{\mu |C_i|} (|C_i| + 1), \tag{1}$$

which arises because of the spin degeneracy of the ferromagnetic cluster of size  $|C_i|$  in configuration q ( $M_q$  denotes the number of clusters in the system). Here  $e^{\mu}$  is a fugacity which can be used to tune the number of electrons in a grand-canonical ensemble.

The expectation value of an operator A is given by the usual expression

$$\langle A \rangle = \frac{\sum_{q} A(q) W(q)}{\sum_{q} W(q)}.$$
 (2)

For the grand-canonical ensemble, the sum over q runs over all configurations of  $n = 0, ..., \mathcal{N}$  electrons while for the canonical ensemble, it is restricted to configurations with a given number of electrons n.

From the point of view of magnetism, a particularly important observable is the square of the total spin  $S^2$ which can be written for a particular geometric configuration q in two equivalent ways

$$S_{q}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{M_{q}} \frac{|C_{i}|}{2} \left(\frac{|C_{i}|}{2} + 1\right) = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \mathcal{N}n_{q}(l) \frac{l}{2} \left(\frac{l}{2} + 1\right).$$
(3)

In the first form, the contribution from each cluster is manifest while the second form relates it to  $n_q(l)$ , the normalized number of clusters of size l, i.e., a quantity which plays a central role in percolation theory [14,15].

Quantum-statistical interaction.-Important differences arise between our Pauli-correlated percolation and the standard one [with trivial weight factor  $W(q) \equiv 1$ ]. The weight factor can be cast as a pseudo-Boltzmann weight of statistical origin,  $W(q) \equiv \exp[\ln W(q)]$ . The resulting effective entropic interaction,  $\ln W(q)$ , has the following properties. First, it is repulsive—a group of m electrons has maximal weight  $2^m$  if they form isolated one-electron "clusters," and minimal weight m + 1 if they form a single cluster. These extreme cases show that  $\ln(m+1) \leq$  $\ln W(q) \le m \ln 2$  saturates, i.e., is never superextensive unlike other long-range interactions. Befitting its quantum statistical origin, the interaction is range-free—the shape of the cluster is unimportant, only its number of electrons matters. Note also that the interaction is a genuinely manybody one: due to the form  $\ln W(q) = \ln(m+1)$  it cannot be written as a sum of two-particle terms.

Taking all of this together demonstrates that this interaction gives rise to an entirely novel "Pauli-correlated" percolation problem, of interest in its relevance to flat-band



FIG. 1 (color). Left: Two-dimensional Tasaki lattice. A trapping cell contains five sites (dashed red lines). The green circles and lines show the 1D variant of the lattice (sawtooth chain). Right: Snapshots of configurations for standard and Pauli-correlated percolation for small deviations from critical concentration. Panels (a) and (b) show snapshots (lattice extension  $\mathcal{L} = 200$ ) of configurations for standard percolation for concentrations  $p_1 = 0.574$  and  $p_2 = 0.6$  ( $p_c = 0.592746...$ ), while panels (c), (d), (e), and (f) show snapshots for Pauli-correlated percolation for  $p_3 = 0.62$  (paramagnetic),  $p_4 = 0.65$ ,  $p_5 = 0.7$  (phase-separated), and  $p_6 = 0.78$  (ferromagnetic). Pink color denotes the largest cluster.

ferromagnetism and as a physically motivated example of a nonstandard percolation problem with an unusual weight.

*Exact solution in one dimension.*—We first provide a complete solution of the 1D Tasaki model (sawtooth chain) [3–7]. A solution of the problem can be obtained with the help of a transfer matrix [7] despite the long-range nature of the statistical interaction (for technical details see Ref. [18]). For a given electron density  $p = n/\mathcal{N}$  we find

$$n(l) = \frac{4(1-p)^3}{(2-p)^2}(l+1)\alpha^l, \qquad \alpha = \frac{p}{2-p}.$$
 (4)

This cluster-size distribution (Fig. 2, left panel) has a maximum at  $l^* > 1$  for p > 0.8 moving along  $l^* \approx -(1 + 1/\ln\alpha)$  for  $p \rightarrow 1$ . This is unlike the standard percolation result  $(1 - p)^2 p^l$  [14], which drops monotonically with l and thus has a maximum at  $l^* = 1$ .

The macroscopic magnetic moment vanishes for p < 1, with a continuous onset at percolation,  $p_f = 1$ , as  $(p_f - p)^{-1}$ :

$$\langle \mathbf{S}^2 \rangle = \frac{3p(2-p)}{8(1-p)} \mathcal{N}.$$
 (5)

The connected pair correlation function

$$g(|i-j|) = \langle n_i n_j \rangle - \langle n_i \rangle \langle n_j \rangle = -(1-p)^2 e^{-|i-j|/\xi} < 0$$
(6)

yields a correlation length  $\xi = -1/(2 \ln \alpha)$  that diverges as  $(p_f - p)^{-1}$  when  $p \to p_f = 1$ . By contrast, for standard percolation there are no nontrivial pair correlations:  $g(|i - j|) = p(1 - p)\delta_{i,j}$ .

The negative sign in Eq. (6) shows that the interaction is repulsive—electron positions anticorrelate. The longrange and many-body nature of the interactions leads to a nontrivial cluster size distribution favoring an approximately uniform spacing of vacant cells.

The phase diagram in 2D.—The 2D case is not amenable to exact solution. Here we examine the 2D PCP numerically. Due to the nontrivial weights (1), simple random sampling used for the conventional percolation is insufficient.



FIG. 2 (color online). Left: n(l) (top) and g(l) (bottom) at p = 0.99 for PCP (solid line) and standard percolation (dotted line) in 1D. Right: Deviation of  $\langle S^2 \rangle / S_{\text{max}}^2$  from saturation for large p for PCP and standard percolation in 2D.

Going beyond standard numerical schemes [16,17] we have implemented efficient importance sampling on  $\mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L}$  square lattices with periodic boundary conditions as follows. In the grand-canonical ensemble, we simply choose a site and if it is empty (occupied), propose to insert (remove) an electron. In the canonical ensemble we generate a new configuration  $q_2$  from the given one  $q_1$  by random permutation of two sites in order to ensure a fixed number of electrons. The new configuration is accepted with the Metropolis probability min  $[1, W(q_2)/W(q_1)]$ . In addition, we have employed exchange Monte Carlo steps [19] for the grand-canonical simulations. Clusters are identified in two different ways: (1) using a modified Newman-Ziff algorithm [17] which locally updates cluster labeling for fixed number of occupied sites and (2) using the Hoshen-Kopelman algorithm [16] which makes a global update. Our central results are the following.

The percolation transition is of first order as already suggested by visual inspection of individual configurations (Fig. 1). Grand-canonical simulations exhibit a jump at a chemical potential  $\mu_c$  between densities  $p_-$  and  $p_+$ , fixed by equal-sized peaks in the histograms as shown in Fig. 3. We estimate the jump to occur between densities  $p_-$  around 0.63(1) and  $p_+ \approx 0.75(2)$ . In between, in our *canonical* simulations for finite systems, ferromagnetism appears to set on smoothly. Figure 4 shows  $\langle S^2 \rangle / S_{\text{max}}^2$  [where  $S_{\text{max}}^2 = \frac{n}{2}(\frac{n}{2} + 1)$ ] for systems up to  $270 \times 270$  sites. Additionally, the cluster-size distribution n(l) indicates the emergence of a large component without passing through a scale-free critical distribution.

Extent of nonpercolating (paramagnetic) phase.—The critical density for PCP exceeds that of the standard case  $(p_c = 0.592746... [15,17])$ , see Fig. 4, where the macroscopic moment at p = 0.62 is seen to scale to zero with system size. This reflects the breakup of the large clusters due to the repulsive effective interactions.



FIG. 3 (color online). Density of electrons p vs chemical potential  $\mu$ , controlling the filling of the flat band in 2D. Inset: Histograms of density for a "finite-size" critical value  $\mu = \mu_c$ .



FIG. 4 (color online). Left: Finite-size scaling of the square of the magnetic moment  $\langle S^2 \rangle / S_{\text{max}}^2$  for the 2D Tasaki lattice (up to  $\mathcal{L} = 270$ ). Right: Normalized number of clusters n(l) for  $\mathcal{L} = 200$ .

Percolating phase with unsaturated ferromagnetism.— For higher densities, there appears a regime of unsaturated ferromagnetism, where  $\langle S^2 \rangle / S_{max}^2 < 1$ , illustrated by p = 0.78 in Figs. 1 and 4. The existence of this regime is transparent from the percolation viewpoint: In standard percolation the largest cluster excludes a nonzero density,  $\mathcal{N}p(1-p)^c$  for  $p \rightarrow 1$ , *c* being the coordination number of the lattice. For PCP, with its *repulsive* interactions, this is amplified (see right panel in Fig. 2). However the power law is identical to that of standard percolation, showing that the repulsive interactions do not immediately lead to a breakup of the largest cluster, presumably on account of the high entropic cost of arranging voids into continuous lines separating two clusters.

We note some features of the canonical ensemble arising in the phase-coexistence regime. The high-density phase appears to form first as a compact nonpercolating object with a macroscopic magnetic moment [the configuration at p = 0.65 shown in Fig. 1(d) is in this region]. For higher densities, including the case p = 0.7, the ferromagnetic phase spans across the system [compare Fig. 1(e)]. The details of the phase-separated regime therefore contain much which is different from standard percolation including the bootstrap and correlated variants [20,21], in particular with regard to properties which are of interest to flat-band ferromagnetism. These topics are the subject of ongoing studies [22].

*Conclusions and perspectives.*—We have considered Pauli-correlated percolation, an unusual percolation problem arising in a strongly correlated flat-band system, where the weights of the geometrical configurations take non-trivial values due to the spin degeneracy and the Pauli principle.

The Pauli-correlated percolation problem can be examined exactly in 1D and simulated efficiently in 2D. We found that the effectively repulsive interaction leads to a breaking up of the clusters, and thus to a first-order grandcanonical transition in 2D, at a density which is higher than that of standard site percolation. For the underlying 2D Tasaki-Hubbard model our results imply ground-state ferromagnetism in a range of electron fillings from 0.21(1) to 1/3.

Besides the 1D realization mentioned above [5] and the hope of discovering corresponding quasi-2D materials, in Ref. [12] the possible realization of flat-band ferromagnetism in organic polymers was discussed. On the other hand the 2D version of the Tasaki lattice is so simple that it seems to be a reasonable candidate for realization as a system of cold atoms in optical lattices [10,23].

The authors would like to thank J. Chalker and A. Nahum for valuable discussions. M. M. thanks DAAD (A/10/84322) and DFG (SFB 602) for support of his stays at the University of Göttingen and University of Magdeburg in 2010-2011. A. H. acknowledges support by the DFG through a Heisenberg fellowship (Project HO 2325/4-2). O. D. acknowledges the kind hospitality of University of Magdeburg in October-December of 2011. J. R. and O. D. thank the DFG for support (RI 615/21-1).

- [1] W. Heisenberg, Z. Phys. 38, 411 (1926); 49, 619 (1928).
- [2] A. Mielke, J. Phys. A 24, L73 (1991); 24, 3311 (1991); 25, 4335 (1992); Phys. Lett. A 174, 443 (1993).
- [3] H. Tasaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 1608 (1992).
- [4] A. Mielke and H. Tasaki, Commun. Math. Phys. 158, 341 (1993).
- [5] T. Okubo, M. Yamada, A. Thamizhavel, S. Kirita, Y. Inada, R. Settai, H. Harima, K. Takegahara, A. Galatanu, E. Yamamoto, and Y. Onuki, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 15, L721 (2003); Z. Gulácsi, A. Kampf, and D. Vollhardt, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 176, 1 (2008).
- [6] O. Derzhko, A. Honecker, and J. Richter, Phys. Rev. B 76, 220402(R) (2007).
- [7] O. Derzhko, J. Richter, A. Honecker, M. Maksymenko, and R. Moessner, Phys. Rev. B 81, 014421 (2010).
- [8] D. N. Sheng, Z.-C. Gu, K. Sun, and L. Sheng, Nature Commun. 2, 389 (2011); K. Sun, Z. Gu, H. Katsura, and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 236803 (2011).
- [9] H. Katsura, I. Maruyama, A. Tanaka, and H. Tasaki, Europhys. Lett. 91, 57007 (2010).
- [10] C. Wu, D. Bergman, L. Balents, and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 070401 (2007); Y.-F. Wang, Z.-C. Gu, C.-D. Gong, and D.N. Sheng, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 146803 (2011).
- [11] E. Tang, J.-W. Mei, and X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 236802 (2011); J. Schulenburg, A. Honecker, J. Schnack, J. Richter, and H.-J. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 167207 (2002); J. Richter, O. Derzhko, and J. Schulenburg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 107206 (2004).
- [12] Z. Gulácsi, A. Kampf, and D. Vollhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 266403 (2010).

- [13] T. Neupert, L. Santos, C. Chamon, and C. Mudry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 236804 (2011); T. Neupert, L. Santos, S. Ryu, C. Chamon, and C. Mudry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 046806 (2012).
- [14] D. Stauffer, Phys. Rep. 54, 1 (1979).
- [15] M. B. Isichenko, Rev. Mod. Phys. 64, 961 (1992).
- [16] J. Hoshen and R. Kopelman, Phys. Rev. B 14, 3438 (1976).
- [17] M. E. J. Newman and R. M. Ziff, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 4104 (2000); Phys. Rev. E 64, 016706 (2001).
- [18] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.096404 for a

summary of the Tasaki-Hubbard model, its relation to Pauli-correlated percolation, and details of the exact solution in one dimension.

- [19] K. Hukushima and K. Nemoto, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 65, 1604 (1996).
- [20] J. Chalupa, P. L. Leath, and G. R. Reich, J. Phys. C 12, L31 (1979).
- [21] A. Weinrib, Phys. Rev. B 29, 387 (1984).
- [22] M. Maksymenko (to be published).
- [23] V. Apaja, M. Hyrkäs, and M. Manninen, Phys. Rev. A 82, 041402(R) (2010); M. Hyrkäs, V. Apaja, and M. Manninen, arXiv:1201.0468.