## Quantum Criticality and Nodal Superconductivity in the FeAs-Based Superconductor KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>

J. K. Dong,<sup>1</sup> S. Y. Zhou,<sup>1</sup> T. Y. Guan,<sup>1</sup> H. Zhang,<sup>1</sup> Y. F. Dai,<sup>1</sup> X. Qiu,<sup>1</sup> X. F. Wang,<sup>2</sup> Y. He,<sup>2</sup> X. H. Chen,<sup>2</sup> and S. Y. Li<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Surface Physics Laboratory (National Key Laboratory), and Laboratory of Advanced Materials,

Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

<sup>2</sup>Hefei National Laboratory for Physical Science at Microscale and Department of Physics,

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China

(Received 4 January 2010; published 26 February 2010)

The in-plane resistivity  $\rho$  and thermal conductivity  $\kappa$  of the FeAs-based superconductor KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> single crystal were measured down to 50 mK. We observe non-Fermi-liquid behavior  $\rho(T) \sim T^{1.5}$  at  $H_{c_2} = 5$  T, and the development of a Fermi liquid state with  $\rho(T) \sim T^2$  when further increasing the field. This suggests a field-induced quantum critical point, occurring at the superconducting upper critical field  $H_{c_2}$ . In zero field, there is a large residual linear term  $\kappa_0/T$ , and the field dependence of  $\kappa_0/T$  mimics that in *d*-wave cuprate superconductors. This indicates that the superconducting gaps in KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> have nodes, likely *d*-wave symmetry. Such a nodal superconductivity is attributed to the antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations near the quantum critical point.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.087005

PACS numbers: 74.70.Xa, 74.20.Rp, 74.25.fc, 74.40.Kb

When superconductivity emerges with the suppression of magnetism, for example, in heavy-fermion and hightemperature cuprate superconductors, the spin fluctuations associated with a magnetic quantum critical point is usually considered as the pairing glue. This is also the case for the recently discovered FeAs-based high-temperature superconductors [1–4]. The parent compounds of the FeAs-based superconductors, for example, LaFeAsO and BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, are not superconducting and manifest antiferromagnetic (AF) order [5,6]. With electron or hole doping, the AF order is suppressed and superconductivity emerges [1–4].

Spin fluctuations usually result in superconducting gaps with nodes, but it can also give nodeless superconducting gaps through interband interaction, termed  $s_{\pm}$  wave [7,8]. In the  $s_+$ -wave pairing theory for FeAs-based superconductors [7], the interband interaction happens between the hole pockets at  $\Gamma$  point and the electron pockets at M point, via the antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations (AFSF) with wave vector  $Q_{\rm AF} = (\pi, \pi)$ . This gives full superconducting gaps on both electron and hole pockets, but with the opposite signs of the order parameters between the two [7]. While there are accumulating experimental and theoretical works in favor of nodeless gaps, conclusive phase-sensitive experiments are needed to confirm the  $s_{\pm}$ -wave pairing in FeAs-based superconductors [9]. Moreover, in LaFePO and BaFe<sub>2</sub>(As<sub>1-x</sub> $P_x$ )<sub>2</sub>, two compounds containing phosphorus, there are evidences for nodal superconductivity [10–13]. Therefore, the pairing symmetry and superconducting mechanism in iron pnictides are still far from consensus.

Recently, the ARPES experiments on the extremely hole-doped KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> ( $T_c = 3$  K) showed that the electron pockets at *M* point completely disappear due to the hole doping [14]. This result immediately raises a very important question: what is the superconducting state in heavily overdoped FeAs-based superconductors where the interband interaction is suppressed? While ARPES experiment was unable to study the superconducting gap structure in KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> due to its low  $T_c$ , the low-temperature thermal conductivity technique is particularly useful for studying exotic superconductors with low  $T_c$  [15].

In this Letter, we report the demonstration of a clear field-induced antiferromagnetic quantum critical point and nodal superconductivity in  $KFe_2As_2$  by resistivity and thermal conductivity measurements. Our findings not only confirm the spin-fluctuation-mediated pairing mechanism, but also clarify the pairing symmetry when interband interaction is suppressed in heavily overdoped regime, thus complete our understanding of the superconducting state in the FeAs-based superconductors.

Single crystals of KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> were grown by self-flux method [16]. The dc magnetic susceptibility was measured by a SQUID (Quantum Design). The sample was cleaved to a rectangular shape of dimensions  $1.5 \times 1.0 \text{ mm}^2$  in the *ab*-plane, with 40  $\mu$ m thickness along the *c* axis. Contacts were made directly on the sample surfaces with silver paint, which were used for both resistivity and thermal conductivity measurements. To avoid degradation, the sample was exposure in air less than 2 hours. The contacts are metallic with typical resistance 100 m $\Omega$  at 1.5 K. Inplane thermal conductivity was measured in a dilution refrigerator, using a standard four-wire steady-state method with two RuO<sub>2</sub> chip thermometers, calibrated in situ against a reference RuO<sub>2</sub> thermometer. Magnetic fields were applied along the c axis and perpendicular to the heat current. To ensure a homogeneous field distribution in the sample, all fields were applied at temperature above  $T_c$ .

Figure 1 shows the in-plane resistivity of our KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> single crystal. The residual resistivity ratio (RRR)  $\rho(297 \text{ K})/\rho(5 \text{ K}) = 86$ , which is very close to that re-



FIG. 1 (color online). In-plane resistivity of  $\text{KFe}_2\text{As}_2$  single crystal. The residual resistivity ratio is  $\rho(297 \text{ K})/\rho(5 \text{ K}) = 86$ . Upper inset: the resistive transition at low temperature. Lower inset: the dc magnetic susceptibility at H = 10 Oe, with both zero field cooled (ZFC) and field cooled (FC) measuring conditions.

ported previously, RRR = 87 [17]. The upper and lower insets of Fig. 1 show the resistive and magnetic superconducting transitions at low temperature. The middle point of the resistivity transition is at  $T_c = 3.0$  K.

In Fig. 2(a), the resistivity in H = 0 and 2 T are plotted as  $\rho$  vs  $T^{1.5}$ . It is found that  $\rho$  obeys  $T^{1.5}$  dependence nicely above  $T_c$ , up to about 20 K. Previously, Terashima *et al.* claimed that  $\rho$  exhibits a  $T^2$  dependence below ~45 K [17]. However, we note that their  $T^2$  fitting does not look good at low temperature. To elucidate how low the  $T^{1.5}$ -dependent  $\rho(T)$  can go, we measure the resistivity down to 50 mK in a dilution refrigerator and in higher magnetic fields. Figure 2(b) plots  $\rho$  vs  $T^{1.5}$  for H = 4, 5, 6, 8, 11, and 14.5 T. The downward deviation of  $\rho$  from  $T^{1.5}$ dependence below 1.6 K in H = 4 T is attributed to the onset of superconductivity. In H = 5 T, we find a perfect  $T^{1.5}$ -dependent resistivity down to 50 mK. In H > 5 T, there is an upward deviation of  $\rho$  from the  $T^{1.5}$  dependence at low temperature. The data of H = 5, 6, 8, 11, and 14.5 T were replotted as  $\rho$  vs  $T^2$  in Fig. 2(c). It is clearly seen that a Fermi liquid behavior of resistivity,  $\rho \sim T^2$ , develops with increasing field.

Based on our experimental determined ranges of  $T^2$  behavior at low temperatures, we have constructed a phase diagram of the T - H plane (Fig. 3). The inset of Fig. 3 plots the field dependence of the coefficient A of the  $T^2$  term, which tends to diverge towards  $H_{c2} = 5$  T. Such a phase diagram of KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> is strikingly similar to that of the heavy-fermion superconductor CeCoIn<sub>5</sub> with  $T_c = 2.3$  K, in which a field-induced AF quantum critical point (QCP) is located at  $H_{c2}$  [18–20].

Near an AF QCP, the scattering of electrons by AFSF usually leads to non-Fermi-liquid behavior of resistivity,  $\rho \sim T^{1.5}$  in 3D system and  $\rho \sim T$  in 2D system [21]. The observation of  $\rho \sim T^{1.5}$  at  $H_{c2}$  in quasi-2D CeCoIn<sub>5</sub> is explained by the similar character of the magnetic fluctua-



FIG. 2 (color online). (a) Low-temprature resistivity of KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> single crystal in H = 0 and 2 T plotted as  $\rho$  vs  $T^{1.5}$ . The solid line is a fit of the H = 2 T data between 4 and 16 K to  $\rho = \rho_0 + AT^{1.5}$ , which gives residual resistivity  $\rho_0 = 3.32 \ \mu\Omega$  cm. (b)  $\rho$  vs  $T^{1.5}$  for H = 4, 5, 6, 8, 11, and 14.5 T (data sets are offset for clarity). The solid line is a fit of the H = 5 T data between 50 mK and 4 K. The dashed lines are guides to the eye for the deviation from the  $T^{1.5}$  dependence. (c)  $\rho$  vs  $T^2$  for H = 5, 6, 8, 11, and 14.5 T (data sets are offset for clarity). The solid lines are fits to  $\rho = \rho_0 + AT^2$ . The arrows indicate the upper limit of the temperature range of  $T^2$  behavior.

tions in the CeIn<sub>3</sub> planes of CeCoIn<sub>5</sub> and in bulk 3D CeIn<sub>3</sub> itself [20]. As for KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> in this study, the  $T^{1.5}$  dependence of  $\rho$  at  $H_{c2}$  indicates that the magnetic fluctuations in KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> also have some 3D character, which may need further investigation.

The similarity between the T - H phase diagrams of KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> and CeCoIn<sub>5</sub> suggests that there is also a field-induced AF QCP at  $H_{c2}$  in KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>. To our knowledge, this is the first time to demonstrate an AF QCP in FeAs-based superconductors down to low temperature.

A field-induced AF QCP at  $H_{c2}$  is very unusual since it indicates that the superconducting and magnetic orders are tightly coupled [22]. This may be easy to understand in CeCoIn<sub>5</sub> since there is a closely related compound



FIG. 3 (color online). The T - H phase diagram determined from the resistivity measurements. The  $T_c$  (onset) is defined at the temperature where  $\rho$  deviates downwards from the  $T^{1.5}$ dependence. The  $T_{\rm FL}$  is defined as the upper limit of the temperature range of  $T^2$  dependence Fermi liquid behavior. This shows a clear field-induced quantum critical point located at  $H_{c2} = 5$  T. The inset shows the field dependence of the coefficient A of  $\rho = \rho_0 + AT^2$ , which tends to diverge towards  $H_{c2} = 5$  T.

CeRhIn<sub>5</sub> which is an ambient pressure antiferromagnet with the Néel temperature  $T_N = 3.8$  K. For KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, this is highly unexpected, in the sense that KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> is far away from the AF parent compound BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> in Ba<sub>1-x</sub>K<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> system. However, our finding is strongly supported by recent nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) experiments on KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> single crystal, which did claim the existence of strong AFSF [23].

Having demonstrated the field-induced AF QCP in KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, we continue to investigate its superconducting gap structure. Figure 4(a) shows the temperature dependence of the in-plane thermal conductivity for KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> in H = 0, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.8, 1.25, and 2 T magnetic fields, plotted as  $\kappa/T$  vs T. All the curves are roughly linear, as previously observed in BaFe<sub>1.9</sub>Ni<sub>0.1</sub>As<sub>2</sub> and overdoped BaFe<sub>2-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>As<sub>2</sub> single crystals [24–26]. Therefore, we fit the data to  $\kappa/T = a + bT^{\alpha-1}$  with  $\alpha$  fixed to 2. The two terms aT and  $bT^{\alpha}$  represent contributions from electrons and phonons, respectively. Here, we only focus on the electronic term.

In zero field, the fitting gives a residual linear term  $\kappa_0/T = 2.27 \pm 0.02 \text{ mW K}^{-2} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Such a large  $\kappa_0/T$  in KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> is really very surprising since previous thermal conductivity studies of FeAs-based superconductors (without phosphorus), including Ba<sub>0.75</sub>K<sub>0.25</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, have given negligible  $\kappa_0/T$  in H = 0 [24–27]. From Fig. 4(a), a very small field H = 0.1 T has significantly increased the  $\kappa/T$ . Above H = 0.8 T,  $\kappa/T$  tends to saturate. In H = 1.25 and 2 T,  $\kappa_0/T = 7.39 \pm 0.03$  and 7.36  $\pm 0.04$  mW K<sup>-2</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> were obtained from the fittings, respectively. Both values meet the normal state Wiedemann-Franz law expectation



FIG. 4 (color online). (a) Low-temperature in-plane thermal conductivity of KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> in magnetic fields applied along the *c* axis (H = 0, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.8, 1.25, and 2 T). The solid line is  $\kappa/T = a + bT$  fit to the H = 0 T data. The dashed line is the normal state Wiedemann-Franz law expectation  $L_0/\rho_0$  (2 T), with  $L_0$  the Lorenz number 2.45 × 10<sup>-8</sup> W $\Omega$ K<sup>-2</sup> and  $\rho_0(2T) = 3.32 \ \mu\Omega$  cm. (b) Normalized residual linear term  $\kappa_0/T$  of KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> as a function of  $H/H_{c2}$ . Similar data of the clean *s*-wave superconductor Nb [28], the multiband *s*-wave superconductor Tl-2201 [30] are also shown for comparison. The behavior of  $\kappa_0(H)/T$  in KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> clearly mimics that in Tl-2201.

 $L_0/\rho_0(2 \text{ T}) = 7.38 \text{ mW K}^{-2} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , within experimental error bar. We take H = 2 T as the bulk  $H_{c2}$  of KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, despite that the resistive transition is not completely suppressed until  $H_{c2}$  (onset) = 5 T. To choose a slightly different bulk  $H_{c2}$  does not affect our discussions below.

In Fig. 4(b), the normalized  $\kappa_0/T$  of KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> is plotted as a function of  $H/H_{c2}$ , together with the clean *s*-wave superconductor Nb [28], the multiband *s*-wave superconductor NbSe<sub>2</sub> [29], and an overdoped sample of the *d*-wave superconductor TI-2201 [30]. For KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, the large  $\kappa_0/T$ in H = 0 and the rapid increase of  $\kappa_0/T$  at low field mimic the behavior of TI-2201, and provide clear evidences for unconventional superconducting gap with nodes [15]. We note that recent <sup>75</sup>As nuclear quadrupole resonance (NQR) and specific heat measurements on KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> polycrystals also suggested multiple nodal gaps [31].

The nodal gap in extremely hole-doped  $KFe_2As_2$  is distinctly different from the nodeless gaps in FeAs-based superconductors at other doping [24–27,32,33]. In opti-

mally hole-doped Ba<sub>0.6</sub>K<sub>0.4</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> and electron-doped BaFe<sub>1.85</sub>Co<sub>0.15</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, the observations of nearly nested Fermi-surface pockets and nodeless gaps suggest that the interband interaction may play a crucial role in superconducting pairing [32,33], thus support the  $s_+$ -wave pairing mechanism in FeAs-based superconductors [7]. However, in KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, the electron pockets at M point completely disappear due to hole doping [14]. Although four new small hole ( $\epsilon$ ) pockets are found around M point, the interband interaction is nevertheless suppressed; therefore, the  $s_{\pm}$ -wave pairing mechanism does not work in KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> [14]. Having known that there exists strong AFSF near the AF QCP, one can be sure that the nodal superconductivity in KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> results from intraband pairing via AFSF. Usually, the pairing mediated by AFSF has *d*-wave symmetry, as in CeCoIn<sub>5</sub> [34]. Because of the great similarity between the T - H phase diagrams of KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> and CeCoIn<sub>5</sub>, and the similar behavior of  $\kappa_0(H)/T$  between  $KFe_2As_2$  and Tl-2201, the nodal gap in  $KFe_2As_2$  is very likely also d wave.

We note that there is an electron-hole asymmetry in the phase diagram of FeAs-based superconductors at the heavily overdoped regime. For the heavily electron-doped BaFe<sub>1.7</sub>Co<sub>0.3</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, the hole pocket at  $\Gamma$  point completely disappears [35], and the interband interaction is also suppressed. But it turns out that BaFe<sub>1.7</sub>Co<sub>0.3</sub>As<sub>2</sub> is not superconducting [35]. This electron-hole asymmetry may be explained by the different strength of AFSF on electron-and hole-doped sides measured by NMR [23,36]. In BaFe<sub>2-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, the spin fluctuations are completely suppressed at x > 0.3 [36], while strong AF spin fluctuations were found in KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> [23].

Finally, it is worth to point out that the possible nodal superconductivity in LaFePO and BaFe<sub>2</sub>(As<sub>1-x</sub>P<sub>x</sub>)<sub>2</sub> [10–13] may be different from that in KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>. The main reason is that the isovalent substitution of P for As only slightly modifies the Fermi surface [12]; therefore, the Fermi-surface nesting and interband interaction still exist in these two compounds. To get nodal superconductivity in LaFePO and BaFe<sub>2</sub>(As<sub>1-x</sub>P<sub>x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, one needs to consider the competition between  $s_{\pm}$ -wave and *d*-wave superconducting states even more carefully.

In summary, we have measured the resistivity and thermal conductivity of extremely hole-doped KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> single crystal down to 50 mK. A field-induced AF QCP is demonstrated by the observation of  $\rho \sim T^{1.5}$  at  $H_{c2} = 5$  T, and the development of  $\rho \sim T^2$  Fermi liquid behavior at H >5 T. Furthermore, the large  $\kappa_0/T$  at zero field and a rapid increase of  $\kappa_0(H)/T$  at low field give strong evidences for nodal superconductivity in KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>. Such a nodal superconductivity, very likely *d* wave, naturally results from the intraband pairing via AFSF near the AF QCP. Our results are consistent with the suppression of interband interaction in KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, as revealed by ARPES experiments.

We thank Y. Chen, D.L. Feng, and Y.Y. Wang for discussions. This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China, the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (National Basic Research Program No. 2009CB929203), Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University, and STCSM of China (No. 08dj1400200 and 08PJ1402100).

\*shiyan\_li@fudan.edu.cn

- [1] Y. Kamihara et al., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130, 3296 (2008).
- [2] X. H. Chen et al., Nature (London) 453, 761 (2008).
- [3] M. Rotter, M. Tegel, and D. Johrendt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 107006 (2008).
- [4] R.H. Liu et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 087001 (2008).
- [5] C. de la Cruz et al., Nature (London) 453, 899 (2008).
- [6] Q. Huang et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 257003 (2008).
- [7] I. I. Mazin et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 057003 (2008).
- [8] K. Kuroki et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 087004 (2008).
- [9] I. I. Mazin and J. Schmalian, Physica C (Amsterdam) **469**, 614 (2009).
- [10] J.D. Fletcher et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 147001 (2009).
- [11] C.W. Hicks et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 127003 (2009).
- [12] K. Hashimoto et al., arXiv:0907.4399.
- [13] Y. Nakai et al., Phys. Rev. B 81, 020503(R) (2010).
- [14] T. Sato et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 047002 (2009).
- [15] H. Shakeripour et al., New J. Phys. 11, 055065 (2009).
- [16] X.F. Wang et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 117005 (2009).
- [17] T. Terashima et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 78, 063702 (2009).
- [18] J. Paglione et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 246405 (2003).
- [19] A. Bianchi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 257001 (2003).
- [20] J. Paglione et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 106606 (2006).
- [21] G. R. Stewart, Rev. Mod. Phys. **73**, 797 (2001).
- [22] M. Kenzelmann *et al.*, Science **321**, 1652 (2008).
- [23] S. W. Zhang *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 012503 (2010).
- [24] L. Ding *et al.*, New J. Phys. **11**, 093018 (2009).
- [25] M. A. Tanatar et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 067002 (2010).
- [26] J. K. Dong *et al.*, arXiv:0908.2209.
- [27] X.G. Luo et al., Phys. Rev. B 80, 140503(R) (2009).
- [28] J. Lowell and J. Sousa, J. Low Temp. Phys. **3**, 65 (1970).
- [29] E. Boaknin et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 117003 (2003).
- [30] C. Proust et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 147003 (2002).
- [31] H. Fukazawa *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **78**, 083712 (2009).
- [32] P. Richard *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 047003 (2009).
- [33] K. Terashima *et al.*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **106**, 7330 (2009).
- [34] A. Vorontsov and I. Vekhter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 237001 (2006).
- [35] Y. Sekiba et al., New J. Phys. 11, 025020 (2009).
- [36] F.L. Ning et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 037001 (2010).