Nonlinear Current Response of an Isolated System of Interacting Fermions

Marcin Mierzejewski^{1,2} and Peter Prelovšek^{1,3}

¹.J. Stefan Institute, SI-1000 Liubliana, Slovenia

²Institute of Physics, University of Silesia, 40-007 Katowice, Poland

³ Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Liubliana, SI-1000 Liubliana, Slovenia

(Received 10 July 2010; published 29 October 2010)

Nonlinear real-time response of interacting particles is studied on the example of a one-dimensional tight-binding model of spinless fermions driven by electric field. Using equations of motion and numerical methods we show that for a nonintegrable case at finite temperatures the major effect of nonlinearity can be taken into account within the linear response formalism extended by a renormalization of the kinetic energy due to the Joule heating. On the other hand, integrable systems show on constant driving a different universality with a damped oscillating current whereby the frequency is related but not equal to the Bloch oscillations.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.186405](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.186405) PACS numbers: 71.27.+a, 72.10.Bg

Only recently have the time-resolved measurements provided important information on the nonequilibrium short-time dynamics of correlated bulk materials [[1\]](#page-3-0), nanostructures [[2\]](#page-3-1), and optical lattice systems [\[3\]](#page-3-2). In contrast to the developments in the experimental methods, theoretical description of the real-time dynamics remains a difficult and challenging task. As the exact time evolution is known only for very few models (see the discussion in Ref. [\[4](#page-3-3)]), most of unbiased results have been obtained from advanced numerical approaches like exact diagonalization (ED) [\[5\]](#page-3-4), time-dependent density matrix renormalization group (tDMRG) [\[6](#page-3-5)], or nonequilibrium dynamical meanfield theory (nDMFT) [[7\]](#page-3-6). These approaches allow for studying various phenomena, e.g., the nonlinear transport through interacting nanosystems [\[8](#page-3-7)], the electric-field induced breakdown of the Mott insulator [\[5,](#page-3-4)[9](#page-3-8)], or Bloch oscillations [\[7,](#page-3-6)[10\]](#page-3-9). In most cases, theoretical predictions for the real-time response can be formulated only on the basis of numerical studies.

It is understood that for a weak driving force, the realtime response is determined by the equilibrium correlation functions as described by the linear-response (LR) theory. This theory has recently been extended to account for a system that was previously driven out of equilibrium [[11\]](#page-3-10). Further on we focus on the case of the charge current $I(t)$ in a one-dimensional (1D) system as induced by electric field $F(t)$, whereby the relevant equilibrium LR function is the dynamical conductivity $\sigma(\omega)$. For a generic (nonintegrable) system of interacting (correlated) fermions at finite temperature $T > 0$ one expects within the LR regime the relaxation of current due to (umklapp) scattering processes and hence finite dc value $\sigma_0 = \sigma(0)$. This, in turn, leads to a steady current under a constant driving field F. In contrast, it has been recognized that integrable systems in spite of interaction reveal a dissipationless component of the current response even at $T > 0$ as manifested by a finite charge stiffness $D(T > 0) > 0$ [\[12\]](#page-3-11). Hence, the qualitative difference between both types of systems is expected to remain even for the nonlinear transport.

In this Letter, we address two aspects of the nonlinear transport in an isolated system of interacting tight-binding fermions under a time-dependent driving force. (i) How to generalize the LR response approach of generic systems at $T > 0$ to stronger fields and longer steady driving? Here we show that the dominating lowest-order mechanism beyond the LR regime is the increase of internal system energy or Joule-type heating (although we are not dealing with relaxation to canonical equilibrium) proportional to the square of the electric field. It could be accounted for by renormalization of the kinetic energy [as the sum rule for $\sigma(\omega)$ and, consequently, the relevant σ_0 . This extension allows one to predict strongly nonlinear response without explicit solution of the von Neumann or the timedependent Schrödinger equations. (ii) Is there a qualitative difference in nonlinear response between integrable and nonintegrable systems? Our results reveal a clear distinction between both categories whereby the response of integrable system to a steady field $F(t > 0) =$ const resembles the (damped) Bloch oscillations of noninteracting (NI) fermions, however, with nontrivially modified oscillation frequency.

We investigate an isolated 1D system of charged spinless fermions with periodic boundary conditions. The system is threaded by a time-dependent magnetic flux $\tilde{\phi}(t)$ that enters only the kinetic energy term H. enters only the kinetic energy term H_k

$$
H = H_k + H_l,
$$

\n
$$
H_k = -t_h \sum_j \{ e^{i\phi(t)} c_{j+1}^{\dagger} c_j + \text{H.c.} \},
$$
\n(1)

where $\phi = \tilde{\phi}/L$ is the flux per bond and L is the number
of sites. We put further on $t_1 = 1$. The time-dependent flux of sites. We put further on $t_h = 1$. The time-dependent flux induces an electric field $F = -\dot{\phi}$. The charge current operator can be written as operator can be written as

$$
J = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \tilde{\phi}} = \frac{i}{L} \sum_{j} \{ e^{i\phi(t)} c_{j+1}^{\dagger} c_j - \text{H.c.} \}.
$$
 (2)

Before specifying a particular form of the interaction term H_I it is instructive to derive simple equations of motion for the total energy $E(t) = \langle H(t) \rangle = \text{Tr}[\rho(t)H(t)],$
the kinetic energy $F_{\nu}(t) = \langle H_{\nu}(t) \rangle$ and the current $I(t) =$ the kinetic energy $E_k(t) = \langle H_k(t) \rangle$, and the current $I(t) =$ $\langle J(t) \rangle$. Making use of the von Neumann equation $i\dot{\rho}(t)$ = $[H(t), \rho(t)]$ one can easily find the relations

$$
\dot{E}(t) = -i \text{Tr}\{[H(t), \rho(t)]H(t)\} + \dot{\phi}(t) \text{Tr}\left[\rho(t)\frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi}\right]
$$

$$
= LF(t)I(t), \tag{3}
$$

$$
\dot{E}_k(t) = i\langle[H(t), H_k(t)]\rangle + LF(t)I(t),\tag{4}
$$

$$
\dot{I}(t) = i\langle [H(t), J(t)] \rangle - F(t)\frac{E_k(t)}{L}.
$$
\n(5)

In the case of NI fermions $H_I = 0, H = H_k$, commutators in Eqs. [\(4](#page-1-0)) and ([5](#page-1-1)) vanish and for $F(t) = \text{const}$, these equations lead to harmonic oscillations with a frequency $\omega_B = F$, the solution known as Bloch oscillations.

Equation ([5\)](#page-1-1) offers also a nontrivial approach to the nonlinear inverse problem; i.e., for arbitrary H_I one can find such tuning of $F(t)$ that the induced current follows required time profile $I_a(t)$. Namely, putting $I_a(t)$ in the left-hand side of Eq. [\(5](#page-1-1)) one can solve the equation for $F(t)$. This value of $F(t)$ determines the magnetic flux that should be used in the subsequent time step of the numerical solution of the von Neumann equation. Numerical results based on this method will be presented elsewhere.

In the following we study the 1D $t - V - W$ model,

$$
H_{I} = V \sum_{j} \hat{n}_{j} \hat{n}_{j+1} + W \sum_{j} \hat{n}_{j} \hat{n}_{j+2},
$$
 (6)

where $\hat{n}_j = c_j^{\dagger} c_j$, whereas V and W are (repulsive) inter-
actions on the negrect neighbor and next negrect neighbor actions on the nearest-neighbor and next-nearest-neighbor sites, respectively. In the absence of the external field the $t - V$ (W = 0) model is integrable and within the LR theory $\sigma(\omega)$ has anomalous properties [[12](#page-3-11)]. In particular, it can show a dissipationless component even at $T > 0$, i.e., $\sigma(\omega \sim 0) = D(T)\delta(\omega)$. $W \neq 0$ breaks the integrability leading to a finite dc $\sigma_0(T > 0) < \infty$ [\[12\]](#page-3-11). In the following we focus on the metallic regime of the half-filled systems with $n = N_e / L = 1/2$ and $V < 2t_h$.

Our aim is to study primarily a generic situation at $T > 0$ to avoid more specific cases emerging from the ground state. We perform the numerical evolution of the manyfermion wave function $|\Psi_{l}(t)\rangle$. The initial $|\Psi_{l}(0)\rangle$ should in principle be chosen as eigenstates of $H(0)$ distributed according to the canonical ensemble. Since the latter approach is possible only via full ED (typically $L < 16$ for the problem under consideration), we instead work using the microcanonical Lanczos method (MCLM) [\[13\]](#page-3-12) allowing systems up to $L = 26$. First, we numerically generate initial $|\Psi_l(0)\rangle$, $l = 1$, N_s with energy $E(0) =$ $\langle H(0) \rangle$ corresponding to the canonical value for given T (and L), but as well with a small energy uncertainty $\delta^2 E =$ $\langle [H(0) - E(0)]^2 \rangle$. The time evolution of $|\Psi_I(t) \rangle$ is then calculated by step-vise change of $\phi(t)$ in small time increcalculated by step-vise change of $\phi(t)$ in small time incre-
ments $\delta t \ll 1$ generating at each step Lanczos basis ments $\delta t \ll 1$ generating at each step Lanczos basis $(N_L \sim 10)$ to calculate the evolution $|\Psi_l(t - \delta t)\rangle \rightarrow$ $|\Psi_{l}(t)\rangle$ (see Ref. [\[14\]](#page-3-13) for the Lanczos time propagation method). The described procedure is very robust and can be easily tested by changing N_s , δE , δt . In the following examples we study rather universal (but nontrivial) regime of quite elevated $T \sim 5$ where we use $N_s = 10, \delta E = 0.01$, $\delta t = 0.01$. Here, a sufficient condition for the time evolution is to stay with $t \leq 1/\delta E$. Note that for systems considered $(L = 26)$ number of basis states is typically $N_{st} \sim 10^7$ so that δE is still much bigger than the average level distance.

First, we study a nonintegrable case with $V = 1.4$ and $W = 1$, when LR $\sigma(\omega)$ [\[12\]](#page-3-11) is rather featureless, i.e., broad in ω . Therefore, we expect that numerical results are free of artifacts originating from some peculiar features of $\sigma(\omega)$. Figure [1](#page-1-2) shows $E_k(t)$ as a function of F^2t [panel (a)] and the time dependence of the renormalized current $I(t)/[E_k(t)F]$ [panel (b)] for a system driven by a constant
field $F(t>0) = F$. One can see that $-F_k(t)$ goes expofield $F(t > 0) = F$. One can see that $-E_k(t)$ goes exponentially to zero with the decay rate $\propto F^2$ and that the ratio $I(t)/[E_k(t)F]$ is almost constant for longer t. The dominat-
ing nonlinear effect thus consists in the increase of F_t . ing nonlinear effect thus consists in the increase of E_k . Since the latter also represents the sum rule $\int \sigma(\omega) d\omega \propto$ $|E_k|$, we formulate and test the following conjecture: $I(t)$ may be well approximated by the LR theory extended to account for the increase of $E_k(t)$,

$$
I(t) \simeq I_{\text{ER}}(t) = \frac{E_k(t)}{E_k(0)} I_{\text{LR}}(t),\tag{7}
$$

FIG. 1 (color online). Real-time response: (a) the kinetic energy $E_k(t)$, and (b) the renormalized current $I(t)/(E_k(t)F)$ obtained for $L = 26$, $V = 1.4$, and $W = 1$. The electric field F is switched on at $t = 0$ and kept constant for $t > 0$.

$$
I_{LR}(t) = \int_0^t dt' \sigma(t - t') F(t'), \qquad (8)
$$

where the conductivity response $\sigma(t - t')$ [evaluated from $\sigma(\omega)$] and consequently $L_{\mathcal{D}}(t)$ are determined within in- $\sigma(\omega)$] and consequently $I_{LR}(t)$ are determined within initial equilibrium state. Equation (7) alone does not allow one to predict the real-time response without solving the time-dependent Schrödinger equation, since $E_k(t)$ is still needed. The accurate scaling presented in Fig. [1\(a\)](#page-1-3) together with Eqs. ([3\)](#page-1-4) and (7) indicate that the increase of E_k is just proportional to the increase of the total energy

$$
\dot{E}_k(t) = \gamma \dot{E}(t) = \gamma L F I_{\text{ER}}(t). \tag{9}
$$

Therefore, in the long-time regime of a driving with $F(t) =$ F one obtains $I_{ER}(t) = \sigma_0 FE_k(t)/E_k(0)$ and, consequently, $-E_k(t) \propto \exp(-\alpha F^2 t)$ with $\alpha > 0$. The coefficient γ is independent of F and can be estimated from the initial equilibrium state,

$$
\gamma = \frac{E_k(t) - E_k(0)}{E(t) - E(0)} \approx \frac{\partial E_k(0)}{\partial T} \left(\frac{\partial E(0)}{\partial T}\right)^{-1}.
$$
 (10)

The set of Eqs. (7)–[\(10\)](#page-2-0) fully determines $I_{ER}(t)$. Therefore, similarly to the LR theory, the real-time response can be calculated without explicit solution of the time-dependent problem. From the numerical point of view, these equations are not more demanding than the LR theory, as the only extension consists in the differential Eq. [\(9\)](#page-2-1). In Fig. [2](#page-2-2) we show the accuracy of the approximation given by Eq. (7). This figure demonstrates also the significance of the increase of total energy or Joule heating as the dominating nonlinear mechanism. Here, the value of γ has been determined from full diagonalization of a 10-site Hamiltonian.

FIG. 2 (color online). $I(t)$ for $L = 26$, $V = 1.4$, and $W = 1$. Arrows indicate the instances of time, when the electric field is switched on (all panels) and off (upper left panel). Full lines represent results obtained via unrestricted numerical solution, whereas dotted and dashed lines show approximate results of the LR theory, $I_{LR}(t)$, and the extended LR approach, $I_{ER}(t)$, respectively.

We now turn our attention to the real-time response of an integrable interacting system, i.e., $W = 0$ case, where the above extension of the LR theory clearly breaks down. Now, a relevant reference is the NI $V = 0$ system, where for $F(t) = F$ current and the kinetic energy exhibit Bloch oscillations with $\omega_B = F$. It is expected that even at $V > 0$ a dissipationless component of current will retain the similarity to a NI system. We present in the following numerical results for the integrable metallic case $V = 1$, $W = 0$, where D (at $T \rightarrow \infty$) covers approximately half of the total spectral weight of $\sigma(\omega)$.

The inset in Fig. [3](#page-2-3) shows $I(\phi)$ with $\phi = -Ft$ for the egrable $t - V$ system driven by a constant electric field integrable $t - V$ system driven by a constant electric field F. In order to demonstrate the reliability of MCLM and the Lanczos time evolution, we show also results obtained from the full diagonalization of the $L = 14$ system in the canonical ensemble. In the latter case, the time evolution has been determined from a direct solution of the von Neumann equation by the Runge-Kutta method. Contrary to the previously discussed $W \neq 0$ case, one observes a strong oscillatory behavior. For large enough $F > F^* \sim 0.5$, the frequency of the current oscillations ω [evaluated here from the second maximum of $I(t)$] is approximately the same as ω_B of NI fermions. Similar prediction has been obtained within nDMFT (see the discussion of a metallic phase in Ref. [\[7](#page-3-6)]). However, in the regime of a low electric fields $F \leq F^*$, the correlated system oscillates faster than ω_B . Within the investigated $t - V$ model, ω/ω_B is found to increase logarithmically when the electric field decreases (see Fig. [3\)](#page-2-3). The effect is present also for other $V < 2t_h$ although evidently the logarithmic regime disappears for $V \rightarrow 0$ where the NI universality sets in; i.e., in this case the crossover also moves to $F^* \rightarrow 0$.

At present we are unable to provide a physical explanation for the enhancement of ω/ω_B or to predict whether the logarithmic scaling breaks down for very low F . At least it is evident that the $F > F^*$ response is similar to NI fermions in that both $I(t)$ and $E_k(t) = E(t)$ change sign

FIG. 3 (color online). Current-oscillation frequency ω (normalized to ω_B of NI fermions) vs field F evaluated for $L = 26$, $V = 1$, $W = 0$ (crosses). Inset: *I* vs flux $|\phi|$ (continuous lines).
Dashed lines (inset) and squares (main panel) show results from Dashed lines (inset) and squares (main panel) show results from the full diagonalization of the $L = 14$ system.

FIG. 4 (color online). Relation between current $I(t)$ and kinetic energy density $E_k(t)/L$ for parameters as in Fig. [3](#page-2-3), for different fields $F/\pi = 0.02{\text -}0.4$. Shown also are the results for NI fermions.

during the evolution (see Fig. [4](#page-3-14)). On the contrary, the kinetic energy remains negative in the weak-field regime.

Still, for sufficiently short times of driving $t > 0$ the system's state is almost the initial one, i.e., $\rho(t) \approx \rho(0)$, and the changes of $I(t)$ and $E_k(t)$ come from their explicit flux dependencies in Eqs. ([1\)](#page-0-0) and [\(2](#page-1-5)). Then, one can easily find from Eqs. [\(4\)](#page-1-0) and [\(5](#page-1-1)) that $[E_k(t)]^2 + [LI(t)]^2$
 $[E_l(0)]^2$ holds independently of H₂. It explains why t $[E_k(0)]^2$ holds independently of H_I . It explains, why the
initial response as well as the strong-field response of a initial response as well as the strong-field response of a correlated system are the same as the response of NI fermions [[15](#page-3-15)]. Moreover, assuming that the $E_k(t)$ and $I(t)$ do not cross the outer circle in Fig. [4](#page-3-14) (what holds true in all investigated cases), one finds that the magnitude of current is bounded from above by the initial kinetic energy.

In conclusion, for a generic, isolated system of tightbinding electrons we present a simple extension of the LR theory taking into account the change of kinetic energy. This approach gives a very satisfactory description of numerical results, at least for high enough T. Here, the basic condition is that in spite of (time-dependent) driving, the system at all times satisfies the quasiequilibrium relation between the kinetic energy $E_k(t)$ and the total energy $E(t)$. It seems plausible that the necessary condition for such a development is (fast enough) relaxation of current, here due to the umklapp processes. It remains to be investigated whether a similar behavior applies to interacting systems beyond the tight-binding description [when, e.g., the sum rule for $\sigma(\omega)$ is not directly E_k] or in low T regime. In the latter case the linear dependence $\dot{E}_k(t) \propto \dot{E}(t)$ should probably be replaced with a more general one $E(t)$ should probably be replaced with a more general one $E_k(t) = E_k[E(t)].$
On the other h

On the other hand, integrable systems show strikingly different behavior. To first approximation the current $I(t)$ under constant driving reveals oscillations with the dominant dependence only on the flux $\phi(t)$. While at large $F > F^*$ this has clear connection to the Bloch oscillations of tight-binding electrons with characteristic $\omega \sim \omega_B$, the effective ω is increasing for lower $F \leq F^*$ while at the same time oscillations are becoming damped (in fact the damping is found to be strongest at $F \sim F^*$). One part of this phenomena, in particular, the damping, can be attributed to the breaking of integrability by introducing timedependent $\phi(t)$. Oscillations themselves in the low-field
regime are the signature of a coherent behavior which regime are the signature of a coherent behavior which has to be intimately related to finite charge stiffness $D(T > 0) > 0$ since they disappear outside the metallic regime, e.g., for $V > 2t_h$ at half-filing $n = 1/2$. Alternatively, if the field is switched off in this regime before reaching $E_k \sim 0$ then the current relaxes to a finite $I(t = \infty) > 0$ being a direct consequence of $D(T > 0) > 0$. In any case, the observed phenomena reveal that even in weak fields the response of (near) integrable systems is far from the one expected from the equilibrium LR theory, but at the same time quite universal representing an open challenge for a proper explanation and also possible experimental observation.

We acknowledge discussions with J. Bonča and X. Zotos as well as a support from P1-0044 (Slovenian Research Agency) and FP6-032980-2 NOVMAG projects.

- [1] T. Ogasawara *et al.*, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.2204) **85**, 2204 (2000); A. L. Cavalieri et al., [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature06229) 449, 1029 (2007); L. Perfetti et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 97[, 067402 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.067402).
- [2] L. M. K. Vandersypen, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1815041) 85, 4394 (2004).
- [3] M. Greiner et al., [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature00968) 419, 51 (2002); S. Trotzky et al., Science 319[, 295 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1150841).
- [4] P. Barmettler, New J. Phys. **12**[, 055017 \(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/5/055017).
- [5] T. Oka, R. Arita, and H. Aoki, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.066406) 91, 066406 [\(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.066406).
- [6] S. R. White and A. E. Feiguin, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.076401) 93, 076401 [\(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.076401); U. Schollwöck, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.77.259) 77, 259 (2005); A. Branschädel et al., [arXiv:1004.4178v1](http://arXiv.org/abs/1004.4178v1).
- [7] J. K. Freericks, V. M. Turkowski, and V. Zlatić, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.266408) Lett. 97[, 266408 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.266408).
- [8] S. Weiss et al., Phys. Rev. B 77[, 195316 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.195316); F. Heidrich-Meisner, A. E. Feiguin, and E. Dagotto, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.235336) Rev. B 79[, 235336 \(2009\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.235336) E. Boulat, H. Saleur, and P. Schmitteckert, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101[, 140601 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.140601); P. Schmitteckert, Phys. Rev. B 70[, 121302\(R\) \(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.70.121302).
- [9] K. Yonemitsu, N. Maeshima, and T. Hasegawa, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.235118) B 76[, 235118 \(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.235118); N. Sugimoto, S. Onoda, and N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. B 78[, 155104 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.155104); A. Takahashi, H. Itoh, and M. Aihara, Phys. Rev. B 77[, 205105 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.205105)
- [10] X. Zotos, [arXiv:1004.4353.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1004.4353)
- [11] M. Eckstein and M. Kollar, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.205119)* 78, 205119 [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.205119).
- [12] X. Zotos and P. Prelovšek, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.53.983) 53, 983 (1996).
- [13] M. W. Long et al., Phys. Rev. B 68[, 235106 \(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.68.235106).
- [14] T.J. Park and J.C. Light, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.451548) 85, 5870 (1986).
- [15] M. Mierzejewski et al., [J. Phys. Condens. Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/22/24/245301) 22, [245301 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/22/24/245301)