Multiferroicity in Rare-Earth Nickelates RNiO$_3$

Gianluca Giovannetti,[1,2,3] Sanjeev Kumar,[1,2] Daniel Khomskii,[4] Silvia Picozzi,[3] and Jeroen van den Brink[1,5,6,7]

[1]*Institute Lorentz for Theoretical Physics, Leiden University, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands*
[2]*Faculty of Science and Technology and MESA+ Research Institute, University of Twente, Enschede, The Netherlands*
[3]*Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche-Istituto Nazionale per la Fisica della Materia (CNR-INFM), CASTI Regional Laboratory,
67100 L'Aquila, Italy*
[4]*Physikalisches Institut, Universität zu Köln, Zülpicher Strasse 77, 50937 Köln, Germany*
[5]*Institute for Molecules and Materials, Radboud Universiteit, 6500 GL Nijmegen, The Netherlands*
[6]*Stanford Institute for Materials and Energy Sciences, Stanford University and SLAC, Menlo Park, California, USA*
[7]*Leibniz-Institute for Solid State and Materials Research Dresden, D-01171 Dresden, Germany.*

We show that charge ordered rare-earth nickelates of the type RNiO$_3$ (R = Ho, Lu, Pr and Nd) are multiferroic with very large magnetically-induced ferroelectric (FE) polarizations. This we determine from first principles electronic structure calculations. The emerging FE polarization is directly tied to the long-standing puzzle of which kind of magnetic ordering is present in this class of materials: its direction and size indicate the type of ground-state spin configuration that is realized. Vice versa, the small energy differences between the different magnetic orderings suggest that a chosen magnetic ordering can be stabilized by cooling the system in the presence of an electric field.

Introduction.—Complex oxides with simultaneous magnetic and ferroelectric (FE) ordering—multiferroics—are attracting enormous scientific interest [1,2]. They offer the potential to control the magnetic order parameter by the FE one and vice versa—a very desirable property from a technological point of view [3]. Even if in quite a number of transition metal oxides both ferroelectricity and magnetism are present, magnetically-induced FE polarizations observed so far are typically very small [4–7]. This smallness is particularly pronounced in materials where multiferroicity relies on relativistic spin-orbit coupling, which is intrinsically weak [1].

A few years ago it was pointed out that, theoretically at least, materials that are simultaneously magnetic and charge ordered can be multiferroic and potentially have a very large polarization [8,9]. To become multiferroic, however, an insulting oxide needs to meet an additional requirement: its symmetry has to be such that magnetic ordering can push a charge-ordering pattern from *site-centered* towards *bond-centered* [8]. A large polarization results if the oxide is in addition electronically soft, so that inside it charge can easily be displaced.

Here we show that precisely this scenario materializes in perovskite nickelates RNiO$_3$, where R is a rare-earth element such as Ho, Lu, Pr or Nd. Consequently these nickelates can exhibit magnetically-induced FE polarizations ($\mathbf{P}$) that are very large, up to 10 μC/cm^2. Such a polarization is 2 orders of magnitude larger than the one of typical multiferroics such as TbMnO$_3$ [4] or TbMn$_2$O$_5$ [5]. Also a very interesting fundamental point is associated with the symmetry of $\mathbf{P}$ in the rare-earth nickelates. To appreciate this aspect we have to bear in mind that in spite of their apparently simple chemical formula, the rare-earth nickel-

ates are very complex materials. They show an intriguing and only partially understood transition from a high temperature metallic phase into a low temperature insulating one. The nature of magnetic order in this low temperature insulating phase has been a long-standing puzzle. Three different magnetic structures have been proposed, two of which are collinear and one noncollinear, and so far experiments have not been able to differentiate between them.

We show that all the proposed magnetic structures of the rare-earth nickelates are similar in the sense that all are multiferroic and very close in energy. However, different magnetic symmetries leave an individual fingerprint on the *size* and, in particular, the *direction* of ferroelectric polarization $\mathbf{P}$. In one type of collinear magnetic ordering, for instance, $\mathbf{P}$ is parallel to the crystallographic b axis; in the other it is perpendicular to this axis. With this theoretical result in hand, an experimental determination of the direction and magnitude of $\mathbf{P}$ will reveal the precise type of magnetic ordering that is realized in the rare-earth nickelates. This fundamental observation also suggests a practical application: in these nickelates it allows us to control the realization of different magnetic phases by cooling the material through its magnetic phase transition in an externally applied electric field.

Lattice and charge order.—The metal-insulator transition in RNiO$_3$ (R = Pr, Nd, Sm, Ho, Lu, etc.) takes place at relatively high temperatures: T_{MI} = 130 K (Pr), 200 K (Nd), 400 K (Sm), 580 K (Ho) and 600 K (Lu) and is believed to coincide with the appearance of charge ordering and a simultaneous transition of the crystallographic symmetry from orthorhomic Pbnm to monoclinic $P2_1/n$ [10–12]. The charge ordering is characterized by a nickel charge disproportionation of formally Ni^{3+} into Ni$^{(3+\delta)+}$

and $Ni^{(3-\delta)+}$, which form a simple two-sublattice, rock-saltlike, superstructure, see Fig. 1. To be specific we will consider in the following four representative members from the nickelate series: $R =$ Ho, Lu, Pr and Nd. Of these $R =$ Ho and Lu are small rare-earth ions, with a magnetic ordering temperature T_N below the metal-insulator transition: $T_N < T_{MI}$ (for Ho $T_N = 145$ K, for Lu 130 K). The nickelates with the larger rare-earth ions, Pr or Nd, are different in that their metal-insulator transition coincides with the appearance of magnetic ordering: $T_N = T_{MI}$. The monoclinic $P2_1/n$ crystal structure contains two inequivalent Ni positions and three inequivalent oxygen atoms (O_1, O_2, O_3) [10,11,13]. Experimentally the charge ordering reflects itself in an oxygen breathing distortion of the NiO_6 octahedra and induces different magnetic moments on the two inequivalent Ni atoms ($1.4/1.4\mu_B$ and $0.6/0.7\mu_B$ for Ho/Lu, respectively) [14,15].

Magnetic order.—The magnetic neutron diffraction peaks are characterized by the propagation vector $\mathbf{Q} = (\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2})$ [13,14,16]. In this class of nickelates the experiments suggested three possible magnetic structures. In the following we label two collinear magnetic structures as S, T, and the noncollinear one as N (see Fig. 1). In both the S- and T-type magnetic structure the Ni spins within the ab plane form ferromagnetic zigzag chains. Adjacent zigzag chains in the same plane are coupled antiferromagnetically. The stacking of the zigzag chains along the c axis differentiates between S- and T-type ordering: in S-type ordering [Fig. 1(a)] the zigzag spin chains are all pointing in the same direction, whereas for T-type ordering [Fig. 1(b)] the zigzag chains in adjacent planes are pointing in opposite directions. This implies for T-type ordering that the spins in a plane perpendicular to the $[-1, 0, 1]$ direction are pointing in the same direction, see Fig. 1(b). Along this


FIG. 1 (color online). Schematic view of the charge and magnetic ordered (a) S-type, (b) T-type, and (c) N-type noncollinear magnetic structure of $RNiO_3$. Ni^{2+} and Ni^{4+} are shown in red and orange. Arrows represent Ni spins. In (a) and (b), the zigzag spin chains are indicated. In (c), the FM planes perpendicular to [101] are highlighted. The vector $\mathbf{P}$ shows the direction of polarization obtained in our calculations.

$[-1, 0, 1]$ direction the ferromagnetic planes are ordered in a ↑↑↓↓↑↑↓↓ fashion [9]. In the noncollinear N structure (Fig. 1(c) [17]) all spins lie in the ac plane, with spins in a plane perpendicular to [1,0,1] pointing in the same direction. When moving from plane to plane along [1,0,1] the spins rotate within the ac plane. The N-type magnetic structure therefore corresponds to a spin spiral.

Ab Initio results.—For the crystallographic and magnetic structures outlined above we performed a set of density functional calculations using the projector augmented-wave (PAW) method and a plane-wave basis sets as implemented in VASP [18]. We include the strong Coulomb interactions between the Ni $3d$ electrons, in SGGA $+ U$ [19–21] calculations for $U = 8$ eV and a Hund's rule exchange of $J_H = 0.88$ eV. Starting from the experimental centrosymmetric crystal structures we compute the electronic structure for T, S, and N magnetic order. In all the calculations, the difference in total energy between the T- and S-type ordering is very small, within the numerical accuracy. We evaluate the electronic contributions to the polarization with the Berry phase method within the PAW formalism [22,23]. In Table I we report the electronic contributions to the FE polarization for the T- and S-type magnetic ordering. For the noncollinear N-type ordering these calculations are numerically extremely demanding. We have therefore computed the polarization for $HoNiO_3$ in its experimental crystal structure only, resulting in $P_c = -110$ nC/cm^2 and $P_a = 20$ nC/cm^2. It is remarkable that for T-type magnetic ordering the polarization is large, in the ac plane and predominantly along the a axis, for S-type magnetic ordering it is large and strictly along the b axis and for N-type magnetic ordering it is weak, in the ac plane and mostly along c.

S- and T-type magnetism: origin of multiferroicity.—Having established that the magnetic ordering induces a very significant FE polarization in the rare-earth nickelates, we now clarify its microscopic origin and explain its different direction for T- and S-type order. In the $P2_1/n$ structure the corner-sharing NiO_6 octahedra are distorted and tilted due to the so-called $GdFeO_3$ distortion, which

TABLE I. FE polarization $\mathbf{P}$ of $RNiO_3$ ($R =$ Ho, Lu, Pr) in $\mu C/cm^2$ for both the experimental centrosymmetric (Ref. [10] for Ho, Lu and Ref. [11] for Pr) and the relaxed crystal structure, for either S- or T-type magnetic ordering, with $P2_1$ and Pn symmetry, respectively. Atomic positions for $NdNiO_3$ taken from experiments in the $Pbnm$ orthorhombic setting [24] and then relaxed without changing the Bravais lattice.

| Rare earth | Experimental structure | | | | Relaxed structure | | | |
| | T type | | | S type | T type | | | S type |
	P_{tot}	P_a	P_c	P_b	P_{tot}	P_a	P_c	P_b
Lu	10.31	9.91	2.84	5.21	9.86	9.82	0.76	7.07
Ho	8.66	8.05	3.19	3.60	10.46	10.38	1.39	6.91
Pr	14.80	13.23	6.64	1.81	7.87	7.82	0.94	2.57
Nd					8.38	8.28	1.29	3.13

causes a counter-rotation of neighboring octahedra in the ab plane, see Fig. 2. Neighboring planes along the c axis display a similar in-plane oxygen displacement pattern. The end result of the distortions is that each Ni ion is surrounded by two crystallographically inequivalent oxygen sites in the ab plane: O_2 and O_3, see Fig. 2. Along one direction chains of O_2-Ni-O_2-Ni bonds form and perpendicular to it, all bonds are O_3-Ni-O_3-Ni. In spite of these distortions the crystal structure is still centrosymmetric and the material therefore paraelectric. However, the formation of zigzag *spin* chains in the ab plane breaks this inversion symmetry.

The magnetic ordering along the Ni-O_2 chain direction can be denoted as $Ni_\uparrow$-O_2-$Ni_\uparrow$-O_2-$Ni_\downarrow$. In this structure the O_2 sites become inequivalent as one O_2 is in between charge disproportionate Ni ions with parallel spin and the other one between antiparallel Ni spins. Thus the oxygen sites split into O_2 and $O_{2'}$, see Fig. 2. Besides the charge disproportionation on the Ni sites, now also the inequivalent oxygen atoms O_2 and $O_{2'}$ charge polarize. For the Ni-O_3 chain the situation is similar and the splitting is into O_3 and $O_{3'}$. The inequivalence of the four in-plane oxygen ions surrounding a Ni is directly reflected by their different Born effective charges Z^*: when for instance $R = Lu$ we find $Z^*(O_{2'}) = -1.25e$, $Z^*(O_2) = -6.37e$, $Z^*(O_{3'}) = -1.59e$ and $Z^*(O_3) = -1.73e$. For other rare earths we observe similar trends. The resulting inequivalence of oxygen ions situated on the nickel bonds causes a partial shift away from a nickel site-centered charge ordering to a Ni-Ni bond-centered charge ordering. The resulting net dipole moment of each nickel-oxide ab plane has a finite projection along both the a and b axis, see Fig. 2. This dipole formation is reminiscent of the mechanism for ferroelectricity proposed in Ref. [25], where it was discussed in the context of HoMnO$_3$. For S-type magnetic order the dipole moments of different nickel-oxide ab planes add up to a net polarization along the b axis. The following symmetry argument underlies the fact that the polarization vanishes in all other directions. Translating an ab plane along [001] interchanges the O_2 and O_3 positions, but leaves the alter-

nation pattern of parallel or antiparallel spin bonds invariant, see Fig. 3(a). The O_2-O_3 interchange is equivalent to a rotation around the b axis by π. As in this case also **P** rotates by π around b axis, the net polarization points fully along b, see Fig. 3(a).

By virtue of the same argument for T-type magnetic ordering the polarization along the b axis vanishes, see Fig. 3(b). In this case a translation along [001] again interchanges the O_2 and O_3 positions, but the bonds of parallel spins transform into antiparallel ones and vice versa. This implies that compared to the S-type structure, an elementary translation along [001] in the T-type structure generates an additional inversion of **P**, see Fig. 3(b). Consequently the net in-plane polarization of the system is now along a. Via a similar argument one finds in the T-type structure a finite polarization along the c-axis, which is forbidden in the S-type structure.

Noncollinear phase: origin of multiferroicity.—The N-type structure corresponds to a magnetic spiral with propagation vector $\mathbf{Q} = [1/2, 0, 1/2]$ and rotation axis $\mathbf{e} = [0, 1, 0]$: it is a spiral with spins rotating in the ac plane. The spiral structure implies that in the direction of $\mathbf{Q}$ the *angle* between spins in successive planes is invariant, so that magnetic bonds are equivalent. The N-type magnetic ordering can therefore not give rise to multiferroicity via a modulation of the charge ordering, as is the case in the S and T phase. However, in spin-spirals the relativistic spin-orbit coupling directly causes a FE polarization [1]. Symmetry dictates that this polarization arises in the di-


FIG. 2 (color online). Schematic arrangement of oxygen-induced dipoles and resulting FE polarization for the $P2_1/n$ crystal structure, in the top layer of Figs. 1(a) and 1(b).

FIG. 3 (color online). Ferroelectric polarization after an elementary translation along the c axis, corresponding to the second layer Fig. 1, for (a) S- and (b) T-type magnetic ordering.

rection $\mathbf{e} \times \mathbf{Q}$, corresponding to $[1, 0, -1]$ in our case. Indeed, our *ab initio* calculations including spin-orbit coupling give $\mathbf{P} = (20, 0, -110)$ nC/cm^2, in full agreement with these symmetry considerations. The size of the polarization is comparable to that of TbMnO$_3$ [4] and thus much weaker than that for the S- and T-type ordering, which is a generic feature of systems where multiferroicity is caused by spin-orbit coupling.

Relaxed structure.—We have also computed the ionic contribution to $\mathbf{P}$ that arises from lattice distortions induced in the FE phase. Starting from the experimental centrosymmetric $P2_1/n$ crystal structure with a magnetic supercell we relax unit cell ionic positions, allowing for a lower symmetry structure to develop. Details of the structural relaxations will be published elsewhere [26]. The resulting total FE polarizations are reported in Table I. For the S-type magnetic state the induced lattice distortions enhance $\mathbf{P}$ for all nickelates that we have considered. For T-type magnetic state also a reduction of the polarization occurs in some materials, but still $\mathbf{P}$ stays very large: ~ 10 μC/cm^2 for Ho/Lu, ~ 8 μC/cm^2 for Pr /Nd. In the T-type magnetic state the polarization along the a axis, P_a, dominates over P_c. We find that P_c is so small due to a partial cancellation of ionic and electronic contributions to P_c, an effect that was also observed in HoMn$_2$O$_5$ [6] and in TbMnO$_3$ [27]. For the S-type structure we find total $P_b \sim 7$ μC/cm^2 for Ho/Lu, and ~ 3 μC/cm^2 for Pr /Nd. The generic observation is that nickelates with small rare-earth ions (Ho and Lu) tend to show the largest polarizations.

Conclusions.—On the basis of theoretical calculations we predict that the perovskite nickelates RNiO$_3$ (R rare earth) are multiferroic in their low-temperature insulating magnetic phase. We show that there are different mechanisms at play for magnetically-induced ferroelectricity in RNiO$_3$. The S and T collinear magnetic spin configurations give a remarkably large polarization (~ 10 μC/cm^2) along the b axis and in the ac plane, respectively. It is driven by an arrangement of spins that forces the charge ordering to shift from site centered to partially bond centered. The estimated polarization in the S and T collinear cases is much larger than that arising from a relativistic spin-orbit related mechanism, which is at play in the N-type spin-spiral state. An experimental determination of the direction and magnitude of $\mathbf{P}$ can therefore solve the long-standing puzzle related to the magnetic ground state in nickelates. The fact that according to our calculations the energies of the S- and T-type magnetic structures are very close but the directions of polarization are quite different, suggests one can stabilize one or the other by cooling in an appropriate electric field, so that in effect an applied external electric field can control the realization of different magnetic phases in these nickelates.

[1] S. W. Cheong and M. Mostovoy, Nature Mater. **6**, 13 (2007).
[2] D. I. Khomskii, Physics **2**, 20 (2009).
[3] W. Eerenstein, N. D. Mathur, and J. F. Scott, Nature (London) **442**, 759 (2006).
[4] T. Kimura *et al.*, Nature (London) **426**, 55 (2003).
[5] N. Hur *et al.*, Nature (London) **429**, 392 (2004).
[6] G. Giovannetti and J. van den Brink, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 227603 (2008).
[7] T. Lottermoser *et al.*, Nature (London) **430**, 541 (2004).
[8] D. V. Efremov, J. van den Brink, and D. I. Khomskii, Nature Mater. **3**, 853 (2004).
[9] J. van den Brink and D. Khomskii, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **20**, 434217 (2008).
[10] J. A. Alonso *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 1756 (2000).
[11] M. Medarde *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 212101 (2008).
[12] C. Girardot et al., Phys. Rev. B **78**, 104101 (2008).
[13] J. A. Alonso *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 3871 (1999).
[14] M. T. Fernandez-Diaz *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 144417 (2001).
[15] I. I. Mazin *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 176406 (2007).
[16] J. L. Garcia-Munoz *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 978 (1994).
[17] V. Scagnoli *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 100409(R) (2006).
[18] G. Kresse and J. Furthmuller, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 11 169 (1996); G. Kresse and J. Furthmuller, Comput. Mater. Sci. **6**, 15 (1996).
[19] J. P. Perdew *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 6671 (1992); **48**, 4978 (1993).
[20] A. Rohrbach, J. Hafner, and G. Kresse, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 075413 (2004).
[21] A. I. Liechtenstein, V. I. Anisimov, and J. Zaanen, Phys. Rev. B **52**, R5467 (1995).
[22] R. D. King-Smith and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 1651 (1993); D. Vanderbilt and R. D. Smith, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 4442 (1993).
[23] The cut-off for the plane-wave basis set was 400 eV and a [2,4,8] mesh was used for the Brioullin-zone sampling. In the BP approach, we integrated the center of the Wannier functions by strings parallel to all three axes, each of them divided into 8 k points.
[24] J. L. Garcia-Munoz *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 4414 (1992).
[25] S. Picozzi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 227201 (2007).
[26] G. Giovannetti *et al.* (to be published).
[27] A. Malashevich and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 037210 (2008).