Novel Attractive Force between Ions in Quantum Plasmas

P. K. Shukla^{1,2,*} and B. Eliasson^{1,†}

¹International Centre for Advanced Studies in Physical Sciences and Institute for Theoretical Physics, Faculty of Physics

and Astronomie, Ruhr-University Bochum, D-44780 Bochum, Germany

²Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering and Center for Energy Research, University of California San Diego,

La Jolla, California 92093, USA

(Received 18 December 2011; published 20 April 2012)

We report a new attractive force between ions that are shielded by degenerate electrons in quantum plasmas. Specifically, we show that the electric potential around an isolated ion has a hard core negative part that resembles the Lennard-Jones–type potential. Physically, the new electric potential is attributed to the consideration of the quantum statistical pressure and the quantum Bohm potential, as well as the electron exchange and electron correlations due to electron-1/2 spin within the framework of the quantum hydrodynamical description of quantum plasmas. The shape of the attractive potential is determined by the ratio between the Bohr radius and the Wigner-Seitz radius of degenerate electrons. The existence of the hard core negative potential will be responsible for the attraction of ions forming lattices and atoms or molecules, as well as for critical points and phase transitions in quantum plasmas at nanoscales.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.165007

PACS numbers: 52.30.-q, 71.10.Ca

A study of the potential distribution around a test charged object is of fundamental importance in many physical systems (e.g., dilute charge stabilized colloidal suspensions such as latex spheres in water, micelles and microemulsions, condensed matter with strongly correlated electrons and holes, strongly coupled dusty and quantum plasmas in laboratory and astrophysical environments, etc.), since its knowledge predicts how a cloud of opposite polarity charges will shield a test charge particle over a certain radius, which is known as the Debye-Hückel radius in the context of electrolytes and plasmas and the Thomas-Fermi or Yukawa radius in the context of condensed matter. The traditional repulsive screened Coulomb potential assumes the form $\phi(r) = (Q/r) \exp(-r/\lambda)$, where Q is the test charge and λ the shielding radius of the sphere. In a classical electron-ion plasma [1,2], an isolated ion is shielded by nondegenerate Boltzmann distributed electrons, and hence one [3] replaces Q by $Z_i e$ and λ by the electron Debye radius $\lambda_{\text{De}} = (k_B T_e / 4\pi n_0 e^2)^{1/2}$, where Z_i is the ion charge state, *e* the magnitude of the electron charge, k_B the Boltzmann constant, T_e the electron temperature, and n_0 the unperturbed electron number density. For a slowly moving test charge in collisionless [4] and collisional [5,6] plasmas, there appear additional farfield potentials decreasing as the inverse cube and inverse square of the distance between the test charge and the observer. In a collisionless dusty plasma [7-9] with Boltzmann distributed electrons and ions, a micron-sized negatively charged isolated dust is shielded by both positive ions and electrons. Here Q equals $Z_d e$, and λ is replaced by the effective dusty plasma Debye radius $\lambda_D =$ $\lambda_{\rm De} \lambda_{Di} / \sqrt{\lambda_{\rm De}^2 + \lambda_{Di}^2}$, where Z_d is the number of electrons residing on a dust grain, $\lambda_{Di} = (k_B T_i / 4\pi n_{i0} e^2)^{1/2}$ the ion

Debye radius, T_i the ion temperature, $n_{i0} = n_0 + Z_d n_{d0}$ the ion number density, and n_{d0} the dust number density. In dusty plasmas with $T_e \gg T_i$, we have $\lambda_D \approx \lambda_{Di}$. Furthermore, in dense Thomas-Fermi plasmas with an impurity ion (with the charge state Z_*), the ion is shielded by nonrelativistic degenerate electrons, so that $Q = Z_* e$ and λ is replaced by the Thomas-Fermi radius [10] $\lambda_F =$ $(\mathcal{E}_F/4\pi n_0 e^2)^{1/2}$, where the electron Thomas-Fermi energy is denoted by $\mathcal{E}_F = (\hbar^2/2m_*k_B)(3\pi^2)^{2/3}n_0^{2/3}$, \hbar is the Planck constant divided by 2π , and m_* is the effective mass of the electrons (for example, for semiconductor quantum wells, we typically have $m_* = 0.067m_e$, where m_e is the rest mass of the electrons).

In the past, tremendous progress has been made in carrying out systematic theoretical and numerical studies of phase diagrams [11–17] for colloidal systems, dusty plasmas, and strongly interacting matter by supposing that like-charged particles repel each other due to the Debye-Hückel or Yukawa repulsive force. However, besides the repulsive interaction, there are also attractive forces [7,9] between two like-charged particles due to the overlapping Debye spheres [18] and due to the polarization of charged particulates by the sheath electric field [19,20]. Henceforth, both short-range repulsive and long-range attractive potentials play a decisive role in the theory and experiments of phase transitions in colloidal and dusty plasmas.

In this Letter, we present a new attractive force between ions that are shielded by the degenerate electrons in strongly coupled quantum plasmas that are ubiquitous in a variety of physical environments (e.g., the cores of Jupiter and white dwarf stars [21,22] and warm dense matter [23]) and in compressed plasmas produced by intense laser beams [24], as well as in the processing devices for modern high technology (e.g., semiconductors [25], thin films, and nanometallic structures [26], etc.). Specifically, we shall use here the generalized quantum hydrodynamical equations [26] for nonrelativistic degenerate electron fluids supplemented by Poisson's equation. The generalized quantum hydrodynamical model includes the quantum statistical pressure and the Bohm potential [27–29], as well as the electron-exchange and electroncorrelation effects. We demonstrate here that the electric potential around an isolated ion in quantum plasmas has a new distribution, the profile of which in special cases resembles the Lennard-Jones-type potential. It emerges that the newly found electric potential, arising from the static electron dielectric constant that includes the combined effects of the density perturbations associated with the quantum statistical pressure and the quantum force [30–32] involving the overlapping of the electron wave function due to the Heisenberg uncertainty and Pauli's exclusion principles, as well as the electron-exchange and electron-correlation effects [33] due to electron-1/2spin, embodies a short-range negative hard core electric potential. The latter will be responsible for Coulomb ion crystallization and oscillations of ion lattices under the new force associated with our exponential oscillating-screened Coulomb potential in strongly coupled quantum plasmas.

Let us consider a quantum plasma in the presence of nonrelativistic degenerate electron fluids and mildly coupled ions that are immobile and form the neutralizing background. In our quantum plasma, the electron and ion coupling parameters are $\Gamma_e = e^2/a_e k_B T_F$ and $\Gamma_i =$ $Z_i^2 e^2/a_i k_B T_i$, respectively, where $a_e \sim a_i = (3/4\pi n_0)^{1/3}$ is the average interparticle distance and $T_F =$ $(\hbar/2m_*k_B)(3\pi^2 n_0)^{2/3}$ the Fermi electron temperature. It turns out that $\Gamma_i/\Gamma_e = Z_i^2 T_F/T_i \gg 1$, since in quantum plasmas we usually have $T_F > T_i$. The dynamics of degenerate electron fluids is governed by the continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (n\mathbf{u}) = 0, \tag{1}$$

the momentum equation [26]

$$m_* \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \right) = e \nabla \phi - n^{-1} \nabla P + \nabla V_{xc} + \nabla V_B, \quad (2)$$

and Poisson's equation

$$\nabla^2 \phi = \frac{4\pi e}{\epsilon} (n - n_0) - 4\pi Q \delta(\mathbf{r}), \qquad (3)$$

where *n* is the electron number density, **u** the electron fluid velocity, ϕ the electric potential, and ϵ the relative dielectric permeability of the material (for example, for semiconductor quantum wells we have $\epsilon = 13$). We have denoted the quantum statistical pressure $P = 3(n_0m_*v_*^2/5)(n/n_0)^{5/3}$, where $v_* = \hbar(3\pi^2)^{1/3}/m_*r_0$ is the electron Fermi speed and $r_0 = n_0^{-1/3}$ represents the Wigner-Seitz radius, and the sum of the electron-exchange and electron-correlation potentials is [33] $V_{xc} = -0.985(e^2/\epsilon)n^{1/3}[1+(0.034/a_Bn^{1/3})\ln(1+18.37a_Bn^{1/3})]$, where $a_B = \epsilon \hbar^2/m_*e^2$ represents the effective Bohr radius. The quantum Bohm potential is [30–32] $V_B =$ $(\hbar^2/2m_*)(1/\sqrt{n})\nabla^2\sqrt{n}$. We have thus retained the desired quantum forces that act on degenerate electrons in our nonrelativistic quantum plasma. The quantum hydrodynamic equations (1)–(3) are valid [26,34,35] if the plasmonic energy density $\hbar \omega_{pe}$ is smaller than (or comparable to) the Fermi electron kinetic energy k_BT_F , where $\omega_{pe} =$ $(4\pi n_0 e^2/\epsilon m_*)^{1/2}$ is the electron plasma frequency, and the electron-ion collision relaxation time is greater than the electron plasma period.

Letting $n = n_0 + n_1$, where $n_1 \ll n_0$, we linearize (1) and (2) and combine the resultant equation to obtain the electron density perturbation n_1 that can be inserted into Eq. (3). The Fourier transformation in space leads to the electric potential around an isolated ion. In the linear approximation, we have [4,36]

$$\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{Q}{2\pi^2} \int \frac{\exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})}{k^2 D} d^3 k,$$
 (4)

where \mathbf{r} denotes the position relative to the instantaneous position of the point test charge, and the dielectric constant for a dense quantum plasma with quasistationary density perturbations is given by

$$D = 1 + \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{k^2(v_*^2 + v_{\text{ex}}^2) + \hbar^2 k^4 / 4m_*^2}.$$
 (5)

Here we have denoted $v_{\text{ex}} = (0.328e^2/m_*\epsilon r_0)^{1/2} \times [1 + 0.62/(1 + 18.36a_B n_0^{1/3})]^{1/2}.$

The inverse dielectric constant can be written as

$$\frac{1}{D} = \frac{(k^2/k_s^2) + \alpha k^4/k_s^4}{1 + (k^2/k_s^2) + \alpha k^4/k_s^4},\tag{6}$$

where $k_s = \omega_{pe}/\sqrt{v_*^2 + v_{ex}^2}$ is the inverse Thomas-Fermi screening length and $\alpha = \hbar^2 \omega_{pe}^2/4m_*^2(v_*^2 + v_{ex}^2)^2$ measures the importance of the quantum recoil effect. We note that α is larger for larger values of r_0 or, alternatively, for lower densities n_0 . If $m_* = m_e$, $\epsilon = 1$, then α depends only on r_0/a_B with $a_B = \hbar^2/m_e e^2$. By inserting Eq. (6) into Eq. (4), the latter can be written as

$$\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{Q}{4\pi^2} \int \left[\frac{(1+b)}{k^2 + k_+^2} + \frac{(1-b)}{k^2 + k_-^2} \right] \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) d^3k, \quad (7)$$

where $b = 1/\sqrt{4\alpha - 1}$ and $k_{\pm}^2 = k_s^2 [1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha}]/2\alpha$. Here, we use $\sqrt{1 - 4\alpha} = i\sqrt{4\alpha - 1}$ for $\alpha > 1/4$. The integral in Eq. (7) can be evaluated by using the general formula

$$\int \frac{\exp(i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r})}{k^2+k_{\pm}^2} d^3k = 2\pi^2 \frac{\exp(-k_{\pm}r)}{r},\tag{8}$$

where the branches of k_{\pm} must be chosen with positive real parts so that the boundary condition $\phi \to 0$ at $r \to \infty$ is fulfilled.

First, for $\alpha > 1/4$, the solution of the equation $k_{\pm}^2 = k_s^2 (1 \mp i\sqrt{4\alpha - 1})/2\alpha$ yields $k_{\pm} = (k_s/\sqrt{4\alpha}) \times [(\sqrt{4\alpha} + 1)^{1/2} \mp i(\sqrt{4\alpha} - 1)^{1/2}] \equiv k_r \mp ik_i$, and the electric potential

$$\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{Q}{r} [\cos(k_i r) + b \sin(k_i r)] \exp(-k_r r).$$
(9)

In the limit $\alpha \gg 1$, we recover the exponential cosinescreened Coulomb potential [37]

$$\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{Q}{r} \cos(k_s \bar{r}) \exp(-k_s \bar{r}), \qquad (10)$$

where $\bar{r} = r/(4\alpha)^{1/4}$. Second, for $\alpha \to 1/4$, we have $k_+ = k_- = \sqrt{2}k_s$, and

$$\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{Q}{r} \left(1 + \frac{k_s r}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \exp(-\sqrt{2}k_s r).$$
(11)

Third, for $\alpha < 1/4$, the expression $\sqrt{1-4\alpha}$ is real, and we obtain $k_{\pm} = k_s (1 \pm \sqrt{1-4\alpha})^{1/2} / \sqrt{2\alpha}$. The resultant electric potential is

$$\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{Q}{2r} [(1+b)\exp(-k_{+}r) + (1-b)\exp(-k_{-}r)].$$
(12)

We note that, in the limit $\alpha \to 0$, we recover from (12) the modified Thomas-Fermi screened Coulomb potential $\phi(\mathbf{r}) = (Q/r) \exp(-k_s r)$. Furthermore, the newly found electrical potential, given by Eq. (12), is significantly different from that potential [e.g., Eq. (13) in Ref. [36], indicating that the electric potential is proportional to $r^{-3} \cos(2k_F r)$, where $k_F = p_F/\hbar = |\mathbf{k}||^2$ is the Fermi wave number and p_F the Fermi electron momentum] which involves the Friedel oscillations [38] arising from the Kohn anomaly [39] related with the discontinuous Fermi surface.

In Fig. 1, we display the profiles of the potential [given by Eqs. (9), (11), and (12), for $\alpha > 1/4$, $\alpha = 1/4$, and $\alpha < 1/4$, respectively] for different values of α . We clearly see the new short-range attractive electric potential that resembles the Lennard-Jones-type potential for $\alpha > 1/4$, while for smaller values of α the attractive potential vanishes. Figure 2(a) depicts the distance r = d from the test ion charge where $d\phi/dr = 0$ and the electric potential has its minimum, and Figs. 2(b) and 2(c) show the values of ϕ and $d^2\phi/dr^2$ at r = d. The value of $(d^2\phi/dr^2)$ determines the oscillation frequency and dispersive properties of the plasma as shown below. For $\alpha \leq 0.5$, the electric potential and its second derivative vanish, and there is no attractive potential associated with the stationary test ion charge. Furthermore, we note that the shielding of a moving test charge and bound states near a moving charge in a quantum plasma have been investigated by Else, Kompaneets, and Vladimirov [40] by using the Lindhard dielectric function



FIG. 1 (color online). The electric potential ϕ as a function of *r* for $\alpha = 10$ (dash-dotted curve), $\alpha = 1$ (dashed curve), $\alpha = 1/4$ (solid curve), and $\alpha = 0$ (dotted curve).

[41] that ignores the electron-exchange and electron-correlation effects.

The interaction potential energy between two dressed ions with charges Q_i and Q_j at the positions \mathbf{r}_i and \mathbf{r}_j can be represented as $U_{i,j}(\mathbf{R}_{ij}) = Q_j \phi_i(\mathbf{R}_{ij})$, where ϕ_i is the potential around particle *i* and $\mathbf{R}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j$. For $\alpha > 1/4$, it reads, by using Eq. (9),

$$U_{i,j}(\mathbf{R}_{ij}) = \frac{Q_i Q_j}{|\mathbf{R}_{ij}|} \exp(-k_r |\mathbf{R}_{ij}|) [\cos(k_i |\mathbf{R}_{ij}|) + b \sin(k_i |\mathbf{R}_{ij}|)].$$
(13)

On account of the interaction potential energy, ions would suffer vertical oscillations around their equilibrium position. The vertical vibrations of ions in a crystallized ion string in quantum plasmas are governed by

$$M\frac{d^2\delta z_j(t)}{dt^2} = -\sum_{i\neq j} \frac{\partial U_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)}{\partial z_j},$$
(14)



FIG. 2 (color online). (a) The distance r = d from the test ion charge, where $d\phi/dr = 0$ and the electric potential has its minimum, and (b) the values of the potential ϕ and (c) its second derivative $d^2\phi/dr^2$ at r = d.

where $\delta z_j(t) [= z_j(t) - z_{j0}]$ is the vertical displacement of the *j*th ion from its equilibrium position z_{j0} and *M* the mass of the ion. Assuming that $\delta z_j(t)$ is proportional to $\exp[-i(\omega t - jka)]$, where ω and *k* are the frequency and wave number of the ion lattice oscillations, respectively, and that $Q_i = Q_j = Q$, Eq. (14) for the nearest-neighbor ion interactions gives

$$\omega^2 = \frac{4Q^2}{Md^3} S \exp(-k_r d) \sin^2\left(\frac{kd}{2}\right), \tag{15}$$

where $S = [2(1 + k_r d) + (k_r^2 - k_i^2)d^2](\cos\xi + b\sin\xi) + 2k_i d(1 + k_r d)(\sin\xi - b\cos\xi)$. $\xi = k_i d$, and *d* is the separation between two consecutive ions. We note that Eq. (15) can also be obtained from the formula [42]

$$\omega^2 = \frac{4}{M} \left[\frac{d^2 W(r)}{dr^2} \right]_{r=d} \sin^2 \left(\frac{kd}{2} \right), \tag{16}$$

where the interion potential energy is represented as $W(r) = (Q^2/r) \exp(-k_r r) [\cos(k_i r) + b \sin(k_i r)]$ for $\alpha > 1/4$. Hence, the lattice wave frequency is proportional to $[d^2\phi(r)/dr^2]_{r=d}^{1/2}$ [cf. Fig. 2(c)] and decreases rapidly for $\alpha < 0.5$.

In summary, we have discovered a new attractive force between two ions that are shielded by degenerate electrons in an unmagnetized quantum plasma. There are several consequences of our newly found short-range attractive force at quantum scales. For example, due to the trapping of ions in the negative part of the exponential oscillatingscreened Coulomb potential, there will arise ordered ion structures depending on the electron density concentration, which in fact controls the Wigner-Seitz radius r_0 . The formation of ion clusters or ion atoms will emerge as new features that are attributed to the new electric potential that we have found here. Finally, under the action of the attractive force, we can have the formation of Coulombic ion lattices (Coulomb ion crystallization) and ion lattice vibrations, as well as the phenomena of phase separations at nanoscales in dense quantum plasmas (e.g., from solid to liquid-vapor phases) depending upon how one controls the ratio r_0/a_B . Thus, the ratio between the interaction energy between the two nearest-neighbor ions in the presence of the oscillating exponential Coulomb potential and the ion thermal energies, as well as the interparticle spacing, are the key parameters which will determine a critical point that is required for phase transitions in quantum plasmas. In conclusion, the present investigation, which has revealed the new physics of collective electron interactions at nanoscales, will open a new window for research in one of the modern areas of physics dealing with strongly coupled degenerate electrons and nondegenerate mildly coupled ions in dense plasmas that share knowledge with cooperative phenomena (e.g., the formation of ion lattices) in condensed matter physics and in astrophysics. Thus, the present investigation contributes to enhancing the existing knowledge of Wigner crystallization in two-component Coulomb systems [43,44] that do not account for an attractive force between like-charged particles.

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through Project No. SH21/3-2 of the Research Unit 1048.

*profshukla@yahoo.de [†]beliass@yahoo.se

- [1] I. Langmuir, Phys. Rev. 33, 954 (1929).
- [2] W. B. Thompson and J. Hubbard, Rev. Mod. Phys. **32**, 714 (1960).
- [3] R.O. Dendy, *Plasma Dynamics* (Clarendon, Oxford, 1990).
- [4] J. Neufeld and R. H. Ritchie, Phys. Rev. 98, 1632 (1955);
 G. Cooper, Phys. Fluids 12, 2707 (1969); D. Montgomery,
 G. Joyce, and R. Sugihara, Plasma Phys. 10, 681 (1968).
- [5] L. Stenflo and M. Y. Yu, Phys. Scr. 8, 301 (1973).
- [6] M. Y. Yu, R. Tegeback, and L. Stenflo, Z. Phys. 264, 341 (1973).
- [7] P.K. Shukla and A.A. Mamun, *Introduction to Dusty Plasmas* (Institute of Physics, Bristol, 2002).
- [8] V. E. Fortov, A. G. Khrapak, S. A. Khrapak, V. I. Molotkov, and O. F. Petrov, Phys. Usp. 47, 447 (2004).
- [9] P.K. Shukla and B. Eliasson, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 25 (2009).
- [10] E. M. Lifshitz and L. P. Pitaevskii, *Physical Kinetics* (Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 1981).
- [11] K. Kremer, M.O. Robbins, and G.S. Grest, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 2694 (1986).
- [12] R. O. Rosenberg and D. Thirumalai, Phys. Rev. A 33, 4473 (1986).
- S. Hamaguchi and R. T. Farouki, J. Chem. Phys. 101, 9876 (1994);
 S. Hamaguchi, R. T. Farouki, and D. H. E. Dubin, Phys. Rev. E 56, 4671 (1997).
- [14] S. A. Khrapak, G. E. Morfill, A. V. Ivlev, H. M. Thomas, D. A. Beysens, B. Zappoli, V. E. Fortov, A. M. Lipaev, and V. I. Molotkov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 015001 (2006).
- [15] K. Avinash, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 095003 (2007).
- [16] P. Braun-Munzinger and J. Wambach, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 1031 (2009).
- [17] B. Klumov, Phys. Usp. 53, 1053 (2010).
- [18] D.P. Resendes, J.T. Mendonça, and P.K. Shukla, Phys. Lett. A 239, 181 (1998).
- [19] H. C. Lee, D. Y. Chen, and B. Rosenstein, Phys. Rev. E 56, 4596 (1997).
- [20] D. P. Resendes, Phys. Rev. E 61, 793 (2000).
- [21] S. L. Shapiro and S. L. Teukolsky, Black Holes, White Dwarfs and Neutron Stars: The Physics of Compact Objects (Wiley, New York, 1983).
- [22] H. M. van Horn, Science 252, 384 (1991); V.E. Fortov, Phys. Usp. 52, 615 (2009).
- [23] M. S. Murillo, Phys. Rev. E 81, 036403 (2010); D. A. Chapman and D. O. Gericke, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 165004 (2011).
- [24] D. Kremp, Th. Bornath, M. Bonitz, and M. Schlanges, Phys. Rev. E 60, 4725 (1999); V. M. Malkin, N. J. Fisch, and J. S. Wurtele, *ibid.* 75, 026404 (2007); H. Azechi

et al., Laser Part. Beams **9**, 193 (1991); R. Kodama *et al.*, Nature (London) **412**, 798 (2001).

- [25] P.A. Markowich *et al.*, *Semiconductor Equations* (Springer, Berlin, 1990).
- [26] N. Crouseilles, P.-A. Hervieux, and G. Manfredi, Phys. Rev. B 78, 155412 (2008).
- [27] C.L. Gardner and C. Ringhofer, Phys. Rev. E 53, 157 (1996).
- [28] G. Manfredi and F. Haas, Phys. Rev. B 64, 075316 (2001).
- [29] N. L. Tsintsadze and L. N. Tsintsadze, Europhys. Lett. 88, 35 001 (2009).
- [30] G. Manfredi, Fields Inst. Commun. 46, 263 (2005).
- [31] J. T. Mendonça, Phys. Plasmas 18, 062101 (2011).
- [32] P.K. Shukla and B. Eliasson, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 885 (2011).
- [33] L. Brey, Jed Dempsey, N. F. Johnson, and B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. B 42, 1240 (1990); see also L. Hedin and B. I. Lundqvist, J. Phys. C 4, 2064 (1971). It should be noted that the forces due to the electron-exchange correlations are manifested in density functional theory for an ensemble of electrons, the wave functions of which follow a nonlocal Schrödinger equation. An eikonal representation of the

latter, similar to that in Ref. [29], would yield Eq. (2) that includes the electrostatic, quantum, and electron-exchange or electron-correlation forces on equal footings.

- [34] S. V. Vladimirov and Yu. O. Tyshetskiy, Phys. Usp. 54, 1243 (2011).
- [35] F. Haas, *Quantum Plasmas: An Hydrodynamical Approach* (Springer, New York, 2011).
- [36] D. Else, R. Kompaneets, and S. V. Vladimirov, Phys. Rev. E 82, 026410 (2010).
- [37] P.K. Shukla and B. Eliasson, Phys. Lett. A 372, 2897 (2008).
- [38] J. Friedel, Adv. Phys. 3, 446 (1954); Nuovo Cimento Suppl. 7, 287 (1958).
- [39] W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2, 393 (1959).
- [40] D. Else, R. Kompaneets, and S. V. Vladimirov, Europhys. Lett. 94, 35 001 (2011).
- [41] J. Lindhard, K. Dan. Vidensk. Selsk. Mat. Fys. Medd. 28, 1 (1954).
- [42] C. Kittel, Introduction to Solid State Physics (Wiley, New York, 1986), p. 83.
- [43] M. Bonitz, V. S. Filinov, V. E. Fortov, P. R. Levashov, and H. Fehske, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 235006 (2005).
- [44] M. Bonitz et al., Phys. Plasmas 15, 055704 (2008).