Stimulated Light Emission and Inelastic Scattering by a Classical Linear System of Rotating Particles

Ana Asenjo-Garcia,¹ Alejandro Manjavacas,¹ and F. Javier García de Abajo^{1,2,*}

¹Instituto de Óptica—CSIC, Serrano 121, 28006 Madrid, Spain

²Optoelectronics Research Centre, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom

(Received 2 March 2011; published 25 May 2011)

The rotational dynamics of particles subject to external illumination is found to produce light amplification and inelastic scattering at high rotation velocities. Light emission at frequencies shifted with respect to the incident light by twice the rotation frequency dominates over elastic scattering within a wide range of light and rotation frequencies. Remarkably, net amplification of the incident light is produced in this classical linear system via stimulated emission. Large optically induced acceleration rates are predicted in vacuum accompanied by moderate heating of the particle, thus supporting the possibility of observing these effects under extreme rotation conditions.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.213601

PACS numbers: 42.50.Wk, 41.60.-m, 45.20.dc, 78.70.-g

Despite its minuteness, the exchange of momentum by light-matter interaction is responsible for observable phenomena ranging from the formation of comet tails to the trapping [1,2] and cooling [3] of small particles down to single atoms. In particular, the exchange of angular momentum produces mechanical torques. In a pioneering experiment, Beth showed that optical spin can induce rotation in birefringent plates illuminated by circularly polarized (CP) light [4]. This effect has been subsequently confirmed on the macro- [5], micro- [6], and nanoscales [7,8]. Light can also carry orbital angular momentum, which has been widely used to produce vortexlike motion of microparticles [9]. Additionally, chiral particles undergo rotation even when illuminated by unpolarized plane waves [10,11] (i.e., light without net angular momentum).

The interaction of light with rotating particles raises fundamental questions, such as the possibility of cooling the rotational degrees of freedom down to the quantum regime. On the opposite side, the material response of particles rotating at extreme velocities can be largely influenced by spinning forces, eventually leading to centrifugal explosion. Even the kinematical change between lab and rotating frames is known to produce frequency shifts in the light emitted by rotating particles [12–15]. These effects may be occurring in cosmic dust irradiated by polarized light over enormous periods of time. Particle trapping in vacuum [16] may provide a suitable framework to study these phenomena.

In this Letter, we study the electromagnetic torque and scattering properties of rotating particles subject to external illumination. As in rotational Raman scattering [17], the particle produces inelastic scattering at frequencies separated from the incoming light by twice the rotation frequency. We report two remarkable observations at large rotation velocities: (i) inelastic scattering is stronger than elastic scattering and (ii) net amplification of the incident light takes place via stimulated emission in this purely classical linear system, even in the absence of absorption, thus supplementing previous studies for amplification of lossy rotating cylinders [18]. We base this conclusions on analytical expressions derived for the torque, the absorbed power, and the inelastic light scattering cross section, from which a complex resonant interplay between the light frequency and the particle rotation frequency is observed. Feasible experimental conditions for the observation of light amplification are discussed.

Oscillator model for a spinning particle.—For simplicity, we consider a spinning rod, the optical response of which can be modeled with an effective spring consisting of a point particle of mass m and charge Q oscillating around a fixed charge -Q with natural frequency ω_0 and damping rate γ . The point-particle motion is constrained to the longitudinal direction of the rod $\hat{\rho}$, which is rotating with frequency Ω around a direction \hat{z} perpendicular to $\hat{\rho}$



FIG. 1 (color online). Sketch of a rodlike particle rotating with frequency Ω around a transversal direction (z axis). An incident light plane wave of frequency ω illuminates the rod along a direction normal to its axis, thus producing an electromagnetic torque *M* and scattered light of frequencies ω and $\omega \pm 2\Omega$. For left circularly polarized (LCP) light, as considered in the figure, only the $\omega - 2\Omega$ inelastic component is emitted.

(see Fig. 1), so that the instantaneous position of this charge can be written $\mathbf{r}(t) = \rho(t)(\hat{\mathbf{x}} \cos \Omega t + \hat{\mathbf{y}} \sin \Omega t)$, where $\rho(t)$ is the distance to the central charge. The force equation of motion is then given by [see supplemental material (SI) [19]]

$$m\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = -m\omega_0^2 \rho \hat{\rho} - m\gamma \dot{\rho} \,\hat{\rho} + Q\mathbf{E} + m\tau \ddot{\mathbf{r}} + F^{\text{react}} \hat{\varphi}, \quad (1)$$

where the terms on the right-hand side are (from left to right) the restoring force, the intrinsic friction, the external electric-field force, the Abraham-Lorentz force accounting for radiative damping proportional to $\tau = 2Q^2/3mc^3$ [20], and the particle reaction force F^{react} taken to cancel other azimuthal components in order to constrain the motion of the point charge along the rotating $\hat{\rho}$ axis. We assume the charge velocity to be small compared to the speed of light, so that the magnetic component of the force can be overlooked, although it could lead to a small precession. The transversal polarization of the rod is also considered to be negligible.

Electromagnetic torque.—The resulting torque M is directed along $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ and originates in the reaction force according to $M = -F^{\text{react}}\rho$. For monochromatic incident light of frequency ω and electric field $\mathbf{E} = (E_x \hat{\mathbf{x}} + E_y \hat{\mathbf{y}})e^{-i\omega t} + \text{c.c.}$, we can solve Eq. (1) analytically to find the time-averaged torque (see SI [19])

$$M = \frac{Q^2}{2m} \left\{ -[\gamma \omega_+ + \tau(\omega + 2\Omega)^3] \frac{|E_+|^2}{|d_+|^2} + [\gamma \omega_- + \tau(\omega - 2\Omega)^3] \frac{|E_-|^2}{|d_-|^2} \right\},$$
 (2)

where $\omega_{\pm} = \omega \pm \Omega$, $E_{\pm} = E_x \pm iE_y$, and $d_{\pm} = \omega_0^2 - \Omega^2 - \omega_{\pm}(\omega_{\pm} + i\gamma) - i\tau\omega_{\pm}(\omega_{\pm}^2 + 3\Omega^2)$. The γ terms in Eq. (2) originate in photon absorption by the particle, whereas the τ terms describe a radiative reaction torque similar to what happens in rotating dipoles [21]. The torque predicted by Eq. (2) presents resonant features signaled by the zeros of d_{\pm} . In small absorbing particles,

for which the τ terms can be neglected compared to the γ absorption terms, this condition reduces to the ellipses

$$\Omega^2 + (\omega \pm \Omega)^2 = \omega_0^2, \tag{3}$$

as is clear in Fig. 2(a) for left CP (LCP) incident light, corresponding to the lower sign in Eq. (3). The figure is actually representing the cross section towards mechanical work, given by $\sigma_{\text{mech}} = M\Omega/I$, where $I = (c/2\pi)|E|^2$ is the external light intensity and $|E|^2 = |E_x|^2 + |E_y|^2$. For light oscillating at the same frequency as the rotation, the particle sees a frozen incident field, and the torque is therefore zero (it vanishes along the $\omega = \Omega$ line). For slower rotation ($\omega > \Omega$) the torque is positive, pointing to a net transfer of momentum from the light field to the particle. In contrast, the torque and $\sigma_{\rm mech}$ become negative for faster rotation even when the light and the particle rotate in the same direction ($\Omega > \omega > 0$). This suggests that the incident light is actually braking the particle by effectively producing stimulated photon emission with contributions proportional to the Ohmic and radiative losses (terms in γ and τ , respectively).

Partial cross sections.—The absorption and elasticscattering cross sections admit the closed-form expressions (see SI [19])

$$\sigma_{\rm abs} = \frac{\pi \gamma Q^2}{mc} \left(\omega_+^2 \frac{|E_+/E|^2}{|d_+|^2} + \omega_-^2 \frac{|E_-/E|^2}{|d_-|^2} \right)$$

and

$$\sigma_{\omega} = \frac{\pi Q^4 \omega^4}{3m^2 c^4} \left(\frac{|E_+/E|^2}{|d_+|^2} + \frac{|E_-/E|^2}{|d_-|^2} \right)$$

Interestingly, the rotational motion produces inelastic scattering components at frequencies $\omega \pm 2\Omega$ [17] and responding to the cross sections [19]

$$\sigma_{\omega \pm 2\Omega} = \frac{\pi Q^4 (\omega \pm 2\Omega)^4}{3m^2 c^4} \frac{|E_{\pm}/E|^2}{|d_{\pm}|^2}$$



FIG. 2 (color online). Dynamical properties of a rod illuminated by LCP light of frequency ω and rotating at frequency Ω , both normalized to the excitation resonance frequency of the rod ω_0 . (a) Mechanical cross section $M\Omega/I$, where *M* is the electromagnetic torque and *I* is the light intensity. (b) Absorption cross section. (c) Elastic-scattering cross section. (d) Inelastic scattering cross section (emission at frequency $\omega - 2\Omega$). The particle is described by a rotating harmonic oscillator with intrinsic damping rate $\gamma = 0.1\omega_0$. The color scale is in units of $Q^2\gamma/mc\omega_0^2$ in (a),(b) and $Q^2\tau/mc$ in (c),(d), assuming $\gamma \gg \tau\omega_0^2$, and it is saturated at the limits specified in the legend.

The consistency of this model is corroborated by the fact that the sum of all partial cross sections ($\sigma_{\omega} + \sigma_{\omega+2\Omega} + \sigma_{\omega-2\Omega} + \sigma_{mech} + \sigma_{abs}$) equals the total extinction cross section derived from the optical theorem (see SI [19]).

The partial cross sections are also resonant under the condition (3) (see Fig. 2). In particular, light absorption [Fig. 2(b)] produces a positive transfer of intrinsic angular momentum from each absorbed photon to the particle ($\propto \sigma_{abs}I$), while elastic scattering [Fig. 2(c)] dominates the $\Omega \sim \omega$ region. For right CP light, we obtain similar results, with the ellipsoids of M, σ_{abs} , and σ_{ω} reflected with respect to the $\omega = 0$ axis (not shown). For linear polarization, we find a superposition of the two orthogonal circular polarizations [19].

Two remarkable effects emerge at large rotation velocities $(|\Omega| > |\omega|)$: (i) the inelastic emission (at frequency ω - Ω for LCP light) becomes a leading process and (ii) like the mechanical cross section, the total extinction crosssection can be negative (e.g., for $\Omega > \omega > 0$ and LCP light), thus confirming a net stimulated light emission, whereby mechanical motion is converted into photons (see below).

Connection to actual particles.—The model parameters Q^2/m , ω_0 , and γ can be easily adjusted to fit the polarizability of a particle at rest ($\Omega = 0$). Computing the induced dipole $\mathbf{p} = Q\rho\hat{\rho}$ from our model [19], we find $p = \alpha E$, where $\alpha = (Q^2/m)/[\omega_0^2 - \omega(\omega + i\gamma) - i\tau\omega^3]$ is the polarizability. In the absence of internal friction $(\gamma = 0)$, this expression satisfies the property Im $\{-\alpha^{-1}\}=$ $2\omega^3/3c^3$, as expected from the optical theorem for nonabsorbing particles [22]. This polarizability has the same form as that of a sphere of radius R described by the Drude dielectric function $\epsilon = 1 - \omega_p^2 / \omega(\omega + i\gamma)$, which allows us to identify $\omega_0 = \omega_p / \sqrt{3}$ and $Q^2 / m = \omega_0^2 R^3$. Incidentally, at low $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ and within the dipole approximation, we find $M/|E|^2 = \text{Im}\{\alpha_{\parallel} + \alpha_{\perp}\} - (4\omega^3/3c^3)\text{Re}\{\alpha_{\parallel}\alpha_{\perp}^*\}$ from an analysis based upon the Maxwell stress tensor [20,23] for the torque produced by LCP light on a rod under the conditions of Fig. 1, where α_{\parallel} and α_{\perp} are the polarizabilities along directions parallel and perpendicular to the rod, respectively. This torque vanishes for nonabsorbing spheres in virtue of the optical theorem. In contrast, nonabsorbing rods ($\alpha_{\parallel} \neq \alpha_{\perp}$) experience a net torque because the term in ω^3/c^3 cannot compensate the first one.

This indicates that the torque acting on small lossy spheres, in which absorption (the γ term) governs Im{ $-\alpha^{-1}$ }, can be approximated with our formalism as twice the torque acting on a rod, but using the above identification of model parameters. Just to give a better idea of the order of magnitude of the mechanical and absorption cross sections for a Drude sphere, the units in the color scale of Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) are $Q^2 \gamma/mc\omega_0^2 = R^3/(c/\gamma)$, with typical values of $c/\gamma \sim 1 \ \mu$ m in noble metals.

Stimulated emission and light amplification.—We show in Fig. 3 the partial cross sections of rotating rods in the



FIG. 3 (color online). Stimulated light emission in rotating particles. The plots show the spectral dependence of the partial cross sections for (a) dissipative ($\gamma \gg \tau \omega_0^2$) and (b) nondissipative ($\gamma \ll \tau \omega_0^2$) rods rotating with frequency $\Omega = 2\omega_0$. The shaded areas for negative extinction ($\sigma_{\text{ext}} < 0$) give the excess of photons added to the incident beam as a result of the interaction with the particle. We take $\gamma = 0.1\omega_0$.

limits of high and low Ohmic losses. The negative extinction represents an increase in the amplitude of the incident beam after interaction with the rotating particle. Incidentally, our recently reported rotational friction [24] can be understood as the spontaneous-emission counterpart of the stimulated emission under discussion. Stimulated emission takes place at $\omega < \Omega$ by transferring mechanical energy from the particle to the incident beam (coherently added photons), similar to what happens in lossy rotating cylinders [18], but the effect persists even without dissipation. This allows us to speculate with the possibility of constructing a laser [19] in which an incident CP light beam is exponentially building up as it encounters rotating particles along its path (the particles are externally driven, for example, by pumping CP light of higher frequency). This scheme is robust because it is insensitive to finite distributions of particle size and rotation velocity, as long as the latter exceeds the light frequency.

Particle heating.—For constant incident light intensity, light absorption leads to heating of the particle until it reaches an equilibrium temperature T_{eq} above the temperature of the surrounding vacuum T_0 . This equilibrium is established when the absorbed power $\sigma_{abs}I$ equals the radiative cooling rate Prad. In the Drude approximation for a metal sphere, and neglecting the effect of Ω , which only enters at large velocities, we have [24] $P^{\text{rad}} \propto T_{\text{eq}}^6 - T_0^6$, which leads to $T_{eq}^6 = T_0^6 + C\sigma_{abs}I$. This is represented in Fig. 4(b) for a 10 nm carbon nanotube (upper solid curve) for which the polarizability has been obtained in the discrete-dipole approximation [25]. There are two different regimes in the dependence of T_{eq} on light intensity: at low *I*, the particle is nearly at the vacuum temperature, but when the intensity increases, the particle heats up, asymptotically approaching a $I^{1/6}$ power law. A similar behavior is observed for a gold nanoparticle [Fig. 4(b), lower solid curve], incorporating the effect of magnetic polarization and a realistic metal permittivity taken from optical data [24,26].



FIG. 4 (color online). (a) Time needed to accelerate a 20-nm gold sphere and a 6×6 10-nm-long single-wall carbon nanotube (CNT) to a rotational velocity Ω of 1 MHz as a function of incident CP light intensity. (b) Particle equilibrium temperature. The particles are rotating in vacuum at an external temperature $T_0 = 300$ K. The gold and carbon melting points are indicated by dashed lines in (b). The light frequency is $\omega = 2\pi \times 10^{14}$ Hz (wavelength ~3 μ m).

Rotational dynamics.—The time needed to accelerate a carbon nanotube and a gold nanoparticle to 1 MHz is represented in Fig. 4(a) as a function of LCP light intensity, as calculated with the torque of Eq. (2). This time scales approximately as $\propto R^2 \omega_0^2 \Omega / \gamma \omega I$ under the condition $\gamma \omega \ll \omega_0^2$, and it plunges well under 0.01 s for light intensities below the melting threshold. This suggests the possibility of achieving extreme rotation velocities in optically trapped nanoparticles, and eventually producing centrifugal explosion, thus introducing an unprecedented physical scenario (this should happen close to the point at which the centrifugal energy reaches the surface-tension energy, which in a 20-nm liquid gold particle occurs at $\Omega \sim 3$ GHz).

Concluding remarks.—The present self-consistent oscillator model permits capturing the optical response of particles rotating under extremely high velocities. This defines a new scenario plagued with exotic phenomena such as strong inelastic scattering and, most notably, the possibility of realizing a laser [19] running on a classical linear system by exploiting our prediction of light amplification stimulated by particles rotating faster than the light frequency. There are several avenues towards potentially practical implementations of these systems, such as, for example, (1) a diluted gas of linear molecules driven to THz rotational frequencies by CP light and acting as the active

medium of a laser operating in that demanded frequency range, (2) an extension of these ideas to acoustic lasing based on a similar classical interaction with subwavelength particles rotating faster than the sound frequency, (3) microwave waveguide setups such as those used to monitor rotational frequency shifts [5], and (4) dust clouds in cosmic environments exposed to polarized light, in which radio wave amplification might be taking place.

This work has been supported by the Spanish MICINN (MAT2010-14885 and Consolider NanoLight.es) and the European Commission (FP7-ICT-2009-4-248855-N4E). A. A.-G. and A. M. acknowledge financial support through FPU from the Spanish ME.

*Corresponding author.

- J.G.deAbajo@csic.es
- [1] A. Ashkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 24, 156 (1970).
- [2] D.G. Grier, Nature (London) 424, 810 (2003).
- [3] S. Groeblacher et al., Nature Phys. 5, 485 (2009).
- [4] R.A. Beth, Phys. Rev. 50, 115 (1936).
- [5] P.J. Allen, Am. J. Phys. 34, 1185 (1966).
- [6] M. E. J. Friese et al., Nature (London) 394, 348 (1998).
- [7] M. Liu et al., Nature Nanotech. 5, 570 (2010).
- [8] B.E. Kane, Phys. Rev. B 82, 115441 (2010).
- [9] K. T. Gahagan and G. A. Swartzlander, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 15, 524 (1998).
- [10] P. Galajda and P. Ormos, Appl. Phys. Lett. 78, 249 (2001).
- [11] L. Tong, V. D. Miljković, and M. Käll, Nano Lett. 10, 268 (2010).
- [12] I. Bialynicki-Birula and Z. Bialynicka-Birula, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 2539 (1997).
- [13] J. Courtial et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 3217 (1998).
- [14] J. Courtial et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 4828 (1998).
- [15] M. Michalski, W. Hüttner, and H. Schimming, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 203005 (2005).
- [16] A. Ashkin and J. M. Dziedzic, Appl. Phys. Lett. 28, 333 (1976).
- [17] B.A. Garetz, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 71, 609 (1981).
- [18] Y. B. Zel'dovich, Sov. Phys. JETP 35, 1085 (1971); J. D. Bekenstein and M. Schiffer, Phys. Rev. D 58, 064014 (1998).
- [19] See supplemental material at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.213601 for details on the oscillator model, results for linear polarization, and discussion of lasing and electromagnetic amplification in this system.
- [20] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics* (Wiley, New York, 1999).
- [21] F.S. Chute, IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag. 15, 585 (1967).
- [22] H.C. van de Hulst, *Light Scattering by Small Particles* (Dover, New York, 1981).
- [23] F. J. García de Abajo, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer 89, 3 (2004).
- [24] A. Manjavacas and F.J. García de Abajo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 113601 (2010).
- [25] F.J. García de Abajo, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 209 (2010).
- [26] P.B. Johnson and R.W. Christy, Phys. Rev. B 6, 4370 (1972).