Computation of Static Heisenberg-Chain Correlators: Control over Length and Temperature Dependence

Jun Sato,¹ Britta Aufgebauer,² Herman Boos,² Frank Göhmann,²

Andreas Klümper,² Minoru Takahashi,² and Christian Trippe²

 1 Ochanomizu University, Otsuka 2-1-1, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, 112-8610, Japan

 $2F$ achbereich C-Physik, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, 42097 Wuppertal, Germany

Fachberteich C–Physik, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Germany
(Received 19 April 2011; published 23 June 2011)

We communicate results on correlation functions for the spin- $1/2$ Heisenberg chain in two particularly important cases: (a) for the infinite chain at arbitrary finite temperature T , and (b) for finite chains of arbitrary length L in the ground state. In both cases we present explicit formulas expressing the shortrange correlators in a range of up to seven lattice sites in terms of a single function ω encoding the dependence of the correlators on $T(L)$. These formulas allow us to obtain accurate numerical values for the correlators and derived quantities like the entanglement entropy. By calculating the low T (large L) asymptotics of ω we show that the asymptotics of the static correlation functions at any finite distance are T^2 (1/ L^2) terms. We obtain exact and explicit formulas for the coefficients for up to eight sites.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.257201](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.257201) PACS numbers: 75.10.-b, 05.70.Jk

Until about ten years ago it was widely believed that it would be practically impossible to calculate the lattice correlation functions of the Heisenberg-chain explicitly, despite the fact that its Hamiltonian

$$
\mathcal{H}_N = J \sum_{j=1}^{L} \vec{\sigma}_j \vec{\sigma}_{j+1} \tag{1}
$$

is one of the best known among those integrable lattice systems whose spectrum can be exactly calculated by means of the Bethe ansatz [[1\]](#page-3-0). Starting from about the year 2000, however, our understanding of the model has changed profoundly.

The recent progress is due to several different but related methods, like the representation theory of quantum algebras, the algebraic Bethe ansatz, or the advent of new functional equations, which first of all led to the derivation of multiple integral representations [[2](#page-3-1)] for the elements of the density matrix. In a series of works [\[3,](#page-3-2)[4](#page-3-3)] it was then shown that the correlation functions of an inhomogeneous version of the model can be represented by algebraic expressions of a single function of two variables.

An exponential formula for the reduced density matrix was obtained in [\[5](#page-3-4)]. This formula will be of particular importance below, since it is also valid for finite temperature or finite length as was conjectured in [[6\]](#page-3-5). In [\[7](#page-3-6)] a fermionic structure on the space of local operators was identified from which a generating function of all local correlation functions was obtained [\[8](#page-3-7)] for a very general inhomogeneous vertex model including the finite temperature and the finite length Heisenberg chain as special cases. Even in this most general situation the inhomogeneous correlation functions depend on only two functions [[8](#page-3-7),[9\]](#page-3-8). Apart from these important theoretical findings many results for the ground-state correlation functions in the thermodynamic limit, but also a number of finite temperature or finite length correlation functions were obtained explicitly; see, e.g., [[10](#page-3-9)] and the references therein.

The aim of this Letter is twofold. First, we present recent exact results for the temperature and size dependence of two-point correlation functions. Of particular interest are system parameters T and L in the regime of conformal field theory (CFT) and their influence on short-ranged correlators that are—strictly speaking—outside the domain of CFT. Furthermore, we present results for the entanglement entropy of subsegments of an infinitely long chain in the entire temperature window from 0 to ∞ . Second, we explain the necessary computations in light of a recent understanding of the subject [[11](#page-3-10)] based on ''discrete functional equations'' which we believe is physically most natural.

A quantum mechanical system at finite temperature T may be viewed as a classical statistical system on a cylinder of circumference $\beta = 1/T$. In this way, the density
matrix D encoding all information about the correlation matrix D_n , encoding all information about the correlation functions on n successive sites, is obtained in a suitable limit of the six-vertex model density matrix on a rectangular lattice of unbounded width and finite height N. Each row corresponds to an imaginary time slice of height $\tau = \beta/N$, the continuous time limit is obtained in the Trotter limit $N \rightarrow \infty$. For our purposes it is convenient to Trotter limit $N \rightarrow \infty$. For our purposes it is convenient to have independent heights τ_1, \ldots, τ_N (respectively spectral parameters $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_N$ placed on the horizontal lines) under suitable conditions like $\tau_j = O(1/N)$ and $\sum_j \tau_j = \beta$.
We introduce independent spectral parameters x.

We introduce independent spectral parameters x_1, \ldots, x_n on the vertical lines corresponding to the n sites picked for the definition of D_n . The density matrix of the generalized problem now depends on the x_j 's and is denoted by $D_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ with matrix elements $D^{\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n}_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. The full functional dependence will be solved, the subsequent specialization of the arguments yields the physically interesting data. The reason behind the success of this solution strategy is the analyticity of the object as a function of the spectral parameters x . This is a consequence of the integrability of the system: the eigenstates of the column-to-column transfer matrices $T(x)$ do not depend on x and hence the eigenvalues and other objects as functions of x do not show the usual root singularities.

As an example of this solution strategy we would like to note results for the leading eigenvalue $\Lambda(x)$ of the transfer matrix $T(x)$ which will be of use below

$$
\log \Lambda(x) = e_0(x) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_C \frac{\log(1 + a(y))}{(x - y - i)(x - y)} dy. \tag{2}
$$

Here, C is a narrow closed contour around the real axis, e_0 is some elementary function containing the ϵ_i parameters. The function $a(x)$ satisfies the nonlinear integral equation

$$
\log a(x) = a_0(x) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_C \frac{\log(1 + a(y))}{1 + (x - y)^2} dy,\tag{3}
$$

where $a_0(x)$ is an elementary function of x and the ϵ_j , which for finite temperature, in the Trotter limit $N \to \infty$, takes the form $a_0(x) = 2J\beta/(x(x + i))$. For the ground-
state and finite size it is simply $g(x) = L \log x^{-i/2}$ state and finite size it is simply $a_0(x) = L \log_{x+i/2}^{x-i/2}$.
The pregram of coloulating the functional depends

The program of calculating the functional dependence of $D_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is well understood in the case of zero temperature. For $T = 0$ the object D_n satisfies nontrivial linear functional equations in all arguments. The most important equation, the ''rqKZ'' equation reads, for instance,

$$
D_n(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n - 1) = A(x_1, \ldots, x_n) D_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n)
$$
\n(4)

where A is a linear operator $[4]$ $[4]$ acting in the space of density matrices and the x_j may take any complex value. The action of A on D_n is of the following type:

$$
(AD)^{\vec{\mu}}_{\vec{\sigma}} = \sum_{\vec{\sigma}', \vec{\mu}'} A^{\vec{\mu}, \vec{\sigma}'}_{\vec{\sigma}, \vec{\mu}'} D^{\vec{\mu}'}_{\vec{\sigma}'}.
$$
 (5)

The functional equations can be solved for the analytical function D. The uniqueness of the solution for $T = 0$ is guaranteed by the asymptotic behavior for $x_i \rightarrow \infty$. The finding of [[4](#page-3-3)] is striking, a two-point nearest neighbor function $\omega(x_1, x_2) := 6$ tr $D_2(x_1, x_2)S_1^zS_2^z$ and a set of "structure constants" f_{xx} determine the density matrix "structure constants" $f_{n,I,J}$ determine the density matrix D_n for arbitrary *n*

$$
D_n = \sum_{m=0}^{[n/2]} \sum_{I,J} \left(\prod_{p=1}^m \omega(x_{I_p}, x_{J_p}) \right) f_{n,I,J}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n). \tag{6}
$$

The summation labels I and J are *m*-tuples of integers such that $I \cap J = \emptyset$ and $I_1 \leq \ldots \leq I_m$, $1 \leq I_p \leq J_p \leq n$. The structure coefficients $f_{n,I,J}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ are matrices with elementary rational functions of the arguments x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n as entries. For details, see [\[4](#page-3-3)].
At zero temperature the computation of t

At zero temperature the computation of the correlation functions employed two important facts: (i) the functional equation ([4\)](#page-1-0) is satisfied for continuous arguments, and (ii) $D_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ depends on the arguments only via the differences $x_i - x_i$. This is fundamentally different for finite temperature, i.e., finite Trotter number N . The main problem is that [\(4\)](#page-1-0) no longer holds: on the rhs of [\(4\)](#page-1-0) untreatable ''correction terms'' appear, a serious obstacle so far for treating $T > 0$.

At this point, the computation of the density matrix for finite Trotter number N on the basis of functional equations looks unfeasible. However, for the above six-vertex model with N many rows carrying spectral parameters $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_N$ we find [\[11\]](#page-3-10) a discrete version of functional equations. In detail, (I) Eq. ([4](#page-1-0)) ''rqKZ'' holds literally if we restrict x_n to the set { $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_N$ }. (II) A reduction takes place in the limit of large spectral parameter x_n , i.e. $D_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \rightarrow$ $D_{n-1}(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \otimes D_1$ where D_1 is a single site density matrix of a paramagnetic spin. (III) Analyticity properties:

$$
D^{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n}_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{P^{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n}_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{\Lambda(x_1) \dots \Lambda(x_n)},
$$
(7)

where $P_{\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_n}^{\mu_1,\dots,\mu_n}(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ is an *n*-variate polynomial of degree *N* in the variables x_i and $\Lambda(x)$ is the largest degree N in the variables x_1, \ldots, x_n and $\Lambda(x)$ is the largest eigenvalue of the transfer matrix $T(x)$ obtained from ([2](#page-1-1)).

The computational problem consists in finding the polynomials $P_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_n}^{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$. We have proved [\[11](#page-3-10)] that the above equations have a unique solution. Hence any exabove equations have a unique solution. Hence any expression (or ansatz) for $D_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ exhibiting the above listed properties realizes the solution.

We find that (I) is satisfied by (6) (6) like in $[4]$ $[4]$ with the same structure coefficients $f_{n,I,J}$ provided that $\omega(x_1, x_2)$ is a symmetric function satisfying the ''discrete functional equation'' (see [[9\]](#page-3-8) for the most general case)

$$
\frac{\omega(x_1, x_2)}{x^2 - 1} + \frac{\omega(x_1, x_2 - 1)}{x(x + 2)} = \frac{3}{2(x^2 - 1)x(x + 2)},
$$
 (8)

where $x := x_1 - x_2, x_1$ is arbitrary and $x_2 \in \{\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_N\}.$ The asymptotics (II) is satisfied if $\omega(x_1, x_2) \rightarrow 0$ for $x_1 \rightarrow \infty$ or $x_2 \rightarrow \infty$. Condition (III) is satisfied if ω is a notwoomial (of degree $N-1$) divided by $\Lambda(x_1)\Lambda(x_2)$ polynomial (of degree $N - 1$) divided by $\Lambda(x_1)\Lambda(x_2)$. These conditions for ω characterize a unique function. It is relatively straightforward to see that the conditions for ω are satisfied by the following expressions:

$$
\omega(x_1, x_2) := \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}((x_1 - x_2)^2 - 1)\psi(ix_1, ix_2)
$$

$$
\psi(x_1, x_2) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_C \frac{dy}{1 + a(y)} \frac{G(y, x_1)}{(y - x_2)(y - x_2 - i)}
$$
(9)

where the function G satisfies the linear integral equation

$$
G(x, x_1) = -\frac{1}{(x - x_1)(x - x_1 - i)} + \int_C \frac{dy/\pi}{1 + a(y)} \frac{G(y, x_1)}{1 + (x - y)^2}.
$$
 (10)

Note that the expression in ([6](#page-1-2)) contains rational functions as prefactors. The poles of these coefficients are canceled by zeros appearing due to the pairwise cancellation of terms in the sum. Consequently, the only poles on the rhs of [\(6](#page-1-2)) are those occurring in the product $\Lambda(x_1) \ldots \Lambda(x_n)$. Hence, also (III) is satisfied.

Finite temperature.—The coefficients in (6) (6) neither depend on N nor on the parameters ϵ_i . These only enter in ω via the dependence of a and G on them. Therefore, taking the Trotter limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ is easy.

The numerical program consists in solving ([3\)](#page-1-3) for $a(x)$, [\(10\)](#page-1-4) for G, and calculating ω from ([9](#page-1-5)). The definition of the coefficients in [\(6](#page-1-2)) may be found in [[4](#page-3-3)], but an efficient computation is due to [\[12\]](#page-3-11). Here we use their results for density matrices D_n with *n* up to 7 sites, and combine this with the finite temperature results for the function ω . The formulas for $D_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ allow for taking the homogeneous limit $x_1 = \ldots = x_n = 0$ and yield simple algebraic expressions involving ω and its derivatives.

Just as an illustration of the explicit expressions for the two-point correlators obtained from D_n for $n = 2, \ldots, 8$ we show the first cases

$$
\langle \sigma_1^z \sigma_2^z \rangle = \frac{2}{3} (0, 0), \ \langle \sigma_1^z \sigma_3^z \rangle = \frac{2}{3} (0, 0) + \frac{2}{3} (1, 1) - \frac{1}{3} (2, 0), \n\langle \sigma_1^z \sigma_4^z \rangle = (0, 0) \left[\frac{2}{3} + \frac{4}{3} (1, 1) + \frac{2}{9} (2, 2) - \frac{4}{27} (3, 1) \right] \n- (1, 0) \left[\frac{4}{3} (1, 0) + \frac{4}{9} (2, 1) + \frac{4}{27} (3, 0) \right] - \frac{1}{9} (3, 1) \n+ [4(1, 1) - 2(2, 0)] \left[\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{9} (2, 0) \right] + \frac{1}{6} (2, 2), \quad (11)
$$

where $(j, k) := \frac{\partial_1^j \partial_2^k \omega(x_1, x_2)|_{x_1 = x_2 = 0}}{\partial_1^j \omega(x_1, x_2)}$. The correlators $\langle \sigma_1^z \sigma_n^z \rangle$ are analytic functions of J/T along the entire real
axis with zero of $(n-1)$ th order at $J/T = 0$. In Fig. 1 we axis with zero of $(n - 1)$ $(n - 1)$ $(n - 1)$ th order at $J/T = 0$. In Fig. 1 we show the results for the antiferromagnetic chain: data at negative values of J/T correspond to results for the ferromagnetic chain at $|J/T|$. In the ferromagnetic case the correlations are strictly positive, in the antiferromagnetic

FIG. 1 (color online). Depiction of two-point correlators $\langle \sigma_1^z \sigma_n^z \rangle$ for different point separations *n* in dependence on I/T for antiferromagnetic (ferromagnetic) exchange to the right J/T for antiferromagnetic (ferromagnetic) exchange to the right (left) of 0.

TABLE I. The low-temperature expansion coefficients γ_r of the correlations $\langle \sigma_1^z \sigma_{1+r}^z \rangle$ for $r = 1, ..., 7$ (second row) and the ratio of γ_r with the CFT prediction $\gamma_r^{\text{CFT}} = r^2/24$ (third row).

0.0470 0.1070 0.3268 0.5014 0.9013 1.1957 1.7761 1.1283 0.6419 0.8714 0.7521 0.8652 0.7971 0.8699			

case, the correlations are negative (positive) for even (odd) *n*.

In [[13\]](#page-3-12) the low-temperature behavior of $\omega(x_1, x_2)$ was calculated with the result

$$
\omega = \omega_0 + \frac{T^2}{24J^2} (1 - (x_1 - x_2)^2) \cos(\pi (x_1 + x_2)), \quad (12)
$$

where ω_0 denotes the $T = 0$ limit of ω in the thermodynamic limit. From this expansion and [\(11\)](#page-2-1) we obtain explicit results for the correlations like

$$
\langle \sigma_1^z \sigma_2^z \rangle \simeq \frac{1}{3} - \frac{4}{3} \ln 2 + \frac{1}{36} (T/J)^2,
$$

$$
\langle \sigma_1^z \sigma_3^z \rangle \simeq \frac{1}{3} - \frac{16}{3} \ln 2 + 3\zeta(3) + \left(\frac{1}{9} - \frac{\pi^2}{72}\right) (T/J)^2.
$$
 (13)

Similar but more lengthy expressions up to $\langle \sigma_1^z \sigma_8^z \rangle$ have
been derived. These results can be written as been derived. These results can be written as

$$
\langle \sigma_1^z \sigma_{1+r}^z \rangle \simeq \langle \sigma_1^z \sigma_{1+r}^z \rangle_0 (1 - \gamma_r (T/J)^2), \tag{14}
$$

where explicit numbers for γ_r are given in Table [I](#page-2-2).

For a (primary) field with scaling dimension x the twopoint correlator at distance r is given by

$$
C_r(T) = C \left(\frac{\pi T / \nu}{\sinh \pi r T / \nu} \right)^{2x} \simeq \frac{C}{r^{2x}} \left(1 - \frac{x}{3} (\pi r T / \nu)^2 \right), \tag{15}
$$

where the sound velocity of the elementary excitations is $v = 2\pi J$. For the spin-spin correlations we have to set $x = 1/2$. Hence, the CFT prediction of the coefficient in [\(14\)](#page-2-3) is $\gamma_r^{\text{CFT}} = r^2/24$. [I](#page-2-2)n Table I results for the ratio

FIG. 2 (color online). Depiction of the entanglement entropies of D_n as functions of temperature.

FIG. 3 (color online). Antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain at $T = 0$: depiction of two-point correlators $\langle \sigma_1^z \sigma_n^z \rangle$ in the dependence on chain length L for different point separations n. Solid dence on chain length L for different point separations n . Solid lines are finite size fits.

 $\gamma_r/\gamma_r^{\text{CFT}}$ are given. The values are of the order 1, but deviate significantly from 1 which we attribute to the simple form of the CFT prediction ([14](#page-2-3)) which is strictly valid only for conformally invariant models without marginally irrelevant perturbations. Also, it is likely that the sequence $\gamma_r/\gamma_r^{\text{CFT}}$ has two different accumulation points for even and odd r , respectively.

In Fig. [2](#page-2-4) we show the entanglement entropies $S_n(T)$ for blocks of size *n* for $n = 1, \ldots, 7$. Note that we used the logarithm with base 2 (the total number of local states) in the definition of the entropy. Hence the high temperature asymptote of S_n is identical to the length of the block n. The low-temperature limit scales with $1/3\log_2(n)$.

Finite Size.—Similar to (12) (12) (12) we find in the large L limit

$$
\omega = \omega_0 + \frac{1}{6L^2} (1 - (x_1 - x_2)^2) \cos(\pi (x_1 + x_2)). \quad (16)
$$

For finite size [\[6\]](#page-3-5), the physical density matrix is $D_n =$ $D_n(1/2, \ldots, 1/2)$. Hence, all the above formulas for low T turn into their counterparts for large L if we replace $(2J/T)^2$ by $-L^2$ leading to

$$
\langle \sigma_1^z \sigma_{1+r}^z \rangle \simeq \langle \sigma_1^z \sigma_{1+r}^z \rangle_0 (1 + 4\gamma_r/L^2). \tag{17}
$$

In contrast to finite temperature, finite size increases the correlations. In Fig. [3](#page-3-13) we show data for chains of length L up to 128. Note that correlators $\langle \sigma_1^z \sigma_n^z \rangle$, $\langle \sigma_1^z \sigma_m^z \rangle$ for the same *I* coincide if $n + m = I + 2$ same L coincide if $n + m = L + 2$.

In conclusion, we have derived exact results for correlation functions of the Heisenberg chain for finite temperature T (finite system size L). In the conformal regime of low T (large L), the corrections to the ground-state results in the thermodynamic limit are additive T^2 (L^{-2}) terms. The exponents are universal and agree with CFT predictions. The coefficients for two-point correlators at strictly finite lattice separation are nonuniversal, but correspond precisely to those quantities that are of interest in many, especially numerical approaches. We also managed to derive multispin correlations and, as an example, we showed exact data for the entanglement entropy for arbitrary temperatures with smooth transitions from $T = 0$ to ∞ with rather different dependence on the length of the chain segment. The central expression for all (static) correlation functions shows a remarkable structure, it is a sum of products of nearest-neighbor correlators. We explained how the central expression for the correlations can be derived from a set of ''discrete'' functional equations for the density matrix. We are convinced that this method is very powerful and applicable to other seminal models with higher spins or different symmetry groups.

The Wuppertal group was partially supported by the Volkswagen Foundation. J. S. is supported by JSPS. M. T. acknowledges support from DFG.

- [1] H. Bethe, Z. Phys. **71**[, 205 \(1931\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01341708)
- [2] M. Jimbo, K. Miki, T. Miwa, and A. Nakayashiki, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(92)91128-E) Lett. A 168[, 256 \(1992\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(92)91128-E) M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, [J. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/29/12/005) 29[, 2923 \(1996\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/29/12/005) N. Kitanine, J. M. Maillet, and V. Terras, Nucl. Phys. **B567**[, 554 \(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(99)00619-7); F. Göhmann, A. Klümper, and A. Seel, J. Phys. A 37[, 7625 \(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/37/31/001).
- [3] H. E. Boos and V. E. Korepin, J. Phys. A 34[, 5311 \(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/34/26/301); H. E. Boos, V. E. Korepin, and F. A. Smirnov, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(03)00153-6) B658[, 417 \(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(03)00153-6); Moscow Math. J. 4, 593 (2004).
- [4] H. Boos, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, F. Smirnov, and Y. Takeyama, [St. Petersburg Math. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S1061-0022-06-00894-6) 17, 85 (2006).
- [5] H. Boos, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, F. Smirnov, and Y. Takeyama, [Lett. Math. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11005-006-0054-x) 75, 201 (2006); [Ann. Henri](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00023-006-0285-5) Poincaré 7[, 1395 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00023-006-0285-5).
- [6] H. Boos, F. Göhmann, A. Klümper, and J. Suzuki, [J. Stat.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2006/04/P04001) [Mech. \(2006\) P04001;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2006/04/P04001) J. Damerau, F. Göhmann, N. P. Hasenclever, and A. Klümper, [J. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/40/17/002)40, 4439 [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/40/17/002).
- [7] H. Boos, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, F. Smirnov, and Y. Takeyama, [Commun. Math. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00220-007-0202-x) 272, 263 (2007); [286](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00220-008-0617-z), [875 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00220-008-0617-z).
- [8] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, and F. Smirnov, [J. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/42/30/304018) 42, 304018 [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/42/30/304018).
- [9] H. Boos and F. Göhmann, [J. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/42/31/315001) 42, 315001 [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/42/31/315001).
- [10] N. Kitanine, [J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2005/09/L09002) M. Maillet, N. A. Slavnov, and V. Terras, J. [Stat. Mech. \(2005\) L09002;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2005/09/L09002) C. Trippe, F. Göhmann, and A. Klümper, [Eur. Phys. J. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjb/e2009-00417-7) **73**, 253 (2010).
- [11] B. Aufgebauer, Ph.D. thesis, Universität Wuppertal, 2011.
- [12] J. Sato, M. Shiroishi, and M. Takahashi, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2005.08.045) B729, [441 \(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2005.08.045); M. Takahashi, SIGMA 7[, 004 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2011.004)
- [13] N. Crampé, F. Göhmann, and A. Klümper, [SIGMA](http://dx.doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2010.082) 6, 082 [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2010.082).