Onari and Kontani Reply: In Ref. [\[1\]](#page-0-0), we studied the nonmagnetic impurity effect in the multiorbital model for iron pnictide superconductors. In the sign-reversing s-wave state (s_+) , we found that (i) T_c is substantially suppressed by the interband impurity scattering, since the T matrix has large interband matrix elements. (ii) This result holds even in the unitary limit $(I \sim \infty)$, contrary to the fact that (iii) interband scattering vanishes in the unithe fact that (iii) interband scattering vanishes in the unitary limit if the bare impurity potential in the banddiagonal basis \hat{I}^b is a constant matrix and det $\{\hat{I}^b\} \neq 0$. In iron pnictides statement (ii) holds since \hat{I}^b shows large k iron pnictides, statement (ii) holds since \hat{I}^b shows large k
dependence due to the orbital degree of freedom dependence due to the orbital degree of freedom.

In Ref. [[2\]](#page-0-1), Bang claimed that statement (iii) is incorrect. However, this result had been found by many authors [[3](#page-0-2)[–7\]](#page-0-3) based on the ''conventional T-matrix approximation'' that is exact when the impurity concentration n_{imp} is dilute, and it was also confirmed by the authors of Ref. [\[8](#page-0-4)] recently. The results (i) and (ii) are the main findings in Ref. [[1\]](#page-0-0).

Here, we explain the conventional T -matrix approximation when \hat{I}^b is a constant matrix and elucidate the error in
Ref. [2]. The normal and anomalous self-energies un to Ref. [\[2\]](#page-0-1). The normal and anomalous self-energies up to $O(n_{\text{imp}})$ are

$$
\hat{\Sigma}^{n}(i\omega_{n}) = n_{\text{imp}}\hat{T}^{b}(i\omega_{n}), \qquad (1)
$$

$$
\hat{\Sigma}^{a}(i\omega_{n}) = n_{\text{imp}} \hat{T}^{b}(i\omega_{n}) \hat{f}(i\omega_{n}) \hat{T}^{b}(-i\omega_{n}), \qquad (2)
$$

where $\hat{T}^b = (\hat{1} - \hat{I}^b \hat{g}_{\text{loc}}^b)^{-1} \hat{I}^b$ is the T matrix; \hat{g}_{loc}^b is the local normal Green function that is diagonal in the bandlocal normal Green function that is diagonal in the banddiagonal basis. In general, \hat{T}^b is not diagonal. However, it becomes diagonal in the unitary limit unless $\det\{\hat{i}^b\} = 0$
I11 In Eq. (2) $\hat{f}(i\omega)$ is the local anomalous Green func-[\[1\]](#page-0-0). In Eq. [\(2\)](#page-0-5), $\hat{f}(i\omega_n)$ is the local anomalous Green function near T_c , and $\hat{\Sigma}^a$ represents the impurity scattering of Cooper pairs: In the s_{\pm} -wave state with $\Delta_e = -\Delta_h$, $\overline{T_c}$ is
suppressed by the cancellation of two gaps due to the suppressed by the cancellation of two gaps due to the interband scattering described by $T_{e,h}^b \neq 0$. That is, the impurity effect on T is absent in the unitary limit since impurity effect on T_c is absent in the unitary limit since $T_{e,h}^b = 0.$
By usi

By using Eqs. [\(1](#page-0-6)) and ([2\)](#page-0-5), the normal and anomalous Green functions just below T_c are given as

$$
\hat{G}_k(i\omega_n) = [(i\omega_n + \mu)\hat{1} - \hat{\Sigma}^{n,\text{ren}}(i\omega_n) - \hat{H}_k^0]^{-1}, \quad (3)
$$

$$
\hat{F}_k(i\omega_n) = \hat{G}_{-k}(-i\omega_n)\hat{\Sigma}^a(i\omega_n)\hat{G}_k(i\omega_n),\tag{4}
$$

where $\hat{\Sigma}^{n,ren}(i\omega_n) = \hat{\Sigma}^n(i\omega_n) - \delta\mu \hat{1}$ is the renormalized
normal self-energy $\delta\mu$ is the change in the chemical normal self-energy. $\delta \mu$ is the change in the chemical
notential due to impurities to fix the electron number potential due to impurities to fix the electron number $N = \sum_{k,n} Tr \hat{G}_k(i\omega_n) e^{i\omega_n \delta}$: $\delta \mu \sim \sum_{l}^{n}$, where \sum_{l}^{n} denotes
the (average of the) diagonal part of the normal selfthe (average of the) diagonal part of the normal selfenergy; $\delta \mu \sim n_{\text{imp}} I_{ll}^b$ in the Born limit.
In Ref. [2] Bang claimed that the 7 $\mu \sim n_{\text{imp}} I_{ll}^{\nu}$
[2] Bang

In Ref. [[2](#page-0-1)], Bang claimed that the *T* matrix should be renormalized as $\hat{T}^{b, \text{ren}} \equiv \hat{T}^b(i\omega_n) - \hat{I}^b$. However, this

renormalization occurs only for the normal self-energy in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-6), while it is absent for the anomalous self-energy in Eq. ([2\)](#page-0-5). Therefore, \hat{T}^b in Eq. (2) should not be replaced with $\hat{T}^{b,ren}$ contrary to the claim by Bang [\[9\]](#page-0-7). Since \hat{T}^b is band-diagonal in the unitary limit, the pair breaking due to interband scattering is absent in the unitary limit. This result had been confirmed by many authors [[3](#page-0-2)[–8](#page-0-4)].

On the other hand, Fe-ion substitution in iron pnictides induces the orbital-diagonal local impurity potential. Then, \hat{I}^b is given as $\hat{I}^b_{k,k'} = I \hat{U}^{\dagger}_k \hat{U}_{k'}$, where \hat{U}_k is the transforma-
tion matrix between orbital and band bases. Because of its I^* is given as $I_{k,k'}^* = I U_k^* U_{k'}$, where U_k is the transforma-
tion matrix between orbital and band bases. Because of its large k dependence in iron pnictides, \hat{T}^b is not diagonal even in the unitary limit, and therefore the s_{+} -wave state is fragile against impurities. This is the main result in Ref. [\[1](#page-0-0)].

In summary, our studies of the impurity effect in iron pnictides [\[1](#page-0-0)] are correctly calculated based on the conventional T-matrix approximation that is exact in the dilute limit. The replacement of \hat{T} with $\hat{T} - \hat{I}$ proposed by Bang
[2] breaks the perturbation theory and is therefore [\[2\]](#page-0-1) breaks the perturbation theory and is therefore erroneous.

Seiichiro Onari¹ and Hiroshi Kontani² 1 Department of Applied Physics Nagoya University and JST TRIP Furo-cho, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan ²Department of Physics Nagoya University and JST TRIP, Furo-cho, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan

Received 16 May 2011; published 23 June 2011 DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.259702](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.259702) PACS numbers: 74.20.Rp, 74.62.En, 74.70.Xa

- [1] S. Onari and H. Kontani, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.177001) 103, 177001 [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.177001).
- [2] Y. Bang, preceding Comment, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 259701 (2011).
- [3] M. L. Kulic and O. V. Dolgov, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.13062) 60, 13062 [\(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.13062).
- [4] Y. Ohashi, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.71.1978) 71, 1978 (2002).
- [5] M. L. Kulic, S.-L. Drechsler, and O. V. Dolgov, [Europhys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/85/47008) Lett. 85[, 47 008 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/85/47008).
- [6] Y. Senga and H. Kontani, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.77.113710) 77, 113710 [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.77.113710).
- [7] Y. Senga and H. Kontani, New J. Phys. 11[, 035005 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/11/3/035005)
- [8] D.V. Efremov, M.M. Korshunov, O.V. Dolgov, A. A.Golubov, and P. J. Hirschfeld, [arXiv:1104.3840](http://arXiv.org/abs/1104.3840)
- [9] If we replace $\hat{T}^b \rightarrow \hat{T}^b \hat{I}^b$ in Eq. ([2](#page-0-5)), the depairing due
to interband scattering is χ_i . $\propto n_i$. $(T^b I^b)^2 N(0)$ to interband scattering is $\gamma_{\text{inter}} \sim n_{\text{imp}} (T_{e,h}^b - I_{e,h}^b)$
for the s_{pr}-wave state. Then T disampears by *infiti* $\frac{2}{2}N(0)$ nitesifor the s_{\pm} -wave state. Then, T_c disappears by *infinitesi-*
mally small n. for $I_c \rightarrow \infty$. This unphysical result mally small n_{imp} for $I_{e,h} \to \infty$. This unphysical result comes from the violation of the perturbation theory comes from the violation of the perturbation theory.