Rydberg Excitations in Bose-Einstein Condensates in Quasi-One-Dimensional Potentials and Optical Lattices

M. Viteau,¹ M. G. Bason,¹ J. Radogostowicz,^{2,3} N. Malossi,³ D. Ciampini,^{1,2,3} O. Morsch,¹ and E. Arimondo^{1,2,3}

¹INO-CNR, Largo Pontecorvo 3, 56127 Pisa, Italy

²Dipartimento di Fisica "E. Fermi," Università di Pisa, Largo Pontecorvo 3, 56127 Pisa, Italy

³CNISM UdR, Dipartimento di Fisica "E. Fermi," Università di Pisa, Largo Pontecorvo 3, 56127 Pisa, Italy

(Received 22 March 2011; published 2 August 2011)

We experimentally realize Rydberg excitations in Bose-Einstein condensates of rubidium atoms loaded into quasi-one-dimensional traps and in optical lattices. Our results for condensates expanded to different sizes in the one-dimensional trap agree well with the intuitive picture of a chain of Rydberg excitations. We also find that the Rydberg excitations in the optical lattice do not destroy the phase coherence of the condensate, and our results in that system agree with the picture of localized collective Rydberg excitations including nearest-neighbor blockade.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.060402

PACS numbers: 03.65.Xp, 03.75.Lm

Rapid progress in cold atom physics in recent years has led to ambitious proposals in which the strong and controllable long-range interactions between Rydberg atoms [1] are used in order to implement quantum computation schemes [2–6] and quantum simulators [7–9]. One central ingredient of these schemes is the dipole blockade, which has been observed for pairs of atoms [10,11] and disordered ultracold atomic clouds [12–17]. In the dipole blockade mechanism, the excitation of an atom to a Rydberg state is inhibited if another, already excited, atom is less than the so-called blockade radius r_b away [1].

In the case of a large number of atoms, a simple physical picture is that of a collection of superatoms [18] in which a single excitation is shared among all the atoms inside the blockade radius [14,19,20]. As pointed out in Ref. [3], this suggests that experiments requiring single atoms excited to Rydberg states can also be performed with such collective Rydberg excitations of hundreds or thousand of atoms, making it possible to work with, e.g., Bose condensates (BECs) loaded into optical lattices (OLs) without the need for single-atom occupation of the lattice sites.

Here we demonstrate the realization of one-dimensional chains of collective Rydberg excitations in rubidium BECs. In addition, we study the excitation dynamics of up to 50 Rydberg excitations in a BEC occupying around 100 sites of a one-dimensional optical lattice. Classical and quantum correlations and highly entangled collective states are expected to be created, as pointed out in Ref. [21] for one-dimensional Rydberg gases and in Ref. [22] for one-dimensional OLs. Our experiments make it necessary to combine techniques for obtaining BECs in dipole traps and OLs with those for creating and detecting Rydberg atoms. While the former require a vacuum apparatus with large optical access, the latter demand precisely controlled electric fields. In our setup (see Fig. 1), we reconciled these requirements by adding external field electrodes to the quartz cell of our cold atom apparatus and carefully studying the operating conditions under which the best field control and highest detection efficiency of the Rydberg atoms was achieved.

In our experiments we first create BECs of up to 10^5 87-Rb atoms in a crossed optical dipole trap. Quasi-onedimensional atomic samples of length *l* are then created by switching off one of the trap beams and allowing the BEC to expand inside the dipole trap for up to 500 ms. Rydberg states with principal quantum number *n* between 55 and 80 are subsequently excited by using a two-color coherent excitation scheme with one laser at 420 nm (obtained by frequency doubling of a 840 nm beam; beam waist 120 μ m, maximum power 20 mW) bluedetuned by 0.5–1 GHz from the $6P_{3/2}$ level of



FIG. 1 (color online). Experimental setup. The Bose-Einstein condensates are created in the optical dipole trap (only one arm of the trap is shown here) and can then be expanded in the dipole trap by switching off one of its arms and/or being loaded into the optical lattice. The Rydberg excitation beams are almost parallel to the horizontal arm of the dipole trap, and field ionization and detection of the Rydberg excitations is achieved by using the electrodes (outside the quartz cell) and the channeltron, respectively.

87-Rb, and a second laser at $10^{10}-10^{30}$ nm (beam waist 150 μ m, maximum power 150 mW). Both lasers are locked via a Fabry-Perot cavity to a stabilized reference laser at 780 nm. Finally, the Rydberg atoms are detected with efficiency $\eta = 35 \pm 10\%$ [23] by field ionization followed by a two-stage acceleration towards a channeltron charge multiplier. The electrodes for field ionization and acceleration are placed outside the glass cell in which the BEC is created (the numbers of Rydberg atoms given throughout this Letter are corrected for η). In previous experiments using Rydberg states with n = 30-85, we demonstrated field control at the mV cm⁻¹ level [24].

The highly elongated atomic clouds thus created are up to l = 1 mm long, while their radial dimensions are on the order of 1–2 μ m (radial dipole trap frequencies are around 100 Hz). Since the expected blockade radii for the Rydberg states used in our experiments range from 5 to 15 μ m, this suggests that at most one excitation fits radially into the BEC and that the total number of Rydberg excitations should depend on l. In order to test this picture, we align the two excitation lasers so as to be almost parallel to the dipole trap beam in which the BEC expands. After the expansion, Rydberg atoms are created by using pulses of up to 1.5 μ s duration (during which the expansion is frozen and Penning and blackbody ionization [25] were found to be negligible) and finally detected by field ionization. The pulse duration is chosen such that the system is in the saturated regime in which the number of Rydberg atoms levels off after an initial increase on a time scale of hundreds of nanoseconds [14]. Figure 2(a) shows typical results of such an experiment for excitation to the $66D_{5/2}$ state using a 1 μ s excitation pulse, in which a linear increase of the number of Rydberg atoms with the length of the condensate is visible. This result agrees with the



FIG. 2 (color online). Rydberg excitation in an expanded condensate. (a) Number of $66D_{5/2}$ -Rydberg excitations (derived from the number of detected ions and the detector efficiency) for an excitation pulse of 1 μ s duration as a function of the condensate length. The error bars indicate the standard deviation of the mean. (b) Measured blockade radius r_b as a function of the principal quantum number n. The dashed line is the theoretically predicted value assuming a total laser linewidth of 300 kHz.

simple intuitive picture of superatoms being stacked in a one-dimensional array. The highly correlated character of the Rydberg excitations thus created is further demonstrated by analyzing the counting statistics of our experiments, which are strongly sub-Poissonian for short expansion times of a few milliseconds with observed Mandel Q factors [26] of $Q_{obs} \approx -0.3$, where $Q_{obs} = \Delta N/\langle N \rangle - 1$ with ΔN and $\langle N \rangle$ the standard deviation and mean, respectively. By taking into account our detection efficiency η , this indicates actual Q factors close to -1 (since the observed Q factor $Q_{obs} = \eta Q_{act}$).

From the data in Fig. 2(a), we can extract the mean distance between adjacent superatoms, assuming a closepacked filling of the one-dimensional atomic cloud. To this end we take into account that in the saturated regime the individual superatoms perform Rabi oscillations between their ground and excited states and that hence on average only half of the superatoms are in the excited state. In Fig. 2(b), the blockade radius measured in this way is shown for different Rydberg states, together with the theoretically expected value [1] for a pure van der Waals interaction following an $n^{11/6}$ scaling (due to the n^{11} scaling of the C_6 coefficient and the r^{-6} dependence of the interaction on the atomic distance r) and assuming a total linewidth (intrinsic plus Fourier-limited) of our excitation lasers of around 300 kHz. The measured values for r_h of 5–15 μ m confirm our interpretation of an essentially onedimensional chain of Rydberg excitations. For high-lying states with n > 75 we find deviations from the theoretically expected scaling with n, which may be due to small electric background fields. By deliberately applying a small electric field during the excitation pulse, we have checked that, for large n, an electric field on the order of a few mV/cm can lead to a dipole-dipole interaction energy contribution (scaling as $n^{4/3}$) on the order of the usual van der Waals interaction, resulting in an effective blockade radius that is substantially larger than what is expected for the pure van der Waals case. These observations confirm that, in spite of the compromise made in our setup in order to accommodate the necessary laser beams as well the electric field plates and the channeltron, we are able to avoid background electric fields larger than around 1 mV/cm by carefully choosing the parameters for our pulse sequences.

Having demonstrated the one-dimensional character of the Rydberg excitation in the elongated condensate, we now add an optical lattice along the direction of the onedimensional sample by intersecting two linearly polarized laser beams of wavelength $\lambda_L = 840$ nm at an angle θ , leading to a lattice with spacing $d_L = \lambda_L/[2\sin(\theta/2)]$. The optical access in our setup allows us to realize spacings up to $\approx 13 \ \mu$ m. For the smallest spacing $d_L = \lambda_L/2 =$ $0.42 \ \mu$ m, the maximum depth V_0 of the periodic potential $V(x) = V_0 \sin(2\pi x/d_L)$ measured in units of the recoil energy $E_{\rm rec} = \hbar^2 \pi^2/(2md_L^2)$ (where *m* is the mass of the atoms) is $V_0/E_{\rm rec} \sim 20$, whereas for the largest lattice spacing $V_0/E_{\rm rec} \sim 5000$. By varying d_L between 0.42 and $\approx 13 \ \mu$ m, we interpolate between the extremes $d_L \ll r_b$, in which the Rydberg excitation on one site interacts strongly with other excitations many sites away, and $d_L \gtrsim r_b$, where only nearest neighbors interact significantly [see Fig. 3(a)]. Since in the lattice direction the size Δx of the on-site wave function is around $\Delta x = d_L/[\pi (V_0/E_{\rm rec})^{1/4}]$, the depth of the OL is chosen to ensure that the ratio $\Delta x/d_L$ is less than ≈ 0.1 and hence $\Delta x \ll r_b$.

In a preliminary experiment we test the effect of several Rydberg excitation cycles on the condensate phase coherence between the lattice sites for $d_L = 0.42 \ \mu \text{m}$ and hence $d_L \ll r_b$. After creating Rydberg excitations with a 1 μ s pulse, we perform a time-of-flight experiment by suddenly switching off the dipole trap and the optical lattice and imaging the atomic distribution after 23 ms of free fall. The resulting interference pattern confirms that, even after the projective Rydberg measurement by field ionization, the degree of phase coherence of the ground-state condensate wave function is not modified by the short-range correlations among the atoms created by the collective



FIG. 3 (color online). Rydberg excitation in an optical lattice. (a) Schematic representation of the experiment. Depending on the lattice spacing d_L and the blockade radius r_b , a collective Rydberg excitation (indicated by the blue spheres) can either be confined to a single lattice site (above) or extend over several lattices sites (below). (b) Time-of-flight profile of a condensate released from an optical lattice with $d_L = 0.42 \ \mu m$, $V_0/E_{\rm rec} \sim 10$, and $r_b \approx 6 \ \mu m$ before (blue line) and after ten cycles of Rydberg excitation to the $53D_{5/2}$ state (pulse duration 1 μ s) and subsequent detection of the Rydberg atoms by field ionization (red line). The virtually identical interference profiles show that the overall phase coherence of the condensate is not affected by the collective Rydberg excitations.

Rydberg excitations. As shown in Fig. 3(b), more than 10 excitation-detection cycles can be performed on the same condensate without a noticeable loss of phase coherence or atom number, allowing us to enhance the statistical sample size in our experiments.

In order to study the dynamics of Rydberg excitations in the lattice in the regime $d_L \approx r_b$, we create a onedimensional sample of well-defined length with a reasonably uniform atom distribution, sharp boundaries, and a controllable average atom number per lattice site by expanding the condensate for a given time, then ramping up the power of the lattice beams, and ramping down the power of the dipole trap beam to a value for which outside the lattice region atoms are lost from the trap. In the overlap region of the lattice and the dipole trap (whose length is given roughly by the lattice beam diameter), the combined radial trap depth is sufficient to hold the atoms against gravity. The $n_L \approx 100$ filled lattice sites ($d_L = 2.27 \ \mu \text{m}$ is chosen for this experiment) each contain on average $N_i = 50-500$ atoms. Since the dimensions of an on-site wave function are around 2 μ m radially and 0.2 μ m in the lattice direction, we expect each lattice site to contain at most one single collective Rydberg excitation in effectively "zero-dimensional" atomic clouds. In this system we measure the number of atoms in the $53D_{5/2}$ Rydberg state as a function of time by varying the duration of the excitation pulse [see Fig. 4(a)]. Our observations can be interpreted by using a simple numerical model that contains a truncated Gaussian distribution N_i of atom numbers on the lattice sites labeled by *i* leading to a distribution of on-site collective Rabi frequencies $\sqrt{\alpha N_i}\Omega_{\text{single}}$, where the single-particle Rabi frequency $\Omega_{\rm single} \sim 2\pi \times 30~{\rm kHz}$ for our experimental parameters. Here $\alpha = [r_b/d_L]$ is the nearest (larger) integer number to r_b/d_L and describes the number of sites taking part in a collective excitation. Another way of putting this is to observe that, since α Rydberg excitations of neighboring lattice sites are suppressed by the dipole blockade, on average only $1/\alpha$ of the lattice sites can contain an excitation. (The interactions between more distant neighbors through the van der Waals interaction are smaller by a factor of 2^6 compared to nearest-neighbor interactions.) Taking into account the average over the Rabi-flopping cycle of each excitation, when $\alpha = 2$ we expect to have around $\frac{1}{4}n_L$ collective excitations present in the lattice in the saturated regime.

In order to visualize the scaling of the collective Rabi frequency with $\sqrt{N_i}$, in Fig. 4(b) we plot the results of our experiments as a function of the pulse duration normalized to the collective Rabi frequency for the largest N_i . By using that scaling, all the curves of Fig. 4(a) collapse onto a single curve. One also sees a distinct change in the slope of the excitation curves at a normalized pulse duration of 0.5 μ s, where the fraction of lattice sites containing a Rydberg excitation is around 0.25. This point indicates



FIG. 4 (color online). Dynamics of Rydberg excitations in an optical lattice. (a) Number of $53D_{5/2}$ Rydberg excitations as a function of the pulse duration τ_p for different average atom numbers per lattice site: $\langle N_i \rangle = 500$ (filled circles), $\langle N_i \rangle = 200$ (open squares), and $\langle N_i \rangle = 50$ (filled triangles). (b) Here the experimental results of (a) are plotted against the renormalized pulse duration $\tau \sqrt{\langle N_{max} \rangle / \langle N_i \rangle}$, with $\langle N_{max} \rangle$ the largest atom number. The vertical axis is scaled in terms of the fraction of lattice sites containing a collective excitation. For clarity, in (b) error bars have been omitted. The dashed line is the numerical simulation for $\alpha = 2$ (see the text).

the crossover to the saturated regime in which the number of excitations should, in principle, level out but in practice continues to grow. We believe this slow increase for long excitation times to be due to dephasing effects induced by a coupling between the superatoms. That coupling can be introduced into our model via a small dephasing rate δ between the lattice sites, chosen such as to reproduce the observed long-term increase in the number of collective excitations. Finally, in the transient regime we see the remnants of a coherent Rabi oscillation, which is washed out due to the different local collective Rabi oscillations adding up. The expected number of Rydberg excitations as a function of time P(t) is then given by

$$P(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i} \sin^2(\sqrt{\alpha N_i} \Omega_{\text{single}} t + \delta N_{\text{tot}} t), \qquad (1)$$

where $N_{\text{tot}} = \sum_i N_i$ is the total number of atoms and the best fit is found for $\delta \approx 10^{-5}$. The factor α outside the summation accounts for multiple counting when $\alpha > 1$. As

can be seen in Fig. 4(b), this model reproduces the basic features observed in our experiment.

We have demonstrated the controlled preparation of Rydberg excitations in large ensembles of ultracold atoms in one-dimensional dipole traps and in optical lattices. Our results can straightforwardly be extended to two- and three-dimensional lattice geometries, and the lattice spacings realizable in this work should allow single-site addressing as well as selective control of the Rydberg excitations. In particular, it will be important to verify whether long-range crystalline order can be created by implementing adiabatic transfer protocols as recently proposed in Refs. [27,28] and what kinds of effects on the condensate coherence are to be expected, e.g., due to the Rydberg-Rydberg interactions or due to the ions created during detection. Furthermore, appropriate ion detection techniques such as those involving microchannel plates should allow direct observation of the distribution of Rydberg excitations in the lattice and in the onedimensional trapping geometry.

Financial support by the E.U.-STREP "NAMEQUAM," the MIUR PRIN-2007 Project, and a CNISM "Progetto Innesco 2007" is gratefully acknowledged. We thank I. Lesanovsky, T. Pohl, P. Pillet, D. Comparat, and G. Morigi for stimulating discussions, S. Rolston and R. Fazio for a thorough reading of the manuscript, and P. Huillery for assistance.

- [1] D. Comparat and P. Pillet, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **27**, A208 (2010), and references therein.
- [2] D. Jaksch et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 2208 (2000).
- [3] M. D. Lukin et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 037901 (2001).
- [4] M. Müller et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 170502 (2009).
- [5] L. Isenhower et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 010503 (2010).
- [6] T. Wilk et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 010502 (2010).
- [7] I. I. Ryabtsev et al., J. Phys. B 38, S421 (2005).
- [8] I. Buluta and F. Nori, Science **326**, 108 (2009).
- [9] H. Weimer et al., Nature Phys. 6, 382 (2010).
- [10] A. Gaëtan et al., Nature Phys. 5, 115 (2009).
- [11] E. Urban et al., Nature Phys. 5, 110 (2009).
- [12] D. Tong et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 063001 (2004).
- [13] T. Carroll, S. Sunder, and M. Noel, Phys. Rev. A 73, 032725 (2006).
- [14] R. Heidemann *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 163601 (2007).
- [15] M. Reetz-Lamour et al., New J. Phys. 10, 045026 (2008).
- [16] R. Heidemann *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 033601 (2008).
- [17] R. Löw et al., Phys. Rev. A 80, 033422 (2009).
- [18] V. Vuletic, Nature Phys. 2, 801 (2006).
- [19] F. Robicheaux and J. Hernández, Phys. Rev. A 72, 063403 (2005).
- [20] J. Stanojevic and R. Côté, Phys. Rev. A 80, 033418 (2009).
- [21] I. Lesanovsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 025301 (2011), and references therein.

- [22] Z. Zuo and K. Nakagawa, Phys. Rev. A 82, 062328 (2010), and references therein.
- [23] M. Viteau et al., J. Phys. B 43, 155301 (2010).
- [24] M. Viteau et al., Opt. Express 19, 6007 (2011).
- [25] T. Walker and M. Saffman, Phys. Rev. A 77, 032723 (2008).
- [26] C. Ates et al., J. Phys. B 39, L233 (2006).
- [27] T. Pohl, E. Demler, and M. D. Lukin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 043002 (2010).
- [28] J. Schachenmayer, I. Lesanowsky, A. Micheli, and A.J. Daley, New J. Phys. 12, 103004 (2010).