Masafumi Udagawa, Hiroaki Ishizuka, and Yukitoshi Motome

Department of Applied Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan (Received 18 February 2010; published 3 June 2010)

An exact solution is obtained for a model of itinerant electrons coupled to ice-rule variables on the tetrahedron Husimi cactus, an analogue of the Bethe lattice of corner-sharing tetrahedra. It reveals a quantum critical point with the emergence of non-Fermi-liquid behavior in melting of the ''charge ice'' insulator. The electronic structure is compared with the numerical results for the pyrochlore-lattice model to elucidate the physics of electron systems interacting with the tetrahedron ice rule.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.226405](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.226405) PACS numbers: 71.10.Fd, 71.10.Hf, 71.20.-b, 71.23.-k

The ice rule is a local constraint observed in a broad range of systems in condensed matter physics. It imposes a configurational constraint on two-state variables defined at neighboring four lattice sites so that two out of four are in the opposite state to the other two. The most well-known material is water ice, in which the two states correspond to the configuration of hydrogens [[1](#page-3-0),[2\]](#page-3-1). An analogy was drawn by Anderson in the cation ordering of $Fe²⁺$ and $Fe³⁺$ in magnetite Fe₃O₄ [\[3](#page-3-2)]. More recently, a magnetic analogue was found in several pyrochlore oxides, the socalled spin ice, such as $Ho_2Ti_2O_7$ [\[4](#page-3-3)] and $Dy_2Ti_2O_7$ [[5\]](#page-3-4).

The ice rule enforces local correlations; however, it is underconstraint and not enough to make the entire system ordered. The ground-state manifold retains macroscopic degeneracy, resulting in residual entropy [[2](#page-3-1)[,5\]](#page-3-4). Nevertheless, the ice-rule configuration is not completely disordered but cooperative in nature: There is a spatial powerlaw correlation in the two-state variables originating from a hidden gauge structure [[6](#page-3-5)]. Considerable progress on the understanding of such cooperative aspects has been made in the last decade through the study of spin ice [\[7](#page-3-6)].

In contrast to such ''localized spin physics,'' much less is known for the role of the ice rule in itinerant systems. It is intriguing to elucidate how the cooperative nature from the ice-rule constraint affects the electronic and transport properties. The issue will also be experimentally relevant to a wide range of pyrochlore-based compounds, such as mixed-valence compounds with a charge-ordering tendency [[8](#page-3-7)[–10\]](#page-3-8) and itinerant d-electron materials including Ising-like rare-earth moments [[11](#page-3-9),[12](#page-3-10)]. Only a few theoretical studies have been carried out so far [\[13](#page-3-11)[,14\]](#page-3-12).

In this Letter, we address this issue in one of the simplest models which describe fermions interacting with ice-rule variables, an extended Falicov-Kimball model. We obtain an exact solution to this model on the Husimi cactus of tetrahedra, i.e., an analogue of the Bethe lattice composed of corner-sharing tetrahedra. We clarify the ground-state phase diagram including a ''charge ice'' insulator in which the fermions are localized in the ice-rule configuration. The solution reveals that a non-Fermi-liquid behavior appears at a quantum critical point (QCP) where the charge ice melts as the interaction decreases. By comparison with the numerical results for the pyrochlore lattice, we show that our Husimi cactus model provides a cornerstone of itinerant ice-rule problems.

We start with the extended Falicov-Kimball model on the pyrochlore lattice [Fig. [1\(a\)](#page-0-0)],

$$
\mathcal{H} = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} (c_i^{\dagger} c_j + \text{H.c.}) + U \sum_i n_i^c \left(n_i^f - \frac{1}{2} \right) + V \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} n_i^f n_j^f,
$$
\n(1)

where the first term describes the hopping of spinless fermions c, the second term represents the on-site repulsion between spinless fermions and immobile particles f , and the last term is the repulsion between immobile particles. Here, $n_i^c = c_i^{\dagger} c_i$, $n_i^f = 0$ or 1 (*c* number), and the sum

FIG. 1 (color online). A typical ice-rule configuration for (a) a pyrochlore lattice and (b) a tetrahedron Husimi cactus (THC). The sites with $n_i^f = 1$ (0) are shown by filled (open) circles. An example of a loop and one-dimensional chain connecting the example of a loop and one-dimensional chain connecting the sites with $n_i^f = 1$ is shown by bold orange lines. (c) An apparently different ice-rule configuration obtained from (b) by interently different ice-rule configuration obtained from (b) by interchanging the upper right and lower right branches. (d) A branch of THC considered in the calculations of g in Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-0).

 $\langle i, j \rangle$ is taken over the nearest-neighbor sites. Hereafter, we focus on the case in which the immobile particles satisfy the ice rule (see Fig. $1(a)$). This is achieved by setting $\sum_i n_i^f = N/2$ (N is the total number of sites) and $V \to \infty$.
The partition function of the model is calculated by $Z =$

The partition function of the model is calculated by $Z =$ $Tr_f Tr_c \exp(-\beta \mathcal{H})$, where $Tr_f Tr_c$) is the trace over the immobile-particle (spinless-fermion) degree of freedom, and $\beta = 1/k_B T$ is the inverse temperature with the Boltzmann constant k_B . For a given configuration of immobile particle $\{n_i^f\}$, the Hamiltonian [\(1](#page-0-1)) is reduced to a one-body problem given by one-body problem given by

$$
\mathcal{H}\left(\{n_i^f\}\right) = -t\sum_{\langle i,j\rangle} (c_i^{\dagger} c_j + \text{H.c.}) + \sum_i U_i n_i^c, \qquad (2)
$$

where U_i is the on-site potential determined by the configuration $\{n_i^f\}$ as $U_i = U(n_i^f - 1/2)$. Then the trace Tr_f in the partition function is replaced by the sum over $\{n_i^f\}$ which satisfies the ice-rule constraint: $Z = \sum_{n_i^f} [\frac{r_i^f}{c}]{\text{etc.}} \text{Tr}_c \times$ $\exp[-\beta \mathcal{H}(\lbrace n_i^f \rbrace)]$. This is, in principle, feasible to calcu-
late because Tr is performed by a diagonalization of the late because Tr_c is performed by a diagonalization of the one-body $N \times N$ Hamiltonian [\(2](#page-1-1)), but in practice, it is difficult for large system sizes because the sum within difficult for large system sizes because the sum within the ice manifold increases exponentially \sim 1.5^{N/2} [\[2\]](#page-3-1).

A dramatic simplification is introduced by considering a modified structure of the pyrochlore lattice, that is, a Husimi cactus of tetrahedra [\[15](#page-3-13)]. It is an analogue of the Bethe lattice composed of corner-sharing tetrahedra, as shown in Fig. [1\(b\),](#page-0-0) which we call here the tetrahedron Husimi cactus (THC). THC shares two important structural features with the pyrochlore—the tetrahedral units and their corner-sharing network. A difference is in the global connection of tetrahedra: The pyrochlore lattice has loops running across different tetrahedra [see Fig. [1\(a\)](#page-0-0)], but THC does not have such global loops. Despite of this difference, the THC model captures several essential features of the pyrochlore, as we will see later.

The simplification by considering THC is twofold. First, while the ice-rule configurations in THC are also macroscopically degenerate $(= 6 \times 3^{N/3})$, they are topologically
equivalent because one can relabel the site numbers by equivalent because one can relabel the site numbers by interchanging branches which spread from the same tetrahedron [see Figs. $1(b)$ and $1(c)$]. Consequently, all the possible ice-rule configurations give an identical Boltzmann weight, and therefore, the sum over $\{n_i^f\}$ can
be suppressed in the calculations of any observable be suppressed in the calculations of any observable.

On top of that, the second crucial point is that for any ice-rule configuration on THC we can obtain one-body Green's functions *exactly* by using recursion equations similar to those often used in the Bethe lattice problems [\[16–](#page-3-14)[18\]](#page-3-15). To see this explicitly, let us consider the $T = 0$ local retarded Green's function at the site i, $G_i(\varepsilon) \equiv$ $t\langle i|[\varepsilon - \mathcal{H}(\lbrace n_i^f \rbrace) + i\delta]^{-1} | i \rangle$ (t is included to make G di-
mensionless). Similarly, we define the Green's function mensionless). Similarly, we define the Green's function $g_i(\varepsilon)$ for a branch given by terminating a half of the tetrahedral network at the site i, as shown in Fig. [1\(d\)](#page-0-0). g_0 is formally written by the expansion in terms of the hopping:

$$
g_0 = g_0^{(0)} + g_0^{(0)}(g_1 + g_2 + g_3)g_0 - g_0^{(0)}[g_1(g_2 + g_3)+ g_2(g_3 + g_1) + g_3(g_1 + g_2)]g_0 + \cdots,
$$
 (3)

where $g_0^{(0)} = t(\varepsilon - U_0)^{-1}$ is the atomic Green's function.
Here the second term corresponds to the processes where a Here, the second term corresponds to the processes where a fermion hops from the site $i = 0$ to one of the other three sites in the same tetrahedron, $j = 1, 2$, or 3, then propagates within the branch belonging to the site j , and returns to the original site $i = 0$ [see Fig. [1\(d\)](#page-0-0)]. The next term describes higher-order contributions, e.g., a hopping pro- $\csc 0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 0$ with propagations within each branch connected with the sites 1 and 2. The expansion ([3\)](#page-1-0) is simplified and reduced to a set of recursion equations owing to the self-similarity of THC under the ice rule: g_i depends not explicitly on *i* but only on the value of U_i , i.e., $g_i = g_{\pm}$ corresponding to $U_i = \pm U/2$. The recursive equations are given by

$$
\frac{g_{\pm}(1-g_{\mp})+2g_{\mp}(1-g_{\pm})}{1+g_{\mp}(1-2g_{\pm})}=\frac{1}{t}\left(\varepsilon\mp\frac{U}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{g_{\pm}}.\quad (4)
$$

The full Green's functions G_{\pm} are obtained as

$$
G_{\pm}^{-1} = \frac{2}{g_{\pm}} - \frac{1}{t} \left(\varepsilon \mp \frac{U}{2} \right).
$$
 (5)

Equations ([4](#page-1-2)) and [\(5\)](#page-1-3) give the exact solution to the local Green's functions of the extended Falicov-Kimball model [\(1\)](#page-0-1) in the ice-rule limit: $\sum_i n_i^f = N/2$ and $V \to \infty$. By extending the above calculations it is also possible to extending the above calculations, it is also possible to obtain the nonlocal as well as the finite-T Green's functions. The whole procedure can be straightforwardly applied to a broader range of models with general ice-rule type constraints on general cacti of a complete graph. Such extensions will be discussed elsewhere.

Now let us discuss the ground-state properties derived from the exact solution. Figure [2](#page-2-0) shows the site-resolved density of states (DOS) given by $\rho_{\pm} = -\text{Im}G_{\pm}/\pi t$ and their summation $\rho = \rho_+ + \rho_-$. In Fig. [2\(a\),](#page-2-1) we show DOS at $U = 0$, which is given by the analytic form of $\rho(\varepsilon) =$ $\frac{1}{\pi}$ Re{[$\sqrt{12t^2 - (\epsilon + 2t)^2}$]/[16t² – $(\epsilon + 2t)^2$]} + $\frac{1}{2}\delta(\epsilon - 2t)$.
This is composed of the delta-functional peak (flat bands) $\frac{1}{\pi}$ Re{[VIZt = (ε + 2t)]/[10t = (ε + 2t)]*j* + $\frac{1}{2}$ *o*(ε = 2t).
This is composed of the delta-functional peak (flat bands) at $\varepsilon = 2t$ and the broad spectrum for $|\varepsilon + 2t| < 2\sqrt{3}t$. In the opposite limit of $U \gg t$ also a simple analytic form is the opposite limit of $U \gg t$ also, a simple analytic form is
available: By approximating $g \approx \pm 0$ for $s \approx \pm I I/2$ in available: By approximating $g_{\pm} \approx \pm 0$ for $\varepsilon \approx \pm U/2$ in Eq. [\(4](#page-1-2)), we obtain $\rho_{\pm}(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Re}\{4t^2 - \left[\varepsilon \mp (U/2)\right]^2\}^{-1/2}$.
This is identical to DOS for one dimensional (1D) tight-This is identical to DOS for one-dimensional (1D) tightbinding chains centered at $\varepsilon = \pm U/2$. The coincidence comes from the fact that THC under the ice-rule constraint is broken up into 1D chains of the same potential $+U/2$ or $-U/2$, as schematically shown in Fig. [1\(b\)](#page-0-0). A typical result for $U \gg t$ is shown in Fig. [2\(e\)](#page-2-1) at $U = 100t$.

FIG. 2 (color online). DOS for (a)–(e) THC and (f)– (j) pyrochlore lattice at $U/t = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and 100. The results for the pyrochlore model are calculated for a $3³$ superlattice of (dotted blue) curves. In (a)–(d) and (f)–(i), the total DOS $\rho(\varepsilon)$ is
plotted by thin black curves 4×8^3 lattice sites [\[21\]](#page-3-18). $\rho_+(\varepsilon)$ [$\rho_-(\varepsilon)$] is shown by the bold red plotted by thin black curves.

In both the limiting cases of $U = 0$ and $U \gg t$, the system is insulating at half filling of the spinless fermion, $n^c \equiv \langle n_i^c \rangle = 1/2$. However, the origins of the two insulation states are quite different. The insulating phase at $U = 0$ ing states are quite different. The insulating phase at $U = 0$ is a simple band insulator, in which the broad spectrum is fully occupied and the flat band is empty. On the other hand, the large-U phase is an incompressible state with a large gap $\sim U$ originating from the repulsive interaction between fermions and immobile particles. We call this correlation-driven insulating state ''charge ice,'' in analogy with the spin ice [[4,](#page-3-3)[5\]](#page-3-4), since the mobile fermions are localized in the ice-rule configuration which is composed of the sites with $n_i^f = 0$.
The question is how t

The question is how the system changes from the band insulator to the "charge ice" as U increases. In Figs. 2(b)-[2\(d\)](#page-2-1), we show the change of DOS obtained in the intermediate range of U. When switching on U, while the broad spectrum is almost unchanged, the flat bands are perturbed to be broadened around $\varepsilon = 2t$, leading to a reduction of the gap [Fig. [2\(b\)\]](#page-2-1). The gap decreases as U increases and vanishes at $U \approx 2t$ [Fig. [2\(c\)\]](#page-2-1). Further increase of U opens a gap again [Fig. $2(d)$], and increases it continuously. Critical behavior around $U = 2t$ is shown in Fig. [3\(a\)](#page-2-2). The plot suggests that the gap closes only at $U = U_c = 2t$.

The critical behaviors of DOS can be understood analytically from Eqs. [\(4\)](#page-1-2) and ([5](#page-1-3)). We can prove that the DOS diverges at $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_U = t + (U_c - U)/2$: At $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_U$, we obtain $g_+^{-1} = -1 - (U - U_c)/t$, $g_-^{-1} = 1$, $G_+ = -t/U$,
and $G_- = \infty$ resulting in $g_+(\varepsilon_0) = 0$ (except for $U =$ and $G_{-} = \infty$, resulting in $\rho_{+}(\varepsilon_{U}) = 0$ (except for $U =$ 0) while $\rho_-(\varepsilon_U) \to \infty$. With regard to the gap, by considering a small deviation from $U = U_c$ and evaluating g_{\pm} at $\varepsilon \approx \varepsilon_U$, we find that the energy gap opens as $\Delta(U) \approx$ $\frac{8}{7t^2}|U-U_c|^3$ for both $U>U_c$ and $U\lt U_c$. Therefore $27t^2$ to C_c for both $C > C_c$ and $C < C_c$. Therefore
the energy gap closes only at $U = U_c$. This is identified
as OCP between the band insulator and the charge ice (see as QCP between the band insulator and the charge ice (see the phase diagram in Fig. [4](#page-3-16)).

The critical behavior of the gap $\Delta(U) \propto |U - U_c|^3$ is
cultar in contrast to the usual linear behavior $\Delta(U) \propto$ peculiar in contrast to the usual linear behavior $\Delta(U)$ \propto $|U-U_c|$ in the Mott transition [\[19\]](#page-3-17). Actually, QCP is peculiar also in the sense that the self-energy exhibits an anomalous power-law behavior. From Eqs. [\(4](#page-1-2)) and ([5](#page-1-3)), we can derive that the self-energy Σ_{\pm} , defined by $\Sigma_{\pm} =$
 $\epsilon^{(0)-1}$ C^{-1} shows the following oritical behaviors $g_{\pm}^{(0)-1} - G_{\pm}^{-1}$, shows the following critical behavior:

$$
\operatorname{Re} \Sigma_{\pm}(\varepsilon) = 2 - C_{\pm} |\varepsilon - \mu_c|^{1/3} \operatorname{sgn}(\varepsilon - \mu_c), \quad (6)
$$

Im
$$
\Sigma_{\pm}(\varepsilon) = -C_{\pm} \sqrt{3} |\varepsilon - \mu_c|^{1/3}
$$
, (7)

where $C_{-} = (4/t)^{1/3}$, $C_{+} = C_{-}/2$, and $\mu_c = t$ is the critical chemical notential [Figs. 3(b) and 3(c)]. The anomalous cal chemical potential [Figs. $3(b)$ and $3(c)$]. The anomalous power law $\propto |\varepsilon - \mu_c|^{1/3}$ indicates that the system shows a non-Fermi-liquid behavior at OCP DOS also shows a non-Fermi-liquid behavior at QCP. DOS also shows a singular energy dependence, $\rho_{\pm}(\varepsilon) \propto |\varepsilon - \mu_c|^{\pm 1/3}$ at $U = U$ resulting in the anomalous T dependence of $U = U_c$, resulting in the anomalous T dependence of thermodynamic quantities at QCP. For example, the specific heat is predicted to behave as $\propto T^{2/3}$ at low T.

Collecting the results with varying U , we summarize the exact ground-state phase diagram in Fig. [4.](#page-3-16) There are two metallic regions for $0 \leq n^c \leq 1/2$ and $1/2 \leq n^c \leq 1$, which are separated by the two insulating regions at half filling $n^c = 1/2$, i.e., the band insulator for $U < U_c$ and the charge ice for $U > U_c$. All of these four phases meet at QCP at $(U_c, \mu_c) = (2t, t)$.

As indicated by the bold curve crossing QCP in Fig. [4](#page-3-16), the divergence of ρ at $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_U$ is transferred from the

FIG. 3 (color online). (a) DOS around $\varepsilon = \mu_c = t$ at $U/t =$ 1:6 (dash-double-dotted), 1.8 (dash-dotted), 2.0 (solid), 2.2 (dashed), and 2.4 (dotted). (b) and (c) Non-Fermi-liquid behaviors of Im Σ_{\pm} [Eq. ([7\)](#page-2-3)]. The green dashed lines show the asymptotic nower law \propto [s – u]^{1/3} asymptotic power law $\propto |\varepsilon - \mu_c|^{1/3}$.

FIG. 4 (color online). Ground-state phase diagram of the extended Falicov-Kimball model on THC in the ice-rule limit.

upper-band bottom to the lower-band top [see Fig. [3\(a\)\]](#page-2-2). The divergence contains a bunch of extended states on the sites with $n_i^f = 0$. The extended states are fully occupied in the charge ice state, while they are empty in the band the charge ice state, while they are empty in the band insulator. This fact leads us to define an ''order parameter'' to distinguish two insulating states, $O_\Psi \equiv \langle c_\Psi^\dagger c_\Psi \rangle$ for the corresponding extended-state operator $c_{\Psi} = \sum_i c_i (-1)^i / \sqrt{L}$, where *i* represents the sequential site number on a chain composed of $n_i^f = 0$ sites (L is the length).
O_{xis} changes from 0 for the hand insulator to 1 for the O_Ψ changes from 0 for the band insulator to 1 for the charge ice. The transfer of the extended states bears some analogy to the ''levitation scenario'' proposed for the quantum Hall systems [\[20\]](#page-3-19). This analogy suggests a discrete change of the ''transport nature'' at QCP. Further analysis will be discussed elsewhere.

Finally, we return to consider the original pyrochlore model. As indicated in Fig. [2](#page-2-0), we observe many similar behaviors in DOS between THC and pyrochlore models [\[21](#page-3-18)[,22\]](#page-3-20): (i) DOS consists of the flat bands and dispersive bands at $U = 0$, (ii) U drives a quantum phase transition to the charge ice insulator at half filling, (iii) the divergence of DOS transfers through QCP, and (iv) DOS shows a onedimensional-like form for $U \gg t$. These are direct consequences from the key features shared between THC and pyrochlore, i.e., the corner-sharing network of tetrahedra and the resulting macroscopic ice-rule degeneracy. Interestingly enough, (iii) suggests a possibility that the transition in the pyrochlore case is also described by an order parameter analogous to O_{Ψ} , accompanied by similar anomalous critical behavior. We note that, in the weak U region, THC is a band insulator, whereas the pyrochlore model is metallic at half filling [Figs. $2(f)$ –2(h)]; however, this difference is rather irrelevant since our focus is on the strongly correlated physics related to the charge ice insulator. The benefit from obtaining the exact solution exceeds the minor dissimilarity. Thus, our THC solution captures the common essential physics of the itinerant ice-rule systems. Further comparisons, including the effect of global loops neglected in THC, will be reported separately [[22](#page-3-20)].

In summary, we have exactly solved the extended Falicov-Kimball model on the tetrahedron Husimi cactus in the ice-rule limit. The solution reveals a quantum critical point and associated non-Fermi-liquid behavior in quantum melting of ''charge ice'' insulator. Furthermore, the results capture many essential features of more realistic lattices with corner-sharing tetrahedra, such as the pyrochlore lattice. Our exact solution provides a canonical reference to the itinerant ice-rule physics, and will open new avenues of research with wide applicability to correlation-induced phenomena under strong frustration.

The authors thank I. Maruyama for fruitful discussions. This work was supported by KAKENHI (Nos. 17071003, 19052008, 21740242, and 21340090), Global COE Program ''the Physical Sciences Frontier,'' and by the Next Generation Super Computing Project, Nanoscience Program, MEXT, Japan.

- [1] J.D. Bernal and R.H. Fowler, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1749327) 1, 515 [\(1933\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1749327).
- [2] L. Pauling, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja01315a102) **57**, 2680 (1935).
- [3] P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. 102[, 1008 \(1956\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.102.1008).
- [4] M. J. Harris et al., [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.2554) **79**, 2554 (1997).
- [5] A. P. Ramirez et al., [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/20619) 399, 333 (1999).
- [6] D. A. Huse et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**[, 167004 \(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.167004).
- [7] For a recent review, S. T. Bramwell, M. J. Gingras, and P. C. W. Holdsworth, in Frustrated Spin Systems, edited by H. T. Diep (World Scientific, Singpore, 2005), Chap. 7.
- [8] S. Kondo et al., [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.3729) **78**, 3729 (1997).
- [9] K. Takeda et al., [Physica \(Amsterdam\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physb.2005.01.390) 359–361B, 1312 [\(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physb.2005.01.390).
- [10] P. Blaha, D. J. Singh, and K. Schwarz, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.216403) 93, [216403 \(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.216403)
- [11] Y. Taguchi et al., Science 291[, 2573 \(2001\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1058161)
- [12] S. Nakatsuji et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 96[, 087204 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.087204)
- [13] M. S. Chen et al., [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1681056) 60, 405 (1974).
- [14] P. Fulde, K. Penc, and N. Shannon, [Ann. Phys. \(N.Y.\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/1521-3889(200212)11:12%3C892::AID-ANDP892%3E3.0.CO;2-J) 11, [892 \(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/1521-3889(200212)11:12%3C892::AID-ANDP892%3E3.0.CO;2-J).
- [15] K. Husimi, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1747725) **18**, 682 (1950).
- [16] M. F. Thorpe, in Excitations in Disordered Systems, edited by M. F. Thorpe (Plenum, New York, 1981), p. 85.
- [17] M. Eckstein et al., Phys. Rev. B 71[, 235119 \(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.71.235119)
- [18] A similar approach has been used with some approximation; see, for example, T. Hama, T. Matsubara, and F. Yonezawa, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.47.1764) 47, 1764 (1979).
- [19] M. Imada, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.63.4294) 63, 4294 (1994).
- [20] R. B. Laughlin, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.2304) **52**, 2304 (1984).
- [21] In the pyrochlore model, the ice degeneracy will be ultimately lifted in the ground state. Because of the lack of the exact ground state, we here simply calculate DOS for Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-1) by taking the average of 40 different ice configurations randomly chosen. The results are well converged and supposed to represent the situation at low but finite T higher than the energy scale of the degeneracy lifting, which turns out to be remarkably small, \sim 10⁻⁴–10⁻³t in the entire range of U [[22\]](#page-3-20).
- [22] H. Ishizuka, M. Udagawa, and Y. Motome (unpublished).