Squeezed-Light Optical Magnetometry

Florian Wolfgramm, Alessandro Cere`, Federica A. Beduini, Ana Predojevic´, Marco Koschorreck, and Morgan W. Mitchell ICFO - Institut de Ciencies Fotoniques, Mediterranean Technology Park, 08860 Castelldefels (Barcelona), Spain

(Received 22 April 2010; revised manuscript received 15 June 2010; published 29 July 2010)

We demonstrate a light-shot-noise-limited magnetometer based on the Faraday effect in a hot unpolarized ensemble of rubidium atoms. By using off-resonant, polarization-squeezed probe light, we improve the sensitivity of the magnetometer by 3.2 dB. The technique could improve the sensitivity of the most advanced magnetometers and quantum nondemolition measurements of atomic spin ensembles.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.053601](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.053601) PACS numbers: 42.50.Lc, 07.55.Ge, 42.50.Dv, 42.65.Yj

Introduction.—The ability to measure magnetic fields with high sensitivity is a key requirement in many physical, biological, and medical applications. Examples can be found in the measurement of geomagnetic anomalies, magnetic fields in space as well as the measurement of biomagnetic fields such as the mapping of electric and magnetic fields produced in the brain [\[1–](#page-3-0)[4](#page-3-1)].

Optical magnetometers, based on optical readout of magnetic atomic ensembles, are currently the most sensitive devices. These instruments have demonstrated sensitive devices. These instruments have demonstrated sensitivities better than $1 fT/\sqrt{Hz}$, with rapid advancement in recent years $[5-8]$. Two distinct sources of quantum noise recent years [\[5](#page-3-2)–[8\]](#page-3-3). Two distinct sources of quantum noise determine the fundamental sensitivity of this technique: the atomic projection noise and the optical polarization noise, a manifestation of shot noise [[9](#page-3-4)[–12\]](#page-3-5). As today's most advanced magnetometers approach the standard quantum noise limits [\[13\]](#page-3-6) understanding these limits becomes critical for future advances [\[5\]](#page-3-2).

For magnetometers based on Faraday rotation and optimized for sensitivity, contributions from projection noise and light-shot noise are comparable [[7,](#page-3-7)[9\]](#page-3-4), and simultaneous reduction of both sources is advantageous. A pair of techniques for reducing these fundamental noise sources have been proposed, spin squeezing of the atomic ensemble [[14](#page-3-8),[15](#page-3-9)] and polarization squeezing of the probe light [\[9,](#page-3-4)[16\]](#page-3-10), with potential to reduce the noise to the Heisenberg limit [\[12\]](#page-3-5), except in the long-time regime where spin relaxation is limiting [\[9\]](#page-3-4). Recent experiments have demonstrated spin squeezing using optical quantum nondemolition (QND) measurements [[17](#page-3-11)[–19\]](#page-3-12) and application of spin squeezing in magnetometry [\[13\]](#page-3-6). We report here reduction of the other fundamental noise source in optical magnetometry: we demonstrate an optical magnetometer with sensitivity better than the shot-noise limit using a polarization-squeezed probe tuned near the atomic resonance.

We note that the QND measurements used to produce spin squeezing are performed by the same mechanism as the spin readout, and are themselves fundamentally limited by optical shot noise [[9](#page-3-4)[,11\]](#page-3-13). In that context, polarizationsqueezed probing implies a greater degree of spin squeezing. Ultimately, it will therefore be desirable to employ both techniques in the same experiment [[20](#page-3-14)].

The magnetometer consists of a source of polarizationsqueezed light, a rubidium vapor cell at room temperature, and a shot-noise-limited polarimeter. By the Faraday effect, an axial magnetic field creates a circular birefringence in the vapor. The resulting rotation of the polarization plane of a linearly polarized input beam is seen in the detected signal. This rotation is described in terms of the probe beam Stokes parameters $S_0 = I_H + I_V$, $S_x = I_H - I_V$, $S_y = I_D - I_{\bar{D}}$, $S_z = I_R - I_L$, where I are the intensities of the different polarization components $(H: horizontal, V:$ vertical, D: diagonal, D: antidiagonal, R: right circular, L: left circular). The detected signal is

$$
S_{y}^{(\text{out})} = S_{y}^{(\text{in})} + S_{x}(\mathcal{V}B_{z} + \alpha F_{z})l, \qquad (1)
$$

where $\mathcal V$ is the Verdet constant of the vapor, **B** is the magnetic field, α is proportional to the vector component of the atomic polarizability, F is the collective atomic spin, and l is the length of the medium. For a horizontally polarized probe beam, $\langle S_x \rangle$ is maximal and $\langle S_y^{(in)} \rangle$ is zero.
The magnetometer signal comes from the terms χ_R and The magnetometer signal comes from the terms γ_{B_z} and αF_z , the latter being sensitive to field-induced spin precession. Projection noise is present in F_z , while shot noise is present in $S_y^{(in)}$. We work in a regime where these
fundamental noise sources are dominant to show clearly fundamental noise sources are dominant, to show clearly the advantage of squeezed light for optical magnetometry.

In one usual mode of operation, a magnetometer operates via precession of a polarized spin, the initial polarization rotating into the z direction in response to the field, e.g., from x toward z due to B_y as $\langle F_z \rangle = |F| \mu_{0} g B_y \tau$, where g is the Landé factor, μ_0 is the Bohr magneton,
and τ is the precession time [7]. This gives a gain due to and τ is the precession time [\[7](#page-3-7)]. This gives a gain due to precession of $G_y = \partial S_y^{\text{(out)}} / \partial B_y = S_x \alpha \mu_0 g \tau |F| l$. Techni-
cal poise sources e.g. in the initial orientation of **F**, and cal noise sources, e.g., in the initial orientation of F, and environmental noise in **B** contribute to var (S_y) as G_y^2 , i.e., as $|F|^2$. Similarly, $G_z = \partial S_y^{\text{(out)}} / \partial B_z = S_x \hat{\mathcal{V}} l$, with associated technical noise. While important progress has been ciated technical noise. While important progress has been made toward reducing technical and environmental noise below the quantum noise [[7](#page-3-7),[13](#page-3-6)], this is far from trivial and we adopt the simpler strategy of reducing the gain by reducing $|F|$. We work with an unpolarized ensemble, i.e., a thermal distribution within the hyperfine and Zeeman levels, with $\langle \mathbf{F} \rangle = 0$. G_y , the gain due to precession and the associated technical noise are then zero, while G_z remains and we operate in the Faraday rotation mode.

The fundamental noise sources are largely unchanged in this mode of operation, and we can demonstrate shotnoise-limited performance under conditions that would be present in a highly-sensitive magnetometer with greatly reduced technical noise. The thermal distribution has intrinsic spin noise var $(F_z) = F(F + 1)N_A/3$, compared to $var(F_z) = |F|/2 = FN_A/2$ for an ideal polarized state [\[11\]](#page-3-13). In the experiment below, the light is tuned close to the transitions from the $F = 2$ manifold, which contains $5N_A/8$ atoms and for which $F(F + 1)/3 = 2$. The result-ing spin noise detected via the last term in Eq. ([1\)](#page-0-0) is \approx $5N_A/4$, versus $\approx N_A$ for a fully polarized $F = 2$ ensemble. The shot-noise contribution is unchanged. In this way, we can see the full effects of fundamental noise sources, but with a greatly reduced sensitivity.

Experimental setup.—The experimental setup is shown schematically in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) As principal light source we use an external-cavity diode laser at 794.7 nm, tunable over the D_1 transition of atomic rubidium. The frequency can be stabilized by FM saturated absorption spectroscopy to individual transitions of the D_1 line of Rb. The laser output passes through a tapered amplifier and is split in two parts: The weaker part is spatially filtered with a single-mode fiber and serves as local oscillator (LO) beam. The stronger part is frequency doubled to 397.4 nm and then sent through a single-mode fiber for mode-cleaning. After the fiber a power of 42 mW is used to pump a subthreshold optical parametric oscillator (OPO) in which squeezed vacuum is produced. The nonlinear medium in the OPO is a type-I phase-matched PPKTP crystal. The cavity is actively stabilized by using a frequency-shifted beam with

FIG. 1 (color online). Experimental apparatus. Rb cell, rubidium vapor cell with magnetic coil and magnetic shielding; OPO, optical parametric oscillator; PPKTP, phase-matched nonlinear crystal; LO, local oscillator beam; PBS, polarizing beam splitter; HWP, half-wave plate; SMF, single-mode fiber; PD, photodiode.

a polarization orthogonal to the polarization of the squeezed vacuum. Further details of the OPO setup can be found in [\[21](#page-3-15)].

The vertically-polarized cavity output is combined with the horizontally-polarized LO at a polarizing beam splitter (PBS1) with a degree of overlap of 99%. The resulting light is horizontally polarized, with squeezed fluctuations in the diagonal or circular polarization basis. The polarizationsqueezed light is then sent through a 15 cm-long atomic cell at room temperature. The isotopically purified atomic vapor contains $>99\%$ ⁸⁷Rb with a small concentration of ⁸⁵Rb. We lock the laser to the $5^{2}S_{1/2}(F = 3) \rightarrow$ $5^{2}P_{1/2}(F'=2)$ transition of the D_1 line of 85Rb. This corresponds to a detuning of about 700 MHz from the closest 87Rb resonance. The cell is contained within a single-layer μ -metal cylinder to shield external magnetic fields while a coil within the cylinder generates the desired fields while a coil within the cylinder generates the desired field B_z .

The optical rotation is detected by a shot-noise-limited polarimeter: after a half-wave plate at 22.5°, a polarizing beam splitter (PBS2) splits the horizontally and vertically polarized components of the beam and directs them to the two photodiodes of a balanced amplified photodetector with a quantum efficiency of 95%. The signal is monitored on a spectrum analyzer. Quantum noise locking is used to stabilize the phase of the local oscillator at maximum squeezing or anti-squeezing [\[22\]](#page-3-16).

Polarization squeezing.—We first characterize the polarization squeezing at the output of the vapor cell, in the absence of an applied magnetic field. The production of polarization squeezing is a phase-sensitive process, with the relative phase of the squeezed vacuum and local oscil-

FIG. 2 (color online). Polarization squeezing after the atomic vapor cell. Polarization noise power as the phase of the local oscillator is scanned. Center frequency 1 MHz, zero-span mode, $RBW = 30$ kHz, $VBW = 30$ Hz. Horizontal trace shows noise with a polarized (but not squeezed) probe, i.e., with OPO off, and is taken as the reference 0 dB. Oscillating trace shows noise with OPO on, including regions below the shot-noise level.

lator determining the angle of the polarization-squeezing ellipse in the S_y , S_z plane [\[23\]](#page-3-17). The polarization noise is detected with the spectrum analyzer as the LO phase is scanned with a piezo-electric actuator, giving rise to the squeezing trace shown in Fig. [2.](#page-1-1) The electronic noise is everywhere more than 13 dB below the shot-noise level and is subtracted from data. The squeezing level is consistent with squeezing we observed in other measurements that were carried out without the atomic cell. The minimum of the noise level in the squeezed phase is -3.6 dB below the shot-noise level and the maximum 7.4 dB above shot noise in the antisqueezed phase. To our knowledge this is the highest degree of squeezing obtained in a diodelaser-pumped system.

This measurement was performed at a central frequency of 1 MHz with zero span and a resolution bandwidth of 30 kHz, a video bandwidth of 30 Hz and a sweep time of 2 s. The total detection efficiency after creation is 82% and includes the escape efficiency (96%), the homodyne efficiency (98%), transmission through the atomic cell (97%) and the optical elements (95%), and the quantum efficiency of the detector (95%). The parametric gain, defined here as the ratio between the maximum transmission of a classical beam through the cavity with and without the presence of the copropagating pump beam was measured to be 4.8.

Squeezing-enhanced Faraday rotation measurement.— To measure the magnetometric sensitivity, we observe the Faraday rotation signal in response to an applied sinusoidal magnetic field at a frequency of 120 kHz. The sensitivity is measured with two different input polarization states: a coherent polarization state (OPO off) and a state squeezed

FIG. 3 (color online). Faraday rotation measurement. Power of the polarization signal as center frequency is scanned, $RBW =$ 3 kHz, $VBW = 30$ Hz. The (upper) black curve shows the applied magnetic signal at 120 kHz above the shot-noise background of a polarized (but not squeezed) probe. The (lower) green line depicts the same signal with polarization-squeezing. A zoomed view around the calibration peak at 120 kHz is shown in the inset.

in S_y . Quantum noise locking is used to stabilize the LO phase during the measurements. In both cases the average polarization is horizontal, due to the strong LO contribution, but the quantum fluctuations differ. As shown in Fig. [3](#page-2-0), the observed power spectrum in both cases shows the reference signal due to the applied oscillating magnetic field at 120 kHz above differing noise backgrounds. The LO beam has a power of $620 \mu W$ and a beam waist of $950 \mu m$ inside the vapor cell. For this intensity beam 950 μ m inside the vapor cell. For this intensity, beam
shape and detuning the magnetometer operates in a reshape, and detuning, the magnetometer operates in a regime of nonlinear magneto-optical rotation (NMOR) [\[7](#page-3-7)]. A small fraction of the atoms are optically pumped while passing through the linearly-polarized probe beam, creating coherences within the $F = 2$ manifold. Rotation of these coherences by the z-polarized magnetic field creates the conditions for alignment-to-orientation conversion [\[24–](#page-3-18)[26\]](#page-3-19), again by the probe beam. Measurements of rotation angle vs input power show a quadratic scaling consistent with this nonlinear mechanism. Unlike optical selfrotation [[27](#page-3-20),[28](#page-3-21)], this nonlinearity does not strongly couple optical noise into S_y , so long as the rotation angle remains small. The rotation angle was calculated to be $\phi = (I_1 I_2$)/ $(I_1 + I_2) = 1.2$ μ rad, where $I_{1,2}$ are the beam intensities at the two detectors. The spectrum analyzer fresities at the two detectors. The spectrum analyzer frequency is scanned from 80 kHz to 2 MHz, in a sweep time of 8 s. The resolution bandwidth and the video bandwidth were set to 3 kHz and 30 Hz, respectively, and the signal was averaged over 130 cycles.

The polarimeter signal was calibrated against a linear magnetic field sensor inserted within the coil and shielding, thus permitting a direct conversion from measured voltage to axial magnetic field B_z . The sensitivity, i.e., field noise density as measured with the spectrum analyzer, is $4.6 \times$ density as measured with the spectrum analyzer, is 4.6 \times 10⁻⁸ T/ \sqrt{Hz} for a polarized input, and reduced by 3.2 dB to 3.2 \times 10⁻⁸ T/ \sqrt{Hz} with a polarization squeezed input 10^{-8} T/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ for a polarized input, and reduced by 3.2 dB
to 3.2 \times 10^{-8} T/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ with a polarization-squeezed input. It should be noted that the squeezing extends over >2 MHz of bandwidth, allowing magnetic field measurements in the μ s regime with squeezing-enhanced sensitiv-
ity. This technique is thus also suitable to improve μ s-scale ity. This technique is thus also suitable to improve μ s-scale
OND measurements $[11]$ QND measurements [\[11](#page-3-13)].

Conclusions.—We have demonstrated the squeezingenhanced measurement of a magnetic field with a hot atomic vapor of 87Rb atoms. The measurement is shotnoise limited, and using a polarization-squeezed probe we improve the sensitivity 3.2 dB beyond the shot-noise level. This result complements recent demonstrations of spin squeezing to reduce spin projection noise, the other fundamental noise source in optical magnetometry. The squeezing-enhanced sensitivity extends over a bandwidth greater than 2 MHz, allowing high bandwidth, sub-shotnoise magnetometry. The demonstrated technique could be applied in advanced optical magnetometers and in μ s-scale QND measurements.

-We are grateful to M. Napolitano and R. J. Sewell for helpful discussions, and to E. S. Polzik for drawing our attention to Ref. [\[16](#page-3-10)]. This work was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation under the Consolider-Ingenio 2010 Project ''Quantum Optical Information Technologies'' and the ILUMA project (No. FIS2008-01051) and by an ICFO-OCE collaborative research program. F. W. and A. P. are supported by the Commission for Universities and Research of the Department of Innovation, Universities and Enterprises of the Catalan Government, and the European Social Fund.

- [1] W. F. Stuart, M. J. Usher, and S. H. Hall, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/202076a0) 202[, 76 \(1964\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/202076a0).
- [2] M. K. Dougherty, K. K. Khurana, F. M. Neubauer, C. T. Russell, J. Saur, J. S. Leisner, and M. E. Burton, [Science](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1120985) 311[, 1406 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1120985)
- [3] H. Xia, A. B. Baranga, D. Hoffman, and M. V. Romalis, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2392722) 89, 211104 (2006).
- [4] G. Bison, N. Castagna, A. Hofer, P. Knowles, J.-L. Schenker, M. Kasprzak, H. Saudan, and A. Weis, [Appl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3255041) Phys. Lett. 95[, 173701 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3255041)
- [5] I.K. Kominis, T.W. Kornack, J.C. Allred, and M.V. Romalis, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature01484) 422, 596 (2003).
- [6] I.M. Savukov, S.J. Seltzer, M.V. Romalis, and K.L. Sauer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95[, 063004 \(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.063004).
- [7] D. Budker and M. Romalis, [Nature Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys566) 3, 227 (2007).
- [8] G. Di Domenico, H. Saudan, G. Bison, P. Knowles, and A. Weis, Phys. Rev. A 76[, 023407 \(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.76.023407).
- [9] M. Auzinsh, D. Budker, D. F. Kimball, S. M. Rochester, J. E. Stalnaker, A. O. Sushkov, and V. V. Yashchuk, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.173002) Rev. Lett. 93[, 173002 \(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.173002).
- [10] I.K. Kominis, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**[, 073002 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.073002)
- [11] M. Koschorreck, M. Napolitano, B. Dubost, and M.W. Mitchell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104[, 093602 \(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.093602).
- [12] V. Shah, G. Vasilakis, and M. V. Romalis, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.013601) 104[, 013601 \(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.013601).
- [13] W. Wasilewski, K. Jensen, H. Krauter, J. J. Renema, M. V. Balabas, and E. S. Polzik, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.133601) 104, 133601 [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.133601).
- [14] A. Kuzmich, K. Mølmer, and E. S. Polzik, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.4782) 79[, 4782 \(1997\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.4782)
- [15] A. Kuzmich, N. P. Bigelow, and L. Mandel, [Europhys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/epl/i1998-00277-9) Lett. 42[, 481 \(1998\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/epl/i1998-00277-9)
- [16] D. V. Kupriyanov and I. M. Sokolov, [Quantum Opt.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-8998/4/1/006) 4, 55 [\(1992\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-8998/4/1/006).
- [17] P.J. Windpassinger, D. Oblak, U.B. Hoff, A. Louchet, J. Appel, N. Kjærgaard, and E. S. Polzik, [J. Mod. Opt.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09500340903033682) 56, [1993 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09500340903033682).
- [18] M. H. Schleier-Smith, I. D. Leroux, and V. Vuletić, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.073604) Rev. Lett. 104[, 073604 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.073604)
- [19] I.D. Leroux, M.H. Schleier-Smith, and V. Vuletić, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.073602) Rev. Lett. 104[, 073602 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.073602)
- [20] J. L. Sørensen, J. Hald, and E. S. Polzik, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.3487) 80[, 3487 \(1998\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.3487)
- [21] A. Predojević, Z. Zhai, J.M. Caballero, and M.W. Mitchell, Phys. Rev. A 78[, 063820 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.78.063820).
- [22] K. McKenzie, E. E. Mikhailov, K. Goda, P. K. Lam, N. Grosse, M. B. Gray, N. Mavalvala, and D. E. McClelland, J. Opt. B 7[, S421 \(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1464-4266/7/10/032)
- [23] W. P. Bowen, R. Schnabel, H.-A. Bachor, and P. K. Lam, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88[, 093601 \(2002\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.093601)
- [24] D. Budker, D.F. Kimball, S.M. Rochester, and V.V. Yashchuk, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.2088) 85, 2088 (2000).
- [25] D. Budker, W. Gawlik, D. F. Kimball, S. M. Rochester, V. V. Yashchuk, and A. Weis, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.74.1153) 74, 1153 [\(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.74.1153).
- [26] M. Lombardi, [J. Phys. \(Les Ulis, Fr.\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/jphys:01969003008-9063100) 30, 631 (1969).
- [27] M. Fleischhauer, A.B. Matsko, and M.O. Scully, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.62.013808) Rev. A 62[, 013808 \(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.62.013808).
- [28] J. Ries, B. Brezger, and A.I. Lvovsky, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.68.025801) 68, [025801 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.68.025801)