New Test of Local Lorentz Invariance Using a ²¹Ne-Rb-K Comagnetometer

M. Smiciklas, J. M. Brown, L. W. Cheuk, S. J. Smullin, and M. V. Romalis

Department of Physics, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA

(Received 10 June 2011; published 21 October 2011)

We develop a new comagnetometer using ²¹Ne atoms with nuclear spin I = 3/2 and Rb atoms polarized by spin exchange with K atoms to search for tensor interactions that violate local Lorentz invariance. We frequently reverse the orientation of the experiment and search for signals at the first and second harmonics of the sidereal frequency. We constrain 4 of the 5 spatial Lorentz-violating coefficients c_{jk}^n that parametrize anisotropy of the maximum attainable velocity of a neutron at a level of 10^{-29} , improving previous limits by 2 to 4 orders of magnitude and placing the most stringent constraint on deviations from local Lorentz invariance.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.171604

PACS numbers: 11.30.Cp, 21.30.Cb, 32.30.Dx

The Michelson-Morley experiment and its successors have established that the speed of light is isotropic to a part in 10^{17} [1,2]. Similarly, possible anisotropy in the maximum attainable velocity (MAV) for a massive particle [3] has been constrained by Hughes and Drever NMR experiments [4,5] and their successors to a part in 10^{27} [6]. These experiments form the basis for the principle of local Lorentz invariance (LLI). Together with the weak equivalence principle and the position invariance principle, they constitute the Einstein equivalence principle (EEP) that is the basis of general relativity [7]. Measurements of tensor NMR energy shifts [8,9] are particularly sensitive to variation in MAV due to a finite kinetic energy of valence nucleons. They place the most stringent limits on violation of LLI within the $TH\epsilon\mu$ formalism [10] describing deviations from the EEP as well as within recently developed general Lorentz-violating standard model extension (SME) [11]. They compare favorably even to the limits on variation in MAV from ultrahigh energy cosmic rays and other astrophysical phenomena [12–14]. It can be argued that Lorentz invariance is likely to be broken at some level by the effects of quantum gravity, which contains a dimensionful Planck scale that is not Lorentz invariant. Popular ideas for quantum gravity theories, such as recently proposed Hořava-Lifshitz model [15], explicitly violate Lorentz symmetry. CPT-even tensor Lorentz-violating effects, such as variation in MAV, are particularly interesting to explore because they arise from a purely kinematic violation of Lorentz invariance and do not require explicit particle spin coupling at the fundamental level. Recent experiments have already excluded CPT-odd effects suppressed by one power of the Planck mass, and are beginning to constrain CPT-even effects suppressed by the square of the Planck mass [16,17].

Here we describe a new comagnetometer that is sensitive to anisotropy in neutron MAV at 10^{-29} level. The idea of the experiment is based on the K-³He comagnetometer, previously used to constrain Lorentz-violating vector spin interactions [18]. The ³He (I = 1/2) is replaced by ²¹Ne (I = 3/2) to allow measurements of tensor anisotropy. In addition, since the gyromagnetic ratio of ²¹Ne is about an order of magnitude smaller than that of ³He, the comagnetometer has an order of magnitude better energy resolution for the same level of magnetic field sensitivity. The electric quadrupole interactions of ²¹Ne cause several difficulties. In order to overcome a faster nuclear spin relaxation in ²¹Ne relative to ³He, we replace K with Rb atoms, which have a larger spin-exchange cross section with ²¹Ne [19]. We also increase the alkali density by an order of magnitude and rely on hybrid optical pumping [20], using spin exchange with optically pumped K to polarize the Rb atoms while avoiding strong absorption of pumping light by the optically dense Rb vapor.

The experiment is placed on a rotary platform, and its orientation can be frequently reversed to introduce a modulation of the Lorentz-violating signal (Fig. 1). Among lab-fixed backgrounds, only the gyroscopic signal due to Earth's rotation cannot be easily suppressed, and we rely on sidereal and semisidereal oscillations expected for Lorentz-violating effects to extract anisotropic signals of an extra-solar origin. We measure the ²¹Ne NMR



FIG. 1 (color online). The experimental apparatus is rotated around the local vertical. ²¹Ne spins are polarized down along $-\hat{z}$ and the probe beam is directed horizontally along $-\hat{x}$.

frequency with a sensitivity of about 0.5 nHz and, within the Schmidt model for the ²¹Ne nucleus, constrain 4 of the 5 spatial components of the symmetric traceless SME tensor c_{jk}^n for neutrons at a level of a few parts in 10²⁹. This sensitivity exceeds all other limits on the $c_{\mu\nu}$ coefficients in the matter sector [21] as well as the recent limit on the neutrino c_{0j} coefficient at a level of 10^{-27} [22] and limits on c_{00} at a level of 10^{-23} from the analysis of ultrahigh energy cosmic rays [14,23].

The operating principles of the comagnetometer are similar to those described in [18,24,25]. The atoms are contained in a 1.4 cm diameter spherical cell made from aluminosilicate glass that is filled with Ne (enriched to 95% of ²¹Ne) at a density of 2.03 ± 0.05 amagat as determined from pressure broadening of Rb D1 line [26], 30 Torr of N₂ for quenching, and a mixture of ⁸⁷Rb and K alkali metals. The cell is heated to about 200 °C by ac currents at 170 kHz in a twisted pair wire heater. The density of Rb at the operating temperature is measured to be 5.5×10^{14} cm⁻³, while the density of K is about 2×10^{12} cm⁻³. K atoms are optically pumped by 650 mW of circularly polarized light from an amplified distributed Bragg reflector diode laser at 770 nm. Rb atoms are polarized to 40% by Rb-K spin-exchange collisions, while ²¹Ne atoms are polarized to 15%-17% by spin exchange with Rb. The comagnetometer signal is measured by monitoring optical rotation of a 10 mW linearly polarized probe beam that is generated by a distributed feedback diode laser near the 795 nm Rb D1 transition. The comagnetometer cell is placed inside magnetic shields consisting of 3 layers of μ metal and an inner ferrite shield with an overall shielding factor of 10^8 . Coils inside the magnetic shields are used to cancel residual magnetic fields and create a compensation field $B_z = -8\pi\kappa_0(M_{\rm Rb} + M_{\rm Ne})/3$, where $M_{\rm Rb}$ and $M_{\rm Ne}$ are the magnetizations of electron and nuclear spins, and $\kappa_0 = 34 \pm 3$ is the contact spin-exchange enhancement factor for Rb-²¹Ne [19,27]. At this compensation field, the comagnetometer signal is insensitive to slowly changing magnetic fields in all three directions while retaining sensitivity to anomalous interactions that couple, for example, only to nuclear or to electron spins. Changes in the sensitivity of the comagnetometer are periodically monitored by application of an oscillating B_x field [18]. The optical setup is contained in a bell jar evacuated to 2 Torr to eliminate noise from air currents. The apparatus and all electronics are mounted on a rotation platform and can be fully rotated around the vertical axis in several seconds. To compensate for a shift in the sensitive direction of the comagnetometer due to an ac Stark shift caused by the 770 nm pump laser at the 780 nm Rb D2 line, we rotate the apparatus by 13° from the nominal \hat{y} direction toward \hat{x} .

A representative sample of the comagnetometer signal during normal data collection is shown in Fig. 2. The orientation of the platform is reversed by 180° every



FIG. 2. Magnetometer signal as a function of time. During the first 300 s the sensitive axis is alternated between North and South directions. After the transient from rotation decays, the background optical rotation is measured (dashed box). Then the B_z field is set to the compensation point and the actual signal is measured (solid box). Rotations are paused after 13 reversals for calibration. In the following sequence the sensitive axis is alternated between East and West.

22 sec. We usually collect data in the North-South (NS) orientations of the sensitive axis, which gives a maximum gyroscopic signal due to the Earth's rotation and in the East-West (EW) orientations, which gives nominally zero gyroscopic signal. After each mechanical rotation, a background measurement of optical rotation is performed with B_z field detuned by 0.33 μ T to suppress the spin response. Data collection is periodically paused (300 to 400 sec in Fig. 2) to adjust the compensation value of B_{τ} field and measure the sensitivity of the magnetometer. Figure 3 shows an example of long-term measurements of the amplitudes of NS and EW modulations after subtraction of optical rotation background. We make a 10% correction to the calibration of the comagnetometer based on the size of the gyroscopic signal due to Earth's rotation $B_{\rm eff} =$ $\Omega_{\oplus}I\sin\chi/\mu_{\rm Ne} = 2.63$ pT in the NS data. Slow drifts in the NS and EW signals are caused by changes in various experimental parameters. We perform a correlation analysis between the comagnetometer signal and several measured



FIG. 3. Long-term measurements of the N-S and E-W modulation amplitudes with a fit including first and second harmonics of the sidereal frequency.

temperatures, laser beam position monitors, tilts, ²¹Ne polarization, and other parameters. We find a small but finite correlation of the signal with oven temperature, room temperature, and ²¹Ne polarization. These correlations are removed from the data while ensuring that diurnal changes in the temperature do not accidentally cancel a real sidereal signal in the data.

We interpret these measurements within a subset of the SME, adding $c_{\mu\nu}$ coefficients to the standard relativistic Lagrangian of a fermion,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} i \bar{\psi} (\gamma_{\nu} + c_{\mu\nu} \gamma^{\mu}) \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial}^{\nu} \psi - \bar{\psi} m \psi.$$
(1)

In the nonrelativistic limit, this leads to an anisotropic energy shift for a particle with spatial components of momentum p_j , which can be written as a product of spherical tensor operators of rank 2, C_n^2 and \mathcal{P}_n^2 , formed from Cartesian components of c_{jk} and $p_j p_k$ respectively,

$$H = -c_{jk}p_{j}p_{k}/m = -(-1)^{n}\mathcal{C}_{n}^{2}\mathcal{P}_{-n}^{2}/m.$$
 (2)

Using the Wigner-Eckart theorem, we evaluate the matrix elements of \mathcal{P}_n^2 in terms of I_n^2 , the rank-2 spherical tensor formed from components of nuclear spin *I*,

$$\langle I, m | \mathcal{P}_n^2 | I, m' \rangle = \frac{\langle I, m | I_n^2 | I, m' \rangle \langle I, I | \mathcal{P}_0^2 | I, I \rangle}{\langle I, I | I_0^2 | I, I \rangle}.$$
 (3)

In the coordinate system defined in Fig. 1, the comagnetometer is sensitive to first order to the energy of the ²¹Ne nuclear magnetic moment μ_{Ne} interacting with the magnetic field in the \hat{y} direction [18],

$$H_B = -\mu_{\rm Ne} I_{\rm y} B_{\rm y} / |I|. \tag{4}$$

Among tensor nuclear spin operators, the comagnetometer is sensitive to first order to the operator

$$H_Q = -Q(I_y I_z + I_z I_y)/2 = -iQ(I_1^2 + I_{-1}^2)/2, \quad (5)$$

since I_z has a finite expectation value due to longitudinal nuclear polarization of ²¹Ne. This gives sensitivity to the $i(C_1^2 + C_{-1}^2)$ combination of the spherical tensor components of the c_{jk} coefficients in the frame of the experiment. Transforming into the geocentric equatorial coordinate system, we obtain expressions for the NS and EW signals as a function of time,

$$S_{\rm NS} = -c'_Y \cos 2\chi \cos \Omega_{\oplus} t - c'_X \cos 2\chi \sin \Omega_{\oplus} t - (c'_Z \sin 2\chi \cos 2\Omega_{\oplus} t + c'_- \sin 2\chi \cos 2\Omega_{\oplus} t)/2 S_{\rm EW} = c'_X \cos \chi \cos \Omega_{\oplus} t - c'_Y \cos \chi \sin \Omega_{\oplus} t + c'_Z \sin \chi \cos 2\Omega_{\oplus} t - c'_- \sin \chi \sin 2\Omega_{\oplus} t, \quad (6)$$

where the c parameters are defined in Table I [21], $\chi = 49.6^{\circ}$ in Princeton, and t is the local sidereal time. Primes on c coefficients indicate that they are measured experimentally in magnetic field units. The signal contains both first and second harmonics of the Earth rotation rate Ω_{\oplus} . Each component of the *c* tensor can be determined independently from NS and EW signals, although sensitivity to the first harmonic in the NS data is suppressed because $\cos 2\chi = -0.16$. Vector Lorentz-violating interactions, such as the b_{μ} coefficient, can contribute to the first harmonic signal; however, within the Schmidt nuclear model for ²¹Ne with a valence neutron such an interaction has already been excluded with sufficient precision by previous experiments with ³He [18] and electrons [28]. The results of the daily fits for the *c* coefficients are shown in Fig. 4 for our data spanning a period of 3 months and the final results are summarized in Table I. The systematic uncertainty is determined by the scatter of several analysis procedures, including fits of data without removal of longterm correlations and varying data cuts.

To convert our measurements from magnetic field units, we need an estimate of the nuclear operator $\langle I, I | \mathcal{P}_0^2 | I, I \rangle = \langle I, I | 2p_z^2 - p_x^2 - p_y^2 | I, I \rangle / \sqrt{6}$. Within the Schmidt model, ²¹Ne has a valence neutron in the $d_{3/2}$ state. This gives $\langle I, I | \mathcal{P}_0^2 | I, I \rangle = -\sqrt{2/3} \langle p^2 \rangle / 5 = -\sqrt{8/3} m E_k / 5$, and we take the kinetic energy of the valence nucleon $E_k \sim$ 5 MeV [6]. ²¹Ne is better described by a collective wave function within the *sd* shell model, and it should be possible to calculate the nuclear operator more precisely [29].

To evaluate the relative sensitivity of the comagnetometer to H_B and H_Q , we model the equilibrium spin density matrix of ²¹Ne. Two processes dominate the spin evolution of ²¹Ne, binary spin-exchange collisions with Rb [30] and spin relaxation due to the interaction of its nuclear electric quadrupole moment with electric field gradients during binary atomic collisions [31]. At low Rb density, we measured ²¹Ne spin-relaxation time constant $T_Q = 87 \pm$ 4 min, close to the relaxation rate of 103 ± 6 min that is predicted for our ²¹Ne density from previous measurements of the ²¹Ne nuclear quadrupole relaxation rate [19]. At

TABLE I. Results for the neutron c_{ik}^n coefficients measured in ²¹Ne.

		jn		
	North-South (fT)	East-West (fT)	Combined (fT)	Scaled
$c_X = c_{YZ}^n + c_{ZY}^n$	$-0.9 \pm 1.8 \pm 2.3$	$0.75 \pm 0.39 \pm 0.50$	0.67 ± 0.62	$(4.8 \pm 4.4) \times 10^{-29}$
$c_Y = c_{XZ}^n + c_{ZX}^n$	$0.7 \pm 1.3 \pm 2.5$	$-0.42 \pm 0.36 \pm 0.33$	-0.39 ± 0.48	$-(2.8 \pm 3.4) \times 10^{-29}$
$c_Z = c_{XY}^n + c_{YX}^n$	$0.01 \pm 0.34 \pm 0.27$	$-0.23 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.13$	-0.17 ± 0.20	$-(1.2 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-29}$
$c_{-} = c_{XX}^n - c_{YY}^n$	$0.65 \pm 0.34 \pm 0.32$	$0.04 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.20$	0.20 ± 0.24	$(1.4 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-29}$



FIG. 4. Daily fits for the *c* coefficients defined in Eq. (6). The final statistical uncertainty is increased to account for reduced $\chi^2 = 2$ to 4.

normal operating temperature, the ²¹Ne spin time constant was equal to 63 ± 2 min, implying an additional Rb-²¹Ne spin-exchange time constant $T_{\rm ex} = 230 \pm 40$ min. This is reasonably consistent with the Rb-²¹Ne spin-exchange time constant of 370 ± 70 min calculated from a previously measured Rb-²¹Ne spin-exchange rate constant [19]. The equilibrium ²¹Ne polarization of 17% is also consistent with measured Rb polarization and spin-exchange and relaxation rates. In the density matrix model for the comagnetometer, we find the ratio of the signals produced by H_B and H_Q ,

$$\frac{S(Q)}{S(B_y)} = \frac{Q\langle I_z \rangle}{2\mu_{\rm Ne}B_y/I} f(T_{\rm ex}/T_Q), \tag{7}$$

where the function $f(T_{\rm ex}/T_Q)$ ranges from f(0) = 1 to $f(\infty) = 3/2$ as the population distribution among the four spin states of ²¹Ne changes depending on the nature of the dominant spin-relaxation mechanism. For our operating parameters $f(2.6 \pm 0.4) = 1.27 \pm 0.03$. The conversion factor to dimensionless *c* coefficients parametrizing variations in the neutron MAV is

$$c = -c' \frac{10\mu_n}{3P_{\text{Ne}}fE_k} = 7.1 \times 10^{-29},$$
(8)

and our results for the neutron c_{jk}^n are given in the last column of Table I.

Our limits are 2 to 4 orders of magnitude more stringent than previous limits set with similar experiments using ⁷Be [32], ²⁰¹Hg [8], and ²¹Ne [9] spins. They are also 4 orders of magnitude more stringent than limits on similar proton *c* coefficients set using a ¹³³Cs atomic fountain clock [33] and many orders of magnitude more sensitive than the

limits for electron c coefficients [21]. Among astrophysical tests, the most stringent limits have been set on c_{0j} for neutrinos by IceCube at 10^{-27} [22] and on c_{00} for protons at 10^{-23} from analysis of the spectrum of ultrahigh energy cosmic rays [14,23]. Our measurements of the spatial components c_{ij} are comparable to these results for c_{00} and c_{0j} if we assume that Lorentz violation is manifested by a difference between space and time in a preferred frame of the cosmic microwave background, which moves relative to the Earth with a velocity of $10^{-3}c$ in an approximately equatorial direction.

This measurement represents the first experimental result using the ²¹Ne-Rb-K comagnetometer. Our frequency resolution is similar to the previous K-³He Lorentzviolation experiment [18] with a factor of 8 shorter integration time and without full optimization of the apparatus. The shot-noise sensitivity of the comagnetometer is already more than an order of magnitude better than the best results obtained with K-³He [34], allowing for further improvements in both vector and tensor Lorentz invariance tests as well as other precision measurements by several orders of magnitude.

This work was supported by NSF Grant No. PHY-0969862.

- Ch. Eisele, A. Yu. Nevsky, and S. Schiller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 090401 (2009).
- [2] S. Herrmann, A. Senger, K. Möhle, M. Nagel, E. V. Kovalchuk, and A. Peters, Phys. Rev. D 80, 105011 (2009).
- [3] S. Coleman and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 116008 (1999).
- [4] V.W. Hughes, H.G. Robinson, and V. Beltran-Lopez, Phys. Rev. Lett. 4, 342 (1960).
- [5] R. W. P. Drever, Philos. Mag. 6, 683 (1961).
- [6] V. A. Kostelecký and C. D. Lane, Phys. Rev. D 60, 116010 (1999).
- [7] C. M. Will, Living Rev. Relativity 9, 3 (2006), http://relativity.livingreviews.org/Articles/Irr-2006-3.
- [8] S. K. Lamoreaux, J. P. Jacobs, B. R. Heckel, F. J. Raab, and E. N. Fortson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 3125 (1986).
- [9] T.E. Chupp *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 1541 (1989).
- [10] A. P. Lightman and D. L. Lee, Phys. Rev. D 8, 364 (1973).
- [11] D. Colladay and V.A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. D 58, 116002 (1998).
- [12] B. Altschul, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 201101 (2006).
- [13] B. Altschul, Phys. Rev. D 78, 085018 (2008).
- [14] F. W. Stecker and S. T. Scully, New J. Phys. 11, 085003 (2009).
- [15] P. Hořava, Phys. Rev. D 79, 084008 (2009).
- [16] D. Mattingly, Proc. Sci. QG-PH (2008) 026.
- [17] P.A. Bolokhov, S. Groot Nibbelink, and M. Pospelov, Phys. Rev. D 72, 015013 (2005).
- [18] J. M. Brown, S. J. Smullin, T. W. Kornack, and M. V. Romalis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 151604 (2010).
- [19] R. K. Ghosh and M. V. Romalis, Phys. Rev. A 81, 043415 (2010).

- [20] M. V. Romalis, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 243001 (2010).
- [21] V. A. Kostelecký and N. Russell, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 11 (2011).
- [22] R. Abbasi et al., Phys. Rev. D 82, 112003 (2010).
- [23] X.-J. Bi, Z. Cao, Y. Li, and Q. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D 79, 083015 (2009).
- [24] T. W. Kornack, R. K. Ghosh, and M. V. Romalis, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 230801 (2005).
- [25] T. W. Kornack, Ph.D. Dissertation, Princeton University, 2005.
- [26] M. D. Rotondaro and G. P. Perram, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer 57, 497 (1997).

- [27] S. R. Schaefer, G. D. Cates, T.-R. Chien, D. Gonatas, W. Happer, and T. G. Walker, Phys. Rev. A 39, 5613 (1989).
- [28] B.R. Heckel et al., Phys. Rev. D 78, 092006 (2008).
- [29] W. Geithner et al., Phys. Rev. C 71, 064319 (2005).
- [30] W. Happer et al., Phys. Rev. A 29, 3092 (1984).
- [31] C. Cohen-Tannoudji, J. Phys. (Paris) 24, 653 (1963).
- [32] J. D. Prestage, J. J. Bollinger, Wayne M. Itano, and D. J. Wineland, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 2387 (1985).
- [33] P. Wolf, F. Chapelet, S. Bize, and A. Clairon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 060801 (2006).
- [34] G. Vasilakis, J. M. Brown, T. W. Kornack, and M. V. Romalis, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 261801 (2009).