Experimental Realization of a Spin-1/2 Triangular-Lattice Heisenberg Antiferromagnet

Yutaka Shirata,¹ Hidekazu Tanaka,¹ Akira Matsuo,² and Koichi Kindo²

¹Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan

²Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8581, Japan

(Received 30 September 2011; published 31 January 2012)

We report the results of magnetization and specific heat measurements on $Ba_3CoSb_2O_9$, in which the magnetic Co^{2+} ion has a fictitious spin 1/2, and provide evidence that a spin-1/2 Heisenberg antiferromagnet on a regular triangular lattice is actually realized in $Ba_3CoSb_2O_9$. We found that the entire magnetization curve including the one-third quantum magnetization plateau is in excellent quantitative agreement with the results of theoretical calculations. We also found that $Ba_3CoSb_2O_9$ undergoes a three-step transition within a narrow temperature range.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.057205

PACS numbers: 75.10.Jm, 75.40.Mg, 75.45.+j

Exploring the ground state of a frustrated quantum magnet has been one of the main subjects of condensed matter physics [1-3]. A long theoretical debate reached a consensus that a two-dimensional (2D) spin-1/2triangular-lattice Heisenberg antiferromagnet (TLHAF) has an ordered ground state of the 120° spin structure [4–7]. Although the zero-field ground state is qualitatively the same as that for the classical spin, the ground state in a magnetic field cannot be determined uniquely only from the classical model because the classical ground state is infinitely degenerate. The ground state of a small spin TLHAF in a magnetic field is essentially determined by the quantum fluctuation energy. A remarkable quantum effect is that an up-up-down spin state, which appears in a magnetic field for the classical model, can be stabilized in a finite magnetic field range, so that the magnetization curve has a plateau at one-third of the saturation magnetization [8-16].

The nature of the quantum mechanical ground state in a magnetic field is strongly reflected in the magnetization process. The magnetization process for a 2D spin-1/2 TLHAF, in which maximally strong frustration and quantum fluctuation coexist, has been calculated energetically using spin wave theory [9,11,12], the coupled cluster method [13] and exact diagonalization [14–16]. The calculated magnetization curves are greatly different from that for the classical spin. However, experimental verification of the theoretical results has not been conducted at a quantitative level.

Experimentally, Cs_2CuCl_4 [17], Cs_2CuBr_4 [18,19] and κ -(BEDT-TTF)₂Cu₂(CN)₃ [20] have been actively investigated as spin-1/2 TLHAFs. However, the triangular lattice in these substances is not regular but distorted, and thus, the exchange interaction is spatially anisotropic. Cs_2CuCl_4 and Cs_2CuBr_4 also exhibit a large antisymmetric interaction of the Dzyaloshinsky-Moriya (DM) type. Cs_2CuBr_4 is the only triangular-lattice antiferromagnet that displays the quantum magnetization plateau [18,19]. However, its magnetization process is strongly anisotropic

owing to the large DM interaction, and the magnetization plateau is not observed for a magnetic field perpendicular to the triangular-lattice plane. The magnetic models of Cs₂CuBr₄ and Cs₂CuCl₄ are complicated. To elucidate the quantum nature of the ground state and excitations in the TLHAF, a spin-1/2 Heisenberg antiferromagnet with a regular triangular lattice is necessary. It is considered impossible to realize a regular triangular lattice in a copper compound, in which magnetic Cu²⁺ ions are in an octahedral environment, because the degeneracy of the e_{g} orbital cannot be lifted in trigonal crystal field and a large Jahn-Teller energy of the order of 3000 K cannot be released [21]. Thus, the trigonal (or hexagonal) crystal lattice of the copper compound is unstable at low temperatures. In this letter, we present the results of magnetization and specific heat measurements on Ba₃CoSb₂O₉ and provide evidence that $Ba_3CoSb_2O_9$ closely approximates the ideal spin-1/2 TLHAF.

Figure 1 shows the crystal structure of Ba₃CoSb₂O₉. This substance crystallizes in a highly symmetric hexagonal structure, $P6_3/mmc$, which is closely related to the hexagonal BaTiO₃ structure [22,23]. The structure is composed of a single CoO₆ octahedron and a face sharing Sb₂O₉ double octahedron, which are shaded blue and ochre, respectively. Magnetic Co²⁺ ions form regular triangular-lattice layers parallel to the *ab* plane, which are separated by a nonmagnetic layer consisting of the Sb_2O_9 double octahedron and Ba^{2+} ions. Therefore, the interlayer exchange interaction is expected to be much smaller than the intralayer exchange interaction. However, Ba₃CoSb₂O₉ undergoes magnetic ordering at $T_{\rm N} \simeq 3.8$ K owing to the weak interlayer interaction [23]. Because of the highly symmetric crystal structure, the antisymmetric DM interaction is absent between the first-, second-, and third-neighbor spins in the triangular lattice and between all spin pairs along the *c* axis.

It is known that the magnetic property of Co^{2+} in an octahedral environment is determined by the lowest orbital triplet ${}^{4}T_{1}$ [24–26]. This orbital triplet splits into six



FIG. 1 (color online). Crystal structure of $Ba_3CoSb_2O_9$. The blue single octahedron is a CoO_6 octahedron with a Co^{2+} ion at the center, and the face-sharing Sb_2O_9 double octahedron is shaded ochre. Magnetic Co^{2+} ions form a regular triangular lattice in the *ab* plane. Dotted lines denote the chemical unit cell.

Kramers doublets owing to spin-orbit coupling and the uniaxial crystal field, which are expressed together as

$$\mathcal{H}' = -(3/2)\lambda(\boldsymbol{l} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}) - \delta\{(l^z)^2 - 2/3\}, \qquad (1)$$

where *l* is the effective angular momentum with l = 1 and *S* is the true spin with S = 3/2. When the temperature *T* is much lower than the magnitude of the spin-orbit coupling constant $\lambda = -178 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, i.e., $T \ll |\lambda|/k_{\text{B}} \simeq 250 \text{ K}$, the magnetic property is determined by the lowest Kramers doublet, which is given by $l^z + S^z = \pm 1/2$, and the effective magnetic moment of Co^{2+} is represented by $m = g\mu_{\text{B}}s$ with the spin-1/2 operator *s* [24–26]. In general, the total of the *g* factors for the three different field directions is about 13 [24], which is twice as large as that for conventional magnets. When the octahedral environment exhibits trigonal symmetry observed in Ba₃CoSb₂O₉, the effective exchange interaction between fictitious spins *s_i* is described by the spin-1/2 *XXZ* model [25,26]

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{ex}} = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} [J_{\perp} \{s_i^x s_j^x + s_i^y s_j^y\} + J_{\parallel} s_i^z s_j^z].$$
(2)

This interaction is Ising-like $(J_{\parallel}/J_{\perp} > 1)$ for $\delta/\lambda < 0$, while it is *XY*-like $(J_{\parallel}/J_{\perp} < 1)$ for $\delta/\lambda > 0$. The Heisenberg model $(J_{\parallel}/J_{\perp} = 1)$ is realized when $\delta = 0$. We assume that the nearest-neighbor interaction on the triangular lattice is dominant in Ba₃CoSb₂O₉, as observed in isostructural Ba₃NiSb₂O₉ [27].

The Ba₃CoSb₂O₉ powder used in this study was prepared via the chemical reaction $3BaCO_3 + CoO + Sb_2O_5 \rightarrow Ba_3CoSb_2O_9 + 3CO_2$. Reagent-grade materials were mixed in stoichiometric quantities and calcined at 1100 °C for 20 h in air. Ba₃CoSb₂O₉ was sintered at 1200 °C for more than 20 h after being pressed into a pellet. To prepare single crystals, we packed the sintered Ba₃CoSb₂O₉ into a Pt tube of 9.6 mm inner diameter and 50 mm length. Small single crystals with dimensions of $1 \times 1 \times 1$ mm³ were grown from the melt. The temperature of the furnace was lowered from 1700 to 1300 °C over two days. The samples obtained were examined by x-ray-powder and single-crystal diffraction.

The magnetic susceptibility of $Ba_3CoSb_2O_9$ powder was measured in the temperature range of 1.8–300 K using a SQUID magnetometer (Quantum Design MPMS XL). High-field magnetization measurement in a magnetic field of up to 53 T was performed at 4.2 and 1.3 K using an induction method with a multilayer pulse magnet at the Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo. The absolute value of the high-field magnetization was calibrated with the magnetization measured by the SQUID magnetometer. The specific heat of $Ba_3CoSb_2O_9$ single crystal was measured down to 0.4 K using a physical property measurement system (Quantum Design PPMS) by the relaxation method.

Figure 2(a) shows the raw magnetization curve and the derivative susceptibility for Ba₃CoSb₂O₉ powder measured at 1.3 K. The entire magnetization process was observed up to a magnetic field of 53 T. The saturation of the Co²⁺ spin occurs at $H_s = 31.9$ T. The increase in magnetization above H_s arises from the large temperature-independent Van Vleck paramagnetism of Co²⁺ in the octahedral environment [25,26]. From the magnetization slope above H_s , the Van Vleck paramagnetic susceptibility was evaluated as $\chi_{VV} = 1.60 \times 10^{-2} (\mu_B/T)/Co^{2+} = 8.96 \times 10^{-3}$ emu/mol. The saturation magnetization was obtained as $M_s = 1.91 \ \mu_B/Co^{2+}$ by extrapolating the magnetization curve above H_s to a zero field [dashed line in Fig. 2(a)].

Figure 2(b) shows the magnetization curves corrected for the Van Vleck paramagnetism. The quantum magnetization plateau is clearly observed at $M_s/3$. Thick dashed and solid lines denote fits by the higher order coupled cluster method (CCM) [13] and by exact diagonalization (ED) for a 39-site rhombic cluster [16], respectively. Both theories coincide with each other. The only adjustable parameters are saturation field H_s and saturation magnetization M_s . Although the experimental magnetization curve is smeared around the critical fields due to the finite temperature effect and the small anisotropies of the gfactor and the interaction, the agreement between the experimental and theoretical results is excellent. If the effective exchange interaction is strongly anisotropic, the magnetization process will strongly depend on the field direction, as observed in CsCoCl₃ [28], and its spatial average will not agree with the theory for the 2D spin-1/2 TLHAF. The present result demonstrates that



FIG. 2 (color online). (a) Raw magnetization curve of $Ba_3CoSb_2O_9$ powder measured at 1.3 K and derivative susceptibility dM/dH vs magnetic field *H*. Dashed lines denote the Van Vleck paramagnetism evaluated from the magnetization slope above the saturation field $H_s = 31.9$ T. (b) Magnetization curve corrected for Van Vleck paramagnetism. Thick dashed and solid lines denote the theoretical magnetization curves calculated by the higher order coupled cluster method (CCM) [13] and by exact diagonalization (ED) for a 39-site rhombic cluster [16], respectively. Thin dotted lines denote classical magnetization curves.

Ba₃CoSb₂O₉ closely approximates the 2D spin-1/2 TLHAF, although 3D magnetic ordering occurs at $T_N \approx$ 3.8 K owing to the small interlayer interaction.

From the saturation magnetization and the relation $4.5J = g\mu_{\rm B}H_s$, the average g factor and the exchange constant were obtained as g = 3.82 and $J/k_{\rm B} = 18.2$ K, respectively. The magnetic field range of the $M_s/3$ plateau observed in Ba₃CoSb₂O₉ agrees with that of 0.306 < $H/H_s < 0.479$ predicted by the CCM [13] and ED [14,16]. This field range is much larger than that of 0.45 < $H/H_s < 0.50$ observed in Cs₂CuBr₄ with a spatially anisotropic triangular lattice $(J_2/J_1 = 0.74)$ [18,19] and is twice as large as that of 0.317 < $H/H_s < 0.413$ for the spin-1 case [27].

Figure 3 shows the magnetic susceptibilities of Ba₃CoSb₂O₉ powder obtained before and after the correction of the Van Vleck paramagnetic susceptibility of $\chi_{\rm VV} = 8.96 \times 10^{-3}$ emu/mol. We plotted the susceptibility data for $T \le 40$ K, where the spin-1/2 description of the magnetic moment is valid. The contribution of the Van Vleck paramagnetic susceptibility is one-quarter to onethird of the raw magnetic susceptibility, and thus, its correction is essential for evaluating the intrinsic magnetic susceptibility. The magnetic susceptibility has a rounded maximum at 7 K, characteristic of a low-dimensional antiferromagnet. The solid line in Fig. 3 indicates the theoretical susceptibility of the 2D spin-1/2 TLHAF calculated by series expansion [29] with $J/k_{\rm B} = 18.2$ K and g = 3.82, which were obtained from the present high-field magnetization measurements. The experimental and theoretical magnetic susceptibilities are consistent, although the theoretical susceptibility is smaller than the experimental susceptibility.

Using a small single crystal, we also measured the specific heat to investigate the nature of the magnetic ordering. Figure 4 shows the low-temperature specific heat measured at a zero magnetic field. Sharp peaks indicative of magnetic phase transitions were observed around 3.8 K. As shown in the inset, Ba₃CoSb₂O₉ undergoes three magnetic phase transitions at $T_{\rm N1} = 3.82$, $T_{\rm N2} = 3.79$ and $T_{\rm N3} = 3.71$ K. In the Heisenberg-like triangular-lattice antiferromagnet, successive phase transitions occur when the magnetic anisotropy is of the easy-axis type, while a single transition arises for easy-plane



FIG. 3 (color online). Temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibilities for Ba₃CoSb₂O₉ obtained before and after correction of Van Vleck paramagnetism. The solid line denotes the theoretical susceptibility calculated by series expansion [29] with $J/k_{\rm B} = 18.2$ K and g = 3.82.



FIG. 4 (color online). Low-temperature specific heat of $Ba_3CoSb_2O_9$ measured at zero magnetic field. The inset shows an expansion of the graph around 3.8 K.

anisotropy [30,31]. The successive phase transitions observed show the presence of easy-axis anisotropy, which is consistent with the 120° spin structure in a plane including the c axis observed by Doi et al. [23]. However, Ba₃CoSb₂O₉ differs from other triangular-lattice antiferromagnets with easy-axis anisotropy in its ordering process. Usually, magnetic ordering occurs in two steps, as observed in the classical systems CsNiCl₃ [32,33], $GdPd_2Al_3$ [34] and $Rb_4Mn(MoO_4)_3$ [35], while in $Ba_3CoSb_2O_9$, it occurs in three steps. The scenario of the three-step transition is considered to be as follows [30]: with decreasing temperature, the c axis component of spins first becomes ordered at $T_{\rm N1}$ with the condition $\langle S_1^z \rangle \neq$ $\langle S_2^z \rangle \neq \langle S_3^z \rangle$, then a transition to a state with $\langle S_1^z \rangle \simeq$ $-2\langle S_2^z \rangle = -2\langle S_3^z \rangle$ occurs at T_{N2} . Finally, the *ab* components becomes ordered at T_{N3} . Consequently, below T_{N3} , spins form a triangular structure in a plane parallel to the c axis. It appears that the first ordered phase, which is omitted in the above-mentioned classical systems, emerges in Ba₃CoSb₂O₉.

The reduced temperature range of the intermediate phase $(T_{\rm N1} - T_{\rm N3})/T_{\rm N1}$ is determined from the ratio of the anisotropic term $(J_{\parallel} - J_{\perp})$ to the isotropic term (J_{\perp}) in the exchange interaction [30,31]. The very narrow temperature range of the intermediate phase in Ba₃CoSb₂O₉ means that the anisotropic term is much smaller than the isotropic term. The effective exchange interaction of Eq. (2) is strongly anisotropic in typical cobalt substances [25,26], but in Ba₃CoSb₂O₉, it is close to the Heisenberg model. This means that the coefficient of the trigonal crystal field δ is much smaller than the spin-orbit coupling constant λ in Ba₃CoSb₂O₉, and the local environment of Co²⁺ is close to a cubic environment as observed in perovskite KCoF₃ [36].

In conclusion, we have shown that the entire magnetization process and the temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility for $Ba_3CoSb_2O_9$ agree well with theoretical results for the spin-1/2 TLHAF, and that $Ba_3CoSb_2O_9$ undergoes three magnetic phase transitions with very narrow intermediate phases. These results demonstrate that the spin-1/2 TLHAF is actually realized in $Ba_3CoSb_2O_9$. Conversely, this work verifies recent theory on the magnetization process for the spin-1/2 TLHAF. Therefore, $Ba_3CoSb_2O_9$ is expected to be useful for verifying quantum-fluctuation-assisted spin states in magnetic fields [9–11] and for exploring new quantum aspects of the spin-1/2 TLHAF such as negative quantum renormalization and the singularity of magnetic excitations [37–39].

We express our sincere thanks to D.J.J. Farnell, R. Zinke, J. Schulenburg, J. Richter, H. Nakano, and T. Sakai for showing us their theoretical calculations of the magnetization process and to Y. Takano and I. Umegaki for useful comments. We are deeply indebted to T. Shimizu and M. Itoh for their support in single-crystal X-ray diffraction measurements. This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, and the Global COE Program "Nanoscience and Quantum Physics" at Tokyo Tech. funded by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan. H. T. was supported by a grant from the Mitsubishi Foundation.

- [1] P.W. Anderson, Mater. Res. Bull. 8, 153 (1973).
- [2] V. Kalmeyer and R. B. Laughlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 2095 (1987).
- [3] L. Balents, Nature (London) 464, 199 (2010).
- [4] D. A. Huse and V. Elser, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 2531 (1988).
- [5] Th. Jolicoeur and J. C. Le Guillou, Phys. Rev. B 40, 2727 (1989).
- [6] B. Bernu, P. Lecheminant, C. Lhuillier, and L. Pierre, Phys. Rev. B 50, 10048 (1994).
- [7] R. R. P. Singh and D. A. Huse, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 1766 (1992).
- [8] H. Nishimori and S. Miyashita, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 55, 4448 (1986).
- [9] A.V. Chubukov and D.I. Golosov, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 3, 69 (1991).
- [10] T. Nikuni and H. Shiba, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 62, 3268 (1993).
- [11] J. Alicea, A. V. Chubukov, and O. A. Starykh, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 137201 (2009).
- [12] J. Takano, H. Tsunetsugu, and M. E. Zhitomirsky, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **320**, 012011 (2011).
- [13] D.J.J. Farnell, R. Zinke, J. Schulenburg, and J. Richter, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 21, 406002 (2009).
- [14] A. Honecker, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 11, 4697 (1999).
- [15] A. Honecker, J. Schulenburg, and J. Richter, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 16, S749 (2004).
- [16] T. Sakai and H. Nakano, Phys. Rev. B 83, 100405(R) (2011).
- [17] R. Coldea, D.A. Tennant, A.M. Tsvelik, and Z. Tylczynski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1335 (2001).

- [18] T. Ono, H. Tanaka, H. Aruga Katori, F. Ishikawa, H. Mitamura, and T. Goto, Phys. Rev. B 67, 104431 (2003).
- [19] N. A. Fortune, S. T. Hannahs, Y. Yoshida, T. E. Sherline, T. Ono, H. Tanaka, and Y. Takano, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 257201 (2009).
- [20] Y. Shimizu, K. Miyagawa, K. Kanoda, M. Maesato, and G. Saito, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 107001 (2003).
- [21] H. Tanaka, H. Dachs, K. Iio, and K. Nagata, J. Phys. C 19, 4861 (1986).
- [22] U. Treiber and S. Kemmler-Sack, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 487, 161 (1982).
- [23] Y. Doi, Y. Hinatsu, and K. Ohoyama, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 16, 8923 (2004).
- [24] A. Abragam and M. H. L. Pryce, Proc. R. Soc. A 206, 173 (1951).
- [25] M.E. Lines, Phys. Rev. 131, 546 (1963).
- [26] H. Shiba, Y. Ueda, K. Okunishi, S. Kimura, and K. Kindo, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 72, 2326 (2003).
- [27] Y. Shirata, H. Tanaka, T. Ono, A. Matsuo, K. Kindo, and H. Nakano, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 80, 093702 (2011).
- [28] K. Amaya, H. Hori, I. Shiozaki, M. Date, M. Ishizuka, T. Sakakibara, T. Goto, N. Miura, H. Kikuchi, and Y. Ajiro, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 59, 1810 (1990).

- [29] N. Elstner, R. R. P. Singh, and A. P. Young, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 1629 (1993).
- [30] F. Matsubara, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 51, 2424 (1982).
- [31] S. Miyashita and H. Kawamura, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 54, 3385 (1985).
- [32] K. Adachi and M. Mekata, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 34, 269 (1973).
- [33] D. Beckmann, J. Wosnitza, H. v. Löhneysen, and D. Visser, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 2829 (1993).
- [34] H. Kitazawa, H. Suzuki, H. Abe, J. Tang, and G. Kido, Physica (Amsterdam) 259–261B, 890 (1999).
- [35] R. Ishii, S. Tanaka, K. Onuma, Y. Nambu, M. Tokunaga, T. Sakakibara, N. Kawashima, Y. Maeno, C. Broholm, D. P. Gautreaux, J. Y. Chan, and S. Nakatsuji, Europhys. Lett. 94, 17001 (2011).
- [36] W. J. L. Buyers, T. M. Holden, E. C. Svensson, R. A. Cowley, and M. T. Hutchings, J. Phys. C 4, 2139 (1971).
- [37] O. A. Starykh, A. V. Chubukov, and A. G. Abanov, Phys. Rev. B 74, 180403(R) (2006).
- [38] W.H. Zheng, J.O. Fjærestad, R.R.P. Singh, R.H. McKenzie, and R. Coldea, Phys. Rev. B 74, 224420 (2006).
- [39] A. L. Chernyshev and M. E. Zhitomirsky, Phys. Rev. B 79, 144416 (2009).