

**Erratum: Sixth-Order Vacuum-Polarization Contribution
to the Lamb Shift of Muonic Hydrogen
[Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3240 (1999)]**

T. Kinoshita and M. Nio

(Received 6 July 2009; published 11 August 2009)

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.079901

PACS numbers: 36.10.Dr, 06.20.Jr, 12.20.Ds, 31.30.J-, 99.10.Cd

In Eq. (25) of the Letter [1] we reported the contribution to the $2P_{1/2} - 2S_{1/2}$ Lamb shift of the muonic hydrogen due to exchange of 3 second-order electron-vacuum-polarization functions:

$$\Delta E(\text{Fig. 5c: Ref. [1]}) = 0.002\,535(1) \times m_r(Z\alpha)^2 \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^3. \quad (1)$$

This term comes from the third-order perturbation theory of the nonrelativistic Coulomb-Schrödinger theory

$$\delta E_1 = \langle \phi_n | H_I G'_n H_I G'_n H_I | \phi_n \rangle, \quad G'_n \equiv \sum_{k \neq n} \frac{|\phi_k\rangle\langle\phi_k|}{E_n - H_0}, \quad (2)$$

where H_0 and H_I are the nonperturbative and perturbative parts of the Hamiltonian, respectively. In the case of Fig. 5c, H_I is the Uehling potential.

Recently, Ivanov, Korzinin, and Karshenboim have pointed out that another type of third-order correction

$$\delta E_2 = \langle \phi_n | H_I | \phi_n \rangle \langle \phi_n | H_I G'_n G'_n H_I | \phi_n \rangle \quad (3)$$

should also be taken into account [2]. We agree with them that we overlooked this term, and evaluated it by ourselves, too. Our result is

$$\Delta E(\text{Fig. 5c: new}) = -0.001\,365\,8(18) \times m_r(Z\alpha)^2 \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^3, \quad (4)$$

which confirms the value $-0.001\,3657$ given in Eq. (9) of Ref. [2]. [The same value is also given in Eq. (3) of Ref. [3].]

Combined with the previous result Eq. (1), the total contribution from Fig. 5c must be modified to

$$\Delta E(\text{Fig. 5c}) = 0.001\,169\,3(21) \times m_r(Z\alpha)^2 \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^3. \quad (5)$$

We deeply appreciate Dr. V. G. Ivanov, Dr. E. Y. Korzinin, and Dr. S. G. Karshenboim for informing us of our oversight before their publication.

[1] T. Kinoshita and M. Nio, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 3240 (1999).

[2] V. G. Ivanov, E. Y. Korzinin, and S. G. Karshenboim, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 027702 (2009).

[3] V. G. Ivanov, E. Y. Korzinin, and S. G. Karshenboim, arXiv:0905.4471.