## Theory of a Fermi-Liquid to Non-Fermi-Liquid Quantum Phase Transition in Dimensions d > 1

T. R. Kirkpatrick<sup>1</sup> and D. Belitz<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Physical Science and Technology and Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics and Theoretical Science Institute, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon 97403, USA (Received 15 August 2011; published 23 February 2012)

We develop a theory for a generic instability of a Fermi liquid in dimension d>1 against the formation of a Luttinger-liquid-like state. The density of states at the Fermi level is the order parameter for the ensuing quantum phase transition, which is driven by the effective interaction strength. A scaling theory in conjunction with an effective field theory for clean electrons is used to obtain the critical behavior of observables. In the Fermi-liquid phase the order-parameter susceptibility, which is measurable by tunneling, is predicted to diverge for 1 < d < 3.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.086404 PACS numbers: 71.10.Hf, 71.10.Ay, 71.30.+h

Landau's Fermi-liquid theory provides a very successful paradigm in condensed matter physics. By mapping the low-lying excitations in interacting Fermi systems onto those of noninteracting ones [1] it explains many properties of electrons in solids, including the linear temperature (T) dependence of the specific heat, and the quadratic T dependence of the electrical resistivity [2]. In a renormalization-group (RG) context it can be understood as the scaling behavior near a stable fixed point (FP) that governs the low-T behavior of the system [3]. Because of this success, deviations from Fermi-liquid (FL) behavior have attracted considerable attention [4]. Examples include parts of the normal phase of high- $T_c$  superconductors [5], heavy-fermion systems [6], and the paramagnetic phase of the helimagnet MnSi at low T [7].

There are different sources for non-Fermi-liquid (NFL) behavior. One is the vicinity of a quantum critical point. Another is the existence of Goldstone modes due to a spontaneously broken symmetry and resulting long-range order. The coupling of electrons to critical soft modes and Goldstone modes has been proposed to explain the behavior of heavy-fermion systems [6] and MnSi [8], respectively. More generic mechanisms for NFL behavior, that do not rely on underlying long-range order, are hard to find. In one-dimensional (1D) fermion systems an arbitrarily small repulsive interaction amplitude  $K_s$  (we will restrict ourselves to a pointlike interaction in the spin-singlet particlehole channel [9]) leads to an instability of the FL against a Luttinger liquid (LL) that has a vanishing density of states (DOS) at the Fermi level and is characterized by soundlike excitations [11]. A natural question is whether in dimensions d > 1 a similar instability will occur for  $K_s$  greater than some  $K_s^c > 0$ . Despite substantial efforts, to date no description of such an instability has been found.

There are, however, indications that an instability exists. Perturbation theory in the FL phase yields nonanalytic dependencies on T, or the wave number k, for, e.g., the spin susceptibility and the specific heat coefficient

[12–14]. For generic d they take the form  $T^{d-1}$  or  $k^{d-1}$ , with multiplicative logarithms in odd d. For d=1, the logarithmic divergencies coincide with the perturbative signatures of the LL [15]. This is reminiscent of disordered electrons, where perturbation theory generically yields a  $T^{(d-2)/2}$  or  $k^{d-2}$  behavior, which turns into  $\log T$  or  $\log k$  in d=2. These perturbative "weak-localization" effects signalize the instability of the disordered FL against an Anderson or Anderson-Mott insulator [10,16]. In d=2 this instability occurs at arbitrarily small values of the disorder, whereas in d>2 a metal-insulator transition occurs at a nonzero critical value of the disorder. It is thus natural to speculate that a transition from a FL to a LL can occur in d>1.

In this Letter we construct a theory that describes a quantum phase transition from a FL to a NFL state with a vanishing DOS at the Fermi level in d > 1. The DOS serves as the order parameter (OP) for the transition; the FL is the ordered phase.  $d_c^-=1$  is the lower critical dimension for the transition; fluctuations destroy the ordered phase for  $d \le d_c^-$ . For  $d = 1 + \epsilon$  ( $\epsilon \ll 1$ ) the theory is controlled and the critical value of the interaction strength is  $K_s^c = O(\epsilon^{1/2})$ . For larger d the critical behavior is obtained from scaling considerations. In many respects our theory is analogous to the nonlinear sigma-model for the classical Heisenberg transition near d = 2 [17], and to the Anderson-Mott metal-insulator transition of disordered interacting electrons [10,18], even though the latter is to a nonstandard insulator, while we describe a transition to a nonstandard metal [19].

To identify the DOS as the OP for the FL-to-NFL transition we consider a Ward identity that reflects the broken symmetry between retarded and advanced degrees of freedom in a FL. It relates a two-particle correlation function  $F_2$  [schematically,  $\langle \bar{\psi} \ \bar{\psi} \ \psi \psi \rangle$ , with  $\bar{\psi}$  and  $\psi$  fermion fields; a more explicit expression of  $F_2$  will be given in Eq. (13)] to a single-particle function  $F_1$  ( $\langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle$ ) and is a generalization of a Ward identity first considered

for noninteracting electrons with quenched disorder [20,21]. With p the center-of-mass wave vector ( $|p| \approx k_F$ ;  $k_F$ ,  $\epsilon_F$ , and  $v_F$  denote the Fermi wave number, energy, and velocity), k the hydrodynamic wave vector ( $|k| \equiv k \ll k_F$ ), and  $m_e$  the electron mass it can be written

$$(i\Omega_{n_1-n_2} + \boldsymbol{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{k}/m_e)F_2(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{k}; i\omega_{n_1}, i\omega_{n_2})$$
  
=  $F_1(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{k}; i\omega_{n_1}, i\omega_{n_2}),$  (1a)

 $F_1$  is proportional to the difference between Green functions taken at the fermionic Matsubara frequencies  $i\omega_{n_1}$  and  $i\omega_{n_2}$ . For  $i\Omega_{n_1-n_2}=i\omega_{n_1}-i\omega_{n_2}\to 0,\ k\to 0,\ F_1$  vanishes if  $\omega_{n_1}\omega_{n_2}>0$ , but is nonzero if  $\omega_{n_1}\omega_{n_2}<0$ . In the latter case, and for noninteracting electrons,

$$F_1(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}; i\omega_{n_1}, i\omega_{n_2}) \propto i \operatorname{sgn}(\Omega_{n_1 - n_2}) \delta(\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} - \epsilon_F),$$
 (1b)

with  $\epsilon_p$  the single-particle energy-momentum relation. For  $\omega_{n_1}\omega_{n_2} < 0$  there thus is a family of 4-fermion functions that diverge in the hydrodynamic limit of vanishing  $\Omega_{n_1-n_2}$ and k. Taking moments with respect to p yields an infinite number of soft modes, provided the DOS at the Fermi level,  $N_F \propto \sum_{p} \delta(\epsilon_p - \epsilon_F)$ , is nonzero. In the presence of quenched disorder, in contrast, only the zeroth moment of Eq. (1a) is soft. These soft modes are the Goldstone modes of a spontaneously broken continuous symmetry, namely, rotations in frequency space that transform pairs of fermion fields  $\psi$  or  $\psi$  [more precisely, pairs of spinors  $\eta$  defined in Eq. (12)] with frequency labels  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ , respectively, into linear combinations of the same pair. This symmetry was first discussed by Schäfer and Wegner [21] in the context of disordered electrons, and elaborated on in Ref. [22]. For our current purposes we have used a generalization of this transformation to consider phase-space correlation functions, not only s-wave channel modes as in Ref. [22]. If  $n_1$ and  $n_2$  are both positive or both negative, then the action is invariant under these rotations. If  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  have opposite signs, then a nonzero DOS spontaneously breaks this invariance. The soft modes in question are thus Goldstone modes; they are not related to a conservation law.

It can be shown that the structure of Eqs. (1) remains unchanged in interacting systems, and that  $F_2$  remains soft [23]. This is consistent with what one expects from FL theory: The symmetry is broken, and Goldstone modes exist, as long as the DOS at the Fermi level is nonzero. The noninteracting DOS,  $N_F$ , gets replaced by the physical DOS,  $N(\epsilon_F)$ , and the prefactor of the frequency  $\Omega_{n_1-n_2}$ acquires a FL correction.  $F_2$  remains massless, and the frequency continues to scale as a wave number. Conversely, a vanishing DOS implies that the symmetry is restored and the Goldstone modes have zero weight. If this happens, by varying some control parameter, then the system will undergo a symmetry-restoring phase transition from a FL (ordered phase) to a NFL (disordered phase) with the DOS as the OP. In the FL the Goldstone modes are all proportional to the basic Goldstone propagator

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{k}, i\Omega) = N(\epsilon_F) \varphi(i\Omega/v_F k)/k. \tag{2a}$$

The explicit form of the function  $\varphi$  depends on the dimensionality. In the limiting case  $d \to 1$  one has

$$\varphi(x) \propto |x|/(1+x^2). \tag{2b}$$

These considerations show that in the ordered phase there are soft modes whose frequency scales as a wave number,  $\Omega \sim k$ : A dynamical exponent z=1 is associated with the stable FL FP. At a symmetry-restoring transition, described by a critical FP,  $z \neq 1$  in general.

The Goldstone modes are *not* related to the density propagator, which is governed by particle-number conservation. The latter, plus the fact that the thermodynamic density susceptibility  $\partial n/\partial \mu$  is expected to be uncritical (see below), implies that in the density propagator one has  $\Omega \sim k$ , or z=1, at both the FL FP and the critical FP. This is consistent with the fact that  $\Omega \sim k$  at the stable FP that describes a LL in d=1 [11]. Hence there is more than one dynamical exponent: The critical dynamical exponent z ( $\neq 1$  in general), related to the Goldstone modes, and another dynamical exponent  $z_c=1$  related to the charge or density dynamics.

The Goldstone modes in the FL phase, and their destruction at the critical FP, provide a physical mechanism for the instability of the FL and the stabilization of a NFL phase. If the fluctuations described by the Goldstone modes become strong enough, and their contribution to the free energy large enough, it is energetically favorable for the system to undergo a transition to a phase where the symmetry is restored, the DOS at the Fermi level vanishes, and the Goldstone modes do not exist. In this sense the Fermi liquid carries within itself the seeds of its own destruction.

In what follows, we construct a scaling theory for a symmetry-restoring FL-to-NFL quantum phase transition where the DOS vanishes. We have also derived an effective field theory that allows for an explicit description of such a transition, the most important aspects of which we will sketch at the end of this Letter.

We start by considering the free energy density f, which quite generally satisfies a scaling relation

$$f(t, T, h) = b^{-(d+z)} f(tb^{1/\nu}, Tb^z, hb^{y_h}).$$
 (3)

We have assigned scale dimensions [L] = -1 and [T] = z to factors of length and temperature, energy, or inverse time  $(\hbar = k_B = 1)$ , which yields [f] = -d - z for the scale dimension of f [24]. b is the RG length rescaling factor. h is the field conjugate to the OP, with scale dimension  $[h] = y_h$ . t is the dimensional distance from the critical point, and v = 1/[t] is the correlation length exponent. For the OP density  $N = -(\partial f/\partial h)/T$  Eq. (3) implies

$$N(t,T) = b^{-d+z}N(tb^{1/\nu}, Tb^z).$$
 (4)

Here we have used the fact that N is the DOS, which scales as an inverse energy times an inverse volume; hence  $y_h = z$ .

At T = 0 and at criticality, respectively, the OP vanishes as a power law,

$$N(t, T = 0) \propto t^{\beta}, \qquad N(t = 0, T) \propto T^{(d-z)/z}, \quad (5)$$

with  $\beta = \nu(d-z)$ . The specific heat coefficient  $\gamma$  is obtained from  $C_V = \gamma T = -T \partial^2 f / \partial T^2$ . The scaling behavior of  $\gamma$  is the same as that of the DOS:

$$\gamma(t,T) = b^{-d+z}\gamma(tb^{1/\nu}, Tb^z). \tag{6}$$

We next consider the OP susceptibility  $\chi = \partial N/\partial h$  as a function of t, T, and the wave number k. In general,

$$\chi(t, T; k) = b^{2-\eta} \chi(tb^{1/\nu}, Tb^z, kb),$$
 (7a)

which defines the exponent  $\eta$ . At T=0 and at criticality, respectively, the homogeneous OP susceptibility diverges:

$$\chi(t, T = 0, k = 0) \propto t^{-\gamma}, \qquad \gamma = \nu(2 - \eta),$$
  
 $\chi(t = 0, T, k = 0) \propto T^{-(2-\eta)/z}.$ 
(7b)

From Eqs. (3), (4), and (7a), we find the exponent relation

$$z = (d - \eta + 2)/2. \tag{8}$$

This implies that there are only two independent critical exponents, e.g.,  $\nu$  and z (see, however, the remark above regarding multiple exponents z) rather than three as is generally the case at a quantum critical point [25]. For  $\partial n/\partial \mu$  we expect no critical behavior since it does not show the perturbative nonanalyticities that are precursors of the critical behavior of other observables [12,26]. The scaling behavior of the electrical conductivity  $\sigma = D_c \partial n/\partial \mu$  is therefore given by that of the charge diffusion coefficient  $D_c$ , which scales as a length squared divided by a time. Since  $D_c$  describes the charge or density dynamics the relevant dynamical exponent in this context is  $z_c = 1$ . We thus have [27]

$$\sigma(t, T) = b^{2-z_c} \sigma(tb^{1/\nu}, Tb^z, Tb^{z_c}).$$
 (9a)

If z < 1 (see below) this yields for the electrical resistivity  $\rho = 1/\sigma$  at criticality

$$\rho(t = 0, T) \propto T. \tag{9b}$$

These scaling predictions all pertain to the critical FP. Also of interest are the OP and the OP susceptibility in the ordered phase,  $|t| = \infty$ , where  $\eta = d$ , which implies z = 1. From Eq. (4) we have

$$N(|t| = \infty, T) \propto \text{const} + T^{d-1}.$$
 (10)

This is one example of the perturbative nonanalyticities mentioned above. The same power law holds at T=0 as a function of the distance  $\omega$  from the Fermi surface:  $N(T=0,\omega) \propto {\rm const} + \omega^{d-1}$ . It is analogous to the Coulomb anomaly in disordered systems, where  $N(T=0,\omega) \propto {\rm const} + \omega^{(d-2)/2}$  [28]. The latter is a precursor of the quantum phase transition in disordered systems (the Anderson-Mott transition [10]), where the DOS vanishes

and serves as an OP [29]. The current theory suggests that an analogous statement holds in clean ones. For the OP susceptibility we find from Eq. (7a)

$$\chi(|t| = \infty, T, k) = k^{d-2} f_{\chi}(T/k) \propto T/k^{3-d}.$$
 (11)

In the second relation we have used the result of an explicit calculation [30], which yields  $f_{\chi}(x \to 0) \propto x$ . This divergence of the OP susceptibility, or the two-point local-DOS correlation function, which is observable by tunneling experiments, is a consequence of the Goldstone modes. It is analogous to the  $1/k^{4-d}$  divergence of the longitudinal susceptibility in the ordered phase of a Heisenberg ferromagnet [31]. For a 2D FL it predicts a 1/k divergence with a prefactor that is linear in T.

The preceding scaling considerations are expected to be valid between the lower critical dimension  $d_c^- = 1$  and some upper critical dimension  $d_c^+$ . Equation (11) suggests  $d_c^+ = 3$ , but this requires further corroboration. For  $d > d_c^+$  one expects the critical behavior to be mean-field like and governed by a Gaussian FP. An approach that focuses on the Gaussian fixed point and its stability will also allow for an  $\epsilon$  expansion about  $d_c^+$  which will complement the current expansion about  $d_c^-$ .

We now sketch the derivation of an effective field theory that allows for an explicit description of a quantum phase transition of the type we have discussed above. A complete account will be given elsewhere [30]. This effective theory is in the spirit of the matrix field theories that were pioneered by Wegner [21,32], and generalized by others [18,22], for disordered systems. We consider a fermionic action and define electron bispinors

$$\eta_n(\mathbf{x}) = (\bar{\psi}_{n\uparrow}(\mathbf{x}), \, \bar{\psi}_{n\downarrow}(\mathbf{x}), \, \psi_{n\downarrow}(\mathbf{x}), -\psi_{n\uparrow}(\mathbf{x}))/\sqrt{2}, \quad (12)$$

where  $\bar{\psi}$  and  $\psi$  are fermionic fields with Matsubara frequency index N and spin projection  $\uparrow\downarrow$ , as well as adjoints  $\eta_n^+(x) = C\eta_n(x)$  with  $C = i\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2$ , where  $\sigma_{1,2}$  are Pauli matrices. We confine the tensor product  $\eta_n^+(x) \otimes \eta_m(y)$  to a spin-quaternion-valued bosonic matrix field  $Q_{nm}(x, y)$  by means of a Lagrange multiplier  $\Lambda_{nm}(x, y)$ . The Ward identity then takes the form of Eqs. (1) with

$$F_{2}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}; i\omega_{n_{1}}, i\omega_{n_{2}}) = \langle \operatorname{tr} Q_{n_{2}n_{1}}(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}/2, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}/2) \times \operatorname{tr} Q_{n_{1}n_{2}}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}/2, \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}/2) \rangle, \quad (13)$$

where  $\omega_{n_1}\omega_{n_2} < 0$ . This identifies  $q_{nm}(\boldsymbol{p}_1, \boldsymbol{p}_2) \equiv \Theta(-nm)Q_{nm}(\boldsymbol{p}_1, \boldsymbol{p}_2)$  as the Goldstone modes. The corresponding elements  $\lambda$  of the Lagrange multiplier field  $\Lambda$  are also soft modes. The electron-electron interaction couples q to  $P_{nm}(\boldsymbol{p}_1, \boldsymbol{p}_2) \equiv \Theta(nm)Q_{nm}(\boldsymbol{p}_1, \boldsymbol{p}_2)$ , and integrating out P, and the corresponding part of  $\Lambda$ , generates terms to all orders in q and  $\lambda$ . An analogous procedure in the presence of quenched disorder provides a perturbative derivation, order by order in powers of q, of the generalized nonlinear sigma-model for the Anderson-Mott transition problem [10,18]. We have derived the action to order  $q^4$ ,

which suffices for a 1-loop calculation. The effects of  $\lambda$  can be absorbed into diagram rules.

This effective theory can be analyzed by RG methods in  $d=1+\epsilon$  by means of a systematic loop expansion in analogy to the disordered case in  $d=2+\epsilon$  [10]. The one-point function is proportional to the DOS:

$$P^{(1)} = \langle \operatorname{tr} Q_{nn}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) \rangle |_{i\omega_* \to i0} \propto N(\epsilon_F) \equiv N_F Z^{1/2}.$$
 (14)

Physically,  $Z^{1/2}=(1+\delta Z)^{1/2}$  is the physical DOS normalized by the bare or free-electron DOS; technically, it is the field-renormalization constant. It is related to, but not the same as, the residue of the pole in the Green function. We have performed a 1-loop calculation and have found that  $\delta Z$  is negative, logarithmically divergent in d=1, and proportional to  $1/\epsilon$  in  $d=1+\epsilon$ . As a function of  $K_s$  it is of  $O(K_s^2)$  for small  $K_s$ . In a naive extrapolation the DOS thus vanishes at a critical value  $K_s^c=O(\epsilon^{1/2})$ . The two-point function

$$P^{(2)} = \langle q_{n_1 n_2}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) q_{n_3 n_4}(\mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4) \rangle$$
 (15a)

has a contribution proportional to  $\delta_{n_1n_3}\delta_{n_2n_4}$  that constitutes the Goldstone propagator  $\mathcal{D}$ , Eqs. (2). In d=1

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{k}, i\Omega) = ZH|\Omega|/(\mathbf{k}^2/G^2 + H^2\Omega^2). \tag{15b}$$

The bare values of G (which is the loop expansion parameter) and H are  $1/v_FN_F$  and  $N_F$ , respectively. Our explicit calculation has found that G and H are not singularly renormalized at 1-loop order. We have performed a structural analysis of the loop expansion which confirms this and shows that at 2-loop order there is a singular renormalization of G due to insertion diagrams; an inspection of skeleton diagrams will require a full 2-loop calculation. This strongly suggests a critical FP at 2-loop order with a FP value of the renormalized coupling constant  $g = b^{\epsilon}G$  given by  $g^* = O(\epsilon^{1/2})$ . Choosing the independent exponents to be  $\nu$  and z this leads to

$$\nu = 1/2\epsilon + O(1), \qquad z = 1 + O(\epsilon). \tag{16}$$

The  $O(\epsilon)$  term in z requires a 2-loop calculation; an educated guess is as follows. In the bare theory,  $G^2H \propto m_e/n$ , with n the electron density. This quantity one does not expect to be renormalized, so  $H \sim G^{-2} \sim b^{-2\epsilon}$ , or  $z = 1 - \epsilon$  [33]. Hence  $z < z_c$ , which implies Eq. (9b).

In summary, we have described a FL-to-NFL transition that is characterized by a vanishing DOS at the Fermi level. Promising systems to observe such a transition are optical lattices where the interaction strength between the fermions can by tuned. Pseudogap phases observed in optical lattices [34] and high- $T_c$  superconductors [35] may be manifestations of the physics discussed above. This notion requires further investigation. For instance, it would be very interesting to look experimentally for the precursor effect in a FL described by Eqs. (10) and (11).

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant Nos. DMR-09-29966, and DMR-09-01907.

- [1] G. Baym and C. Pethick, *Landau Fermi-Liquid Theory* (Wiley, New York, 1991).
- [2] A. A. Abrikosov, L. P. Gorkov, and I. E. Dzyaloshinski, Methods of Quantum Field Theory in Statistical Physics (Dover, New York, 1963).
- [3] R. Shankar, Rev. Mod. Phys. 66, 129 (1994).
- [4] See, e.g., Institute for Theoretical Physics Conference on Non-Fermi Liquid Behavior in Metals, edited by P. Coleman, B. Maple, and A. Millis [J. Phys. Condens. Matter 48, No. 8 (1996)].
- [5] P. A. Lee, N. Nagaosa, and X.-G. Wen, Rev. Mod. Phys. 78, 17 (2006).
- [6] P. Gegenwart, Q. Si, and F. Steglich, Nature Phys. 4, 186 (2008).
- [7] C. Pfleiderer, S. R. Julian, and G. G. Lonzarich, Nature (London) 414, 427 (2001).
- [8] T. R. Kirkpatrick and D. Belitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 256404 (2010).
- [9] From an analogy with the case of disordered electron systems [10] one expects a long-ranged interaction to lead to qualitatively similar results, but with different exponents for the quantum phase transition. However, this point needs to be investigated explicitly.
- [10] D. Belitz and T.R. Kirkpatrick, Rev. Mod. Phys. 66, 261 (1994).
- [11] T. Giamarchi, *Quantum Physics in One Dimension* (Clarendon, Oxford, 2004).
- [12] D. Belitz, T. R. Kirkpatrick, and T. Vojta, Phys. Rev. B 55, 9452 (1997).
- [13] G. Y. Chitov and A. J. Millis, Phys. Rev. B 64, 054414 (2001).
- [14] A. V. Chubukov and D. L. Maslov, Phys. Rev. B 68, 155113 (2003).
- [15] J. Sólyom, Adv. Phys. 28, 201 (1979).
- [16] P. A. Lee and T. V. Ramakrishnan, Rev. Mod. Phys. 57, 287 (1985).
- [17] J. Zinn-Justin, *Quantum Field Theory and Critical Phenomena* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1996).
- [18] A. M. Finkelstein, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 84, 168 (1983)
  [Sov. Phys. JETP 57, 97 (1983)].
- [19] A DOS that vanishes on the Fermi surface and only there can be caused by competing mechanisms for establishing long-range order, none of which are successful because the system is at a lower critical dimension. This is often thought to be the reason for the vanishing DOS in a LL. However, this is not the only possibility. For instance, at the Anderson-Mott transition in d > 2 there are no such competing mechanisms, although in d = 2 there are.
- [20] S. V. Maleyev and B. P. Toperverg, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 69, 1440 (1975) [Sov. Phys. JETP 42, 734 (1976)].
- [21] L. Schäfer and F. Wegner, Z. Phys. B 38, 113 (1980).
- [22] D. Belitz and T.R. Kirkpatrick, Phys. Rev. B 56, 6513 (1997).
- [23] D. Belitz and T. R. Kirkpatrick, arXiv:1112.5916.

- [24] The thermodynamics are dominated by the critical modes, so we expect the relevant dynamical exponent to be z. In general all scaling functions depend on both z and  $z_c$ . Scaling considerations alone cannot determine which enters in any given context; this depends on the values of z and  $z_c$ , the coupling strengths between modes, and the presence of dangerous irrelevant variables.
- [25] S. Sachdev, Quantum Phase Transitions (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1999).
- [26] D. Belitz, T. R. Kirkpatrick, and T. Vojta, Phys. Rev. B 65, 165112 (2002).
- [27] Here we explicitly show the two time scales in the scaling function. Equation (9b) follows under certain assumptions, see Ref. [24], z < 1 being one of them. From scaling alone we cannot rule out  $\rho(t = 0, T) \propto T^{1/z}$ .
- [28] B. L. Altshuler and A. G. Aronov, Solid State Commun. 30, 115 (1979).

- [29] D. Belitz and T. R. Kirkpatrick, Z. Phys. B 98, 513 (1995).
- [30] T. R. Kirkpatrick and D. Belitz (to be published).
- [31] E. Brézin and D. J. Wallace, Phys. Rev. B 7, 1967 (1973).
- [32] F. Wegner, Z. Phys. B 35, 207 (1979).
- [33] An argument given by J. Cardy, Scaling and Renormalization in Statistical Physics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1996), Sec. 6.5, adapted to the current problem, suggests  $\beta = O(1/\sqrt{\epsilon})$ , or  $z = 1 O(\sqrt{\epsilon})$ . An explicit 2-loop calculation is needed to distinguish between these scaling scenarios. In either case  $z < z_c = 1$ , which is one of the assumptions that yield Eq. (9b).
- [34] T.-L. Dao, A. Georges, J. Dalibard, C. Salomon, and I. Carusotto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 240402 (2007).
- [35] H. Ding, T. Yokoya, J. C. Campuzano, T. Takahashi, M. Randeria, M. R. Norman, T. Mochiku, K. Kadowaki, and J. Giapintzakis, Nature (London) **382**, 51 (1996).