Coupling of Magnetic and Ferroelectric Hysteresis by a Multicomponent Magnetic Structure in Mn₂GeO₄

J. S. White,^{1,2} T. Honda,³ K. Kimura,³ T. Kimura,³ Ch. Niedermayer,¹ O. Zaharko,¹ A. Poole,¹ B. Roessli,¹ and M. Kenzelmann⁴

¹Laboratory for Neutron Scattering, Paul Scherrer Institut, CH 5232 Villigen, Switzerland

²Laboratory for Quantum Magnetism, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, CH 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

³Division of Materials Physics, Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-8531, Japan

⁴Laboratory for Developments and Methods, Paul Scherrer Institut, CH 5232 Villigen, Switzerland

(Received 1 July 2011; published 15 February 2012)

The olivine compound Mn_2GeO_4 is shown to feature both a ferroelectric polarization and a ferromagnetic magnetization that are directly coupled and point along the same direction. We show that a spin spiral generates ferroelectricity, and a canted commensurate order leads to weak ferromagnetism. Symmetry suggests that the direct coupling between the ferromagnetism and ferroelectricity is mediated by Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interactions that exist only in the ferroelectric phase, controlling both the sense of the spiral rotation and the canting of the commensurate structure. Our study demonstrates how multicomponent magnetic structures found in magnetically frustrated materials like Mn_2GeO_4 provide a new route towards functional materials that exhibit coupled ferromagnetism and ferroelectricity.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.077204

PACS numbers: 75.85.+t, 75.25.-j, 75.30.-m, 77.80.-e

The family of multiferroic (MF) materials for which ferroelectricity is induced by magnetic order continues to be of sustained interest, because these materials provide genuine prospects for new and precise magnetoelectric functional devices [1-4]. The most promising materials are those that exhibit the coupled ferroic orders of ferromagnetism and ferroelectricity. In spinel $CoCr_2O_4$ [5], spontaneous magnetization M and electric polarization Porders emerge when the system undergoes a transition into a transverse-conical magnetically ordered phase with a ferromagnetic (FM) component parallel to the cone axis. In materials showing a proper-screw or longitudinalconical ordered state (e.g., spinel ZnCr₂Se₄ [6] and hexaferrites [7]), the application of magnetic fields induces a transverse-conical component. Phenomenologically, the generation of P by noncollinear magnetic order is described by $\mathbf{P}_{ii} \propto \mathbf{e}_{ii} \times (\mathbf{S}_i \times \mathbf{S}_i)$, where \mathbf{e}_{ii} is a unit vector connecting spins at sites *i* and *j*. Microscopic descriptions consistent with this phenomenology are provided by the inverse Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya (DM) [8,9] or spin-current [10] mechanisms. However, since P is generated by a noncollinear spin order, the directions of M and P cannot be parallel in the aforementioned materials [5–7], consistent with experimental observation.

In contrast, materials for which $M \parallel P$ are comparatively few. In ReFeO₃ (Re = Dy, Gd) [11,12], it is found that $M \parallel P \parallel c$, though only for GdFeO₃ are these two orders spontaneous. In these systems an exchange striction acting between Re-Fe³⁺ spins is able to explain the microscopic origin of *P*. Here, we present a study of Mn₂GeO₄, which also exhibits spontaneous *M* and *P* vectors that are both parallel to the **c** axis. In contrast to ReFeO₃, the *M* and *P* orders arise due to different components of a single magnetic structure, and, in particular, Mn_2GeO_4 is the first material for which $M \parallel P$, where P can be understood as generated by noncollinear spin order [8–10].

Mn₂GeO₄ crystallizes in the orthorhombic Pnma (no. 62) space group [13]. The S = 5/2 [14] Mn²⁺ ions occupy two distinct crystallographic sites octahedrally coordinated by O^{2-} anions: the 4(a) inversion site, which we call the chain Mn site Mn_{ch}, because they form chains along the **b** axis, and the 4(c) mirror site, which we call the plane Mn site Mn_{pl}, because they form distorted square lattice planes in the **b-c** plane [Fig. 1(a)]. Direct nearestneighbor exchange is anticipated to be small, and the largest superexchange interaction is expected between Mn_{pl} ions in the **b**-**c** plane, where the angle between Mn_{pl}-O-Mn_{pl} of nearest-neighbor Mn_{pl} ions is 124.4°. Smaller interactions are expected within the Mn_{ch}/Mn_{pl} zigzag chains along the **b** axis. The angle formed by the Mn_{ch} -O-M_{ch} ions along the **b** axis is 92.7°, and the angle formed by the Mn_{ch}-O-Mn_{pl} in the **a-b** plane is 93.6°, suggesting that these interactions are either weakly antiferromagnetic (AFM) or even FM. These Mn-O-Mn exchange geometries point towards both three-dimensional and frustrated interactions [15], the latter being an almost ubiquitous feature of magnetic MFs [2].

Large single crystals of Mn_2GeO_4 have been successfully grown by the floating zone method. The bulk properties of *M*, *P*, and the dielectric constant ϵ were measured along each crystallographic direction. Neutron diffraction (ND) experiments were performed at the Swiss Neutron Spallation Source SINQ, Paul Scherrer Institut, Switzerland. Unpolarized ND was carried out by using the TriCS and RITA-II instruments, while polarized ND (zero field neutron polarimetry) made use of the MuPAD



FIG. 1 (color online). (a) The orthorhombic (*Pnma*) magnetic unit cell of Mn_2GeO_4 . Mn^{2+} ions occupy two sites: Mn_{ch} ions (red) are at the 4(*a*) site, Mn_{pl} ions (blue) are at the 4(*c*) site. Both the O^{2-} anions and Ge^{4+} cations are omitted for clarity. In the other panels we show the *T* dependence of (b) the magnetization M_i (at 0.01 T, field-cooled), (c) the relative dielectric constant ϵ_i (at 0 T), and (d) the electric polarization P_i (at 0 T), measured along all three principal axes.

device installed on the TASP instrument. Powder ND was also carried out by using the diffractometer HRPT. Full details of our experiments can be found in Ref. [16].

The low temperature (T) bulk properties of Mn_2GeO_4 are summarized by the measurements shown in Figs. 1(b)-1(d). The T dependence of the magnetization shows that long-range magnetic order sets in at $T_{\rm N1} =$ 47 K and that there are additional first-order transitions in the magnetic properties at both $T_{N2} = 17$ K and $T_{N3} =$ 5.5 K. We label the phases as high-T (HT), medium-T (MT), and low-T (LT) phases. These transitions are most clearly seen in the T dependence of the FM moment that points along the crystal c axis. Measurements of the relative dielectric constant along all crystal directions [Fig. 1(c)] show features that are clearly correlated with the magnetic transitions. The most pronounced anomalies are observed on cooling through T_{N3} , with the largest response observed for ϵ_c ($E \parallel c$). These data indicate the transition at T_{N3} to separate ferroelectric (FE) and paraelectric phases. Pyroelectric measurements [Fig. 1(d)] directly confirm the emergence of a macroscopic FE polarization P parallel to the crystal **c** axis for $T < T_{N3}$. These data demonstrate that Mn₂GeO₄ features a ferromagnetic and ferroelectric phase.

Neutron diffraction directly identifies the nature of the magnetic order in the different phases. The $\mu_0 H$ and T dependence of the neutron Bragg intensity at the (100) and (120) positions, shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), reveals commensurate (C) magnetic order with $Q_c = (0, 0, 0)$ in all three magnetic phases, separated by first-order



FIG. 2 (color online). The $\mu_0 H$ and *T* dependence of the neutron intensity at the C (a) (100) and (b) (120) positions for fields $\mu_0 H \parallel \mathbf{c}$. For clarity, in each of (a) and (b) adjacent field data are displaced vertically by 10000 counts per standard monitor. In (c) we show the *T* dependence of the neutron intensity at the IC position $(q_h, 1 - q_k, 0)$ for fields $\mu_0 H \parallel \mathbf{c}$. The inset in (c) shows the $\mu_0 H$ dependence at T = 1.7 K of the q_h component of the incommensuration. In (d) we show the $(\mu_0 H, T)$ magnetic phase diagram for $\mu_0 H \parallel \mathbf{c}$ determined by both magnetization (data not shown) and neutron diffraction. Paramagnetic (PM), C AFM, and C + IC AFM structure phases are labeled. In panels (a)–(c), open (filled) symbols correspond to *T*-warming (cooling) data, and dashed lines correspond to guides for the eye. For all panels, error bars are of the order of the size of the data symbol.

transitions. Furthermore, Fig. 2(c) shows that for $T < T_{N3}$ long-range incommensurate (IC) order coexists with the C order. The IC propagation vector is $Q_{ic} = (q_h, q_k, 0)$, where in zero field $q_h = 0.136(2)$ and $q_k = 0.211(2)$. Figure 2(d) shows the $(\mu_0 H \parallel \mathbf{c}, T)$ magnetic phase diagram constructed from both *M* and ND measurements. The MT phase becomes suppressed with increasing $\mu_0 H$ and entirely absent by 9 T. The LT phase with coexisting C and IC order is more robust, with a noticeable suppression in T_{N3} only for $\mu_0 H > 10$ T, and the persistence of the IC order up to the highest fields applied in our experiments $(\mu_0 H = 14.9$ T). The inset in Fig. 2(c) shows the q_h component of the IC wave vector to increase monotonically with $\mu_0 H$, in contrast to the q_k component which remains $\mu_0 H$ -independent (data not shown).

Using both unpolarized and polarized ND and a magnetic symmetry analysis [16], we find that the magnetic structures in the HT and MT phases are described by the standard irreducible representations (irreps) Γ_c^3 [Fig. 3(a)] and Γ_c^1 [Fig. 3(b)] defined in Table I, respectively [16]. In contrast to the single irrep descriptions of both the MT and HT phases, the C structure in the LT phase is best described by the irrep sum $\Gamma_c^1 + \Gamma_c^3$ shown in Fig. 3(c). Table I shows



FIG. 3 (color online). The refined zero field magnetic structures of Mn_2GeO_4 . In (a)–(c) we show the refined commensurate structures at T = 20 K, (b) T = 7 K and (c) T = 1.4 K. In (d) we show the refined incommensurate magnetic structure at T = 1.4 K. In (d) the envelopes around the moments indicate the rotation plane of each ion.

that the C magnetic structures allow for a FM magnetization in the HT and LT phases where Γ_c^3 present but not in the MT phase where only Γ_c^1 ordered, consistent with the magnetization measurements. Since our ND data are insensitive to the small size of this FM moment (< $0.01 \mu_B$), the m^c component on each site was constrained to be 0 in the refinements [16].

Following the approaches in Refs. [17–19], a corepresentation (corep) analysis has been employed in order to determine the possible models for the IC magnetic structure in the LT phase—see [16] for details. The symmetry properties of both the irreducible corepresentation and the standard irreducible representation are reported in Table II. There are just two unique coreps; each are of type "a," and each is generated by one of the standard irreps. Our refinements show that the IC magnetic structure is described by the corep sum $D_{ic}^1 + D_{ic}^2$, though our experiments are insensitive to the relative phase between the corep mode amplitudes at each site. Later we will see symmetry to dictate that the mode amplitudes sum in quadrature so that the refined magnetic structure corresponds to slightly

TABLE I. Selected standard irreducible representations for the little group defined by the *Pnma* space group and $Q_c = (0, 0, 0)$. 1 is the identity, *I* is inversion about the origin, and 2_{α} corresponds to a twofold rotation (or screw) axis, while $m_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a (mirror) or glide plane containing the axes α and β . The full character table is shown in Ref. [16].

	1	2_c	2_b	2 _{<i>a</i>}	I	m_{ab}	m_{ac}	m_{ba}
$\Gamma_{\rm c}^1$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Γ_c^3	1	1	-1	-1	1	1	-1	-1

elliptical spin spirals on each Mn site as shown in Fig. 3(d) [16].

Our experimental data provide convincing evidence for a coexistence of the C and IC orders in the FE phase, as opposed to a scenario where they are phase separated. The $\mu_0 H$ and T dependence of the neutron intensity for both the C and IC reflections [Figs. 2(a)-2(c) and Ref. [16]] show that, at all fields, just a *single* transition T separates both C and IC orders in the FE phase from the C order in the other phases. Furthermore, both powder and single crystal measurements yield, within the experimental uncertainty, identical values for the ordered moments of the C and IC magnetic structures in the FE phase. We also find [16] that the maximum moment size for the C structure appears on sublattice Mn_{pl}, while for the IC structure it appears on sublattice Mn_{ch} , and that the total moment at each site arising from a superposed C and IC structure is always $\leq 5\mu_B$, as expected for the free ion moment in the S = 5/2 state of Mn²⁺.

Inspecting the sequence of the magnetic order in Mn_2GeO_4 , we identify two main motifs: (i) FM double triangles in the *ab* plane coupled antiferromagnetically and (ii) AFM noncollinear double triangles. The stabilization of the FM order on the double triangle may be possible because all its nearest-neighbor interactions are mediated by Mn-O-Mn bonds very close to 90°. Lowering the temperature, the FM double triangles appear to become unstable due to smaller, presumably AFM interactions, and the magnetic order becomes noncollinear. Finally, in the FE LT phase, both FM and AFM tendencies are satisfied simultaneously at different wave vectors.

We now show that the spontaneous *P* along the **c** axis is permissible according to the symmetry properties of the IC magnetic structure. By summing the corep mode amplitudes in quadrature as, for the example of magnetic site *j*, $\mathbf{m}_j(D_{ic}^1) + i\mathbf{m}_j(D_{ic}^2)$, we ensure that the point-group symmetry of the IC magnetic order is compatible with both the emergence and the direction of the electric *P*. This can be seen by inspecting Table II; since both *I* and 2_c enter the magnetic little group as antilinear operators, the signs of these operators invert for the corep with mode amplitudes preceded by the imaginary unit *i* [18]. The resulting spin spiral preserves only the twofold rotation around the **c** axis and no operations for the **a** or **b** axes. This yields a

TABLE II. Both the standard irreducible representation (Γ_{ic}^{x}) and the irreducible corepresentation (D_{ic}^{x}) for the space group *Pnma*, and the general incommensurate propagation vector $Q_{ic} = (q_h, q_k, 0)$. Antilinear operators include the complex conjugation operator *K* and enter into the irreducible corepresentation only. $a = \exp(-2\pi i q_h/2)$.

	1	m_{ab}	ΚJ	<i>K</i> 2 _{<i>c</i>}
$\overline{\Gamma_{ m ic}^1/D_{ m ic}^1}$	1	а	1	а
$\frac{\Gamma_{\rm ic}^2}{D_{\rm ic}^2}$	1	-a	1	-a



FIG. 4 (color online). (a) The magnetic field dependence of the magnetization for $\mu_0 H \parallel \mathbf{c}$ and at selected temperatures. In (b) we show the magnetic field dependence of the electric polarization on poling the electric field.

point-group symmetry for the spiral of $\cdot \cdot 2$ which is compatible with a *P* observed *only* along the **c** axis [Fig. 1(d)]. In contrast, the point-group symmetries of alternative corep mode combinations that may explain the experimental data are incompatible with the observed *P* [18]. Moreover, the symmetry properties of the C structure in the LT phase also do not permit an electric *P*—strong evidence that the *P* is associated solely with the onset of the IC spiral magnetic order. Precisely the same conclusions are reached by interpreting the refined symmetry properties of the LT magnetic structures in terms of the Landau theory for which the magnetoelectric coupling is described by a trilinear interaction term [20–22].

 $M-\mu_0 H$ loops measured at temperatures within each magnetic phase [Fig. 4(a)] show that the expected hysteretic behavior in M due to FM domain switching is observed only at T = 20 K and T = 4.5 K, which is where Γ_c^3 is active. At T = 4.5 K, in particular, the coercive magnetic field corresponds well to that seen in the $P_c-\mu_0 H$ hysteresis loops [Fig. 4(b)] demonstrating the synchronized switching of both FM and FE domains. This behavior suggests that the FM and FE domain walls are clamped in the MF phase and that the directions of Mand P remain fixed relative to one another across the MF domain.

We now show that an additional DM interaction in the FE phase is responsible for both the direction of the polarization and the magnetization, explaining their directly coupled nature. Because of the presence of two types of Mn sites, DM exchange interactions are allowed between all Mn_{ch} and Mn_{pl} neighbors, leading to the FM magnetization in the LT and HT phases. In contrast, the DM vector between nearest Mn_{ch} neighbors reads $(D_a, 0, D_c)$ in the paraelectric phase with $D_b = 0$ [23]. The FE symmetry lowering makes $D_b \neq 0$ and, thus, provides an exchange interaction for the stabilization of the m^a and m^c components of the spin spiral. Furthermore, $D_b \neq 0$ also provides a direct coupling between the m^c component of Γ_c^1 and the m^a component of Γ_c^3 , which fixes the direction of the magnetization by removing a degree of freedom that would otherwise lead to additional possible magnetic domains. The sign of D_h controls the sense of rotation of the spin spiral and also the direction of the FM canting, thus providing the possibility for a direct coupling of ferromagnetism and ferroelectricity.

In summary, our experiments have revealed Mn_2GeO_4 to be a new ferromagnetic ferroelectric. The direct coupling between ferromagnetism and ferroelectricity is mediated by a magnetic order composed of both incommensurate spiral and canted commensurate spin structure components. Our study shows multicomponent magnetic structures as a new viable route for the design of functional materials that allow the precision control of multiple orders.

We thank V. Pomjakushin for assistance with the neutron powder diffraction measurements. We acknowledge financial support from the Swiss National Centre of Competence in Research program MaNEP, KAKENHI (20674005, 20001004), MEXT, Japan, and the SNF under Grant No. 200021_126687.

- [1] T. Kimura, T. Goto, H. Shintani, K. Ishizaka, T. Arima, and Y. Tokura, Nature (London) **426**, 55 (2003).
- [2] S.-W. Cheong and M. Mostovoy, Nature Mater. 6, 13 (2007).
- [3] R. Ramesh and N. A. Spaldin, Nature Mater. 6, 21 (2007).
- [4] Y. Kitagawa, Y. Hiraoka, T. Honda, T. Ishikura, H. Nakamura, and T. Kimura, Nature Mater. 9, 797 (2010).
- [5] Y. Yamasaki, S. Miyasaka, Y. Kaneko, J.-P. He, T. Arima, and Y. Tokura, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 207204 (2006).
- [6] H. Murakawa, K. Onose, S. Ohgushi, S. Ishiwata, and Y. Tokura, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 77, 043709 (2008).
- [7] S. Ishiwata, Y. Taguchi, H. Murakawa, Y. Onose, and Y. Tokura, Science **319**, 1643 (2008).
- [8] I. A. Sergienko and E. Dagotto, Phys. Rev. B 73, 094434 (2006).
- [9] M. Mochizuki and N. Furukawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 187601 (2010).
- [10] H. Katsura, N. Nagaosa, and A. V. Balatsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 057205 (2005).
- [11] Y. Tokunaga, S. Iguchi, T. Arima, and Y. Tokura, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 097205 (2008).
- [12] Y. Tokunaga, N. Furukawa, H. Sakai, Y. Taguchi, T. Arima, and Y. Tokura, Nature Mater. 8, 558 (2009).

- [13] J. G. Creer and G. J. Troup, Solid State Commun. 8, 1183 (1970).
- [14] I.S. Hagemann, P.G. Khalifah, A.P. Ramirez, and R.J. Cava, Phys. Rev. B 62, R771 (2000).
- [15] J. Kanamori, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 10, 87 (1959); J.B. Goodenough, *Magnetism and the Chemical Bond* (Wiley, New York, 1963).
- [16] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.077204 for more details.
- [17] J. Schweizer, C.R. Physique 6, 375 (2005).
- [18] P. G. Radaelli and L. C. Chapon, Phys. Rev. B 76, 054428 (2007).

- [19] P.G. Radaelli, C. Vecchini, L.C. Chapon, P.J. Brown, S. Park, and S.-W. Cheong, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 020404 (2009).
- [20] M. Kenzelmann, A.B. Harris, S. Jonas, C. Broholm, J. Schefer, S.B. Kim, C.L. Zhang, S.-W. Cheong, O.P. Vajk, and J. W. Lynn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 087206 (2005).
- [21] G. Lawes, A. B. Harris, T. Kimura, N. Rogado, R. J. Cava, A. Aharony, O. Entin-Wohlman, T. Yildrim, M. Kenzelmann, C. Broholm, and A. P. Ramirez, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 087205 (2005).
- [22] A.B. Harris, Phys. Rev. B 76, 054447 (2007).
- [23] T. Moriya, Phys. Rev. 120, 91 (1960).