## Deep Subthreshold $\Xi^-$ Production in Ar + KCl Reactions at 1.76A GeV

G. Agakishiev,<sup>8</sup> A. Balanda,<sup>3</sup> R. Bassini,<sup>9</sup> D. Belver,<sup>15</sup> A. V. Belyaev,<sup>6</sup> A. Blanco,<sup>2</sup> M. Böhmer,<sup>11</sup> J. L. Boyard,<sup>13</sup>
P. Braun-Munzinger,<sup>4</sup> P. Cabanelas,<sup>15</sup> E. Castro,<sup>15</sup> S. Chernenko,<sup>6</sup> T. Christ,<sup>11</sup> M. Destefanis,<sup>8</sup> J. Díaz,<sup>16</sup> F. Dohrmann,<sup>5</sup>
A. Dybczak,<sup>3</sup> T. Eberl,<sup>11</sup> L. Fabbietti,<sup>11,‡</sup> O. V. Fateev,<sup>6</sup> P. Finocchiaro,<sup>1</sup> P. Fonte,<sup>2,\*</sup> J. Friese,<sup>11</sup> I. Fröhlich,<sup>7</sup> T. Galatyuk,<sup>7</sup>
J. A. Garzón,<sup>15</sup> R. Gernhäuser,<sup>11</sup> A. Gil,<sup>16</sup> C. Gilardi,<sup>8</sup> M. Golubeva,<sup>10</sup> D. González-Díaz,<sup>4</sup> F. Guber,<sup>10</sup> T. Hennino,<sup>13</sup>
R. Holzmann,<sup>4</sup> I. Iori,<sup>9</sup> A. Ivashkin,<sup>10</sup> M. Jurkovic,<sup>11</sup> B. Kämpfer,<sup>5,†</sup> K. Kanaki,<sup>5</sup> T. Karavicheva,<sup>10</sup> D. Kirschner,<sup>8</sup>
I. Koenig,<sup>4</sup> W. Koenig,<sup>4</sup> B. W. Kolb,<sup>4</sup> R. Kotte,<sup>5</sup> F. Krizek,<sup>14</sup> R. Krücken,<sup>11</sup> W. Kühn,<sup>8</sup> A. Kugler,<sup>14</sup> A. Kurepin,<sup>10</sup> S. Lang,<sup>4</sup>
J. S. Lange,<sup>8</sup> K. Lapidus,<sup>10</sup> T. Liu,<sup>13</sup> L. Lopes,<sup>2</sup> M. Lorenz,<sup>7</sup> L. Maier,<sup>11</sup> A. Mangiarotti,<sup>2</sup> J. Markert,<sup>7</sup> V. Metag,<sup>8</sup>
B. Michalska,<sup>3</sup> J. Michel,<sup>7</sup> D. Mishra,<sup>8</sup> E. Morinière,<sup>13</sup> J. Mousa,<sup>12</sup> C. Müntz,<sup>7</sup> L. Naumann,<sup>5</sup> J. Otwinowski,<sup>3</sup>
Y. C. Pachmayer,<sup>7</sup> M. Palka,<sup>4</sup> Y. Parpottas,<sup>12</sup> V. Pechenov,<sup>4</sup> O. Pechenova,<sup>8</sup> J. Pietraszko,<sup>4</sup> W. Przygoda,<sup>3</sup> B. Ramstein,<sup>13</sup>
A. Reshetin,<sup>10</sup> M. Roy-Stephan,<sup>13</sup> A. Rustamov,<sup>4</sup> A. Sadovsky,<sup>10</sup> B. Sailer,<sup>11</sup> P. Salabura,<sup>3</sup> A. Schmah,<sup>11,‡</sup>
Yu. G. Sobolev,<sup>14</sup> S. Spataro,<sup>8</sup> B. Spruck,<sup>8</sup> H. Ströbele,<sup>7</sup> J. Stroth,<sup>7,4</sup> C. Sturm,<sup>7</sup> M. Sudol,<sup>13</sup> A. Tarantola,<sup>7</sup> K. Teilab,<sup>7</sup>
P. Tlusty,<sup>14</sup> M. Traxler,<sup>4</sup> R. Trebacz,<sup>3</sup> H. Tsertos,<sup>12</sup> V. Wagner,<sup>14</sup> M. Weber,<sup>11</sup> M. Wisniowski,<sup>3</sup> T. Wojcik,<sup>3</sup> J. Wüstenfeld,<sup>5</sup>
S. Yurevich,<sup>4</sup> Y. V. Zanevsky,<sup>6</sup> P. Zhou,<sup>5</sup> and P. Zumbruch<sup>4</sup>

## (HADES Collaboration)

<sup>1</sup>Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare - Laboratori Nazionali del Sud, 95125 Catania, Italy

<sup>2</sup>LIP-Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, 3004-516 Coimbra, Portugal

<sup>3</sup>Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University of Cracow, 30-059 Kraków, Poland

<sup>4</sup>GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, 64291 Darmstadt, Germany

<sup>5</sup>Institut für Strahlenphysik, Forschungszentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, 01314 Dresden, Germany

<sup>6</sup>Joint Institute of Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia

<sup>7</sup>Institut für Kernphysik, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität, 60438 Frankfurt, Germany

<sup>8</sup>II.Physikalisches Institut, Justus Liebig Universität Giessen, 35392 Giessen, Germany

<sup>9</sup>Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Milano, 20133 Milano, Italy

<sup>10</sup>Institute for Nuclear Research, Russian Academy of Science, 117312 Moscow, Russia

<sup>11</sup>Physik Department E12, Technische Universität München, 85748 München, Germany

<sup>12</sup>Department of Physics, University of Cyprus, 1678 Nicosia, Cyprus

<sup>13</sup>Institut de Physique Nucléaire (UMR 8608), CNRS/IN2P3 - Université Paris Sud, F-91406 Orsay Cedex, France

<sup>14</sup>Nuclear Physics Institute, Academy of Sciences of Czech Republic, 25068 Rez, Czech Republic

<sup>15</sup>Departamento de Física de Partículas, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, 15706 Santiago de Compostela, Spain

<sup>16</sup>Instituto de Física Corpuscular, Universidad de Valencia-CSIC, 46971 Valencia, Spain

(Received 21 July 2009; published 23 September 2009)

We report first results on a deep subthreshold production of the doubly strange hyperon  $\Xi^-$  in a heavyion reaction. At a beam energy of 1.76A GeV the reaction Ar + KCl was studied with the High Acceptance Di-Electron Spectrometer at SIS18/GSI. A high-statistics and high-purity  $\Lambda$  sample was collected, allowing for the investigation of the decay channel  $\Xi^- \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^-$ . The deduced  $\Xi^-/(\Lambda + \Sigma^0)$ production ratio of  $(5.6 \pm 1.2^{+1.8}_{-1.7}) \times 10^{-3}$  is significantly larger than available model predictions.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.132301

PACS numbers: 25.75.Dw

The doubly strange  $\Xi^-$  baryon (also known as a cascade particle) has, in vacuum, a mass of 1321.3 MeV and decays ( $c\tau = 4.91$  cm) almost exclusively into the  $\Lambda$ - $\pi^-$  final state [1]. In elementary nucleon-nucleon (*NN*) collisions near threshold, it must be coproduced with two kaons ensuring strangeness conservation. This requires a minimum beam energy of  $E_{\text{thr}} = 3.74 \text{ GeV}$  ( $\sqrt{s_{\text{thr}}} =$ 3.25 GeV). In heavy-ion collisions, the  $\Xi^-$  yield was measured at various beam energies covered by the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) at Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL) [2], Super Proton Synchrotron at CERN (SPS) [3,4], and Alternating Gradient Synchrotron (AGS) at BNL [5] accelerators. Though cooperative processes in heavy-ion reactions allow for particle production below the *NN* threshold, no sub-threshold  $\Xi^-$  production has been observed so far. Predictions of subthreshold cascade production at energies available with the heavy-ion synchrotron SIS18 at GSI, Darmstadt, were presented within a relativistic transport model [6]. The cross sections of the strangeness-exchange reactions  $\bar{K}Y \rightarrow \pi\Xi$  ( $Y = \Lambda$ ,  $\Sigma$ ), which are essential for  $\Xi$  creation below the nucleon-nucleon threshold, were taken

from a coupled-channel approach based on a flavor SU(3)invariant hadronic Lagrangian [7]. The  $\Xi^{-}/\Lambda$  ratio was found to amount to a few times  $10^{-4}$ , varying with system size and beam energy, however, being fairly independent on centrality. At SIS18 energies, also  $\bar{K}$  production, being a prerequisite of the above strangeness-exchange reactions, proceeds below the NN threshold ( $E_{\text{thr}} = 2.5 \text{ GeV}$ ). A similar strangeness-exchange reaction like that relevant for  $\Xi$  production is found to be dominant in subthreshold  $\bar{K}$  production in heavy-ion collisions [8], i.e.,  $\pi Y \rightarrow \bar{K}B$  $(B = N, \Delta)$ . Thus, medium effects on strange meson properties, like effective antikaon masses and hence reduced production thresholds, could strongly influence the  $\Xi$ vield. However, the authors of Ref. [6] found the  $\Xi$  vield to be more sensitive to the magnitude of the cross sections of strangeness-exchange reactions than to the medium effects due to modified kaon properties. The yield of multistrange particles, measured below their production threshold in NN collisions, is expected to be sensitive to the equation of state (EoS) of nuclear matter, similar to singlestrange hadrons [9]. In heavy-ion reactions, the necessary energy for the production of multistrange hyperons is accumulated via multiple collisions involving nucleons, produced particles, and short-living resonances. The corresponding number of such collisions increases with the density inside the reaction zone, the maximum of which in turn depends on the stiffness of the EoS.

In this Letter, we report on the first observation of subthreshold  $\Xi^-$  production in heavy-ion collisions. The experiment was performed with the High Acceptance Di-Electron Spectrometer (HADES) at SIS18 [10]. HADES, primarily designed to measure dielectrons [11], offers excellent hadron identification capabilities, too [12–14].

A <sup>40</sup>Ar beam of about 10<sup>6</sup> particles/s with kinetic energy of 1.756A GeV ( $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.61$  GeV) was incident on a fourfold segmented <sup>nat</sup>KCl target with a total thickness of 5 mm corresponding to 3.3% interaction probability. The beam energy is known with a precision of about  $10^{-3}$ . The energy loss of the beam particles in the target is estimated to be less than 0.5A MeV per target slice. The position resolution of the primary (reaction) vertex amounts to 0.3 mm in both transverse directions, while in the beam direction it amounts to 1.5 mm as expected from the finite thickness of the target slices. The data readout was started by a first-level trigger (LVL1) decision, requiring a minimum charged-particle multiplicity  $\geq 16$  in the time-offlight detectors. The integrated cross section selected by this trigger comprises approximately the most central 35% of the total reaction cross section. About  $700 \times 10^{6}$  LVL1 events were processed for the present  $\Xi^-$  investigation.

In the present analysis, we identified the  $\Lambda$  hyperons through their decay  $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$ . Note that the reconstructed  $\Lambda$  yield includes the decay  $\Lambda$ 's of the (slightly heavier)  $\Sigma^0$ baryon decaying exclusively into  $\Lambda$  and a photon [1] which cannot be detected with HADES. Hence, the  $\Lambda$  yield has to be understood as that of  $(\Lambda + \Sigma^0)$  throughout the Letter. To allow for  $\Lambda$  selection, various cuts on single-particle and two-particle quantities were applied. The most important ones act on geometrical distances, i.e., (i) minimum values of the *p* and  $\pi^-$  track distances to the primary vertex (*p*-VecToPrimVer,  $\pi_1$ -VecToPrimVer), (ii) an upper threshold of the *p*- $\pi^-$  minimum track distance (*p*- $\pi_1$ -MinVecDist), and (iii) a minimum value of the  $\Lambda$ vertex distance to the primary vertex ( $\Lambda$ -VerToPrimVer).

With these conditions we first analyzed the invariantmass distribution of proton- $\pi^-$  pairs (Fig. 1). A clear  $\Lambda$ signal could be separated from the combinatorial background (bg) as determined via the event mixing technique. The background normalization was performed over the given invariant-mass range, except a ±15 MeV interval around the  $\Lambda$  peak. For a ±3 $\sigma$  mass cut around the  $\Lambda$  peak, the signal-to-background ratio and the significance, defined as signal/ $\sqrt{\text{signal} + \text{bg}}$ , amount to 4.6 and 195, respectively. The total  $\Lambda$  yield for the given cuts is  $N_{\Lambda} =$ 46 500 ± 600. Fitting a Gaussian function to the signal, we obtain a mean value of (1114.2 ± 0.1) MeV and a width ( $\sigma$ ) of (2.7 ± 0.1) MeV.

Taking this high-statistics  $\Lambda$  sample, we started the  $\Xi^{-}$ investigation by combining—for each event containing a  $\Lambda$ candidate—the  $\Lambda$  with those  $\pi^-$  mesons not already contributing to the  $\Lambda$ . The result was a structureless  $\Lambda$ - $\pi$ invariant-mass distribution. Hence, additional conditions were necessary: (iv) a lower limit on the 2nd  $\pi^{-1}$ (potential  $\Xi^-$  daughter) track distance to primary vertex  $(\pi_2$ -VecToPrimVer), (v) an upper limit of the distance of the  $\Xi^-$  pointing vector with respect to the primary vertex ( $\Xi$ -VecToPrimVer), (vi) a maximum value of the minimum track distance of the  $\Lambda$  and the 2nd  $\pi^{-1}$  $(\pi_2$ -A-MinVecDist), (vii) a minimum value of the distance of the  $\Xi^-$  vertex relative to the primary one  $(\Xi$ -VerToPrimVer), and (viii) a window of  $\pm 7$  MeV around the  $\Lambda$  mass peak of the p- $\pi^-$  invariant-mass distribution.

The conditions on the geometrical quantities are summarized in Fig. 2, where the optimum cut values are indicated by arrows. We studied the stability of the signal if more stringent conditions were chosen. Because of the



FIG. 1. The p- $\pi^-$  invariant-mass distribution. Hatched histogram: Scaled combinatorial background produced via event mixing.





FIG. 2. Relative total  $\Xi^-$  yields as a function of the cut value of various  $\Lambda$  and  $\Xi^-$  geometrical distances (see text; units are millimeters). The full (open) dots display the experimental (simulation) data. The vertical and horizontal arrows indicate the chosen cut values and the region of accepted distances, respectively.

limited statistics, all other cuts were kept fixed at the optimum values when varying a single cut quantity. The dependences on the various geometrical distances of experimental data and GEANT [15] simulations (see below) are found to be in good agreement.

Figure 3 shows the invariant-mass distribution of  $\Lambda$ - $\pi^$ pairs after applying all conditions. Indeed, a narrow signal shows up on top of a smooth distribution. For an invariantmass window of  $\pm 10$  MeV (4 bins) around the peak center, we find  $N_{\Xi^-} = 141 \pm 31 \pm 25$  entries to be attributed to  $\Xi^-$  with the given statistical and systematic errors. The signal-to-background ratio and the significance amount to 0.17 and 4.6, respectively. The given systematic error of the signal is due to the signal variation for various histogram binnings, background normalization regions, and mass windows assigned to the signal. These systematic variations are also reflected in the significance of the signal of about 4–6. The full curve in the bottom panel of Fig. 3 represents a Gaussian fit to the signal. The mean value of  $(1320 \pm 1)$  MeV is well in agreement with the PDG value of 1321.3 MeV [1]. Taking into account the bias due to the rather large bin size of 5 MeV to be used for statistical reasons, the peak width ( $\sigma$ ) of (4 ± 1) MeV is in fair agreement with GEANT simulations which predict for  $\Lambda$ and  $\Xi^-$  baryons almost equal values of about 2.5 MeV. In order to ensure that it is not a fake signal, we performed a  $\Lambda$ -sideband analysis. No signal was found when choosing, instead of condition (viii), a window in the p- $\pi^-$  invariant mass of  $10 < |M_{p\pi^-} - \langle M_\Lambda \rangle| < 25$  MeV. Furthermore,



FIG. 3. Top: The same as Fig. 1 but for  $\Lambda$ - $\pi$ <sup>-</sup> pairs. Bottom: The invariant-mass distribution after background sub-traction. The full curve represents a Gaussian fit to the  $\Xi$ -signal.

when dividing randomly the data sample into two subsamples, the  $\Xi^-$  subyields were found—within errors compatible with half of the above quoted total yield.

Corrections for the finite acceptances and reconstruction efficiencies were deduced from simulations. Thermal  $\Lambda$ 's  $(\Xi^{-}s)$  characterized by the temperature parameter  $T_{\Lambda}$  $(T_{\Xi^{-}})$  were generated with the event generator PLUTO [16]. The experimental  $\Lambda$  rapidity distribution is found slightly broader than the thermal model distribution [12,17]. Consequently, in PLUTO we allowed also for anisotropic, i.e., longitudinally elongated, phase-space distributions. For this purpose, an additional width parameter for the rapidity distributions of a Gaussian of width  $\sigma_v$  is taken into account. The  $\Lambda$  parameters are chosen such that the simulation reproduces both the experimental values of the effective inverse slope parameter at midrapidity  $T_{\rm eff,\Lambda} =$ 95 MeV and the rapidity width  $\sigma_{y,\Lambda} = 0.42$  [12,13]. Since the phase-space distribution of the  $\Xi^-$  is not known, we investigated its geometrical acceptance for a broad range of transverse and longitudinal shape parameters, i.e., the inverse slope and rapidity width  $T_{\rm eff,\Xi^-} = (95 \pm 25) \text{ MeV}$ and  $\sigma_{y,\Xi^-} = 0.34 \pm 0.09$ , respectively. Here the  $\Lambda$  inverse slope serves as a reference value. The lower limit of  $T_{eff \Xi^-}$ matches the measured inverse slope parameter of  $K^$ mesons [13,14] being essential for producing  $\Xi$  hyperons via strangeness-exchange processes (see above), while the upper limit is set by a similar interval above the  $\Lambda$  slope. We assumed the rapidity width of  $\Xi^-$ 's to be larger than the width of thermal  $\Xi^{-}$ 's with a temperature of 95 MeV but smaller than that of  $\Lambda$ 's. This choice is substantiated by two facts: First, for a thermal rapidity distribution, the

width approximately scales with the square root of mass  $(\sigma_y = \sqrt{T/m_0 c^2})$ , and second, the cascade particle may carry less longitudinal momentum than the  $\Lambda$  hyperon, since it contains only one light quark which arises from projectile nucleons. With the given parameters and their ranges, we calculated the average HADES acceptance (including the branching ratio for the decay  $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^{-}$ ) for the  $\Lambda$  to  $\epsilon_{\rm acc,\Lambda} = 0.160 \pm 0.009$  and for the  $\Xi^-$  to  $\epsilon_{\rm acc,\Xi^-} = (9.6^{+2.3}_{-2.1}) \times 10^{-2}$ . The simulation data are processed through GEANT, modeling the detector response. The GEANT data were embedded into real experimental data and processed through the full analysis chain. Relating the outputs, after cuts, to the corresponding inputs, the average  $\Lambda$  and  $\Xi^-$  reconstruction efficiencies were estimated to  $\epsilon_{\rm eff,\Lambda} = (6.1 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$  and  $\epsilon_{\rm eff,\Xi^-} = (5.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$ , respectively. [Note that both efficiencies are almost equal because of the higher survival probability with respect to cut (iii) of secondary  $\Lambda$ 's as compared to primary ones. Thus, the loss due to the additional cuts (iv)-(viii) is compensated to a large extent.] We confirmed our acceptance and efficiency corrections by extracting the  $\Lambda$  yield [12] which is found to be in agreement with existing data [17]. With the above correction factors, the ratio of  $\Xi^-$  and  $\Lambda$  production yields can be determined. Such a ratio, when derived from the same data analysis, has the advantage that systematic errors cancel to a large extent. The ratio is calculated as

$$\frac{P_{\Xi^-}}{P_{\Lambda+\Sigma^0}} = \frac{N_{\Xi^-}}{N_{\Lambda}} \frac{\epsilon_{\mathrm{acc},\Lambda}}{\epsilon_{\mathrm{acc},\Xi^-}} \frac{\epsilon_{\mathrm{eff},\Lambda}}{\epsilon_{\mathrm{eff},\Xi^-}} = (5.6 \pm 1.2^{+1.8}_{-1.7}) \times 10^{-3},$$
(1)

where statistical and systematic errors are given, resulting from adding the individual ones quadratically. The statistical error in (1) is dominated by the 20% error of the  $\Xi^$ signal, while the systematic error is governed by the stability of the signal against cut and background variation and by the range of the parameters  $T_{\Xi^-}$  and  $\sigma_{y,\Xi^-}$  entering the simulation.

The deduced  $\Xi^{-}/\Lambda$  ratio (1) may be compared with the corresponding ratios at higher energies [2–5]. Figure 4 shows a compilation of  $\Xi^{-}/\Lambda$  ratios as a function of  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ . The displayed data represent the most central 5%-10% of collisions of Au + Au or Pb + Pb. At RHIC and SPS energies, hardly any centrality dependence of the  $\Xi^{-}/\Lambda$  ratio was observed [2,3]. So far, the lowest energy at which a  $\Xi^{-}/\Lambda$  ratio is available is  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 3.84$  GeV, i.e., an excess energy of +600 MeV above the NN threshold [5]. The corresponding ratio, measured at the AGS at a beam energy of 6A GeV, is found to increase slightly with centrality. For central (semicentral) collisions it is about 3 (2) times larger than our value. Indeed, a steep decline of the  $\Xi^{-}/\Lambda$  production ratio is expected below threshold, where now the first data point is available. This allows for comparisons to model calculations.



FIG. 4. The yield ratio  $\Xi^{-}/(\Lambda + \Sigma^{0})$  as a function of  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  or  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} - \sqrt{s_{thr}}$  (inset). The arrow gives the threshold in free NN collisions. The open star, triangles, and square represent data for central Au + Au and Pb + Pb collisions measured at RHIC [2], SPS [3,4], and AGS [5], respectively. The filled circle shows the ratio (1) for Ar + KCl reactions at 1.76A GeV (statistical error within ticks, systematic error as bar). Full curve: Statistical model for Au + Au [18].

The  $\Xi^-/\Lambda$  ratio has been estimated for Au + Au within a statistical approach [18]. While RHIC [2], SPS [3,4], and AGS [5] data are well described, the present experimental  $\Xi^-/\Lambda$  ratio is underestimated by the model yielding 4 × 10<sup>-4</sup> (cf. Fig. 4). Utilizing by ourselves the statisticalmodel package THERMUS [19], we obtained a ratio of 2 × 10<sup>-4</sup>. Here the optimum input parameters [i.e., temperature  $T = (73 \pm 5)$  MeV, baryon chemical potential  $\mu_b =$ (780 ± 40) MeV, and ratio of strangeness correlation and fireball radii  $R_c/R = (0.45 \pm 0.15)$  fm] follow from the best fit to all HADES particle yields (except  $\Xi^-$ ) in Ar + KCl at 1.76A GeV [12,14]. Finally, predictions within a transport approach [6] (soft EoS with incompressibility  $K_0 = 194$  MeV) yield a  $\Xi^-/\Lambda$  ratio of a few times 10<sup>-4</sup>, comparable to the statistical model.

In summary, we observed the production of the doubly strange cascade hyperon  $\Xi^-$  in collisions of Ar + KCl at 1.76A GeV with a significance of about five. For the first time, using the HADES detector at SIS18/GSI, this hyperon was measured below the threshold in free nucleon-nucleon collisions, i.e., at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} - \sqrt{s_{thr}} = -640$  MeV. Comparing the experimental  $\Xi^-/(\Lambda + \Sigma^0)$  ratio to the predictions of a statistical model and a transport approach shows that both underestimate the experimental ratio. We conclude that (I) the conditions for the applicability of present statistical models might be not fulfilled for such rare-particle production in small systems far below threshold and that (II) in transport approaches, a better understanding is necessary of the strangeness-exchange

reactions, conjectured to be the dominant process for cascade production below and close to threshold. Other explanations for the unexpectedly high  $\Xi^-$  yield, like modifications of strange hadrons in the nuclear medium, should be investigated.

The HADES Collaboration gratefully acknowledges the support by BMBF Grants No. 06TM970I, No. 06GI146I, No. 06F-140, and No. 06DR135 (Germany), by GSI (TM-FR1, GI/ME3, OF/STR), by Grants No. GA AS CR IAA100480803 and No. MSMT LC 07050 (Czech Republic), by Grant No. KBN 5P03B 140 20 (Poland), by INFN (Italy), by CNRS/IN2P3 (France), by Grants MCYT No. FPA2000-2041-C02-02 and XUGA PGID No. T02PXIC20605PN (Spain), by Grant No. UCY-10.3.11.12 (Cyprus), by INTAS Grant No. 06-1000012-8861, and by EU Contract No. RII3-CT-2004-506078.

\*Also at ISEC Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal.

<sup>†</sup>Also at Technische Universität Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany.

<sup>‡</sup>Also at Excellence Cluster Universe, Technische Universität München, 85748 Garching, Germany.

- [1] C. Amsler *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Phys. Lett. B **667**, 1 (2008).
- [2] J. Adams *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 062301 (2007).

- [3] F. Antinori *et al.* (NA57 Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **595**, 68 (2004).
- [4] C. Alt *et al.* (NA49 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 78, 034918 (2008).
- [5] P. Chung *et al.* (E895 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 202301 (2003).
- [6] L.-W. Chen, C. M. Ko, and Y. Tzeng, Phys. Lett. B 584, 269 (2004).
- [7] C. H. Li and C. M. Ko, Nucl. Phys. A712, 110 (2002).
- [8] Ch. Hartnack, H. Oeschler, and J. Aichelin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 102302 (2003).
- [9] C. Fuchs, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 56, 1 (2006).
- [10] G. Agakishiev *et al.* (HADES Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. A **41**, 243 (2009).
- [11] G. Agakichiev *et al.* (HADES Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 052302 (2007).
- [12] A. Schmah, Ph.D. thesis, Technische Universität Darmstadt, 2008.
- [13] L. Fabbietti, J. Phys. G 36, 064005 (2009).
- [14] G. Agakishiev *et al.* (HADES Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 80, 025209 (2009).
- [15] GEANT 3.21, http://consult.cern.ch/writeup/geant/, 1993.
- [16] I. Fröhlich et al., Proc. Sci., ACAT2007 (2007) 076.
- [17] M. Merschmeyer *et al.* (FOPI Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 76, 024906 (2007).
- [18] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, and K. Redlich, Nucl. Phys. A765, 211 (2006).
- [19] S. Wheaton, J. Cleymans, and M. Hauer, Comput. Phys. Commun. 180, 84 (2009).