## **Two-Particle Nonlocal Aharonov-Bohm Effect from Two Single-Particle Emitters**

Janine Splettstoesser,<sup>1</sup> Michael Moskalets,<sup>1,2</sup> and Markus Büttiker<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Département de Physique Théorique, Université de Genève, CH-1211 Genève 4, Switzerland <sup>2</sup>Department of Metal and Semiconductor Physics, NTU "Kharkiv Polytechnic Institute," 61002 Kharkiv, Ukraine

(Received 6 May 2009; published 13 August 2009)

We propose a mesoscopic circuit in the quantum Hall effect regime comprising two uncorrelated singleparticle sources and two distant Mach-Zehnder interferometers with magnetic fluxes, which allows us in a controllable way to produce orbitally entangled electrons. Two-particle correlations appear as a consequence of erasing of which-path information due to collisions taking place at distant interferometers and in general at different times. The two-particle correlations manifest themselves as an Aharonov-Bohm effect in noise, while the current is insensitive to magnetic fluxes. In an appropriate time interval the concurrence reaches a maximum and a Bell inequality is violated.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.076804

PACS numbers: 73.23.-b, 72.10.-d, 73.50.Td

Introduction.—Interference phenomena are the most prominent feature of quantum mechanics. Of particular interest are interference effects in multiparticle states. For example in optics, the Hanbury Brown–Twiss effect [1] and the Hong-Ou-Mandel effect [2] both result from two-particle interference of photons emitted by two independent sources. In mesoscopics, electrons can play a role similar to photons in optics. In an electrical circuit with currents incoming from different (uncorrelated) equilibrium contacts, the noise can show interference even if the currents exhibit no interference contribution [3].

Recently a single-particle emitter [4] was experimentally realized on the basis of a quantum capacitor in a twodimensional electron gas in the integer quantum Hall effect regime. Subject to an appropriate large amplitude potential the capacitor emits a single electron during the first halfcycle and a single hole during the second half-cycle. With such an emitter it is possible to inject single electrons and holes in a nonequilibrium state into an electrical conductor. Injected particles can be guided by edge states and encounter splitters realized by quantum point contacts (QPC). These states can be considered as an analogue of short photon pulses produced by a laser. By using two such sources and tuning the times when they emit particles, one can force emitted particles to collide at some QPC. Tuning can be achieved by varying the phase difference between the two potentials acting on the capacitors. Such a collision erases which-path information for particles leaving the QPC, and it promotes the appearance of twoparticle correlation effects. Based on this simple idea an electronic analogue of an optical Hong-Ou-Mandel interferometer was suggested [5]. This interferometer shows a noise suppression due to two-particle correlations arising locally when particles collide at a QPC.

In this Letter we propose a setup where two-particle correlations arise nonlocally in time and in space. This is particularly intriguing when the currents are magnetic-flux independent, namely, when the width of the electron pulses is small with respect to the arm length differences of the Mach-Zehnder interferometers (MZIs) (see Fig. 1). Our geometry resembles the optical Franson interferometer [6], but the underlying physics is different. The uncorrelated electrons (holes) emitted by the sources A and B and propagating along different arms of the same interferometer can collide at the interferometer exit if the times of emission, defined by the potentials  $U_A(t)$  and  $U_B(t)$ , are properly chosen. If the difference of the arm lengths for both interferometers is the same, then the electron collisions take place at both interferometers (possibly at different times). This makes the two two-particle amplitudes (with one electron going through the left and another going through the right interferometers) indistinguishable, hence interfering. Corresponding amplitudes are shown in Fig. 1 in dotted and dashed lines. Such an interference results in two-particle correlations arising nonlocally in space and time. As a direct manifestation of this interference, the noise shows an Aharonov-Bohm effect [7] with the total



FIG. 1 (color online). Emitters A and B driven by potentials  $U_A(t)$  and  $U_B(t)$  inject single particles into edge states (solid lines). After scattering at a center QPC (C) particles reach Mach-Zehnder interferometers with Aharonov-Bohm fluxes  $\Phi_L$  and  $\Phi_R$ . The colored (dotted and dashed) lines show possible two-particle amplitudes which lead to particle collisions erasing which-path information.

flux determined by both the magnetic fluxes  $\Phi_L$  and  $\Phi_R$  threading the distant interferometers. We analyze the twoelectron state emitted by our setup and find it to be fully entangled with collisions in place and completely disentangled in the case that no collisions are present. We arrive at the same conclusion by analyzing a Bell inequality [8], which can be violated even at partial overlap of electron wave packets.

The two-particle Aharonov-Bohm effect in electrical conductors was discussed theoretically [9] and investigated experimentally [10] in a Hanbury Brown–Twiss interferometer geometry [11]. The novelty of our proposal is the quantized injection of electrons and the possibility of controlling the appearance of two-particle correlations. In comparison to proposals discussed in the literature for a dynamical generation of entanglement [12], the present scheme deals with electron-electron (and hole-hole) rather then electron-hole entanglement.

Model and formalism.—The system is schematically shown in Fig. 1. Two mesoscopic capacitors, A and B, are contacted by QPCs, with reflection (transmission) coefficients  $r_A$  ( $t_A$ ) and  $r_B$  ( $t_B$ ), to chiral edge states. The mesoscopic capacitors are driven by time-dependent, homogeneously applied potentials  $U_A(t)$  and  $U_B(t)$ , with equal frequency  $\Omega$  and a large amplitude such that the capacitors serve as sources of single electrons and holes. The particles emitted are transmitted or reflected at the center QPC (C), with reflection (transmission) coefficients  $r_C$  ( $t_C$ ). Before reaching the contacts 1 to 4 the signals traverse the lower (d) or upper (u) arms of two MZIs [13,14], L and R, pierced by magnetic fluxes,  $\Phi_L$  and  $\Phi_R$ . The beam splitters of the MZI have the reflection (transmission) coefficients  $r_B^l(t_\beta^l)$  and  $r_B^r(t_\beta^r)$ .

We use a scattering matrix approach [15,16] and describe the mesoscopic capacitor by a Fabry-Perot-like amplitude [15],  $S_{\alpha}(t, E) = r_{\alpha} + t_{\alpha}^2 \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} r_{\alpha}^{q-1} e^{iqE\tau_{\alpha} - i\Phi_{\alpha}^q(t)}$ ,  $\alpha = A, B$ , depending on the energy of an incoming particle and the time it exits. Here  $\tau_{\alpha} = h/\Delta_{\alpha}$ , and  $\Delta_{\alpha}$  is the capacitor's level spacing:  $\Phi^q_{\alpha}(t) = \frac{e}{\hbar} \int_{t-q\tau_{\alpha}}^{t} dt' U_{\alpha}(t')$ . The scattering matrix of the full system also depends on the central QPC and the MZIs. A phase  $\Phi_{\beta\alpha}^{u(d)}(E) = E \tau_{\beta\alpha}^{u(d)}/\hbar + \bar{\Phi}_{\beta}^{u(d)}$  is accumulated when a particle coming from source  $\alpha$  traverses the upper (lower) arm of the interferometer  $\beta = L$ , R. The time for this traversal is  $\tau^{u}_{\beta\alpha}$  ( $\tau^{d}_{\beta\alpha}$ ), and the phase  $\bar{\Phi}^{u}_{\beta\alpha}$  ( $\bar{\Phi}^{d}_{\beta\alpha}$ ) depends on the magnetic flux. We are interested in the case of slow driving, meaning that the frequency  $\Omega$  is much smaller than the inverse of the lifetime of particles in the cavity, without requesting restrictions on  $\Omega$  with respect to the time scales related to the entire system. In order to calculate the currents into contacts 1 and 2, we need to know the scattering matrix elements for scattering from contacts 1 and 2 into contacts 1 and 2. We find

$$S_{11}(t, E) = r_C [t_L^l t_L^r S_A(t - \tau_{LA}^u, E) e^{i\Phi_{LA}^u(E)} + r_L^l r_L^r S_A(t - \tau_{LA}^d, E) e^{i\Phi_{LA}^d(E)}].$$
(1)

The elements  $S_{12}(t, E)$ ,  $S_{21}(t, E)$ , and  $S_{22}(t, E)$  are found analogously, while the elements which describe the scattering from contact 3 or 4 are time independent.

The current.—A periodic potential with period  $\mathcal{T} = 2\pi/\Omega$  results in a current at contact *j* [17],

$$I_{j}(t) = \frac{e}{h} \int dE \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{2} [f(E) - f(E + \hbar n \Omega)]$$
$$\times \int_{0}^{\mathcal{T}} \frac{dt'}{\mathcal{T}} e^{in\Omega(t-t')} S_{jl}(t, E) S_{jl}^{*}(t', E).$$
(2)

This equation is valid for finite frequency and arbitrary amplitude driving. The current at contact, say, 1,  $I_1(t) = R_C I_{1A}(t) + T_C I_{1B}(t)$  (here  $T_C = 1 - R_C = |t_C|^2$ ), consists of a current coming from source A (B) reflected (transmitted) at the central QPC and passed through the interferometer L. At zero temperature, the partial current  $I_{1\alpha}(t)$ ,  $\alpha = A$ , B, comprises a classical part due to the current generated by the capacitor,  $I_{\alpha}(t) = \frac{e^2}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial U_{\alpha}}{\partial t} S_{\alpha}^{(0)*}(t) \times \frac{\partial}{\partial E} S_{\alpha}^{(0)}(t)$ , and an interference part,

$$I_{1\alpha}(t) = R_L^l R_L^r I_\alpha(t - \tau_{L\alpha}^d) + T_L^l T_L^r I_\alpha(t - \tau_{L\alpha}^u) + \frac{e\gamma_L/\pi}{\tau_{L\alpha}^u - \tau_{L\alpha}^d} \operatorname{Im} \{ S_\alpha^{(0)*}(t - \tau_{L\alpha}^u) S_\alpha^{(0)}(t - \tau_{L\alpha}^d) e^{-i\Phi_L} \}.$$
(3)

The current in contact 2 is found analogously. Here we introduced the instantaneous scattering matrix of the cavity  $\alpha$  at the Fermi energy  $\mu$ ,  $S_{\alpha}^{(0)}(t) = S_{\alpha}(\mu - eU_{\alpha}(t))$ . The transmission probability at each MZI beam splitter is  $T_{\beta}^{j} =$  $1 - R_{\beta}^{j} = |t_{\beta}^{j}|^{2}$ , and  $\gamma_{\beta} = (R_{\beta}^{l}R_{\beta}^{r}T_{\beta}^{l}T_{\beta}^{r})^{1/2}$  is a product of the reflection and transmission coefficients. Furthermore the flux enclosed by the interferometer  $\beta$  is given by  $\Phi_{\beta} =$  $\bar{\Phi}^{u}_{\beta} - \bar{\Phi}^{d}_{\beta}$ , in units of  $\Phi_{0}/(2\pi)$ , where  $\Phi_{0} = h/e$  is the magnetic-flux quantum. As the coherent emission of quantized charge attracts our special attention, we now treat the case of small transmission of the cavities' QPCs, where the current emitted by a cavity is a series of well separated pulses of opposite sign for the emission of electrons and holes. We resort to a description in the Breit-Wigner regime, and assume that one electron and one hole are emitted per period from cavity  $\alpha$  at times  $t^e_{\alpha}$  and  $t^h_{\alpha}$ , described by current pulses, having a Lorentzian shape with half-width  $\Gamma_{\alpha}$  [17].

The last term in Eq. (3) is due to single-particle interference in one of the MZIs. Single-particle interference appears when the wave functions traveling through the upper and the lower arm of the interferometer have an overlap at the interferometer exit, which is only possible if the path difference of the interferometer arms is at most of the order of the usual first-order coherence length. Thus this interference term is suppressed as soon as the difference in the traversal times of the respective interferometer  $\beta = L$ , R, given by  $\Delta \tau_{\beta} = \tau^{u}_{\beta\alpha} - \tau^{d}_{\beta\alpha}$ , is large compared to the half-width  $\Gamma_{\alpha}$  of a current pulse emitted by the source  $\alpha = A$ , *B*. The suppression of the single-particle interference can therefore be used as a measure for the spreading of the wave packet emitted by a driven capacitor. The path difference is tunable in experiments by side gates applied to the edge states [13]. We are now interested in the situation where the flux dependence of the currents vanishes, and interference effects can be attributed to two-particle correlations.

*The noise.*—Two-particle correlations can be observed in the noise properties. We calculate the symmetrized zerofrequency noise power (shot noise) [18] for currents flowing into contacts 1 and 2. The equation for noise complementary to Eq. (2) reads

$$\mathcal{P}_{12} = \frac{e^2}{h} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{sign}(n) \int_{\mu-n\hbar\Omega}^{\mu} dE \int_{0}^{T} \frac{dt}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \frac{dt'}{T} e^{\operatorname{in}\Omega(t-t')} \times \sum_{l,j=1}^{4} S_{1l}(t,E) S_{1j}^*(t,E_n) S_{2l}^*(t',E) S_{2j}(t',E_n), \quad (4)$$

where  $E_n = E + n\hbar\Omega$ . If the arm length differences of the MZIs are commensurate,  $\Delta \tau := \Delta \tau_L = \mp \Delta \tau_R$ , we find in the zeroth order in  $\Omega \Gamma_{\alpha}$ ,

$$\mathcal{P}_{12} = -\mathcal{P}_0 \sum_{s=e,h} \{T_L T_R [1 - L(\Delta t^s)] - \gamma_L \gamma_R \cos(\Phi_L \pm \Phi_R) [L(\Delta t^s - \Delta \tau) + L(\Delta t^s + \Delta \tau)]\},$$
(5)

with the classical MZI transmission probability  $T_{\beta} = T_{\beta}^{l}T_{\beta}^{r} + R_{\beta}^{l}R_{\beta}^{r}$ . Here  $\Delta t^{s} = t_{A}^{s} - t_{B}^{s} + \Delta \tau_{AB}$  depends on the difference of emission times  $t_{\alpha}^{s}$  and the time delay  $\Delta \tau_{AB} = \tau_{\beta A}^{u} - \tau_{\beta B}^{u}$  due to the asymmetry of the setup; the Lorentzians are defined by  $L(X) = 4\Gamma_{A}\Gamma_{B}/[X^{2} + (\Gamma_{A} + \Gamma_{B})^{2}]$ , and  $\mathcal{P}_{0} = (2e^{2}/\pi)T_{C}R_{C}\Omega$  is (minus) the shot noise produced by the central QPC alone [5].

The second line in Eq. (5) is a magnetic-flux-dependent contribution, appearing under two conditions. First, the interferometers have to be commensurate,  $\Delta \tau_L = \pm \Delta \tau_R$ . Second, the emission times of the cavities are such that a collision of two electrons (and/or two holes [19]) can take place at the interferometer outputs,  $|\Delta t^s \pm \Delta \tau| \leq \Gamma_{\alpha}$ . Both conditions together imply that the collisions take place at both interferometers. That results in an appearance of nonlocal two-particle correlations irrelevant for the current but with a pronounced effect on the noise. In Fig. 2 we show the shot noise for  $\Delta \tau_L = \Delta \tau_R$ , as a function of the magnetic-flux difference and the phase shift  $\varphi$ between potentials  $U_A(t) = U_A \cos(\Omega t)$  and  $U_B(t) =$  $U_B \cos(\Omega t + \varphi)$  acting on the capacitors A and B. We choose the difference between electron and hole emission times from the two sources to be equal. Each source emits one electron and one hole during the period  $\mathcal{T}$ . Varying the phase  $\varphi$  we change the time  $t_B^e$  when the capacitor B emits an electron. At  $\varphi = \varphi_0$  the condition  $\Delta t^e - \Delta \tau = 0$  is satisfied, and an electron emitted by the capacitor A and moving along the lower arm of an interferometer can



FIG. 2 (color online). Two-particle Aharonov-Bohm oscillations in the shot-noise correlation  $\mathcal{P}_{12}$  as a function of the difference in magnetic fluxes  $\Phi_A - \Phi_B$  and difference of phase  $\varphi$  of the potentials  $U_A(t)$  and  $U_B(t)$ .

collide (overlap) with an electron emitted by the capacitor *B* and moving along the upper arm of the same interferometer (vice versa for  $\varphi = -\varphi_0$ ). Therefore, a mere variation of the phase difference between the driving potentials can switch on or switch off the two-particle Aharonov-Bohm effect. Note the dip at  $\varphi = 0$  is the fermionic Hong-Ou-Mandel effect [5].

*Entanglement.*—Now we show that these correlations are quantum; i.e., the emitted electrons are orbitally entangled in pairs. The same, of course, is valid with respect to holes. The degree of entanglement of the two-particle state, expected whenever the shot noise of the system becomes flux dependent, can be measured in terms of the concurrence [20]. A wave packet created at the source A by the operators  $\hat{A}^{\dagger} = \int dk w(k) e^{ikv_F(t_A - t)} \hat{a}^{\dagger}(k)$  acting on the Fermi sea  $|0\rangle$  is scattered into

$$\hat{A}_{\text{out}}^{\dagger}(t) = \int dk w(k) [t_C t_R^l \mathcal{F}_R^u \hat{g}_{R2}^{\dagger}(k) + t_C r_R^l \mathcal{F}_R^d \hat{g}_{R1}^{\dagger}(k) + r_C t_L^l \mathcal{F}_L^u \hat{g}_{L2}^{\dagger}(k) + r_C r_L^l \mathcal{F}_L^d \hat{g}_{L1}^{\dagger}(k)], \qquad (6)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_{\beta}^{u/d} = e^{i\bar{\Phi}_{A\beta}^{u/d}} e^{ikv_F(t_A + \tau_{A\beta}^{u/d} - t)}$  [analogously for  $\hat{B}^{\dagger}$ and  $\hat{B}_{out}^{\dagger}(t)$ ; for simplicity, we suppose that the wave packets have the same shape, w(k)]. The creation operators  $\hat{g}(k)$ are related to the wave packet detected at the four interferometer outcomes, representing the states  $|1\rangle_L$ ,  $|2\rangle_L$ ,  $|1\rangle_R$ ,  $|2\rangle_R$  (see Fig. 1). We consider a part of the outgoing state corresponding to events with one particle to the left and one particle to the right. Decomposing this outgoing two-particle state,  $|\Psi_{\text{out}}\rangle = \hat{A}_{\text{out}}^{\dagger}(t)\hat{B}_{\text{out}}^{\dagger}(t)|0\rangle =$  $\sum_{n,m=1}^{2} \chi_{nm} |n\rangle_L |m\rangle_R$ , we choose the two observation times  $t_L$  and  $t_R$  such that each basis state consists of a product of single-particle states detected at the left and at the right side of the system. The concurrence is calculated from C = $2\sqrt{\det(\chi\chi^{\dagger})}$  [21]. Whenever the collision conditions leading to a flux dependence of the noise are not fulfilled, at least three elements of  $\chi$  vanish, and the concurrence is

therefore zero, C = 0. We consider now the time differences of the interferometer paths to be equal for the two interferometers and choose the times fulfilling the collision conditions as measuring times,  $t_L = t_B + \tau_{BL}^d = t_A + \tau_{AL}^u$ and  $t_R = t_A + \tau_{AR}^u = t_B + \tau_{BR}^d$ . The concurrence is then

$$\mathcal{C} = 2T_C R_C \sqrt{T_R^l R_L^l T_L^l R_R^l} / (T_C^2 T_R^l R_L^l + R_C^2 T_L^l R_R^l).$$
(7)

Full entanglement, C = 1, is obtained by tuning the capacitors and choosing the appropriate measuring times, when the transmission and reflection probabilities are all equal.

To witness the entanglement found above, which is produced in definite bins of time, we use the violation of a Bell inequality. Following Glauber [22] we introduce the joint probability  $\mathcal{N}_{12}$  to detect one electron (a wave packet) at the contact 1 at the time of collision  $t_L$  and the other electron at the contact 2 at the time of collision  $t_R$ . For simplicity we make no distinction between the time when a particle passed the interferometer output and the time of detection. This quantity can be calculated as follows:  $\mathcal{N}_{12} = \delta \mathcal{N}_{12} + \mathcal{N}_1 \mathcal{N}_2$ , where  $\mathcal{N}_j$  is the mean number of electrons detected at the contact j = 1, 2 at the corresponding time, and  $\delta \mathcal{N}_{12}$  is a correlator. To calculate, e.g.,  $e\mathcal{N}_1$ , we integrate the current  $I_1(t)$ , Eq. (2), near  $t_L$  over a time interval  $\tau_m$  longer than the width of a current pulse  $\Gamma_{\alpha}$  but shorter than the distance between different pulses. We find  $\mathcal{N}_1 = R_C T_L^l T_L^r + T_C R_L^l R_L^r$  and  $\mathcal{N}_2 =$  $T_C T_R^l T_R^r + R_C R_R^l R_R^r$ . To calculate  $\delta \mathcal{N}_{12}$ , which is proportional to the shot noise, we modify Eq. (4) in the following way. The total shot noise  $\mathcal{P}_{12}$  comprises contributions from particles arriving at contacts at any time during the driving period  $\mathcal{T}$ . Since we are interested in the contribution of electrons, we restrict the integral over t(t') to the interval  $\tau_m$  around  $t_L(t_R)$ . The time-resolved shot noise  $\mathcal{P}_{12}(t_L, t_R)$ obtained thus defines  $\delta \mathcal{N}_{12} = (\pi/\Omega) \mathcal{P}_{12}(t_L, t_R)/e^2$ . Calculations yield  $\delta \mathcal{N}_{12} = \delta \mathcal{N}_{12}^A + \delta \mathcal{N}_{12}^B + \delta \mathcal{N}_{12}^{AB}$ , where  $\delta \mathcal{N}_{12}^A = -R_C T_C T_L^T T_L^T T_R^T T_R^T$  and  $\delta \mathcal{N}_{12}^B = -R_C T_C R_L^T R_L^T R_R^T R_R^T$  are due to the capacitors A and Balone, and the correlation contribution,

$$\delta \mathcal{N}_{12}^{AB} = 2R_C T_C \gamma_L \gamma_R L (\Delta t^e - \Delta \tau) \cos(\Phi_L - \Phi_R), \quad (8)$$

depends on the wave-packet overlap  $L(\Delta t^e - \Delta \tau)$ .

To test a Bell inequality [8,23] we use the four joint probabilities to detect one electron at the contact 1 (or 3) at the time  $t_L$  and another electron at the contact 2 (or 4) at time  $t_R$ . For the normalized correlation function, E = $(\mathcal{N}_{12} + \mathcal{N}_{34} - \mathcal{N}_{14} - \mathcal{N}_{32})/(\mathcal{N}_{12} + \mathcal{N}_{34} + \mathcal{N}_{14} + \mathcal{N}_{32})$ , we find  $E = L(\Delta t^e - \Delta \tau) \cos(\Phi_L - \Phi_R)$  if the transmissions at all the QPCs are 1/2. The magnetic fluxes  $\Phi_{L(R)}$  have no effect on the collision times  $t_{L(R)}$ . Therefore, we can choose four sets of  $\Phi_L - \Phi_R$ , obtaining four different values of E (see, e.g., Ref. [23]) to maximally violate a Bell inequality. This inequality holds for  $L(\Delta t^e - \Delta \tau) >$   $1/\sqrt{2}$ , i.e., even at partial overlap of wave packets; for  $\Gamma := \Gamma_A = \Gamma_B$ , we find the condition  $|\Delta t^e - \Delta \tau| \leq 1.2\Gamma$ .

*Conclusion.*—Two uncorrelated but synchronized single-particle emitters can produce entangled pairs of electrons (holes). The orbital entanglement exists in bins of time with a well defined position within the driving period. Its key signature is a nonlocal Aharonov-Bohm effect in distant loops. Simply changing the phase difference between the potentials driving the capacitors switches the entanglement on or off in a controlled manner. A successful realization of our proposal would open up new perspectives for a coherent quantum electronics.

We thank P. Samuelsson for useful discussion. We acknowledge the support of the Swiss NSF, the program for MANEP, and the EU project SUBTLE.

- R. Hanbury Brown and R. Q. Twiss, Nature (London) 178, 1046 (1956).
- [2] C. K. Hong, Z. Y. Ou, and L. Mandel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 2044 (1987).
- [3] M. Büttiker, Physica (Amsterdam) 175B, 199 (1991);
   Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 843 (1992).
- [4] G. Fève et al., Science 316, 1169 (2007).
- [5] S. Ol'khovskaya *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 166802 (2008).
- [6] J. D. Franson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 2205 (1989).
- [7] Y. Aharonov and D. Bohm, Phys. Rev. 115, 485 (1959).
- [8] J. S. Bell, Rev. Mod. Phys. **38**, 447 (1966).
- [9] P. Samuelsson, E. V. Sukhorukov, and M. Büttiker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 026805 (2004); P. Samuelsson, I. Neder, and M. Büttiker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 106804 (2009).
- [10] I. Neder et al., Nature (London) 448, 333 (2007).
- [11] B. Yurke and D. Stoler, Phys. Rev. A 46, 2229 (1992).
- P. Samuelsson and M. Büttiker, Phys. Rev. B 71, 245317 (2005); C. W. J. Beenakker, M. Titov, and B. Trauzettel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 186804 (2005).
- [13] Y. Ji *et al.*, Nature (London) **422**, 415 (2003); P. Roulleau *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 126802 (2008).
- [14] V. S.-W. Chung, P. Samuelsson, and M. Büttiker, Phys. Rev. B 72, 125320 (2005); S.-W. V. Chung, M. Moskalets, and P. Samuelsson, Phys. Rev. B 75, 115332 (2007).
- [15] M. Moskalets, P. Samuelsson, and M. Büttiker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 086601 (2008).
- [16] J. Keeling, A. V. Shytov, and L. S. Levitov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 196404 (2008).
- [17] J. Splettstoesser et al., Phys. Rev. B 78, 205110 (2008).
- [18] Ya. M. Blanter and M. Büttiker, Phys. Rep. 336, 1 (2000).
- [19] The electron-hole collisions have no effect on the noise.
- [20] W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2245 (1998).
- [21] C. W. J. Beenakker, Proc. Int. School Phys. "E. Fermi" (IOS Press, Amsterdam, 2006), Vol. 162.
- [22] G.J. Glauber, Phys. Rev. 130, 2529 (1963).
- [23] J. F. Clauser, M. A. Horne, A. Shimony, and R. A. Holt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 23, 880 (1969); J. F. Clauser and A. Shimony, Rep. Prog. Phys. 41, 1881 (1978).