Cu₃Nb₂O₈: A Multiferroic with Chiral Coupling to the Crystal Structure

R. D. Johnson,^{1,2,*} Sunil Nair,¹ L. C. Chapon,^{2,†} A. Bombardi,³ C. Vecchini,³ D. Prabhakaran,¹ A. T. Boothroyd,¹ and P. G. Radaelli¹

¹Clarendon Laboratory, Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX1 3PU, United Kingdom

²ISIS facility, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory-STFC, Chilton, Didcot, OX11 0QX, United Kingdom

³Diamond Light Source, Harwell Science and Innovation Campus, Didcot, OX11 0DE, United Kingdom

(Received 22 June 2011; published 23 September 2011)

By combining bulk properties, neutron diffraction, and nonresonant x-ray diffraction measurements, we demonstrate that the new multiferroic $Cu_3Nb_2O_8$ becomes polar simultaneously with the appearance of generalized helicoidal magnetic ordering. The electrical polarization is oriented perpendicularly to the common plane of rotation of the spins—an observation that cannot be reconciled with the conventional theory developed for cycloidal multiferroics. Our results are consistent with coupling between a macroscopic structural rotation, which is allowed in the paramagnetic group, and magnetically induced structural chirality.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.137205

PACS numbers: 75.85.+t, 61.05.cp, 61.05.F-, 75.25.-j

Magnetic multiferroics, where the electrical polarization emerges at a magnetic ordering temperature due to symmetry breaking by the magnetic structure, have been intensively studied in the past few years. The vast majority of these new materials, such as TbMnO₃ [1,2], Ni₃V₂O₈ [3], $CoCr_2O_4$ [4], and MnWO₄ [5] are cycloidal multiferroics, so called because their magnetic structures can be described as incommensurate circular (or elliptical) modulations with the wave vectors in the plane of rotation of the spins. In cycloidal multiferroics, the noncollinear magnetic configuration itself is established by the competition between nearest and next-nearest neighbor interactions. The coupling to the crystal structure occurs through the spinorbit interaction, making it energetically favorable to develop local Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya (DM) vectors associated with a local polarization. In simple, high-symmetry cases, the electrical polarization is perpendicular both to the magnetic propagation vector and to the normal to the plane of rotation of the spins, since the following formula holds [6]: $P = \lambda k_m \times (s_1 \times s_2)$, where s_1 and s_2 are two adjacent spins along the propagation direction k_m , and λ is a coupling constant. In more complex, lower symmetry cases, the electrical polarization need not be perpendicular to the propagation vector, which, in turn, need not be contained in the plane of rotation of the spins (generic helicoidal structures). However, it is a strong prediction of the cycloidal multiferroics model that if all the spins rotate in a common plane, then the electrical polarization must be strictly contained within that plane.

In this Letter, we present a new multiferroic, with chemical formula $Cu_3Nb_2O_8$ and centrosymmetric triclinic symmetry (space group $P\bar{1}$) in the paramagnetic phase. Using magnetic neutron powder diffraction, magnetic susceptibility, heat capacity, electrical polarization, and nonresonant x-ray magnetic scattering measurements, we show that $Cu_3Nb_2O_8$ orders magnetically at $T_N \sim 26$ K

and develops an electrical polarization below a second magnetic transition at $T_2 \sim 24$ K. In the polar phase below T_2 , a coplanar helicoidal magnetic structure is stabilized with propagation vector $k_m = (0.4876, 0.2813, 0.2029)$ in a general direction in reciprocal space. Strikingly, the electrical polarization in Cu₃Nb₂O₈ (with a magnitude of 17.8 μ C m⁻²) is almost exactly perpendicular to the plane of rotation of the spins, in clear contradiction with the predictions of the cycloidal multiferroics model. We conclude that the electrical polarization in Cu₃Nb₂O₈ must arise through coupling of the chiral component of the magnetic structure with the crystal structure, rather than the ordinary polar component—a novel mechanism that is only allowed in a small number of paramagnetic point groups. This mechanism may also describe the magnetoelectric coupling observed in other compounds reported to have P perpendicular to the spin rotation plane [7–10].

Polycrystalline rods of $Cu_3Nb_2O_8$ were prepared by the solid state route, with stoichiometric amounts of CuO and Nb_2O_5 being treated at 950 °C for 36 h. Single crystal growth was then carried out in an optical floating-zone furnace (Crystal Systems Inc.), giving high quality samples with dimensions $\sim 2 \times 2 \times 1 \text{ mm}^3$.

The crystal structure of Cu₃Nb₂O₈, not previously reported, was solved by single crystal x-ray diffraction using an Oxford Diffraction, Super Nova instrument ($\lambda = 0.7107$ Å). Further details and a set of refined structural parameters are given in the Supplemental Material [11]. Data collection and reduction was performed using the Agilent CRYSTALIS PRO software package, and the crystal structure was refined using FULLPROF [12]. A reliability factor of R(F) = 2.94% was achieved when fitting 691 independent reflections that met the significance criteria, $I > 2.0\sigma(I)$. Cu₃Nb₂O₈ was found to be isostructural to triclinic Cu₃NbTaO₈ [13,14]. There are two distinct Cu²⁺ sites in the unit cell, located at Wyckoff positions 1*a* (Cu1, on an inversion center and in square-planar coordination) and 2*i* (Cu2, in general position and square-pyramidal coordination) of space group $P\bar{1}$. The magnetic Cu²⁺ sites (S = 1/2) form sawtooth chains parallel to the *a* axis with 3-atom Cu2-Cu1-Cu2 steps sharing the edges of their CuO₄ squares, linked by Cu2-Cu2 "risers" (jumps), sharing the edges of the triangular faces of the pyramids [Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)]. The chains are then separated along the *b* axis by a layer of nonmagnetic Nb⁵⁺ ions. The room-temperature lattice parameters of Cu₃Nb₂O₈ were refined to be *a*= 5.1829(5)Å, *b*=5.4857(7)Å, *c*=6.0144(7)Å, *α*= 72.58(1)°, $\beta = 83.421(9)^\circ$, $\gamma = 65.71(1)^\circ$.

Figure 2(a) shows the temperature dependence of the specific heat of Cu₃Nb₂O₈, measured using a Quantum Design PPMS. Two anomalies are evident, indicating phase transitions at 26.5 and 24.2 K. Magnetic susceptibility measurements, obtained using a Quantum Design MPMS, confirmed the magnetic nature of the phase transitions [Fig. 2(b)]. At higher temperatures, the magnetization is dominated by a broad feature centered at 40 K, characteristic of short-range magnetic correlations. A clear anomaly was observed at 26.5 K, concomitant with the first anomaly in the specific heat. Figure 2(c) shows the electric polarization measured in four directions: (0, 1, 0), (3, 2, 3), (5, 2, -5), and (6, 2, 6). The ferroelectric transition, labeled T_2 , coincides with the 24.2 K anomaly in the specific heat. This behavior is similar to many of the cycloidal multiferroics [1,3,5], in which an electrical polarization



FIG. 1 (color online). (a),(b) The crystal structure of $Cu_3Nb_2O_8$ in the *ac* and *bc* plane, respectively. Cu^{2+} ions form sawtooth chains parallel to the *a* axis with steps of three edge sharing CuO_4 squares. The steps are linked via Cu2-O-Cu2 risers. Nb^{5+} atoms separate the chains along the *b* axis. (c) The magnetic structure of the Cu^{2+} chains. The envelope of the spin rotation is shown by gray circles. (d) **P** (green arrow) perpendicular to the spin rotation plane.

develops at the lower transition. The polarization vector \boldsymbol{P} was determined to be in the (1, 3, 2) direction with a magnitude of 17.8 μ C m⁻² at 10 K. The four polarization components were calculated at 20 K [colored dots in Fig. 2(c)] and found to be in excellent agreement with the data. It is important to note that the electric polarization was 100% switchable in electric field, as shown in Fig. 2(c) for the (0, 1, 0) direction.

A powder neutron diffraction experiment, performed on the WISH instrument [15], ISIS, UK, confirmed the existence of incommensurate magnetic ordering below $T_{\rm N} = 26.5$ K. Comparison of diffraction data measured at 1.6 K (Fig. 3) and 30 K clearly showed a number of well-correlated magnetic diffraction peaks, which can be indexed using the propagation vector $\mathbf{k}_m = (0.4876, 0.2813, 0.2029)$ (incommensurate in all three reciprocal space directions). Additional diffraction patterns were



FIG. 2 (color online). (a) The specific heat of $Cu_3Nb_2O_8$. (b) The magnetic susceptibility of a single crystal sample, measured in a field of 1000 Oe || (3, 2, 3). The inset shows the same measurement up to room temperature. (c) The electric polarization in three approximately orthogonal and the general (6, 2, 6) directions, determined through the integration of a pyroelectric current, measured at a warming rate of 1 K min⁻¹, having field cooled (FC) the sample with $E = 2 \text{ kV cm}^{-1}$. The (6, 2, 6) data were measured down to 21 K and extrapolated below. (d) The temperature dependence of the neutron magnetic diffraction intensity of the fundamental reflection at $d \approx 10.4 \text{ Å}$. The data have been fitted with a power law.

measured at a series of temperatures below 30 K. The magnetic propagation vector was found to be approximately constant throughout the antiferromagnetic phase, except for a pronounced change in the b^* component between 24 and 26 K (inset of Fig. 3), close to the second magnetic transition at T_2 . The strong magnetic diffraction peak at $d \approx 10.4$ Å (the fundamental reflection where the scattering vector equals k_m) was integrated to give the temperature dependence shown in Fig. 2(d), which is proportional to the square of the magnetic order parameter. Power-law fits to the temperature dependence of the magnetic diffraction intensity [continuous line in Fig. 2(d)] and electrical polarization yield critical exponents of $\beta_M = 0.25 \pm 0.02$ and $\beta_P = 0.354 \pm 0.001$ (compatible with pseudoproper magnetoelectric coupling [16]).

An initial fit to the neutron data with a collinear magnetic structure wrongly predicted Cu (S = 1/2) moments to be $>1\mu_B$. An alternative scenario of a rotating spin order is therefore clearly favored. However, it was not possible to find a unique solution from the powder data alone. Consequently, a nonresonant magnetic x-ray diffraction (NRMXD) experiment was performed at beam line I16, Diamond Light Source, UK. This technique exploits the dependence of the NRMXD cross section on the direction of the magnetic moment with respect to the incident and scattered directions of the light and the x-ray polarization [17]. To minimize the fluorescent background, the incident x-ray beam was tuned to 7.835 keV, well below the copper and niobium K absorption edges. Three magnetic diffraction peaks were then located. At each reflection the sample was rotated about the scattering vector (azimuthal scans) while measuring the diffraction intensity



FIG. 3 (color online). Rietveld refinement against neutron powder diffraction data of $Cu_3Nb_2O_8$ at 1.6 K. Top and bottom tick marks indicate the nuclear and magnetic peaks, respectively. The measured and calculated profiles are shown with dots and a continuous line, respectively. A difference curve (observed – calculated) is shown at the bottom. Inset: Temperature dependence of the b^* component of k_m .

in both the unrotated $(\sigma - \sigma')$ and rotated $(\sigma - \pi')$ polarization channels, employing a graphite analyzer crystal tuned to the (006) reflection. The NRMXD data are shown in Fig. 4. The magnetic moment directions were evaluated by fitting the azimuthal dependence of the NRMXD cross section, which was found to be extremely sensitive to the spin rotation planes. An initial fit with independent rotation planes for the two sites (Cu1 and Cu₂) converged to a single plane within the experimental error. The normal vector of the common spin rotation plane $(\theta \text{ and } \phi)$, and scale factors for the two sites $(F_1 \text{ and } F_2)$, then gave four free parameters to be refined. In spherical coordinates defined with an orthonormal basis (xyz) such that $a \parallel x$ and b is in the xy plane, the normal vector of the rotation planes was found to be at $\theta = 75.5(2)^{\circ}$ and $\phi =$ $54.9(2)^{\circ}$, which corresponds approximately to the (1, 2, 1)reciprocal space direction. The scale factor ratio, equivalent to the ratio of the squares of the magnetic moments, was found to be $F_2/F_1 = 1.00(3)$. The discrepancies between calculation and data at the extremes of the $\sigma - \pi'$ azimuthal dependences are likely to be due to systematic errors inherent to the measurement. Regardless, this error in the intensity scaling does not affect the evaluation of the spin rotation plane. It may, however, lead to an imprecise determination of F_2/F_1 , which indeed differs from that



FIG. 4 (color online). Nonresonant magnetic x-ray diffraction intensity measured as a function of azimuth angle at the (a) $(-3 - k_{a^*}, -2 - k_{b^*}, -3 - k_{c^*})$, (b) $(-3 - k_{a^*}, -1 - k_{b^*}, -3 - k_{c^*})$, and (c) $(-4 + k_{a^*}, -3 + k_{b^*}, -4 + k_{c^*})$ reflections. The line shape, dependent on magnetic moment direction, has been fitted (solid red line) to find the spin rotation plane.

determined by the neutron diffraction measurement described in the following paragraph.

The spin rotation plane, as determined from NRMXD, has been constrained in the final Rietveld refinement of the neutron powder data, enabling us to determine the relative phases between the copper sites, the ellipticity of the spin rotation, and the absolute value of the magnetic moments. The result of the refinement is plotted in Fig. 3, and has reliability factors $R_p = 6.46\%$ and $R_{wp} = 5.52\%$. The copper spins were found to describe a circular modulation (no ellipticity), with a magnitude of $0.89(2)\mu_B$ and $0.69(1)\mu_B$, on sites Cu1 (1a) and Cu2 (2i), respectively. The phase of the two ions occupying the 2i sites (inequivalent in P1 symmetry) were refined to be $1.03(7)\pi$ rad and $1.05(7)\pi$ rad relative to the ion at site 1a. The magnetic structure, described in full in the Supplemental Material [11], comprises an essentially ferromagnetic coupling within the 3-atom steps of the Cu²⁺ chains, and a predominantly antiferromagnetic coupling through the jumps, as shown in Fig. 1(c). The propagation vector describes a generic helicoidal structure, with a fast rotation of the spins in the b^* and c^* directions, and a slow rotation parallel to a^* . The most important result of our study was obtained by combining the results of the magnetic structure determination with those of the pyroelectric current measurements, and is illustrated in Fig. 1(d). The electrical polarization is nearly perpendicular to the plane of rotation of the spins ($\sim 14^{\circ}$ to the vector normal to the spin rotation plane), in clear contradiction with the predictions of the cycloidal multiferroics model. In the remainder, we will show that our result is still compatible with the inverse DM model, but calls for an altogether different interpretation.

Energy minimization in cycloidal magnets leads to the creation of local DM vectors D_{ij} , associated with pairs of magnetic atoms *i* and *j* separated by the bond vector \mathbf{r}_{ij} . In general, we can decompose D_{ij} in components parallel and perpendicular to \mathbf{r}_{ij} as $\mathbf{D}_{ij} = \mathbf{P}_{ij} \times \mathbf{r}_{ij} + \sigma_{ij}\mathbf{r}_{ij}$, where \mathbf{P}_{ij} is a polar vector and σ_{ij} is a pseudoscalar (both quantities are time-reversal even). P_{ij} can be taken to be the local polarization, so that the macroscopic polarization is P = $\sum_{ij} P_{ij}$. Conversely, $\sigma = \sum_{ij} \sigma_{ij}$ is a macroscopic chirality. For perfect cycloidal and helical structures, $\sigma_{ij} = 0$ and $P_{ii} = 0$, respectively (a cycloidal structure is not chiral). For a generic helicoidal structure, both σ_{ii} and P_{ii} are present, but only the latter, which is always within the rotation plane of the spins, is considered in the cycloidal multiferroics model. The chiral term σ_{ii} cannot in itself produce a polarization, but it can do so through coupling with the crystal structure, provided that the paramagnetic phase supports a macroscopic axial vector A, so that P = $\gamma \sigma A$ (γ being a purely structural coupling constant). Note that the electrical polarization in this case is always parallel to A. Macroscopic axial vectors represent collective rotations of one part of the structure with respect to another, and are only allowed in certain crystal classes, which we shall refer to as ferroaxial classes. There are seven nonpolar ferroaxial classes: $\overline{1}$, 2/m, $\overline{3}$, $\overline{4}$, $\overline{6}$, 4/m, 6/m. $Cu_3Nb_2O_8$ belongs to the 1 class, the only one allowing a structural axial vector, and therefore an induced polarization, in an arbitrary direction. Another previously unrecognized example of a ferroaxial multiferroic is $RbFe(MoO_4)_2$ [10] (space group $P\bar{3}$, crystal class $\bar{3}$), which has a proper helical magnetic structure. In $RbFe(MoO_4)_2$, the electrical polarization is again perpendicular to the plane of rotation of the spins and is constrained by symmetry along the threefold axis. A more intuitive way to describe this phenomenon is the following: it is well known that the direct DM effect produces a proper screw magnetic structure in structurally chiral MnSi [18]. Conversely, a magnetic structure with a screw (helical) component will induce structural chirality by the inverse DM effect. If the paramagnetic group is ferroaxial (i.e., supports a macroscopic rotation described by an axial vector A), an electrical polarization P will develop in the direction of A. The sign of **P** is determined both by the direction of **A** and by the sign of the magnetic chirality. We note that in addition to the inverse DM effect, other more general microscopic mechanisms [9,19,20] may apply to Cu₃Nb₂O₈, which are also compatible with ferroaxial coupling.

In conclusion, we have shown that in $Cu_3Nb_2O_8$ an electrical polarization perpendicular to the plane of rotation of the spins develops below $T_2 \sim 24$ K. We interpret this phenomenon, which cannot be explained within the framework of the cycloidal multiferroics theory, as due to the coupling between a macroscopic structural rotation (which is allowed in the paramagnetic group) and the magnetically induced structural chirality.

R. D. J. would like to thank F. Fabrizi and B. H. Williams for experimental support. S. N was funded by a Marie Curie IIF fellowship entitled "muti-functional-materials."

*r.johnson1@physics.ox.ac.uk

[†]Present address: Institut Laue-Langevin, BP 156X, 38042 Grenoble, France.

- [1] T. Kimura, T. Goto, H. Shintani, K. Ishizaka, T. Arima, and Y. Tokura, Nature (London) **426**, 55 (2003).
- [2] M. Kenzelmann, A. B. Harris, S. Jonas, C. Broholm, J. Schefer, S. B. Kim, C. L. Zhang, S.-W. Cheong, O. P. Vajk, and J. W. Lynn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 087206 (2005).
- [3] G. Lawes, A. B. Harris, T. Kimura, N. Rogado, R. J. Cava, A. Aharony, O. Entin-Wohlman, T. Yildrim, M. Kenzelmann, C. Broholm, and A. P. Ramirez, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 087205 (2005).
- [4] Y. Yamasaki, S. Miyasaka, Y. Kaneko, J.-P. He, T. Arima, and Y. Tokura, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 207204 (2006).
- [5] K. Taniguchi, N. Abe, T. Takenobu, Y. Iwasa, and T. Arima, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 097203 (2006).

- [6] M. Mostovoy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 067601 (2006).
- [7] S. Seki, Y. Onose, and Y. Tokura, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 067204 (2008).
- [8] T. Kimura, J. C. Lashley, and A. P. Ramirez, Phys. Rev. B 73, 220401(R) (2006).
- [9] T. Arima, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 76, 073702 (2007).
- [10] M. Kenzelmann, G. Lawes, A. B. Harris, G. Gasparovic, C. Broholm, A. P. Ramirez, G. A. Jorge, M. Jaime, S. Park, Q. Huang, A. Y. Shapiro, and L. A. Demianets, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 267205 (2007).
- [11] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.137205 for crystallographic data and a quantitative description of the magnetic structure.
- [12] J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, Physica (Amsterdam) **192B**, 55 (1993).

- [13] Cu₃Nb₂O₈ is not isostructural to the well-known orthorhombic "kagome staircase" multiferroic, Ni₃V₂O₈.
- [14] O. Harneit and H. Mueller-Buschbaum, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 613, 60 (1992).
- [15] L. C. Chapon, P. Manuel, P. G. Radaelli, C. Benson, L. Perrott, S. Ansell, N. J. Rhodes, D. Raspino, D. Duxbury, E. Spill, and J. Norris, Neutron News 22, 22 (2011).
- [16] P. Toledano, Phys. Rev. B 79, 094416 (2009).
- [17] M. Blume, J. Appl. Phys. 57, 3615 (1985).
- [18] M. Kataoka and O. Nakanishi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 50, 3888 (1981).
- [19] C. Jia, S. Onoda, N. Nagaosa, and J. H. Han, Phys. Rev. B 74, 224444 (2006).
- [20] C. Jia, S. Onoda, N. Nagaosa, and J. H. Han, Phys. Rev. B 76, 144424 (2007).