Charge-Density Wave and Superconducting Dome in TiSe₂ from Electron-Phonon Interaction

Matteo Calandra and Francesco Mauri

IMPMC, Université Paris 6, CNRS, 4 Place Jussieu, 75015 Paris, France (Received 13 December 2010; published 13 May 2011)

At low temperature TiSe₂ undergoes a charge-density wave instability. Superconductivity is stabilized either by pressure or by Cu intercalation. We show that the pressure phase diagram of TiSe₂ is well described by first-principles calculations. At pressures smaller than 4 GPa charge-density wave ordering occurs, in agreement with experiments. At larger pressures the disappearing of the charge-density wave is due to a stiffening of the short-range force constants and not to the variation of nesting with pressure. Finally, we show that the behavior of T_c as a function of pressure is entirely determined by the electronphonon interaction without need of invoking excitonic mechanisms. Our work demonstrates that phase diagrams with competing orders and a superconducting dome are also obtained in the framework of the electron-phonon interaction.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.196406

PACS numbers: 71.20.Be, 71.15.Mb, 74.25.Dw, 74.25.Kc

The interplay between long-range charge or magnetic order and superconductivity is one of the highly debated subjects in condensed matter physics. Doping of an antiferromagnetic Mott insulator results in high- T_c superconductivity in cuprates [1]. In iron pnictides [2] magnetism plays an important role as the parent compound is often an antiferromagnetic metal with a large magnetic susceptibility [3], and spin fluctuations are believed to survive in the superconducting state [4]. In transition metal dichalcogenides such as 2H-NbSe₂ or 2H-TaSe₂, multiband superconductivity [5] and charge-density wave (CDW) [6] coexist.

Titanium diselenide (1T-TiSe₂) belongs to this class of materials, as at $T_{\text{CDW}} \approx 200$ K it undergoes a CDW instability characterized by a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ real-space superstructure [7]. TiSe₂ is not superconducting at low temperature, but CDW is suppressed and superconductivity stabilized either by Cu intercalation (maximum $T_c = 4.5$ K) [7] or pressure (maximum $T_c = 1.8$ K) [8]. The resulting phase diagram looks similar to that of cuprates with the difference that the spin-density wave order has been replaced by a CDW one [7].

The mechanism at the origin of the CDW and superconducting phases in TiSe₂ is currently unknown. The similarity with the phase diagram of other correlated materials and the semimetallic [9] nature of $TiSe_2$ led to the idea [9,10] that the transition from the high-T semimetallic state to the low-T semimetallic ordered stated is of the Overhauser type [11], namely, a Bose-Einstein condensation of excitons driven by correlation effects. Indeed, as proposed in Refs. [12,13], in a semimetal a charge unbalance is poorly screened due to the lack of carriers and the formation of excitons is thus favored. A Jahn-Teller mechanism has also been proposed [14,15] to account for CDW in $TiSe_2$ and in dichalcogenides in general [16]. This claim is supported by thermal diffuse scattering data [17] showing the occurrence of a soft-phonon mode at zone boundary. However the origin of the softening is unclear as no first-principles calculations of the TiSe₂ phonon dispersion and electron-phonon coupling are available. It is then unknown if density functional theory (DFT) fails in predicting the CDW and superconducting orders.

In this work we demonstrate that the complete TiSe₂ pressure phase diagram is reproduced by first-principles calculations. We show that the disappearing of the CDW at finite applied pressure (P) is due to the local chemistry around a Ti atom while the pinning of the CDW is determined by the electron-phonon coupling. Excitonic or nesting effects are not the relevant interactions to describe the TiSe₂ pressure phase diagram.

The DFT band structure [18] and Fermi surfaces of TiSe₂ at ambient pressure are shown in Fig. 1. Our results are in agreement with previous works [26,27]. Four bands cross the Fermi level. A narrow Ti-derived d band is almost entirely unoccupied except around the L point where it forms elongated and flat hole pockets. A Se 4p derived band weakly hybridizes with Ti states and forms an electron pocket centered at Γ having the form of a small ellipsoid with larger axis parallel to the $k_z = 0$ plane. Finally, the other two bands are formed by strongly hybridized Se-p and Ti-d states. Hydrostatic pressure weakly affects the band structure except for an increase of the Se *p*-band bandwidth.

The phonon dispersions [18] at pressure P = 0, 5, and 10 GPa are shown in Fig. 2. At zero pressure we find that the system is dynamically unstable at the L and M points, consistent with a $2 \times 2 \times 1$ (M) or a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ (L) realspace superstructure in agreement with diffraction data [7]. As pressure is increased, the CDW disappears at $P \approx 4$ GPa. Transport data [9] support the occurrence of a superconducting state in the region between 2 and 4 GPa. It is unclear if superconductivity coexists or not with CDW order. Given that (i) anharmonic effects (neglected here) should be important close to the transition to the CDW



FIG. 1 (color online). (Left) TiSe₂ electronic structure at P = 0 and 5 GPa and (center and right) P = 0 GPa Fermi surface.

state and should reduce the critical pressure for the occurrence of CDW and (ii) the experimental phase diagram at finite pressure is only based on transport measurements, our results are in excellent agreement with experimental finding. Thus, DFT describes the occurrence of the CDW in TiSe₂ and its evolution with pressure.

PRL 106, 196406 (2011)

To address the microscopic mechanism responsible for the occurrence of CDW and its suppression under pressure, we calculate the static bare susceptibility $\chi_0(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{2}{N_k} \sum_{\mathbf{k}nm} \frac{f_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}n} - f_{\mathbf{k}m}}{\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}n} - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}m}}$ and the nesting factor $N_f(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{2}{N_k} \sum_{\mathbf{k}nm} \delta(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}n}) \delta(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}m})$, where $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}m}$ are the DFT bands measured from the Fermi level. As $\chi_0(\mathbf{q})$ coincides with the electron-phonon contribution to the static phonon selfenergy assuming constant matrix elements, it represents the electron-phonon correction to the phonon frequencies squared. A minimum in $\chi_0(\mathbf{q})$ means a softening in $\omega_{\mathbf{q}\nu}^2$. As shown in Fig. 2, $\chi_0(\mathbf{q})$ has a minimum both at M and L, and $N_f(\mathbf{q})$ peaks at M and L. However, both quantities are essentially unaffected by pressure between 0 and 5 GPa; nesting cannot be responsible for the disappearing of the CDW at 4 GPa.

The mechanism responsible for the disappearance of CDW under pressure can be understood by considering the force constants $C_{IJ}(P)$ at a given pressure P, where I, J label the atoms in the $4 \times 4 \times 2$ real-space supercell. A new set of short-range force constants $C_{IJ}^{SR}(P)$ is obtained from $C_{IJ}(P)$ by setting to zero the force constants at distances larger than the Ti-Se bond length. Then we define

$$\tilde{C}_{IJ}(P) = C_{IJ}(0) - C_{IJ}^{SR}(0) + C_{IJ}^{SR}(P).$$
(1)

The force constants $\tilde{C}_{IJ}(P)$ and $C_{IJ}(0)$ only differ by shortrange terms as they both include the same long-range behavior calculated at P = 0. From $\tilde{C}_{IJ}(P)$ we obtain new phonon frequencies at any phonon momentum by Fourier interpolation. In Fig. 2(d) the phonon frequencies obtained with the aforementioned procedure are compared with standard linear-response calculations. As can be seen, the two are very similar and, most important, the CDW disappears simply by removing the short-range force constants at P = 0 and replacing them with that at P = 10 GPa. The disappearing of the CDW in TiSe₂ is not driven by any electronic mechanism or any long-range interaction, but it is due to the stiffening of the nearestneighbor's Ti-Se force constants under applied pressure. The occurrence of a soft-phonon mode is thus inherent to the local environment around the transition metal atom in the TiSe₂ layer.

We then consider the superconducting properties of TiSe₂ at pressures $P \ge 5$ GPa. We calculate the electronphonon coupling $\lambda_{q\nu}$ for a phonon mode ν with momentum **q** and phonon frequency $\omega_{q\nu}$, namely,

$$\lambda_{\mathbf{q}\nu} = \frac{4}{\omega_{\mathbf{q}\nu} N(0) N_k} \sum_{\mathbf{k},n,m} |g_{\mathbf{k}n,\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}m}^{\nu}|^2 \delta(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{k}n}) \delta(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}m}).$$
(2)

The matrix element in Eq. (2) is $g_{\mathbf{k}n,\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}m}^{\nu} = \langle \mathbf{k}n | \delta V / \delta u_{\mathbf{q}\nu} | \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}m \rangle / \sqrt{2\omega_{\mathbf{q}\nu}}$, where V is the Kohn-Sham potential, $u_{\mathbf{q}\nu}$ is the amplitude of the phonon displacement, and N(0) is the density of states at the Fermi level. The critical temperatures obtained with the McMillan formula and the parameters in Table I are in qualitative agreement with experiments although in experiments superconductivity occurs in a narrower range of pressures. This discrepancy is probably related to the use of a McMillan type of approach to deduce the critical temperature and to the neglect of anharmonic effects.

We calculate the Eliashberg function

$$\alpha^{2}F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2N_{q}} \sum_{\mathbf{q}\nu} \lambda_{\mathbf{q}\nu} \omega_{\mathbf{q}\nu} \delta(\omega - \omega_{\mathbf{q}\nu})$$
(3)

and the integral $\lambda(\omega) = 2 \int_0^{\omega} d\omega' \alpha^2 F(\omega')/\omega'$. At P = 5 GPa the Eliashberg function is dominated by the soft mode [28] at low energy that contributes to most of the coupling. As the pressure is raised, the low energy mode hardens (see Fig. 2) and the average electron-phonon coupling is reduced, as shown in Fig. 3.

In this work we have shown that the finite pressure phase diagram of $TiSe_2$ can be entirely explained by the local chemistry around a Ti atom and by the electron-phonon interaction. In more detail, the disappearance of the CDW

at finite pressure is determined by the stiffening of the Ti-Se nearest neighbor's short-range force constants while the static bare electronic susceptibility determines the ordering vector at which the CDW locks in.



FIG. 2 (color online). TiSe₂ (a) nesting factor, (b) static susceptibility, and (c),(d) phonon dispersion as a function hydrostatic pressure. In (d) the continuous line phonon dispersion is obtained by replacing the nearest neighbor's Ti-Se force constants in the P = 0 GPa force constant matrix with those at P = 10 GPa.

TABLE I. Calculated superconducting properties of TiSe_2 using the McMillan formula ($\mu^* = 0.1$).

P (GPa)	λ	ω_{\log} (meV)	T_c (K)
5.0	1.57	7.0	0.84
7.0	0.84	11.0	0.58
10.0	0.62	15.1	0.38

The electron-phonon interaction alone does not induce a CDW, as it is clear from the 5 GPa calculation.

In previous works on other transition metal dichalcogenides, it was shown that nesting is indeed irrelevant in determining CDW order [29–31] and that DFT is able to reproduce the occurrence of CDW in 2H-NbSe₂ [31] and 1T-TaS₂ [32]. In all these works the origin of the CDW was attributed to the electron-phonon interaction. In our work we show that, although electronic susceptibility is relevant in determining the ordering vector, the local chemistry is the mechanism responsible for the occurrence of CDW. More exotic mechanisms such as an Overhauser transition [9] or excitonic phases [10] are unlikely.

Our work reproduces also the main features of the superconducting state of $TiSe_2$ as it explains the domelike shape of T_c versus pressure found in experiments [8]. Superconductivity is driven by the softening of the phonon mode related to the charge-density wave. As the mode is very soft, substantial anharmonic effects are to be expected. The inclusion of these effects would harden the phonon frequency of the soft mode and shift the dome region in which superconductivity takes place to lower pressure in even better agreement with experimental data.

Several authors [7,8] pointed out the strong similarity of the phase diagram of $TiSe_2$ with cuprates and suggested a nonconventional pairing mechanism for $TiSe_2$. Our work shows that this similarity is only apparent as here superconductivity is phonon mediated. Furthermore, our work



FIG. 3. Eliashberg function and integrated Eliashberg function $\lambda(\omega)$ at 5 and 10 GPa.

demonstrates that exotic phase diagrams including domelike superconducting regions, such as those occurring in cuprates, pnictides, or cobaltates, can also arise from an electron-phonon mechanism. Thus, the apparent similarity of these phase diagrams to the cuprate case cannot be considered a fingerprint of electronic correlation.

Calculations were performed at the IDRIS supercomputing center (project 081202).

- [1] K. A. Müller and J. G. Bednorz, Science 237, 1133 (1987).
- [2] Y. Kamihara et al., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130, 3296 (2008).
- [3] I.I. Mazin *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 057003 (2008).
- [4] I.I. Mazin and M.D. Johannes, Nature Phys. 5, 141 (2008).
- [5] T. Yokoya et al., Science 294, 2518 (2001).
- [6] E. Revolinski *et al.*, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **26**, 1029 (1965).
- [7] E. Morosan et al., Nature Phys. 2, 544 (2006).
- [8] A.F. Kusmartseva *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 236401 (2009).
- [9] G. Li et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 027404 (2007).
- [10] H. Cercellier *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 146403 (2007).
- [11] A.W. Overhauser, Phys. Rev. Lett. 4, 415 (1960).
- [12] W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19**, 439 (1967).
- [13] B.I. Halperin and T.M. Rice, Rev. Mod. Phys. 40, 755 (1968).
- [14] H. P. Hughes, J. Phys. C 10, L319 (1977).
- [15] K. Motizuki et al., Solid State Commun. 40, 995 (1981).
- [16] M. H. Whangbo and E. Canadell, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 114, 9587 (1992).
- [17] M. Holt et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 3799 (2001).
- [18] DFT calculations are performed using the QUANTUM-ESPRESSO code [19] in the local density approximation (LDA) [20]. Norm-conserving [21] and ultrasoft [22] pseudopotentials are used for Se and Ti, respectively. The phonon dispersion and the electron-phonon coupling are calculated using density functional perturbation theory in the linear response [23]. A $N_k = 24 \times 24 \times 12$ grid and a Hermite-Gaussian smearing of 0.01 Ryd are used for the

electronic integration. A $N_k = 40 \times 40 \times 24$ grid is used for the electron-phonon coupling. The dynamical matrices are calculated on a $N_q = 4 \times 4 \times 2$ phonon-momentum grid and are Fourier interpolated throughout the full Brillouin zone. The electronic bare susceptibility is calculated using maximally localized Wannier functions [24,25] and a $N_k = 75 \times 75 \times 25$ electron-momentum grid. We perform structural optimization at finite pressure rescaling with a constant factor the theoretical LDA [20] volume such that it coincides with the experimental one at P = 0 GPa.

- [19] P. Giannozzi *et al.*, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 21, 395502 (2009); http://www.quantum-espresso.org.
- [20] J. P. Perdew and A. Zunger, Phys. Rev. B 23, 5048 (1981).
- [21] N. Troullier and J.L. Martins, Phys. Rev. B 43, 1993 (1991).
- [22] D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B 41, 7892 (1990).
- [23] S. Baroni et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 73, 515 (2001).
- [24] N. Marzari and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B 56, 12847 (1997).
- [25] A.A. Mostofi *et al.*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **178**, 685 (2008).
- [26] A. Zunger and A.J. Freeman, Phys. Rev. B 17, 1839 (1978).
- [27] R. A. Jishi and H. M. Alyahyaei, Phys. Rev. B 78, 144516 (2008).
- [28] The first momentum of the Eliashberg function, namely, $M_1 = \int_0^\infty \alpha^2 F(\omega) \omega d\omega$, is independent of the phonon frequency. As $M_1(5 \text{ GPa}) = 1.35 \times M_1(10 \text{ GPa})$ while $\lambda(5 \text{ GPa}) = 2.54 \times \lambda(10 \text{ GPa})$, the decrease of M_1 with pressure is much smaller than that of λ . The main effect is then the stiffening of the Ti-Se force constants resulting in a hardening of the lowest energy phonon mode.
- [29] M. D. Johannes, I. I. Mazin, and C. A. Howells, Phys. Rev. B 73, 205102 (2006).
- [30] M. D. Johannes and I. I. Mazin, Phys. Rev. B 77, 165135 (2008).
- [31] M. Calandra, I. I. Mazin, and F. Mauri, Phys. Rev. B 80, 241108 (2009).
- [32] Y. Ge and A.Y. Liu, Phys. Rev. B 82, 155133 (2010).