

Ultraviolet-Protected Inflation

Cristiano Germani^{1,*} and Alex Kehagias^{2,†}

¹*Arnold Sommerfeld Center, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Theresienstrasse 37, 80333 Muenchen, Germany*

²*Physics Division, National Technical University of Athens, 15780 Zografou Campus, Athens, Greece*

(Received 14 December 2010; published 20 April 2011)

In natural inflation, the inflaton is a pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone boson which acquires a mass by explicit breaking of a global shift symmetry at scale f . In this case, for small field values, the potential is flat and stable under radiative corrections. Nevertheless, slow roll conditions enforce $f \gg M_p$, making the validity of the whole scenario questionable. In this Letter, we show that a coupling of the inflaton kinetic term to the Einstein tensor allows $f \ll M_p$ by enhancing the gravitational friction acting on the inflaton during inflation. This new unique interaction (a) keeps the theory perturbative in the whole inflationary trajectory, (b) preserves the tree-level shift invariance of the pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone boson, and (c) avoids the introduction of any new degrees of freedom with respect to standard natural inflation.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.161302

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq, 14.80.Va

Introduction.—Inflation, a rapid expansion of the early Universe, is a beautiful solution of the homogeneity, isotropy, and flatness puzzles [1]. Although an isotropic expansion of the Universe might be obtained by considering general nonminimally coupled p forms [2], the minimally coupled zero-form (a scalar field) is the most simple and natural source for inflation [3–5]. In this case, the scalar field during inflation generates an almost de Sitter (exponential) expansion of the early Universe.

In a Friedmann-Robertson-Walker spacetime with metric $ds^2 = -dt^2 + a(t)^2 d\vec{x} \cdot d\vec{x}$, a minimally coupled scalar field (ϕ) to gravity, with a potential $V(\phi) > 0$, produces an acceleration $\ddot{a} \sim -(\dot{\phi}^2 - V)$, where $(\dot{}) = d/dt$. It is then clear that to get an accelerated expansion ($\ddot{a} > 0$) for a long time, the field ϕ has to “slow roll” in its own potential, i.e., $\dot{\phi}^2 \ll V$. Unfortunately, though, while solving the cosmological puzzles, this seemingly innocuous condition threatens the whole inflationary paradigm, as we shall see.

During slow roll, the Hubble equation and field equations for the inflaton are

$$H^2 \simeq \frac{V}{3M_p^2}, \quad \dot{\phi} \simeq -\frac{V'}{3H}, \quad (1)$$

where $H = \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble “constant,” $(\prime) = d/d\phi$, and M_p is the Planck scale. Equations (1) are found by considering the slow roll conditions

$$\epsilon \equiv -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} \ll 1, \quad \delta \equiv \left| \frac{\ddot{\phi}}{3H\dot{\phi}} \right| \ll 1. \quad (2)$$

By using (1) and plugging into (2), we find the two independent conditions

$$\epsilon \simeq M_p^2 \frac{V'^2}{2V^2} \ll 1, \quad \eta \simeq \left| M_p^2 \frac{V''}{3V} \right| \ll 1.$$

A common problem of standard inflationary scenarios is the “eta” problem. Gravity is not a renormalizable theory

as its perturbative expansion breaks down at the Planck scale M_p . Therefore, operators suppressed by the scale M_p , although not known, are generically expected to complete the theory at UV. In particular, one would expect the inflaton potential to be generically “corrected” by higher-dimensional operators. Consider, for example, the following dimension six correction $\hat{V} = V \times (1 + c \frac{\phi^2}{M_p^2} + \dots)$, where c is an unknown coefficient expected to be of $\mathcal{O}(1)$. With this correction, we have that the η parameter is modified as $\tilde{\eta} \simeq \eta + c \frac{2}{3} + \dots$; therefore, if $\eta \ll 1$, in order to keep $\tilde{\eta} \ll 1$ we need $c \ll 1$. However, this coefficient cannot be calculated unless the full UV completed theory of gravity is known. Note that for small field scenarios this is the leading correction to η . In the large field scenario the problem is clearly far more severe as an infinite amount of nonrenormalizable operators has to be set exactly to zero.

The eta problem might be nevertheless naturally solved in small field scenarios by introducing new symmetries in the inflaton Lagrangian. These symmetries might in fact force the potential to be flat even under radiative corrections. There are two possible symmetries to achieve this goal: global and local.

Local symmetries (such as local supersymmetry) might stabilize the inflaton potential in supergravity. Still, in this framework, supersymmetry is explicitly broken by the gravitational background, making the inflaton potential generically too steep to produce inflation [6]. Additional local symmetries related to the matter content of the full theory in which the inflaton is embedded might nevertheless change this no-go result, as proposed in Ref. [7]. However, to date, this direction is still under development.

The other possibility for a radiative stability of the inflaton potential is the existence of global symmetries. The use of global symmetries in inflation is encoded in the so-called natural inflation [8]. In natural inflation, the

inflaton is a pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone boson acquiring a mass by explicit breaking of a global shift symmetry at scale f . This happens by instanton effects, as in the Peccei-Quinn mechanism [9]. In this case, for small field values, the potential is flat and stable under radiative corrections. Nevertheless, the slow roll condition $\eta \ll 1$ implies $f \gg M_p$, making the whole scenario unreliable.

In the following, we will show that the scale f might be safely taken to be much smaller than the Planck scale by introducing a nonminimal coupling of the inflaton kinetic term to the Einstein tensor. In particular, we will show that this new theory is in the weak coupling regime during the whole inflationary evolution and does not propagate any more degrees of freedom than the ones already existing in natural inflation.

Constructing the action.—We now consider the most generic theory such that (i) it is diffeomorphism-invariant, (ii) it propagates only a (nonghost) massless spin 2 and a spin 0 particle on any background, and (iii) it is tree-level shift symmetric in the field ϕ (i.e., is symmetric under $\phi \rightarrow \phi + c$).

Such an action has been found in Ref. [10], and it is

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} [M_p^2 R - \Delta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \phi \partial_\beta \phi]. \quad (3)$$

In (3) we used the notation

$$\Delta^{\alpha\beta} = g^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{M^2} G^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (4)$$

where $G^{\alpha\beta} \equiv R^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} R g^{\alpha\beta}$ and M are, respectively, the Einstein tensor and a new mass scale, not necessarily related to the Planck mass. Note that the minus sign in the definition (4) is crucial to avoid ghosts, whenever weak energy conditions are satisfied [11]. One may wonder whether an infinite series of curvatures nonminimally coupled to the kinetic term of the scalar can be added to (3). We claim that it is unlikely that a fine-tuning exists in which *both* metric and scalar equation of motions are second order in derivatives.

In natural inflation the massless field θ is a pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone field with decay constant f and periodicity 2π . Let us consider the following tree-level Lagrangian for θ :

$$\mathcal{S} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{M_p^2}{2} R - \frac{f^2}{2} \Delta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \theta \partial_\beta \theta + m e^{i\theta} \bar{\psi} (1 + \gamma_5) \psi + \bar{\psi} \mathcal{D} \psi - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} \right], \quad (5)$$

where ψ is a fermion charged under the (non-Abelian) gauge field with field strength $F_{\alpha\beta}$, $\mathcal{D} = \gamma^\alpha \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ is the gauge-invariant derivative, and m is a mass scale.

The action (5) is invariant under the chiral (global) symmetry $\psi \rightarrow e^{i\gamma_5 \alpha/2} \psi$, where α is a constant. This symmetry is related to the invariance under shift symmetry of θ , i.e., $\theta \rightarrow \theta - \alpha$.

The chiral symmetry of the system is, however, broken at the one-loop level [12], giving the effective interaction $\theta F \cdot \tilde{F}$, where $\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = \sqrt{-g} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ is the Levi-Civita antisymmetric symbol. Instanton effects related to the gauge theory F introduce a potential $K(F \cdot \tilde{F})$ [13]. In the zero momentum limit we can integrate out the combination $F \cdot \tilde{F}$ and obtain a periodic potential for the field θ (note that this is independent upon the canonical normalization of θ) which has a stable minimum at $\theta = 0$ [14]. If we expand the potential around its own maximum at $\theta = \theta_{\max}$, we get

$$V(\phi) \simeq \Lambda^4 \left(1 - \frac{\phi^2}{2f^2} \right), \quad (6)$$

where Λ is the strong coupling scale of the gauge theory F [15] and $\phi = f(\theta - \theta_{\max})$. The approximation (6) is valid as long as $\phi \ll f$, and it is precisely in this regime that the Universe can naturally inflate, as is shown later on.

What is very important to note is that, since the nonlinearly realized symmetry is restored as $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$, the potential (6) is natural and no UV corrections may spoil its flatness.

Finally, the action we will use for the inflationary background is therefore

$$\mathcal{S} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{M_p^2}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} \Delta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \phi \partial_\beta \phi - V(\phi) \right]. \quad (7)$$

Strong coupling scale.—In order to find the validity range of the effective action (7), we should find the energy scale in which nonrenormalizable operators become strong. Obviously, in a nonminimal coupled model, this is a background-dependent question as already noted in Refs. [10,16]. In a nontrivial background for the scalar field configuration one might employ the gauge $\delta\phi = 0$ (at all orders), which is quite useful in cosmological perturbations theory [17]. In this case the metric is perturbed as $g_{\alpha\beta} = \gamma_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{h_{\alpha\beta}}{M_p}$, where $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ and $h_{\alpha\beta}$ are, respectively, the background and the perturbed metrics. We now use the Arnowitt-Deser-Misner formalism, where the metric is generically written as $ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + h_{ij}(dx^i - N^i dt) \times (dx^j - N^j dt)$. In this form the degrees of freedom of the graviton are encoded into h_{ij} , and N and N^i are Lagrange multipliers in the action (7) [10]. In this formalism, one might define the extrinsic curvature $K_{ij} = \frac{1}{N}(\dot{h}_{ij} - D_{(i} N_{j)})$ and the three curvature \mathcal{R} where the covariant derivatives D_i and \mathcal{R} are both calculated with the three-metric h^{ij} [11]. The perturbed action (7) is then [18]

$$\mathcal{S}_\delta = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x dt \sqrt{h} N \left[M_p^2 \mathcal{R} \left(1 + \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2M^2 M_p^2} \right) + M_p^2 (K_{ij} K^{ij} - K^2) \left(1 - \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2M^2 M_p^2} \right) + \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{N^2} - 2V \right]. \quad (8)$$

As we shall see, during slow roll inflation, $\frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{M_p^2 M_p^2} \ll 1$ and is considered roughly constant. Therefore (8) is well approximated as

$$S_\delta \simeq \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} (M_p^2 R + N^{-2} \dot{\phi}^2 - 2V), \quad (9)$$

so that the strong coupling scale of (11) is manifestly M_p . Note that, in the case of multifields coupled to (4), as in the new Higgs inflation [10], the strong coupling scale is lower [10].

Another source for a strong coupling scale is the operator $S_{\text{int}} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \frac{\dot{\phi}}{f} F \cdot \vec{F}$, which was integrated out to get the action (7) [19].

In the Arnowitt-Deser-Misner formalism, and during slow roll, the scalar perturbations of the metric are codified into the canonically normalized scalar perturbation $\bar{\zeta}$ in $h_{ij} = a(t)^3 (1 + 2\frac{M}{\phi} \bar{\zeta}) \delta_{ij}$ [18]. After integrating by parts S_{int} in the $\delta\phi = 0$ gauge, we get the perturbed action

$$\delta S_{\text{int}} \sim \int d^4x \sqrt{-\gamma} \frac{M}{f} \delta C_{ijk} \epsilon^{0ijk} \left(\bar{\zeta} + \frac{\dot{\zeta}}{H} \right),$$

where $\delta C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is the perturbed Chern-Simons three-form relater to the gauge field F [13]. This interaction has a renormalizable and a nonrenormalizable term. The renormalizable term is always in the weak regime as long as $f \gg M$. The nonrenormalizable interaction, however, introduces a new strong coupling scale $M_{\text{new}} = H \frac{f}{M}$. The strong coupling scale of the theory will then be $M_* = \min(M_p, M_{\text{new}})$. Note that in the Minkowski limit the canonical normalization of $\bar{\zeta}$ is different, and it boils down to the replacement of H instead of M in M_{new} , so that $M_{\text{new}} \rightarrow f$, as expected. Moreover, because slow roll conditions are violated in the Minkowski limit ($M_p H \sim \dot{\phi} \rightarrow 0$), the unitary violating scale related to the operator $\Delta_{\alpha\beta}$ smoothly converges to $M_* \sim (M_p M^2)^{1/3}$. What is important to note is that during the whole evolution, from the inflationary to the Minkowski background, the curvature is always below the scale M_* , so that our theory can be perturbatively trusted.

UV-protected inflation.—The variation of the action (7) with respect to the lapse N and the field ϕ give rise to the two Hubble and field equations [10]

$$H^2 = \frac{1}{6M_p^2} \left[\dot{\phi}^2 \left(1 + 9 \frac{H^2}{M^2} \right) + 2V \right], \quad (10)$$

$$\partial_t \left[a^3 \dot{\phi} \left(1 + 3 \frac{H^2}{M^2} \right) \right] = -a^3 V'.$$

We will ask the solution to obey the following inequalities:

$$H^2 \gg M^2, \quad \epsilon \equiv -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} \ll 1, \quad \delta \equiv \left| \frac{\ddot{\Phi}}{3H\dot{\Phi}} \right| \ll 1, \quad (11)$$

where the last two are the usual slow roll conditions. In particular, the second implies that during inflation the Universe undergoes an exponential expansion.

With the help of Eqs. (11) we find the following independent conditions extracted from (11):

$$\eta \simeq \frac{M^2 M_p^2}{9H^2 f^2} \ll 1, \quad \epsilon \simeq \frac{1}{6} \frac{M^2}{H^2} \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{f^2} \frac{M_p^2}{f^2} \ll 1, \quad (12)$$

$$H^2 \gg M^2.$$

Note that both η and ϵ are suppressed by the additional gravitational friction term $\frac{H^2}{M^2} \gg 1$, which is not present in the standard natural inflation [8]. This enhanced gravitational friction is the key physical mechanism allowing $f \ll M_*$.

Combining the weak coupling constraint ($f \gg M$) and the η constraint in (12), we find $M_{\text{new}} \gg M_p$. Therefore, during slow roll, $M_* \simeq M_p$. The quantum gravity constraint such that the curvature should be smaller than the Planck scale is easily satisfied for $\Lambda^4 \ll M_p^4$. The friction constraint $H^2 \gg M^2$ is satisfied for $\Lambda^4 \gg M^2 M_p^2$, which implies $M^2 \ll M_p^2$ as it should. Finally, we would like to impose $f \ll M_p$.

Collecting all constraints, the natural inflationary setup is UV-protected if the following hierarchies of scales are satisfied:

$$M_p^4 \gg \Lambda^4 \gg M^2 M_p^2, \quad \frac{M M_p}{\Lambda^2} \ll \frac{f}{M_p} \ll 1. \quad (13)$$

Quantum gravity corrections.—In this section, we will address potential issues related to quantum (gravity) corrections to our inflationary scenario.

For any theory of gravity which does not propagate ghosts and approaches general relativity at large distances or weak curvatures, the strong coupling scale M_* of the theory might be only below the Planck scale M_p [20]. We showed that, during inflation, the strong coupling scale of our setup is indeed below M_p . In this respect, our theory does not propagate any hidden ghost.

A second issue is related to the fact that, in any healthy field theory, one expects that all scales playing any role in the weak regime are smaller than the strong coupling scale of the theory. This requirement is obviously fulfilled by (13) as $\Lambda, f, M \ll M_*$. In the absence of the gravitational enhanced friction [or the operator (4)], one necessarily needs $f \gg M_*$ in order to produce inflation. In this respect then, natural inflation [8] is problematic.

Another issue is related to the global symmetry of the tree-level action (5). It is widely believed that quantum gravity does not allow global symmetries. This is due to the fact that black hole evaporation democratically emits any particle coupled to gravity. The only constraint on this emission is to conserve the total energy and/or fluxes at infinity. Global charges do not carry any flux and therefore cannot be conserved. In our scenario, however, the global

(shift) symmetry is already broken by gauge instanton effects. Therefore, the only way that gravity may participate in the quantum breaking of the global chiral symmetry of (5) is through gravitational anomalies. The latter can couple to the axion only via the interaction $\theta R\tilde{R} = \theta\sqrt{-g}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}R_{\delta\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}R_{\gamma\mu\nu}^{\delta}$, related to the gravitational Chern-Simons three-form [13]. A potential $K_G(R\tilde{R})$ might then be generated by instanton effects if and only if the zero momentum limit of the instanton correlator $\langle R\tilde{R}, R\tilde{R} \rangle$ does not vanish [13]. In any case, even if the potential $K_G(R\tilde{R})$ is generated, it is suppressed by the factor $e^{-S} \ll 1$, where S is the instanton action. Quantum gravity effects can therefore produce a small correction to the mass of the inflaton field only by redefining a new effective Λ .

Although the potential we introduced is stable under radiative corrections, one might wonder about derivative terms generated by loop corrections. During slow roll inflation, all these corrections are negligible as proportional to the slow roll parameters and suppressed by the scale M_* .

In conclusion, in our case in which $f, \Lambda, M, H \ll M_*$, there are no substantial quantum (gravity) corrections to the inflationary evolution.

Conclusions.—A pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone scalar (the axion), whose potential is obtained by a global symmetry breaking at scale f via gauge field instanton effects, has a naturally flat potential, as long as $f \ll M_p$. Unfortunately, though, slow roll conditions for the axion require $f \gg M_p$. This requirement might be softened by introducing a plethora of $N \gg 1$ axions as in Ref. [21], if large cross interaction among the fields can be avoided. In this case, the effective friction acting on the radial direction in the field space is boosted by a factor N so that slow roll conditions require only $f \gg M_p/\sqrt{N}$. However, in this framework, the strong coupling scale of the theory is lowered to $M_* \sim M_p/\sqrt{N}$ [22]. This nullifies the attempt of Ref. [21] to produce a natural inflationary scenario [23]. This problem may, however, be solved if only two axions are considered. In this case, by a fine adjustment of their coupling to the anomalous currents, one can find $f \ll M_*$ [24].

In this Letter, we showed an alternative way to increase the friction in a natural inflationary scenario without introducing any new degrees of freedom. In our model the friction of the axion is gravitationally enhanced. In this case, in order for the axion to slow roll down its own potential, a natural value for the global symmetry breaking scale $f \ll M_p$ (or more precisely $f \ll M_*$) can be easily obtained. This feature is uniquely obtained by the interaction of the Einstein tensor to the kinetic term of the axion which keeps, nevertheless, the theory perturbative during the whole inflationary evolution. This interaction is unique in the sense that it does not propagate more degrees of

freedom than a massless spin 2 and a scalar while keeping the tree-level shift invariance of the axion untouched.

C. G. thanks Fedor Bezrukov, Savas Dimopoulos, Gia Dvali, Viatcheslav Mukhanov, Alex Pritzel, Eva Silverstein, and Yuki Watanabe for important discussions and comments. C. G. is sponsored by the Humboldt Foundation. This work is partially supported by the PEVE-NTUA-2009 program.

*cristiano.germani@lmu.de

†kehagias@central.ntua.gr

- [1] V. Mukhanov, *Physical Foundations of Cosmology* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2005), p. 421.
- [2] A. Golovnev, V. Mukhanov, and V. Vanchurin, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **06** (2008) 009; C. Germani and A. Kehagias, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **03** (2009) 028; **11** (2009) 005.
- [3] A. H. Guth, *Phys. Rev. D* **23**, 347 (1981).
- [4] A. D. Linde, *Phys. Lett.* **108B**, 389 (1982).
- [5] A. Albrecht and P. J. Steinhardt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48**, 1220 (1982).
- [6] D. H. Lyth and A. Riotto, *Phys. Rep.* **314**, 1 (1999).
- [7] D. Baumann and D. Green, arXiv:1009.3032.
- [8] K. Freese, J. A. Frieman, and A. V. Olinto, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**, 3233 (1990).
- [9] R. D. Peccei and H. R. Quinn, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **38**, 1440 (1977).
- [10] C. Germani and A. Kehagias, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 011302 (2010).
- [11] R. M. Wald, *General Relativity* (Chicago University, Chicago, 1984), p. 491.
- [12] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1995).
- [13] G. Dvali, arXiv:hep-th/0507215.
- [14] C. Vafa and E. Witten, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **53**, 535 (1984).
- [15] D. J. Gross, R. D. Pisarski, and L. G. Yaffe, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **53**, 43 (1981).
- [16] F. Bezrukov, A. Magnin, M. Shaposhnikov, and S. Sibiryakov, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2011) 016.
- [17] J. M. Maldacena, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2003) 013.
- [18] C. Germani and A. Kehagias, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **05** (2010) 019; C. Germani and A. Kehagias, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **06** (2010) E01.
- [19] C. G. thanks Fedor Bezrukov for pointing this out and Yuki Watanabe for discussions.
- [20] G. Dvali and C. Gomez, arXiv:1005.3497; G. Dvali, S. Folkerts, and C. Germani, arXiv:1006.0984.
- [21] S. Dimopoulos, S. Kachru, J. McGreevy, and J. G. Wacker, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **08** (2008) 003.
- [22] G. Dvali, *Fortschr. Phys.* **58**, 528 (2010); G. Dvali and M. Redi, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 045027 (2008).
- [23] Q. G. Huang, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 105029 (2008).
- [24] J. E. Kim, H. P. Nilles, and M. Peloso, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **01** (2005) 005.