Prolate-Spherical Shape Coexistence at $N = 28$ in ⁴⁴S

C. Force,¹ S. Grévy,¹ L. Gaudefroy,² O. Sorlin,¹ L. Cáceres,¹ F. Rotaru,³ J. Mrazek,⁴ N. L. Achouri,⁵ J. C. Angélique,⁵

F. Azaiez,⁶ B. Bastin,⁵ R. Borcea,³ A. Buta,³ J. M. Daugas,² Z. Dlouhy,⁴ Zs. Dombrádi,⁷ F. De Oliveira,¹ F. Negoita,³

Y. Penionzhkevich,⁸ M. G. Saint-Laurent,¹ D. Sohler,⁷ M. Stanoiu,³ I. Stefan,¹ C. Stodel,¹ and F. Nowacki⁹

¹Grand Accélérateur National d'Ions Lours (GANIL), CEA/DSM-CNRS/IN2P3, Caen, France

 2 CEA,DAM,DIF, F-91297 Arpajon, France

 3 Institute of Atomic Physics, IFIN-HH, Bucharest-Magurele, P.O. Box MG6, Romania

 4 Nuclear Physics Institute, AS CR, CZ-25068 Rez, Czech Republic

 5 LPC Caen, ENSICAEN, Université de Caen, CNRS/IN2P3, Caen, France

⁶IPNO, Université Paris-Sud 11, CNRS/IN2P3, Orsay, France

 η Institute of Nuclear Research, H-4001 Debrecen, Pf.51, Hungary

⁸FLNR, JINR, 141980 Dubna, Moscow region, Russia

 9 IPHC, CNRS/IN2P3 and Université de Strasbourg, F-67037 Stasbourg Cedex 2, France

(Received 25 May 2010; published 1 September 2010)

The structure of $44S$ has been studied by using delayed γ and electron spectroscopy. The decay rates of the 0^+_2 isomeric state to the 2^+_1 and 0^+_1 states, measured for the first time, lead to a reduced transition
probability $R(E2, 2^+ \rightarrow 0^+) = 8.4(26)$ e^2 fm⁴ and a monopole strapsth, $e^2(E0, 0^+ \rightarrow 0^+) = 8.7(7)$ × probability $B(E2: 2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_2) = 8.4(26) e^2$ fm⁴ and a monopole strength $\rho^2(E0: 0^+_2 \rightarrow 0^+_1) = 8.7(7) \times 10^{-3}$. Comparisons to shall model calculations point towards prolate spherical shape consistance, and a 10^{-3} . Comparisons to shell model calculations point towards prolate-spherical shape coexistence, and a two level mixing model is used to extract a weak mixing between the two configurations. two-level mixing model is used to extract a weak mixing between the two configurations.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.102501](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.102501) PACS numbers: 23.20.Lv, 25.70.Mn, 27.40.+z, 29.30.Kv

''Magic'' nuclei exhibit large gaps between the occupied and valence orbits. They are cornerstones of the nuclear structure, being used (i) to test our understanding of the nuclear forces which form these gaps and (ii) to model more complicated systems having many valence nucleons. While nuclei having 8 and 20 protons (or neutrons) can be reproduced by modeling the atomic nucleus with an harmonic oscillator potential, a spin-orbit interaction must be added to describe heavier magic nuclei. This spin-orbit interaction strongly binds nucleons having their angular momenta ℓ aligned with their intrinsic spin value s, denoted as ℓ_1 . This leads throughout the chart of nuclei to a regular sequence of orbits ℓ_1 , $(\ell - 2)_1$, $(\ell - 2)_1$, ℓ_1 , with the so-called large spin-orbit gaps 14, 28, 50, 82, and with the so-called large spin-orbit gaps 14, 28, 50, 82, and 126 between the lowered ℓ_1 orbit ($\ell = 2, 3, 4, 5$, and 6) and the others. Generally, in particular at the stability, these gaps are large enough to prevent excitations, and these magic nuclei are spherical. However, as the orbits forming the gap are separated by two units of angular momentum, quadrupole excitations are likely to develop if the shell gap is reduced. In this hypothesis, the development of quadrupole excitations jeopardizes the rigidity of the spherical gap and conduct the nucleus to deform. Consequently, the doubly magic nuclei which have proton and neutron spin-orbit shell closures could become vulnerable to quadrupole excitations, as both protons and neutrons could act coherently to deform the nucleus. So far, the prototypical deformed nucleus composed of such a double spin-orbit shell closure is $^{42}_{14}Si_{28}$ [\[1](#page-3-0)]. At $N = 28$ a gradual development of deformation occurs between the gradual development of deformation occurs between the spherical $^{48}_{20}Ca_{28}$ and the deformed $^{42}_{14}Si_{28}$. In between

these two extremes, i.e., in $^{44}_{16}S_{28}$, competition between
spherical and deformed shapes is expected to be present spherical and deformed shapes is expected to be present, leading to shape coexistence [\[2–](#page-3-1)[4](#page-3-2)]. Depending on the strength of the quadrupole correlations, either the spherical normal configuration or the deformed one becomes the ground state while the other configuration forms a lowlying 0^+_2 state. Therefore, the discovery and characteriza-
tion of this 0^+ state in ⁴⁴S_n represent crucial information tion of this 0^+_2 state in ⁴⁴S₂₈ represent crucial information
for understanding the evolution of the $N = 28$ shell gan for understanding the evolution of the $N = 28$ shell gap. The nonspherical nature of the $44S$ ground state was suggested by its short β half-life and weak neutrondelayed emission probability [[5](#page-3-3)], the low energy of the 2^{+}_{1} state [1297(18) keV], and the enhanced reduced tran-
sition probability $R(F2, 2^{+} \rightarrow 0^{+})$ of 63(18) e^{2} fm⁴ [6] sition probability $B(E2: 2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1)$ of 63(18) e^2 fm⁴ [[6\]](#page-3-4).
However, the $F(2^+)$ and $B(F2)$ values are intermediate However, the $E(2^+_1)$ and $B(E2)$ values are intermediate
between a rigid rotor and a spherical nucleus. It suggests a between a rigid rotor and a spherical nucleus. It suggests a possible mixing of spherical and deformed shapes which can be deduced by studying the properties of the $0₂^+$ isomer at 1365(1) keV observed in Ref. [\[7\]](#page-3-5). Already the study of a $7/2^-$ isomer in ⁴³S [\[8,](#page-3-6)[9](#page-3-7)] has shed light on shape
coexistence in the $N \approx 28$ region. Other cases of shape coexistence in the $N \approx 28$ region. Other cases of shape coexistence around shell closures have been reported in Refs. [[10](#page-3-8),[11](#page-3-9)].

The present Letter reports on the determination of the monopole strength $\rho^2(E0: 0^+_2 \rightarrow 0^+_1)$ and the reduced tran-
sition probability $B(E2: 2^+ \rightarrow 0^+)$ in ⁴⁴S, extracted from sition probability $B(E2: 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+)$ in ⁴⁴S, extracted from
the measurement of the half-life and the branching ratio the measurement of the half-life and the branching ratio between the E0 and E2 decay of the isomeric $0₂⁺$ state.
These pieces of information were obtained by using com-These pieces of information were obtained by using combined γ and electron-delayed spectroscopy and are used to demonstrate the shape coexistence in 44S.

The experiment was carried out at the GANIL facility. A primary beam of ⁴⁸Ca at 60 A \cdot MeV ($I \sim 2 e \mu$ A) impinged onto a 138 mg/cm² Be target to produce neutronrich fragments separated by the LISE3 spectrometer [\[12\]](#page-3-10) using an achromatic 100 mg/cm² Be degrader. The ^{44}S nuclei were produced at a rate of 200 sec⁻¹ $(\Delta p/p = +1.45\%)$ Fragments were identified by means of their \pm 1.45%). Fragments were identified by means of their energy loss and magnetic rigidity $(B\rho)$ values. The $B\rho$ was obtained from the position of the fragments at the dispersive focal plane given by a multiwire proportional chamber (CAVIAR) [\[13](#page-3-11)]. The selected nuclei were implanted in a 125 μ m-thick kapton foil tilted at 20 $^{\circ}$ with respect to the beam axis. Before the foil, a stack of Si detectors, including a XY position-sensitive one, was used to adjust the implantation depth and to reconstruct the position of the ions in a plane perpendicular to the beam axis. A thick Si detector located downstream from the implantation foil was used as a veto. Electrons were detected in four cooled 45×45 mm², 4 mm thick Si(Li) detectors, placed 20 mm above and below the beam axis. The γ rays were measured by two clover Ge detectors (EXOGAM) located on the side of the implantation foil, at a distance of 25 mm to the beam axis. The use of a parallel beam optics enables us to derive the ion implantation profile on the kapton foil from the XY Si detector. This ion profile, the geometry of the detectors, and that of the chamber were used as ingredients in a GEANT4 simulation to derive the electron (ϵ_{e^-}) and $\gamma(\epsilon_{\gamma})$ efficiencies. The simulated efficiencies compare well with the ones obtained with calibrated sources of 207Bi and 152Eu placed in calibration runs at 6 different positions on the implantation foil. Using these comparisons, ϵ_{γ} = 3.06(5)% and $\epsilon_{e^-} = 13.3(6)$ % were adopted for a γ ray of 1329 keV and an electron of 1362.5 keV respectively [14] 1329 keV and an electron of 1362.5 keV, respectively [[14](#page-3-12)].

The decay of the $0₂⁺$ to the ground state (E0) proceeds
cough the emission of an internal conversion electron through the emission of an internal conversion electron (IC) and by internal pair formation (IPF). The electron spectrum is shown in Fig. [1](#page-1-0). A single peak is observed at

FIG. 1 (color online). Electron energy spectrum obtained from the Si(Li) detectors. The peak at 1362.5(10) keV corresponds to the $0^+_2 \rightarrow 0^+_1$ E0 transition. The low energy part is due to pair creation Inset: Time distribution of the 1362.5 keV electron neak creation. Inset: Time distribution of the 1362.5 keV electron peak from which a half-life of 2.619(26) μ sec is extracted.

1362.5(10) keV corresponding to an excitation energy of 1365(1) keV for the $0₂⁺$ state, after having corrected for
the binding energy of the K electrons. The integral of the the binding energy of the K electrons. The integral of the peak is I_{e^-} $I_{\text{IC}}(E0) = 148(8) \times 10^3$. The low energy part of the spectrum is well accounted for by the IPF mechanism in which electrons and positrons share an energy of 343 keV. The fit of the electron time distribution (inset in Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0) leads to a half-life of 2.619(26) μ sec, which agrees with the value of 2.3(5) μ sec reported in Ref. [\[7](#page-3-5)].

The $0^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1$ decay branch occurs through a strongly
nyerted E2 transition at 36(1) keV an energy below the converted $E2$ transition at 36(1) keV, an energy below the experimental threshold of the detection system. The energy of this unobserved transition is derived from the measured energies of the 0^+_2 and 2^+_1 states, the latter being obtained
from the observation of a delayed $2^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ transition at from the observation of a delayed $2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1$ transition at 1329.0(5) keV Ibalf-life of 2.66(23) uses in agreement 1329.0(5) keV [half-life of 2.66(23) μ sec, in agreement with the value obtained from the electron spectrum] which follows the $0^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1$ decay. The 1329 keV energy agrees
with the value of 1297(18) found in Ref. [6]. The vield of with the value of 1297(18) found in Ref. [[6\]](#page-3-4). The yield of the $0^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1$ transition, $I_\gamma(E2)$, has been extracted from
the number of delayed $2^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ as rays. This transition is the number of delayed $2^{+}_{1} \rightarrow 0^{+}_{1}$ γ rays. This transition is
contaminated by the 1332.5 keV γ ray of ⁶⁰Co arising from contaminated by the 1332.5 keV γ ray of ⁶⁰Co arising from the activation of the last selection slits of the spectrometer, which also produce in cascade a 1173 keV γ ray (inset in Fig. [2\)](#page-1-1). The intensity of the 1329 keV peak has been obtained by a fit with two Gaussians, the intensity of the 1332.5 keV transition being constrained by that of the 1173 keV γ ray. The resulting $I_{\gamma}(E2)$ is 56(3) \times 10³.

The decay of the $0₂⁺$ state occurs through E2 and E0 nsitions the ratio of which is expressed as transitions, the ratio of which is expressed as

$$
R = \frac{\lambda(E2)}{\lambda(E0)} = \frac{I_{\gamma}(E2)}{I_{e_{\text{IC}}} (E0)} \frac{1 + \alpha_{\text{conv}}(2_1^+ \to 0_1^+)}{1 + \frac{\Omega_{\text{PF}}}{\Omega_{\text{IC}}}}.
$$
 (1)

In this expression, the electronic factors for IPF and IC have been extrapolated for a nucleus with $A = 44$ from Refs. [\[15–](#page-3-13)[17\]](#page-3-14) to be $\Omega_{\text{IPF}} = 1.495 \times 10^7 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ and

FIG. 2 (color online). Part of the delayed gamma energy spectrum. Peaks from the β decay of ⁴⁴K (1158 keV) and 60° Co (1173 and 1332.5 keV) are identified, the latter overlapping with the 1329 keV $2^{+}_{1} \rightarrow 0^{+}_{1}$ transition of ⁴⁴S. The deconvolution of this doublet is shown in the inset of this doublet is shown in the inset.

TABLE I. Experimental and shell model values for the excitation energies, in MeV, and reduced transition probabilities $B(E2)$, in e^2 fm⁴, of ⁴⁴S.

E/B(E2)	2^{+}	0^{+}_{2}		$2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1$ $2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_2$	
Exp. SM	1.172	1.137	$1.329(1)$ $1.365(1)$ $2.335(39)$ 2.140	63(18) 75	8.4(26)

 10^{-5} has been taken for the conversion coefficient
 α $(2^{+} \rightarrow 0^{+})$ [18] By using the experimental values $_{IC}$ = 1.1125 \times 10⁷ sec⁻¹, respectively. A value of 3.6 \times
 $_{I}^{-5}$ has been taken for the conversion coefficient $\alpha_{\text{conv}}(2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ [\[18\]](#page-3-15). By using the experimental values
of $I_-(F0)$ and $I_-(F2)$ derived above the resulting branchof I_{e^-} $I_C(E0)$ and $I_V(E2)$ derived above, the resulting branching ratio is $R = 0.163(13)$. The $\rho^2(E0: 0^+_2 \rightarrow 0^+_1)$ and $R(E2: 2^+ \rightarrow 0^+)$ values are obtained by using the follow- $B(E2: 2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_2)$ values are obtained by using the following equations:

$$
\rho^2(E0) = \frac{\ln(2)}{T_{1/2}(0_2^+)(1+R)(\Omega_{\text{IPF}} + \Omega_{\text{IC}})},\tag{2}
$$

$$
B(E2) = \frac{5.65 \times 10^{-10}}{5E_{\gamma}^{5}T_{1/2}(1 + \frac{1}{R})[1 + \alpha_{\text{conv}}(0_{2}^{+} \rightarrow 2_{1}^{+})]}.
$$
 (3)

By using the measured branching ratio R , the half-life value $T_{1/2}(0_2^+)$, and $\alpha_{\text{conv}}(0_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+) = 10.94(1)$ extrapo-
lated from Ref. [18] the monopole strength $a^2(F0: 0^+ \rightarrow$ lated from Ref. [[18](#page-3-15)], the monopole strength $\rho^2(E0: 0^+ \rightarrow 0^+)$ and the reduced transition probability $R(E2: 2^+ \rightarrow 0^+)$ 0^+) and the reduced transition probability $B(E2: 2^+$ \rightarrow 0⁺) have been determined to be $8.7(7) \times 10^{-3}$ and $0^{\frac{1}{2}}$) have been determined to be $8.7(7) \times 10^{-3}$ and $8.4(26)$ e^2 fm⁴ respectively 8.4(26) e^2 fm⁴, respectively.

The values of $E(0_2^+) = 1365(1)$ keV and $\rho^2(E0) = 7(7) \times 10^{-3}$ are the smallest measured in this mass $8.7(7) \times 10^{-3}$ are the smallest measured in this mass
region pointing to a weak mixing between the 0⁺ ground region, pointing to a weak mixing between the 0^+_1 ground
state and the 0^+ isomer and therefore to shape coexistence state and the 0^+_2 isomer and therefore to shape coexistence.
In the case of a large mixing, these states would repel each In the case of a large mixing, these states would repel each other to exhibit a large energy spacing and a larger $\rho^2(E0)$
value. To obtain further understanding on the nature of value. To obtain further understanding on the nature of the shape coexistence, data are compared to shell model calculations.

Shell model (SM) calculations have been performed for $^{44}_{16}$ S₂₈ by using the ANTOINE code [[19](#page-3-16)] and the SDPF-U
interaction that accounts remarkably well for the nuclear interaction that accounts remarkably well for the nuclear structure in this region [\[20\]](#page-3-17). The full $sd(fp)$ valence space has been used for protons (neutrons) with standard effective charges $e_{\pi} = 1.35e$ ($e_{\nu} = 0.35e$). The results gathered in Table [I](#page-2-0) show good agreement with the experimental values; the only exception is a somewhat larger calculated $B(E2: 2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_2)$ value than measured. Nevertheless, both experiment and calculation agree with the fact that the 2^+ experiment and calculation agree with the fact that the $2₁⁺$ state connects much strongly with the 0^+_1 state than with the 0^+ one. Indeed, the experimental $R(F2, 2^+ \rightarrow$ the 0^+_2 one. Indeed, the experimental $B(E2: 2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+)/B(E2: 2^+ \rightarrow 0^+)$ ratio is 7.5 whereas the calculated 0^+_1)/ $\overline{B(E2: 2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_2)}$ ratio is 7.5, whereas the calculated
one is 3.2. Calculated excited states connected to these two one is 3.2. Calculated excited states connected to these two $0⁺$ states are presented in Fig. [3](#page-2-1) with their intrinsic quadrupole moments Q_0 . For the sake of clarity, only the states of present interest are shown in this picture. Remarkable is the presence of $2_1^+, 4_2^+,$ and 6_2^+ states on top of the 0_1^+
oround state connected by large $R(F2)$ values and having ground state connected by large $B(E2)$ values and having

FIG. 3. 44S level scheme calculated within the present SM approach (left) compared with available experimental data (right). E2 transition probabilities (in e^2 fm⁴) are reported on top of black arrows, and intrinsic quadrupole moments (in $e \text{ fm}^2$) are shown in light gray on the right side of calculated levels. The ground state of the nucleus is head of a rotational band ($\beta \approx 0.25$) and coexists with the rather spherical low-lying $0₂^+$ isomer. Calculated values of the $N = 28$ gap and correlation
energies (in MeV) are given for even-even $N = 28$ isotones energies (in MeV) are given for even-even $N = 28$ isotones.

equal Q_0 values of about 60 e fm². These two features characterize a rotational band of an axially deformed nucleus with $\beta \approx 0.25$. The 2^+_2 state at 2.14 MeV has a smaller $Q_2 = -0.3$ e fm² compatible, with a spherical smaller $Q_0 = -0.3$ e fm² compatible with a spherical
shape. A candidate for the 2^+ state is proposed at shape. A candidate for the 2^+_2 state is proposed at $2335(39)$ keV by placing the previously reported 2335(39) keV by placing the previously reported 988 keV transition [\[21\]](#page-3-18) on top of the 0^+_2 or 2^+_1 state.
Hence SM calculations suggest a prolate-spherical shape Hence, SM calculations suggest a prolate-spherical shape coexistence in 44S.

A detailed analysis of the components contributing to the total energy of the 0^+ states has been performed in order to deepen our understanding of the evolution of the collectivity from $^{48}_{20}$ Ca to $^{42}_{14}$ Si. Within the SM framework,
the total Hamiltonian can be separated into its monopole the total Hamiltonian can be separated into its monopole (i.e., spherical mean-field contribution) and multipole (i.e., correlations mainly of pairing and quadrupole type) parts [[22\]](#page-3-19). As can be seen from the values reported in Fig. [3](#page-2-1), correlations strongly increase from the doubly magic ⁴⁸Ca (\simeq 2 MeV) down to the ⁴²Si (\simeq 18 MeV), while the size of the $N = 28$ shell gap gets slightly reduced [\[23\]](#page-3-20). This increase of correlations is favored, on one hand, by neutron quadrupole excitations across the $N = 28$ gap between the $f_{7/2}$ and $p_{3/2}$ orbits [\[23\]](#page-3-20) and, on the other hand, by the degeneracy of proton $s_{1/2}$ and $d_{3/2}$ orbits and excitations from the $d_{5/2}$ shell [\[1](#page-3-0)[,24–](#page-3-21)[26](#page-3-22)]. In both cases, quadrupole correlations are favored by the fact that occupied and valence states are separated by two units of angular momentum. Without considering multipole contributions to the 0_1^+ and 0_2^+ states in $44S$, both levels are found to be quasidegenerate in energy and the ground state found to be quasidegenerate in energy, and the ground state of 44S is spherical. A gain of 1.5 MeV from the multipole energy brings the deformed configuration at the minimum of binding energy, while the spherical configuration corresponds to the excited state. Similar multipole effects energetically favor the oblate 0^+ state in ⁴²Si which is predicted to coexist with a prolate 0^+ state [\[20](#page-3-17)] at 1293 keV.

The SM calculation uses an harmonic oscillator basis for the description of the atomic nucleus and the calculated ^E0 transition between states of the same harmonic oscillator shells, as for protons in the sd shells and neutron in the fp shells, is strictly zero. Therefore, in order to shed light on the amount of mixing between the $0^{+2}_{1,2}$ states
and to deduce their shape before mixing, we use a phe and to deduce their shape before mixing, we use a phenomenological two interacting levels model. We assume two spherical (S) and deformed (D) states before mixing which interact to produce 0^{+}_{1} and 0^{+}_{2} states defined as $|0_{1}\rangle = \cos\theta |0_{2}\rangle + \sin\theta |0_{2}\rangle$ and $|0_{3}\rangle = -\sin\theta |0_{2}\rangle + \sin\theta |0_{3}\rangle$ $|0_1\rangle = \cos\theta |0_0\rangle + \sin\theta |0_3\rangle$ and $|\tilde{0}_2\rangle = -\sin\theta$
cos $\theta |0_2\rangle$ where θ is the mixing angle. The *F*2 tra $|0_1\rangle = \cos\theta |0_D\rangle + \sin\theta |0_S\rangle$ and $|0_2\rangle = -\sin\theta |0_D\rangle + \cos\theta |0_S\rangle$, where θ is the mixing angle. The E2 transition between the 2^+ and 0^+ (or 0^+) states being mainly due to between the 2_1^+ and 0_2^+ (or 0_1^+) states being mainly due to the D component of these 0^+ states it follows that the D component of these 0^+ states, it follows that $B(E2: 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+)/B(E2: 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+) \sim \tan^2(\theta)$ [Eq. (2) of
[27] A mixing amplitude $\tan^2(\theta) = 0.13$ is deduced from [\[27\]](#page-3-23)]. A mixing amplitude $\tan^2(\theta) = 0.13$ is deduced from
the experimental $R(F2)$ values, whereas the shell model the experimental $B(E2)$ values, whereas the shell model gives a somewhat larger value of 0.24, both being smaller than the case of a maximum mixing $[\tan^2(\theta) = 1]$.
Therefore the shape coexistence is found to be more Therefore, the shape coexistence is found to be more pronounced experimentally than calculated by the SM. The magnitude of the monopole matrix element can be written as a function of the mixing amplitude and of the difference of shapes β_S and β_D before mixing [\[28\]](#page-3-24): $\rho^2(E0) = (3Ze/4\pi)^2 \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta (\beta_D^2 - \beta_S^2)^2$. By using
the experimental value of $\tan^2(\theta)$ the experimental α^2 is the experimental value of $tan^2(\theta)$, the experimental ρ^2 is
reproduced only when $\beta_0 \approx 0.274$ and $\beta_0 = 0$ are asreproduced only when $\beta_D \approx 0.274$ and $\beta_S = 0$ are assumed. The deformation parameter β_D is in close agreement with the values obtained after mixing from Coulomb excitation experiment [\[6\]](#page-3-4), $\beta = 0.258(36)$, and from the SM calculations, $\beta = 0.25$. Altogether these values again point towards a deformed-spherical shape coexistence in 44S.

In summary, electron and γ delayed spectroscopy has been used to determine the monopole strength $\rho^2(E0: 0^+_2 \rightarrow 0^+_1) = 8.7(7) \times 10^{-3}$ and the reduced transition probability $R(F2: 2^+ \rightarrow 0^+) = 8.4(26) e^2 \text{ fm}^4$ in sition probability $B(E2: 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+) = 8.4(26) e^2 \text{ fm}^4$ in the 44S, nucleus By using these values the earlier meathe $^{44}_{16}S_{28}$ nucleus. By using these values, the earlier mea-
sured $B(F2: 2^+ \rightarrow 0^+)$ [6] shell model calculations and a sured $\overline{B}(E2: 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ [[6\]](#page-3-4), shell model calculations, and a two-level mixing model it is found that ⁴⁴S exhibit a shape two-level mixing model, it is found that ⁴⁴S exhibit a shape coexistence between a prolate ground state ($\beta \approx 0.25$) and a rather spherical 0^+_2 state. This establishes how the onset
of collectivity progressively develops between the spheriof collectivity progressively develops between the spherical $^{48}_{20}$ Ca and the deformed $^{42}_{14}$ Si nuclei. This study com-
pletes uniquely the understanding of the shell-breaking pletes uniquely the understanding of the shell-breaking mechanism at the spin-orbit closed-shell $N = 28$, which is as well of importance for the evolution of other shell gaps having the same origin.

We are grateful to the GANIL staff and the LISE team for support. We acknowledge P. Van Isaker for fruitful discussions. This work was supported by CNCSIS-UEFISCSU, Project No. PNII-IDEI 933/2007, Academy of Sciences of Czech Republic, and by the European Community through OTKA K68801.

- [1] B. Bastin et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 99[, 022503 \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.022503)
- [2] T.R. Werner et al., Nucl. Phys. A597[, 327 \(1996\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(95)00476-9); P.-G. Reinhard et al., Phys. Rev. C 60[, 014316 \(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.60.014316); G. A. Lalazissis et al., Phys. Rev. C 60[, 014310 \(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.60.014310); R. Rodriguez-Guzman, J. L. Egido, and L. M. Robledo, Phys. Rev. C 65[, 024304 \(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.65.024304).
- [3] S. Péru, M. Girod, and J. F. Berger, [Eur. Phys. J. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s100500070053) 9, 35 [\(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s100500070053).
- [4] E. Caurier, F. Nowacki and A. Poves, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2004.06.032) A742, [14 \(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2004.06.032)
- [5] O. Sorlin *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C* 47[, 2941 \(1993\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.47.2941).
- [6] T. Glasmacher *et al.*, *[Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(97)00077-4)* **395**, 163 (1997).
- [7] S. Grévy et al., [Eur. Phys. J. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjad/i2005-06-179-8) 25, 111 (2005).
- [8] F. Sarazin et al., [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.5062) **84**, 5062 (2000).
- [9] L. Gaudefroy et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**[, 092501 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.092501)
- [10] S. Shimoura et al., [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(03)00341-1) 560, 31 (2003); 654[, 87](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2007.08.053) [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2007.08.053).
- [11] W. Schwerdtfeger et al., [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.012501) 103, 012501 [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.012501).
- [12] R. Anne et al., [Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0168-9002(87)90741-8) 257[, 215 \(1987\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0168-9002(87)90741-8)
- [13] L. Perrot et al., in Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Heavy Ion Accelerator Technology, Venice, Italy, 2009 (unpublished), http://cern.ch/ AccelConf/HIAT2009/papers/g-02.pdf.
- [14] http://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/docs/00/43/01/25/PDF/ Manuscrit_These_final.pdf.
- [15] A. Passoja and T. Salonen, JYFL Report No. JYFL RR-2/ 86, 1986 (unpublished).
- [16] E.L. Church and J. Weneser, Phys. Rev. 103[, 1035 \(1956\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.103.1035)
- [17] D. H. Wilkinson et al., [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(69)90444-8) A133 1 (1969).
- [18] I.M. Band et al., [At. Data Nucl. Data Tables](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0092-640X(76)90013-9) 18, 433 [\(1976\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0092-640X(76)90013-9).
- [19] E. Caurier et al., [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.77.427) 77, 427 (2005).
- [20] F. Nowacki and A. Poves, Phys. Rev. C **79**[, 014310 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.79.014310)
- [21] D. Sohler *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C* **66**[, 054302 \(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.66.054302).
- [22] M. Dufour and A. P. Zuker, Phys. Rev. C **54**[, 1641 \(1996\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.54.1641)
- [23] L. Gaudefroy et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 99[, 099202 \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.099202)
- [24] L. A. Riley *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **78**[, 011303 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.78.011303)
- [25] L. Gaudefroy, Phys. Rev. C **81**[, 064329 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.81.064329)
- [26] M. De Rydt *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **81**[, 034308 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.81.034308)
- [27] H. Mach et al., [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(89)91646-8) 230, 21 (1989).
- [28] J.L. Wood et al., Nucl. Phys. **A651**[, 323 \(1999\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9474(99)00143-8)