## Theoretical Analysis of Mechanical Displacement Measurement Using a Multiple Cavity Mode Transducer

J. M. Dobrindt<sup>1</sup> and T. J. Kippenberg<sup>1,2,[\\*](#page-3-0)</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Max Planck Institut für Quantenoptik, D-85748 Garching, Germany<br><sup>2</sup>Ecole Pobytechnique Eédérale de Lausanne (EPEL), CH 1015 Lausanne, Sw  ${}^{2}$ Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland (Received 5 March 2009; published 19 January 2010)

<span id="page-0-2"></span>We present an optomechanical displacement transducer that relies on three cavity modes parametrically coupled to a mechanical oscillator and whose frequency spacing matches the mechanical resonance frequency. The additional resonances allow reaching the standard quantum limit at a substantially lower input power (compared to the case of a single cavity mode), as both sensitivity and quantum backaction are enhanced. Furthermore, it is shown that in the case of multiple cavity modes, coupling between the modes is induced via reservoir interaction, e.g., enabling quantum backaction noise cancellation. Experimental implementation of the schemes is discussed in both the optical and microwave domain.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.033901](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.033901) PACS numbers: 42.60.Da, 04.80.Nn, 42.50.Lc, 42.81.Qb

Introduction.—High frequency nano- and micromechanical oscillators have received a high degree of attention recently. They have been used as sensitive detectors, e.g., for spin [\[1\]](#page-3-1) or mass [\[2\]](#page-3-2), but also carry intrinsic interest for the study of small scale dissipation of mechanical systems [\[3\]](#page-3-3), quantum limited motion detection [\[4\]](#page-3-4), and backaction cooling of vibrational modes [\[5](#page-3-5)]. These studies have in common that a sensitive motion transduction is required, which can be implemented by parametric coupling to an optical, electrical, or microwave resonator. The ideal transducer should (i) have a high sensitivity and possibly operate at the standard quantum limit (SQL) and (ii) should operate at low power. The latter is experimentally advantageous, as high power may cause excess heating due to intrinsic losses. The former pertains to the minimum uncertainty in motion detection and arises from the trade-off between measurement imprecision, inherent to the meter (i.e., detector shot noise), and (for linear continuous mea-surements) inevitable quantum backaction (QBA) [[6](#page-3-6),[7\]](#page-3-7). These processes are characterized by the displacement spectral density  $\bar{S}_{xx}(\Omega)$  and the QBA force spectral density<br> $\bar{S}_{xx}(\Omega)$  [81] For a parametric motion transducer, where a  $\bar{S}_{FF}(\Omega)$  [\[8](#page-3-8)]. For a parametric motion transducer, where a single cavity mode (with angular frequency  $\omega_0$  and energy single cavity mode (with angular frequency  $\omega_0$  and energy decay rate  $\kappa$ ) is parametrically coupled to a mechanical oscillator [[9\]](#page-3-9), the spectral densities are given by

<span id="page-0-0"></span>
$$
\bar{S}_{xx}(\Omega) = \frac{\kappa^2 \hbar \omega_0}{64G^2 P} \left( 1 + \frac{4\Omega^2}{\kappa^2} \right),
$$
\n
$$
\bar{S}_{FF}(\Omega) = \frac{16\hbar G^2 P}{\kappa^2 \omega_0} \left( 1 + \frac{4\Omega^2}{\kappa^2} \right)^{-1}.
$$
\n(1)

Here  $P$  is the input power and the optomechanical coupling strength is determined by the cavity frequency shift due to mechanical displacement:  $G = \frac{d\omega_0}{dx}$ . Equation [\(1](#page-0-0)) satisfies  $\sqrt{S_{xx}[\Omega]S_{EE}[\Omega]} \ge \hbar/2$ , which is a consequence of the  $\sqrt{S_{xx}[\Omega]S_{FF}[\Omega]} \geq \hbar/2$ , which is a consequence of the Heisenberg uncertainty principle [10]. The canonical way Heisenberg uncertainty principle [\[10](#page-3-10)]. The canonical way to lower the power to reach the SQL is to increase the cavity finesse, i.e., decreasing  $\kappa$ . However, Eq. [\(1](#page-0-0)) reveals a fundamental deficiency: decreasing  $\kappa$  for fixed P only improves readout sensitivity as long as the mechanical signal (angular frequency  $\Omega_m$ ) lies within the cavity bandwidth, i.e.,  $\Omega_m < \kappa$ , while for  $\Omega_m > \kappa$  the displacement sensitivity experiences saturation. Physically this phenomenon is readily understood; the mechanical motion modulates the cavity field and creates motional sidebands at  $\omega_0 \pm \Omega_m$ , which constitute the readout signal. For  $\kappa \ll \Omega$  i.e. in the resolved sideband regime (RSB), the side- $\Omega_m$ , i.e., in the resolved sideband regime (RSB), the sidebands are suppressed.

Here we present a readout scheme where this fundamental limitation is overcome by placing two auxiliary cavity resonances at  $\omega_0 \pm \Omega_m$  around the central driven reso-<br>nance (cf. Fig. 1). This enables resonant sideband buildup nance (cf. Fig. [1](#page-0-1)). This enables resonant sideband buildup and causes a substantial decrease in the power required to reach the SQL. Moreover, we show that this scheme, when applied to the case of two resonances, can lead to quantum backaction interference without the requirement of having a dissipative parametric coupling [\[11\]](#page-3-11).

Theoretical model.—In this section, we present the theoretical framework to describe multiple cavity modes parametrically coupled to a mechanical degree of freedom with frequency  $\Omega_m$  and effective mass  $m_{\text{eff}}$ . The cavity features

<span id="page-0-1"></span>

FIG. 1 (color online). Illustration of the triple mode transducer scheme. Auxiliary cavity resonances at  $\omega_0 \pm \Omega_m$  permit resonant motional sideband buildup nant motional sideband buildup.

<span id="page-1-2"></span>

FIG. 2 (color online). The measurement noise spectrum  $S_{xx,\theta=\pi/2}^{\text{tot}}(\Omega_m)$  [normalized to the zero point motion spectral<br>density  $S_{xx}^{\text{ref}}(\Omega_m)$  and  $\Omega_m$  is the  $(\Omega_m)$  line the trivial specific and the space density  $S_{xx}^{\text{zpf}}(\Omega_m) = \hbar |\chi_0(\Omega_m)|$  for the triple cavity mode trans-<br>ducer as a function of the input power (with  $\kappa = 0$  /10) ducer as a function of the input power (with  $\kappa = \Omega_m/10$ )<br>compared to the single mode case. The traces in the horizontal compared to the single mode case. The traces in the horizontal plane follow the minima as a function of the normalized cavity lifetime.

several equidistant modes at frequencies  $\omega_k = \omega_0 + k \cdot \bar{\Omega}$ <br>  $(k \in \mathbb{Z})$  described by the annihilation (creation) opera- $(k \in \mathbb{Z})$ , described by the annihilation (creation) operators  $\hat{a}_k$  ( $\hat{a}_k^{\dagger}$ ), where  $\overline{\Omega}$  denotes the spacing between adjacent cavity modes. A driving field at frequency  $\omega_0$  (input power  $P$ ) is coupled to the cavity. Furthermore, the optical modes are parametrically coupled to the mechanical degree of freedom  $\hat{a}_m$  ( $\hat{a}_m^{\dagger}$ ) and zero point motion  $x_0 = \sqrt{\hbar/2m_{\text{eff}}\Omega_m}$  via the interaction Hamiltonian [12]  $\sqrt{\hbar/2m_{\text{eff}}\Omega_m}$  via the interaction Hamiltonian [[12\]](#page-3-12)

$$
\hat{H}_{\text{int}} = \hbar x_0 \sum_{k,l} G \hat{a}_k^{\dagger} \hat{a}_l (\hat{a}_m + \hat{a}_m^{\dagger}). \tag{2}
$$

<span id="page-1-3"></span>A geometric factor, coming from the mode overlap integral, is assumed (for simplicity) to be unity. Cavity damping is modeled by coupling the cavity modes to a harmonic oscillator bath via the damping Hamiltonian

$$
\hat{H}_{\text{damp}} = i\hbar \sum_{k} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega \left[ g_k^*(\omega) \hat{b}_{\omega} \hat{a}_k^{\dagger} - g_k(\omega) \hat{a}_k \hat{b}_{\omega}^{\dagger} \right]. \tag{3}
$$

The bath operators obey the commutation relations  $[\hat{b}_{\omega}, \hat{b}_{\omega}^{\dagger}] = \delta(\omega - \omega')$ . In the following, we will consider a classical harmonic oscillator characterized by the posi- $[0\omega, 0\omega]$   $[0\omega, 0]$ . In the following, we will consider a classical harmonic oscillator characterized by the position  $\hat{q} = x_0(\hat{a}_m + \hat{a}_m^{\dagger})$  and damping rate  $\gamma_m$ . This treat-<br>ment is justified as we are solely interested in the ment is justified, as we are solely interested in the transduction properties of the cavity and the quantum backaction coming from the quantized nature of the field.

We eliminate the bath in the Markovian limit [\[13\]](#page-3-13) setting  $g_k(\omega) = \sqrt{\kappa}$ . Surprisingly,  $\hat{H}_{\text{damp}}$  does not only couple the couples the discipative bath but also couples the cavity modes to the dissipative bath but also couples the modes among each other via the reservoir dynamics [cf. Eq. ([4\)](#page-1-0)]. This off-resonant interaction is well known in laser theory (where it is responsible for Petermann excess noise [\[14](#page-3-14)]), but has (to the authors' knowledge) never been applied to the context of opto- or electromechanics. In the next step, we derive the Heisenberg-Langevin equations (HLE) for the optical modes, where the classical drive is eliminated by moving to a rotating

frame at the drive frequency and subsequently transforming to the general quadrature fluctuations  $\hat{X}_{k,\theta}$ ing to the general quadrature includations  $A_{k,\theta} = e^{-i\theta}(\hat{a}_k - \langle \hat{a}_k \rangle) + e^{i\theta}(\hat{a}_k^{\dagger} - \langle \hat{a}_k^{\dagger} \rangle)$ . We emphasize that choosing one global rotating frame for all modes is essential, as it enables us to treat off-resonant interaction terms (to first order). These are known to account for quantum limits [[15](#page-3-15)]. Explicitly the linearized HLE for the canonical quadrature fluctuations  $\hat{X}_k = \hat{X}_{k,\theta=0}$  and  $\hat{Y}_k = \hat{X}_{k,\theta=\pi/2}$ are

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\dot{\hat{X}}_k = -k \cdot \bar{\Omega} \hat{Y}_k - \frac{\kappa}{2} \sum_l \hat{X}_l + \sqrt{\kappa} \delta \hat{X}^{\text{in}}[t],
$$
  
\n
$$
\dot{\hat{Y}}_k = k \cdot \bar{\Omega} \hat{X}_k - \frac{\kappa}{2} \sum_l \hat{Y}_l + g_m \hat{q}[t] / x_0 + \sqrt{\kappa} \delta \hat{Y}^{\text{in}}[t], \quad (4)
$$
  
\n
$$
\ddot{\hat{q}} = -\gamma_m \dot{\hat{q}} - \Omega_m^2 \hat{q} + x_0 g_m \Omega_m \sum_l \hat{X}_l.
$$

Solving for the canonical quadratures allows us to transform to the  $\theta$ -dependent general quadrature. The global phase of the input field is chosen in the way that  $\bar{\alpha} = \sum \langle \hat{a}_i \rangle$ and the optomechanical coupling rate  $g_m = 2Gx_0\bar{\alpha}$  are real [[16](#page-3-16)]. The noise operators in the HLE are  $\delta$  correlated:  $\langle \delta \hat{X}^{\text{in}}[t] \delta \hat{X}^{\text{in}}[t'] \rangle = \langle \delta \hat{Y}^{\text{in}}[t] \delta \hat{Y}^{\text{in}}[t'] \rangle = \delta(t - t'),$ <br> $\langle \delta \hat{Y}^{\text{in}}[t] \delta \hat{Y}^{\text{in}}[t] \delta \hat{Y}^{\text{in}}[t'] \rangle^* = i \delta(t - t'),$  $\langle \delta \hat{X}^{\text{in}}[t] \delta \hat{Y}^{\text{in}}[t'] \rangle = \langle \delta \hat{Y}^{\text{in}}[t] \delta \hat{X}^{\text{in}}[t'] \rangle^* = i \delta(t - t')$  $\langle \delta \hat{X}^{\text{in}}[t] \delta \hat{Y}^{\text{in}}[t'] \rangle = \langle \delta \hat{Y}^{\text{in}}[t] \delta \hat{X}^{\text{in}}[t'] \rangle^* = i \delta(t - t')$ . We can account for intrinsic cavity loss by introducing a second loss channel in Eq. ([4\)](#page-1-0) characterized by the internal loss rate  $\kappa_0$ . It will appear in the results as the degree of overcoupling  $\eta_c = 1 - \kappa_0 / \kappa$  [\[17\]](#page-3-17). For multiple cavity modes, the output quadrature fluctuations are given by a generalized input-output relation [[18](#page-3-18)]

$$
\hat{X}^{\text{out}}_{\theta} + \hat{X}^{\text{in}}_{\theta} = \sqrt{\kappa} \sum_{k} \hat{X}_{k,\theta}.
$$
 (5)

<span id="page-1-1"></span>Triple mode transducer.—Having introduced the theoretical model, we calculate the output spectrum of a cavity with three optical modes, spaced by the mechanical resonance frequency, i.e.,  $\overline{\Omega} = \overline{\Omega}_m$ . From Eq. [\(4\)](#page-1-0), we calculate<br>the quadrature fluctuations in Fourier space. Using the the quadrature fluctuations in Fourier space. Using the multimode input-output relation [Eq. [\(5\)](#page-1-1)], the spectrum of the output fluctuations is derived. Importantly, the offresonant reservoir coupling terms  $[\propto \kappa/2$  in Eq. ([4](#page-1-0))] preserve a flat shot noise spectrum for the decoupled ( $g_m = 0$ ) cavity. The measurement noise spectrum  $S_{xx,\theta}^{\text{tot}}(\Omega)$ <br>S<sub>x</sub>: (O) + | x (O)<sup>|2</sup> S<sub>x</sub>: (O) is obtained by scaling the spectrum  $S_{xx,\theta}(\Omega) + |\chi_0(\Omega)|^2 S_{FF}(\Omega)$  is obtained by scaling the out-<br>put fluctuations to the mechanical signal [19]. The classical put fluctuations to the mechanical signal [\[19\]](#page-3-19). The classical motion of the mechanical oscillator, characterized by its bare susceptibility  $\chi_0(\Omega)$ , is not affected by the coupling to the cavity field as dynamical backaction effects are absent the cavity field, as dynamical backaction effects are absent [\[20\]](#page-3-20). The shot noise background is

$$
S_{xx,\theta}^{\text{triple}}(\Omega) = \frac{1}{\sin^2\theta} \frac{x_0^2 \kappa}{\eta_c g_m^2} \left( 1 + \frac{4\Omega^2 (\Omega_m^2 - \Omega^2)^2}{\kappa^2 (\Omega_m^2 - 3\Omega^2)^2} \right). \tag{6}
$$

The sensitivity is maximized for  $\theta = \pi/2$ , implying that the information on the mechanical signal is encoded in the the information on the mechanical signal is encoded in the phase quadrature. Comparing  $S_{xx,\theta}^{\text{triple}}(\Omega)$  to the single resonance transducer, we note that the transduction properties of a low frequency signal remain unchanged, i.e.,  $S_{x,y}^{single}(0)/S_{x,y}^{triple}(0) = 1$ . However, the sensitivity at the me-<br>chanical resonance frequency (as shown in Fig. 2) is dra chanical resonance frequency (as shown in Fig. [2](#page-1-2)) is dramatically increased

$$
S_{xx,\theta}^{\text{single}}(\Omega_m) / S_{xx,\theta}^{\text{triple}}(\Omega_m) = 1 + \frac{4\Omega_m^2}{\kappa^2}.
$$
 (7)

For systems that operate well into the RSB regime, such as toroidal microresonators [\[21\]](#page-3-21) or superconducting micro-wave resonators [[4\]](#page-3-4), this factor is more than  $\times$  100 and thus represents a major reduction. Based on the Heisenberg uncertainty principle for continuous position measurements, one expects that the enhanced sensitivity also implies an increased quantum backaction force spectral density. Physically the latter can be viewed as the beat of the carrier (at  $\omega_0$ ) with vacuum fluctuations at  $\omega_0 + \Omega_m$ ,<br>which resonantly beat the mechanical oscillator. The rawhich resonantly heat the mechanical oscillator. The radiation pressure force fluctuations are given by

$$
\delta \hat{F}^{\text{rp}}[\Omega] = \frac{\hbar g_m}{2x_0} \sum_{k} \hat{X}_k[\Omega]. \tag{8}
$$

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Indeed, in the same way that the shot noise is reduced, the backaction force spectral density  $S_{FF}^{\text{triple}}(\Omega)$  is increased,<br>and the Heisenberg limit of the single resonance transducer and the Heisenberg limit of the single resonance transducer is recovered:

$$
\sqrt{\overline{S}_{xx,\theta=\pi/2}^{\text{triple}}(\Omega)\overline{S}_{FF}^{\text{triple}}(\Omega)} = \frac{\hbar}{2\sqrt{\eta_c}}.\tag{9}
$$

We emphasize that the recovery of the Heisenberg limit requires the off-resonant coupling terms [cf. Eq. [\(4](#page-1-0))]. Moreover, the triple transducer has the significant advantage over a single cavity mode transducer that the SQL is reached at substantially lower power:  $P_{SQL}^{single}/P_{SQL}^{triple} \approx$ <br> $4\Omega^2/\mu^2$  [22] (cf. Fig. 2). Moreover, the enhanced OBA  $4\Omega_m^2/\kappa^2$  $4\Omega_m^2/\kappa^2$  $4\Omega_m^2/\kappa^2$  [\[22\]](#page-3-22) (cf. Fig. 2). Moreover, the enhanced QBA itself can be a valuable resource. Indeed, many quantum optomechanical experiments rely on QBA to be the dominant force noise, such as in experiments relating to ponderomotive squeezing [[23](#page-3-23)] or two beam entanglement [[24\]](#page-3-24).

Dual mode scheme.—Within the framework of the multimode transducer theory, we can also consider the situation of two resonances spaced by the mechanical resonance frequency [[25](#page-3-25),[26](#page-3-26)]. The situation differs from the triple mode scheme, as only the anti-Stokes process is resonantly enhanced by pumping the lower frequency mode. This results in net cooling of the mechanical degree of freedom, because every scattering process annihilates one phonon [[27](#page-3-27)]. Then the response to an external force, e.g., a thermal Langevin force, a signal force, or quantum Langevin forces, is suppressed as a result of the damped mechanical motion. Consequently, the transduction properties are not ideal. Next, we calculate the QBA spectral density from Eq. [\(8\)](#page-2-0):

<span id="page-2-1"></span>

FIG. 3 (color online). The normalized quantum backaction force spectral density  $S_{FF}^{\text{dual}}(\Omega)/S_{FF}^{\text{dual}}(+\Omega_m)$  (thick solid line) is<br>plotted together with the result from a classical model (thick plotted together with the result from a classical model (thick dashed line). The reservoir interaction between the modes leads to complete noise cancellation at  $\Omega = \Omega_m/2$ . The thin dashed<br>lines indicate the backaction force coming from two uncorrelines indicate the backaction force coming from two uncorrelated modes.

$$
S_{FF}^{\text{dual}}(\Omega) = \frac{\hbar^2}{x_0^2} \frac{g_m^2 \kappa (\Omega_m - 2\Omega)^2}{4(\Omega_m - \Omega)^2 \Omega^2 + \kappa^2 (\Omega_m - 2\Omega)^2}.
$$
 (10)

Then an estimate for the final occupancy of the mechanical mode  $n_f = \langle \hat{a}_m^{\dagger} \hat{a}_m \rangle$  is given by the quantum noise approach [7]. Unexpectedly, compared to the single recoproach [[7\]](#page-3-7). Unexpectedly, compared to the single resonance dynamical backaction cooling [[28](#page-3-28),[29](#page-3-29)], the quantum limit increases by a factor of 9:

$$
\frac{n_f}{n_f+1} = \frac{S_{FF}^{\text{dual}}(-\Omega_m)}{S_{FF}^{\text{dual}}(+\Omega_m)}, \qquad \Rightarrow n_f \approx 9 \frac{\kappa^2}{16\Omega_m^2}.\tag{11}
$$

This is understood from the constructive quantum noise interference at  $\Omega = -\Omega_m$  [cf. Fig. [3,](#page-2-1)  $(\sqrt{1} + \sqrt{4})^2 = 9$ ].<br>However the OBA spectrum in Fig. 3 reveals an additional However, the QBA spectrum in Fig. [3](#page-2-1) reveals an additional striking feature. At  $\Omega = \Omega_m/2$ , the quantum noise exactly cancels. This is a direct consequence of the reservoir cancels. This is a direct consequence of the reservoir coupling terms in Eq. ([4\)](#page-1-0). Omitting these terms yields a classical interference pattern, shown by the thick dashed curve in Fig. [3.](#page-2-1) The shape of the QBA spectrum suggests to tune the mode spacing to  $\overline{\Omega} = 4\Omega_m$  and drive the cavity on the red wing of the upper resonance (cf. Fig. 3, black the red wing of the upper resonance (cf. Fig. [3,](#page-2-1) black circle). Then the heating term vanishes, i.e.,  $S_{FF}^{\text{dual}}(-\Omega)$ <br>0. (in the rotating frame). However, an exact analysis circle). Then the heating term vanishes, i.e.,  $S_{FF}$  ( $\Xi \Sigma_m$ ) –<br>0 (in the rotating frame). However, an exact analysis, using a covariance approach [[30](#page-3-30)], reveals that finite linewidth effects lead to a power dependent quantum limit, i.e.,  $n_f \approx 2a^2/(Q^2)$ . As the cooling rate saturates, when  $g = 2p$ .  $2g_m^2/\Omega_m^2$ . As the cooling rate saturates, when  $g_m$  approaches  $\kappa/2$ , one can find an upper limit for  $n_f$  by assuming  $g_m < \kappa/2$  [\[15\]](#page-3-15). The same analysis for the canonical two mode cooling yields  $n_f \approx (9\kappa^2 + 14g_m^2)/16\Omega_m^2$ .<br>With respect to the transduction properties of the dual With respect to the transduction properties of the dual scheme, we note that in cooling experiments the QBA should not be viewed as measurement noise but rather contributes the signal itself. This is corroborated in the case of ground state cooling, where the field fluctuations conserve the zero point fluctuations of the mechanical oscillator independently of its quantum nature, i.e.,

<span id="page-3-32"></span>

FIG. 4. (a) Three degenerate optical modes are coupled via semitransparent mirrors. (b) Three LC oscillators are capacitively coupled in series. While mode  $\hat{a}$  is connected to an external drive, mode  $\hat{c}$  is parametrically coupled to a mechanical oscillator. When the coupling rate  $g_c$  exceeds the individual decay rate, the spectrum exhibits normal mode splitting.

 $\int d\Omega |\chi_{\text{eff}}(\Omega)|^2 \cdot S_{FF}^{\text{dual}}(\Omega) \geq 2\pi x_0^2$  [the effective suscepti-<br>bility  $\chi_{\text{eq}}(\Omega)$  is the same as in Ref. [15]] bility  $\chi_{\text{eff}}(\Omega)$  is the same as in Ref. [[15](#page-3-15)]].<br>Fraction — The existence

Experimental implementation.—The experimental challenge in the design of a multimode transducer lies in matching the cavity mode spacing with the resonance frequency of the mechanical oscillator without adding additional damping. The canonical setup is a Fabry-Pérot cavity where the free spectral range matches the resonance frequency of the harmonically suspended back mirror. However, difficulties might arise from differing mode overlap integrals [[31](#page-3-31)]. These challenges can be circumvented in a more general way, adaptable to optical, electrical, and the microwave domain. As illustrated in Fig. [4](#page-3-32), three degenerate cavity modes  $\{\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}\}$  are coupled *in series* via the linear interaction  $h g_c[\hat{b}(\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{c}^\dagger) +$  $\hat{b}^{\dagger}(\hat{a} + \hat{c})$ . In the microwave domain, this interaction can be realized by coupling of three superconducting quarcan be realized by coupling of three superconducting quarter or half wave resonators (via inductive or capacitive coupling as shown in Fig. [4\)](#page-3-32). In the optical domain, it can be achieved by coupling of degenerate cavity modes via partially transparent mirrors or evanescent field. In addition, only one mode  $(\hat{c})$  is coupled to the mechanics by  $\hat{H}^{\text{single}}_{\text{int}} = \hbar x_0 G \hat{c}^\dagger \hat{c} (\hat{a}_m + \hat{a}_m^\dagger)$ . In the regime of strong mode counting when  $g > \kappa$  the originally degenerate mode coupling, when  $g_c > \kappa$ , the originally degenerate cavity modes exhibit normal mode splitting. The new cavity eigenmodes can then be represented in a basis of dressed states  $\{\hat{a}_0, \hat{a}_+, \hat{a}_-\}$  with eigenfrequencies  $\{\omega_0, \omega_0 \pm \sqrt{2}g_c\}.$ <br>The splitting

The splitting  $\sqrt{2}g_c$  can be matched to the mechanical resonance frequency by appropriately tuning the coupling rate. Transforming to the dressed state basis, one finds that the operator  $\hat{c}$  is proportional to the sum of the dressed state operators. Replacing  $\hat{c}$  in the parametric interaction  $\hat{H}^{\text{single}}_{\text{int}}$ results in a multimode interaction as given by Eq. ([2\)](#page-1-3). Indeed, a dual mode coupling of this kind has recently been demonstrated using toroidal microcavities [\[32\]](#page-3-33) in this proposed way. Moreover, tunable mode splitting between counterpropagating modes has also been achieved [\[33\]](#page-3-34).

We gratefully acknowledge Warwick Bowen for discussion and making helpful and important comments. This work was supported by the Max Planck Society, the EU (MINOS), and a Marie Curie Excellence Grant.

[\\*t](#page-0-2)obias.kippenberg@epfl.ch

- <span id="page-3-1"></span><span id="page-3-0"></span>[1] D. Rugar et al., Nature (London) 430, 329 (2004).
- <span id="page-3-2"></span>[2] A. K. Naik et al., Nature Nanotech. 4, 445 (2009).
- <span id="page-3-3"></span>[3] G. A. Steele *et al.*, Science 325, 1103 (2009).
- <span id="page-3-4"></span>[4] J. Teufel et al., arXiv:0906.1212.
- <span id="page-3-5"></span>[5] T.J. Kippenberg and K. Vahala, Science 321, 1172 (2008).
- <span id="page-3-6"></span>[6] C.M. Caves, Phys. Rev. D **26**, 1817 (1982).
- <span id="page-3-7"></span>[7] A. A. Clerk et al., arXiv:0810.4729.
- <span id="page-3-8"></span>[8] The bar denotes a symmetrized spectral density:  $\bar{S}(\Omega) = 1/2[S(\Omega) + S(-\Omega)]$  $1/2[S(\Omega) + S(-\Omega)].$ <br>V B. Braginsky *Meg*
- <span id="page-3-9"></span>[9] V.B. Braginsky, Measurement of Weak Forces in Physics Experiments (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1977).
- <span id="page-3-10"></span>[10] V.B. Braginsky and F. Khalili, Quantum Measurement (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1992).
- <span id="page-3-11"></span>[11] F. Elste, S. M. Girvin, and A. A. Clerk, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 207209 (2009).
- <span id="page-3-12"></span>[12] C. K. Law, Phys. Rev. A **51**, 2537 (1995).
- <span id="page-3-13"></span>[13] C.W. Gardiner and P. Zoller, *Quantum Noise* (Springer, New York, 2000).
- <span id="page-3-14"></span>[14] A. E. Siegman, Phys. Rev. A 39, 1253 (1989).
- <span id="page-3-15"></span>[15] J. M. Dobrindt, I. Wilson-Rae, and T. J. Kippenberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 263602 (2008).
- <span id="page-3-16"></span>[16] This is always possible for a nonsqueezed input field.
- <span id="page-3-17"></span>[17] In the limit of overcoupling,  $\eta_c \rightarrow 1$ .
- <span id="page-3-18"></span>[18] C. Viviescas and G. Hackenbroich, Phys. Rev. A 67, 013805 (2003).
- <span id="page-3-19"></span>[19] M. Jaekel and S. Reynaud, Europhys. Lett. 13, 301 (1990).
- <span id="page-3-20"></span>[20] W. Kells and E. D'Ambrosio, Phys. Lett. A 299, 326 (2002).
- <span id="page-3-21"></span>[21] A. Schliesser et al., Nature Phys. 4, 415 (2008).
- <span id="page-3-22"></span>[22] We note that the mixing term  $S_{xF,\theta}^{\text{triple}}(\Omega)$  is odd in  $\Omega$  for  $\theta = \pi/2$  and disamears in the symmetrized spectrum  $\theta = \pi/2$  and disappears in the symmetrized spectrum.
- <span id="page-3-23"></span>[23] P. Verlot et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 103601 (2009).
- <span id="page-3-24"></span>[24] S. Mancini et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 120401 (2002).
- <span id="page-3-25"></span>[25] V. B. Braginsky, S. E. Strigin, and S. P. Vyatchanin, Phys. Lett. A 287, 331 (2001).
- <span id="page-3-26"></span>[26] A. B. Matsko, D. V. Strekalov, and N. Yu, Phys. Rev. A 77, 043812 (2008).
- <span id="page-3-27"></span>[27] On the other hand, pumping the higher frequency mode results in amplification.
- <span id="page-3-28"></span>[28] F. Marquardt et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 093902 (2007).
- <span id="page-3-29"></span>[29] I. Wilson-Rae et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 093901 (2007).
- <span id="page-3-30"></span>[30] I. Wilson-Rae et al., New J. Phys. **10**, 095007 (2008).
- <span id="page-3-31"></span>[31] C. Zhao et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 121102 (2005).
- <span id="page-3-33"></span>[32] I. Grudinin, O. Painter, and K. Vahala, arXiv:0907.5212; C. Schmidt et al., Phys. Rev. A 80, 043841 (2009).
- <span id="page-3-34"></span>[33] G. Anetsberger et al., Nature Phys. 5, 909 (2009).