## Collisionless Magnetic Reconnection via Alfvén Eigenmodes

## Lei Dai

School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, USA (Received 5 October 2008; published 19 June 2009)

We propose an analytic approach to the problem of collisionless magnetic reconnection formulated as a process of Alfvén eigenmodes' generation and dissipation. Alfvén eigenmodes are confined by the current sheet in the same way that quantum mechanical waves are confined by the tanh<sup>2</sup> potential. The dynamical time scale of reconnection is the system scale divided by the eigenvalue propagation velocity of the  $n = 1$ mode. The prediction of the  $n = 1$  mode shows good agreement with the *in situ* measurement of the reconnection-associated Hall fields.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.245003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.245003) PACS numbers: 52.35.Vd, 52.30.Ex, 94.30.cp

Magnetic reconnection is recognized as a universal process that converts magnetic field energy to the kinetic and thermal energy of the plasma in space and the laboratory. The physical mechanism of energy conversion and the time scale are the fundamental issues of reconnection. Energy conversion in the Sweet-Parker model [\[1,](#page-3-0)[2](#page-3-1)] is done by means of magnetic field diffusion into the plasma fluid with the characteristic velocity being the diffusion velocity. Petschek introduced a mechanism as a complement to diffusion where the change in magnetic field propagates as a slow mode shock [\[3](#page-3-2)]. The characteristic velocity within the Petschek model is the shock propagation velocity, which can be much larger than the Sweet-Parker's diffusion velocity. In these models the time scale of reconnection is estimated as the system scale divided by the characteristic velocity; the reconnection rate is measured by the plasma inflow velocity balanced by the characteristic velocity in a steady state.

However, crucial aspects of reconnection in real plasmas such as onset and temporal behavior cannot be resolved in steady theories. The general approach to unsteady reconnection has been to Fourier analyze current sheet dynamics in  $(\omega, \vec{k})$  space and search for instabilities, e.g., the tearing mode [\[4\]](#page-3-3). Unstable reconnection modes grow significantly on a time scale measured by the growth rate. In this Letter we present a novel approach to time-dependent collisionless reconnection. Collisionless reconnection is described as the generation and dissipation of Alfvén eigenmodes. Not only can Alfvén eigenmodes grow, they can also be damped by transferring wave energy into reconnection ion jets. We solve linearized two-fluid equations to find the self-consistent evolution of reconnection following an initial perturbation in the similar spirit of Landau's method [\[5,](#page-3-4)[6](#page-3-5)]. The dynamical time scale of reconnection is the system scale divided by the eigenvalue propagation velocity of the  $n = 1$  mode. Both Fourier analysis of instabilities and this theory are limited in the linear regime.

The experimental motivation for this Alfvén-eigenmode approach is the recent in situ measurement of reconnection-associated Hall fields in collisionless space plasmas [\[7](#page-3-6)–[10](#page-3-7)] (see Fig. [1](#page-0-0)) and laboratory plasmas [\[11](#page-3-8)[,12\]](#page-3-9). Hall fields and current were first introduced by Sonnerup [\[13\]](#page-3-10) as a steady structure in the diffusion region. Later numerical studies looked at other various perspectives [\[14](#page-3-11)[,15\]](#page-3-12). In our approach, Hall fields and current are incorporated into the Alfvén eigenmode. Predictions of the  $n = 1$  mode show good agreement with *in situ* measurements (see Fig. [2](#page-1-0)). Hall perturbations are smaller than or, at most, comparable to the background as indicated by measurements  $[7-12]$  $[7-12]$  $[7-12]$ . This fact implies that a linear theory may suffice to explain the essential physics of collisionless reconnection.

<span id="page-0-3"></span><span id="page-0-2"></span>The set of collisionless two-fluid equations is

$$
c\mathbf{\nabla} \times \mathbf{B} = 4\pi (\mathbf{J}_i + \mathbf{J}_e), \tag{1}
$$

$$
c\mathbf{\nabla} \times \mathbf{E} = -\partial_t \mathbf{B},\tag{2}
$$

$$
\partial_t (n_s q_s) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_s = 0, \tag{3}
$$

<span id="page-0-1"></span>
$$
m_s n_s \partial_t \mathbf{u_s} + m_s n_s (\mathbf{u_s} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u_s} = n_s q_s \left( \mathbf{E} + \frac{\mathbf{u_s}}{c} \times \mathbf{B} \right) - \nabla n_s T_s,
$$
\n(4)

<span id="page-0-0"></span>

FIG. 1 (color). Schematic diagram of magnetic reconnection in the current sheet with Hall fields and current included. The Hall fields, the Hall current and the magnetic-field-aligned electric field are incorporated into the Alfvén eigenmode. Predictions of the Alfvén eigenmode are compared with measurements from the Polar satellite in Fig. [2.](#page-1-0) Analysis suggests a phase with opposite Hall current and Hall  $B<sub>v</sub>$  preceding the phase in the figure.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

FIG. 2. Comparison between the observation by Polar (left column) and predictions of the  $n = 1$  Alfvén eigenmode in the Harris sheet (right column). The figure of measurements is from Ref. [\[7\]](#page-3-6). Unit length in  $x$  is 100 km.

<span id="page-1-2"></span>where the subscript s represents the particle species. Ions and electrons have been assumed isotropic and isothermal. We also assume a quasineutral plasma,  $n_i \approx n_e$ . The coor-dinate system is depicted in Fig. [1](#page-0-0), with  $x$  along the normal of the current sheet, z parallel to the background magnetic field, and y aligned with the background current.  $\partial_y = 0$  is assumed. Initially the current sheet is set as a Harris sheet [\[16\]](#page-3-13) with background plasma density  $n_s = n_0 \text{sech}^2(x/a)$ , background magnetic field  $B_z = B_o \tanh(-x/a)$  and the hockground current L supported by the ion and electron background current  $J_{\gamma o}$  supported by the ion and electron diamagnetic drifts with velocity  $u_{so} = 2cT_s/q_sB_o a$ . Now we solve the linearized two-fluid equations. The time derivatives of the x component of ([4](#page-0-1)), in which  $\partial_t(n_s q_s)$ is eliminated using ([3\)](#page-0-2) and  $\partial_t u_{sy}$  is eliminated using the y component of ([4](#page-0-1)), are

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
\partial_t E_x = 4\pi \frac{v_A^2}{c^2} \bigg[ J_{ix} - \rho_i^2 (\partial_{xx} J_{ix} + \partial_{xz} J_{iz}) + \omega_i^{-2} \partial_{tt} J_{ix} + \frac{u_{io}}{\omega_i} (\partial_x J_{ix} + \partial_z J_{iz}) - n_i q_i \frac{E_y c}{B_z} \bigg] + u_{io} \partial_x E_y,
$$
(5)

$$
J_{ex} = n_e q_e \frac{E_y c}{B_z} + \frac{m_e c^2 \partial_t E_x}{m_i 4 \pi v_A^2} + \rho_e^2 \partial_{xz} J_{ez} - \frac{u_{eo}}{\omega_e} \partial_z J_{ez}, \tag{6}
$$

<span id="page-1-3"></span>where  $v_A^2 = B_z^2/4\pi n_i m_i$  is the local Alfvén speed,  $\omega_s =$  $q_sB_z/m_sc$  is the local gyrofrequency of species s, and  $\rho_s$  =  $q_s B_z/m_s c$  is the local gyrotrequency of species s, and  $\rho_s = \sqrt{T_s/m_s \omega_s^2}$  is the local gyroradius of species s. In ([6\)](#page-1-1) we have assumed  $\rho_e \partial_x \ll 1$  and  $\omega_e^{-1} \partial_t \ll 1$ . At the current sheet center where electrons are unmagnetized, the above sheet center where electrons are unmagnetized, the above assumption is ambiguous and the electron pressure anisotropy may become relevant [[17](#page-3-14),[18](#page-3-15)]. In the z component of the electron momentum equation, the pressure gradient dominates the inertia effect in high  $\beta$  plasmas [\[19](#page-3-16)[,20\]](#page-3-17). Taking the time derivative of this equation yields

<span id="page-1-4"></span>
$$
\partial_t E_z = -(\partial_{zz} J_{ez} + \partial_{zx} J_{ex}) T_e / (n_e q_e^2) + u_{eo} \partial_z E_y. \tag{7}
$$

<span id="page-1-7"></span>Eliminating  $-q_i n_i u_{io} B_x/c - \partial_z n_i T_i$  in the z component of the ion momentum equation yields

$$
m_i n_i \partial_t u_{iz} = q_i n_i E_z (1 + T_i/T_e). \tag{8}
$$

Substitute  $(1)$  $(1)$ ,  $(5)$  $(5)$ , and  $(7)$  $(7)$  into the time derivative of the y component of [\(2\)](#page-0-3). Rescaling  $x/a \rightarrow x$  and Fourier transforming the achieved equation in z and  $t$  ( $\partial_t \sim -i\omega$ ,  $\partial_z \sim$  $ik_z$ ) gives

<span id="page-1-5"></span>
$$
\partial_x \left( \frac{\partial_x \tilde{B}_y}{\operatorname{sech}^2 x} \right) + \left( \frac{\omega^2}{k_z^2 V_A^2} - \frac{\tanh^2 x}{\operatorname{sech}^2 x} \right) \frac{a^2 \tilde{B}_y}{\rho_{io}^2 + \rho_{ao}^2} = \frac{\tilde{S}}{k_z^2},\tag{9}
$$

where  $V_A = B_o / \sqrt{4 \pi n_o m_i}$  is the characteristic Alfvén speed,  $\Omega_i = q_i B_o / m_i c$ ,  $\rho_{io} =$  $T_i/m_i\Omega_i^2$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\rho_{ao} =$ and  $\Omega_i = q_i B_o / m_i c$ ,  $\rho_{io} = \sqrt{I_i / m_i} \Omega_i^2$ ,  $\rho_{ao} =$ <br> $\frac{T}{\sqrt{m_0} \Omega_i^2}$  and  $\tilde{S}(\mu, \mu, h_i)$  is the Fourier transform of  $T_e/m_i\Omega_i^2$  $\sqrt{T_e/m_i\Omega_i^2}$  and  $\tilde{S}(x, \omega, k_z)$  is the Fourier transform of

<span id="page-1-8"></span>
$$
S = \frac{4\pi}{c} \left( \frac{2n_oq_ic}{B_o} \partial_{zx} E_y - n_oq_i a \partial_{zzx} u_{iz} + \partial_{zx} \frac{\partial_x J_{ex}}{\text{sech}^2 x} - \frac{m_i a^2}{T_i + T_e} \partial_{itz} J_{ex} \right).
$$
(10)

In ([9](#page-1-5)) we have neglected  $\omega^2/(\Omega_i^2 \text{sech}^2 x)$  compared with  $\omega^2/k^2V^2 = \tanh^2 x/\text{sech}^2 x$  assuming that R is of low  $(\omega^2/k_z^2 V_A^2 - \tanh^2 x/\text{sech}^2 x)$  assuming that  $B_y$  is of low<br>frequency  $(\omega^2/\Omega^2 \ll 1)$  and long parallel wavelength frequency  $(\omega^2/\Omega_i^2 \ll 1)$  and long parallel wavelength  $(k^2V^2/\Omega_i^2 \ll 1)$  as indicated by multispacecraft measure- $(k_z^2 V_A^2 / \Omega_i^2 \ll 1)$  as indicated by multispacecraft measure-<br>ments [8.9] We also neglect terms on order of  $O(m/m)$  in ments [[8](#page-3-18)[,9\]](#page-3-19). We also neglect terms on order of  $O(m_e/m_i)$  in [\(9\)](#page-1-5). Setting  $\tilde{B}_v = \psi$  sechx we turn [\(9](#page-1-5)) into

<span id="page-1-6"></span>
$$
\partial_{xx}\psi + [\lambda - 1 - (R^2 + \lambda)\tanh^2 x]\psi = \tilde{S}\mathrm{sech}x/k_z^2,
$$
  
where  $\lambda = \frac{\omega^2 R^2}{k_z^2 V_A^2}$ ,  $R = \frac{a}{\sqrt{\rho_{io}^2 + \rho_{ao}^2}}$ . (11)

Equation ([11](#page-1-6)) is an inhomogeneous Sturm-Liouville equation. The weight function is sech<sup>2</sup>x.

The homogeneous form of  $(11)$  $(11)$  $(11)$  is a time-independent Schrodinger equation with corresponding total energy  $E =$  $\lambda - 1$  and a potential well Vtanh<sup>2</sup>x,  $V = R^2 + \lambda$ . Only hound state solutions exist since  $F \leq V$ . The allowed enbound state solutions exist since  $E \leq V$ . The allowed en-ergy levels (see Ref. [\[21\]](#page-3-20), p. 1653)  $E = V - [$  $\sqrt{V + \frac{1}{4}}$ -  $(n + 1)$ <sup>2</sup> yield eigenvalues of  $\lambda$ 

$$
\lambda_n = (n+1)n + (1+2n)\sqrt{R^2+1} + 1. \tag{12}
$$

The eigenfunctions are

$$
\psi_n(x) = \frac{F(-n, 2\sqrt{V(\lambda_n) + \frac{1}{4} - n}, |b_n + 1|, \frac{1}{e^{2x} + 1})}{(e^x + e^{-x})^{b_n}}, \quad (13)
$$

where *F* is the hypergeometric function,  $b_n = \sqrt{V(\lambda_n) - E(\lambda_n)} = \sqrt{R^2 + 1}$ . Eigenfunctions are real and the first two are  $\psi_0(x) = (e^{-x} + e^x)^{-\sqrt{R^2+1}}$  and  $\psi_1(x) =$  $(e^{-x} + e^{x})^{-\sqrt{R^2+1}}(e^{2x} - 1)/(e^{2x} + 1)$ . The eigenmode is Alfvénic as indicated by its phase velocity  $\lambda$  introduced in  $(11)$ . The Harris sheet confines the Alfvén eigenmode in the same way a tanh<sup>2</sup> $(x)$  potential well confines a quantum mechanical wave. From the perspective of mode conver-sion theory [\[6\]](#page-3-5), the Kinetic Alfvén Wave (KAW) provides a useful insight to the eigenmode solution. The KAW dispersion relation is  $\omega^2/(k_z^2 v_A^2) = 1 + k_x^2 (\rho_i^2 + \rho_a^2)$ <br>[19.20] We can be<br>pristically achieve a similar [\[19](#page-3-16)[,20\]](#page-3-17). We can heuristically achieve a similar Schrodinger equation with tanh<sup>2</sup> potential by replacing  $k_x$ with  $-i\partial_x$  in the KAW dispersion relation and employing the x dependence of  $v_A^2$ ,  $\rho_i^2$  and  $\rho_a^2$  from the Harris sheet.

With the eigenmode solutions we proceed to calculate  $B_{v}$  from Eq. [\(11\)](#page-1-6) as

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\tilde{B}_y = \text{sech}x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x|x_o; \omega, k_z) \text{sech}x_o \frac{\tilde{S}(x_o, \omega, k_z)}{k_z^2} dx_o,
$$
\n(14)

where the Green's function  $G = \sum_{n} \psi_n^*(x_o) \psi_n(x) / [(\lambda - \lambda)^2]$  $\lambda_n) \Phi_n^2$ <br>Ref I  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ n \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\Phi$ <br>[21] chapter  $\mu_n^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_n(x) \overline{\psi_n^*(x)} \text{sech}^2 x dx$  (see Ref. [\[21\]](#page-3-20), chapter 7). Let  $\omega = \omega_r + i\eta$ ,  $\eta \to 0^+$ ,  $s = \omega/i$ , s is the Laplace transform variable. We replace the  $\omega/i$ . s is the Laplace transform variable. We replace the Fourier transform in time with a Laplace transform and treat [\(14\)](#page-2-0) as an initial value problem. For simplicity the initial condition is set as  $B_{\nu}|_{t=0} = 0$ ,  $\partial_t B_{\nu}|_{t=0} = 0$ . The Laplace and Fourier inversion of [\(14](#page-2-0)) is

<span id="page-2-4"></span>
$$
B_{y} = \sum_{n} \psi_{n}(x) \operatorname{sech} x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{-V_{A} \psi_{n}^{*}(x_{o}) \operatorname{sech} x_{o}}{2 \Phi_{n}^{2} \sqrt{\lambda_{n}} R}
$$

$$
\times H((t - t_{o}) V_{A} \sqrt{\lambda_{n}} R^{-1})
$$

$$
- |z - z_{o}|) S(x_{o}, t_{o}, z_{o}) dt_{o} dz_{o} dx_{o}, \qquad (15)
$$

where H is the unit step function.  $B<sub>y</sub>$  is in the form of superposition of eigenmodes propagating in  $\pm z$ . The phase velocity of the *n*th mode is  $V_A \sqrt{\lambda_n} R^{-1}$ . The sources  $u_{iz}$ ,  $J_{ex}$ and  $E_y$  determine the term S and thus  $B_y$ . Terms  $J_{ix}$ ,  $J_{ez}$ ,  $E_x$ and  $E_z$ , grouped with  $B_y$  as parts of the Alfvén eigenmode, can be calculated from the sources using Eqs. ([1\)](#page-1-2), [\(2](#page-0-3)), ([5\)](#page-1-3), and ([7](#page-1-4)). The other half of the formulation is the response of the sources to the Alfvén eigenmode.  $u_{iz}$  is calculated from [\(8\)](#page-1-7);  $J_{ex}$  is calculated from ([6\)](#page-1-1);  $E_{y}$ , is calculated from

$$
\partial_{xx}\tilde{E}_y - k_z^2 a^2 \tilde{E}_y = (4\pi a^2/c^2) \partial_t \tilde{J}_y, \tag{16}
$$

<span id="page-2-2"></span><span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\partial_t \tilde{J}_y = \frac{c^2}{4\pi a^2} \partial_x \left( \frac{\text{sech}^2 x}{\tanh x} \tilde{E}_y \right) + \frac{c^2 \text{sech}^2 x}{4\pi \delta_{io}^2} \tilde{E}_y + \tilde{S}_E, \quad (17)
$$

where ([16](#page-2-1)) and [\(17\)](#page-2-2) are Fourier transformed in z,  $\delta_{io}$  =  $\sqrt{c^2 m_i/(4\pi q_i^2 n_o)}$ , and  $\tilde{S}_E = u_{io} i k_z \tilde{J}_{ez} - \omega_e \rho_e^2 i k_z \partial_x \tilde{J}_{ez} \omega_i \tilde{J}_{ix} + (\omega_i c^2 / 4 \pi v_A^2) \partial_t \tilde{E}_x$ . Equation ([17](#page-2-2)) is the sum of the y component of (4) multiplied by  $g/m$ . In obtaining the y component of [\(4\)](#page-0-1) multiplied by  $q_s/m_s$ . In obtaining [\(17\)](#page-2-2) we eliminate  $J_{ex}$  using ([6\)](#page-1-1), set  $n_i = n_e$  and eliminate  $\partial_t (n_e q_e)$  using ([3\)](#page-0-2). Setting  $\tilde{E}_y = \varphi \sqrt{\tanh x}$  and inserting (17) into (16) yield [\(17\)](#page-2-2) into [\(16\)](#page-2-1) yield

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
\partial_{xx}\varphi + [-k_z^2 a^2 + V(x)]\varphi = (4\pi a^2/c^2)\tilde{S}_E/\sqrt{\tanh x},
$$
\n(18)

where  $V(x) = [2 \cosh(2x) - 1] \text{csch}^2(2x) - a^2 \text{sech}^2 x / \delta_{io}^2$ .<br>  $V(x)$  is roughly approximated as  $1/(4x^2)$ . Two independent  $V(x)$  is roughly approximated as  $1/(4x^2)$ . Two independent solutions to the homogenous form of (18) are  $\varphi_1 =$ solutions to the homogenous form of [\(18\)](#page-2-3) are  $\varphi_1 = \sqrt{x}H_0^{(1)}(-ik_zax)$  and  $\varphi_2 = \sqrt{x}H_0^{(2)}(-ik_zax)$  if  $k_z > 0$ , and  $\varphi_1 = \sqrt{x}H_0^{(2)}(-ik_zax)$  and  $\varphi_2 = \sqrt{x}H_0^{(1)}(-ik_zax)$  if  $\varphi_0^0$  (-ik<sub>z</sub>ax) and  $\varphi_2 = \sqrt{x}H_0^{0}$  ( $k_z < 0$ .  $H_0^{(1)}$  and  $H_0^{(2)}$  are Hankel functions of first and<br>second kind. We select  $\varphi_k$  and  $\varphi_0$  to ensure a real F second kind. We select  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  to ensure a real  $E_y$ ,

 $E_y(-k_z) = E_y(k_z)$ .  $\varphi_1|_{x \to -\infty} = 0$  and  $\varphi_2|_{x \to \infty} = 0$  satisfy<br>the left and right boundary conditions, respectively. The the left and right boundary conditions, respectively. The Green's function of ([18](#page-2-3)) is  $g(x|x_o; k_z) = -\varphi_1(x_<)\varphi_2(x_>)/$ <br>  $\Delta(\varphi_2, \varphi_2)$  (see Ref. [21], chapter 7), where  $x_1(x_2)$  is the  $\Delta(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  (see Ref. [\[21\]](#page-3-20), chapter 7), where  $x_<(x_>)$  is the smaller (larger) of x and  $x_o$ .  $\Delta(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \pm 4i/\pi$  is the Wronskian of  $\varphi_2$ , and  $\varphi_2$ . Using Green's function, we Wronskian of  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$ . Using Green's function, we calculate  $E_y$  as the response to the Alfvén eigenmode

<span id="page-2-5"></span>
$$
\tilde{E}_y = \sqrt{\tanh x} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x|x_o; k_z) \frac{-(4\pi a^2/c^2)}{\sqrt{\tanh x_o}} \tilde{S}_E dx_o. \tag{19}
$$

Once we obtain  $E_y$  by Fourier inversion in z, we calculate  $B_x$ ,  $B'_z$  and  $J_y$  through ([1\)](#page-1-2) and [\(2\)](#page-0-3). Now we complete a dynamic formulation of collisionless reconnection. The existence of parallel  $E<sub>z</sub>$  indicates the breaking of the "frozen-in'' condition.

The physical meaning of the calculation has two reciprocal parts: The sources  $(E_v, J_{ex}, \text{and } u_{iz})$  generate Alfvén eigenmodes propagating outward in  $\pm z$ ; meanwhile the Alfvén eigenmodes excite the sources and dissipate. The eigenmodes-sources coupling evolves self-consistently following an initial perturbation. Before the system reaches the phase in Fig. [1,](#page-0-0) we expect an eigenmodes-generation phase in which magnetic energy is converted to establish Hall fields and Hall currents. We try to produce this phase with a test perturbation  $E_y = |E_o| \exp[-x^2 + z^2)/l^2$  that is associated with suitable a **R** in extracting  $z^2$ / $l^2$ ] that is associated with suitable  $\partial_t$ **B** in extracting magnetic energy and changing magnetic topology globally, l can be as large as the system scale. We assume that reconnection ion jets are not established ( $u_{iz} \approx 0$ ) in this phase. We also assume that electrons approximately  $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$  drift in  $x \left(J_{ex} \approx n_e q_e E_y c / B_z\right)$  and avoid any evaluation around  $x = 0$ . Calculation shows S ([10](#page-1-8)) is quadrupole,  $sign(S) = -sign(xz)$ . Evaluation of ([15](#page-2-4)) shows<br>that the  $n = 1$  mode dominates and  $sign(R) =$ that the  $n = 1$  mode dominates and  $sign(B_v) =$  $-\text{sign}(S)$ . The contribution from the  $n = 1$  mode is  $B_y = C \psi(x) \text{sech}^2 \exp[-(x/1)^2]t^2$ , where  $\sin(y/1)^2 = C \psi(x)$  $C\psi_1(x)$ sechx $\partial_z \exp[-(z/l)^2]t^2$ , where sign $(\psi_1(x)) =$ <br>sign(x) and C is a negative constant. In this phase the sign(x) and C is a negative constant. In this phase the quadrupole Hall  $B<sub>y</sub>$  and Hall current are opposite to those in Fig. [1](#page-0-0). The Hall current  $J_x \approx J_{ix}$ , like the perpendicular current in a KAW, is mainly a modified ion polarization current and associated with the increasing of inward Hall  $E_x$  in the region  $z/l < 1$ . Electrons move along the magnetic field to track drift ions and keep quasineutral, producing the consistent parallel Hall current  $J_z$ ,  $J_z \approx J_{ez}$ . In the parallel direction force balance is approximately true for electrons,  $n_e q_e E_z \approx n_e q_e u_{eo} B_x/c + \partial_z n_e T_e$ . The contribution to  $J_zE_z$  from  $n_eq_eu_{eo}B_x/c$  almost cancels out in integrating over  $x$ . The density gradient in  $z$  created by the drift ions is inward at inner current sheet and outward on the outer periphery, relating a total  $J_zE_z > 0$ .  $J_xE_x > 0$  and  $J_zE_z > 0$  indicate that the eigenmode stored the converted magnetic energy in the form of increasing wave energy. The time scale of this phase is the ion polarization drift time, equal to the time of establishing the Hall  $E<sub>x</sub>$ . According to [\(8\)](#page-1-7) the ion experiences a total outward force  $q_i n_i E_z(1 + T_i/T_e)$  in the inner current sheet. The system probably transits to the eigenmode-dissipation phase when ion acceleration becomes dominating. The ion jets' term can dominate S and produce the Hall quadrupole pattern in Fig. [1.](#page-0-0) In this phase  $J_x E_x < 0$  and  $J_z E_z < 0$  indicate a decrease in the wave energy transferred to the accelerated ion jets and the excitation of secondary  $E_y$ . In the region  $x/a \gg 1$ , the excited  $\tilde{E}_y$  goes as  $\tilde{A}(k_z)\sqrt{\tanh x \varphi_2} \sim \tilde{A}(k_z)$  and  $\tilde{B}(k_z)$  are  $(10)$ . The outward ion ist  $\hat{A}(k_z)$  exp( $-|k_z|$ x) according to [\(19\)](#page-2-5). The outward ion jet<br>picks, up, energy,  $a.F l \approx a.F a$ . We, potice,  $a.F a \approx$ picks up energy  $q_i E_z l \approx q_i E_x a$ . We notice  $q_i E_x a \sim$  $\frac{1}{2}m_iV_A^2$  in observations [[7](#page-3-6)[–9](#page-3-19)].<br>Fouation (15) explicitly indic

Equation [\(15\)](#page-2-4) explicitly indicates several results. In reconnection,  $S$  is an odd function of  $x$  and eliminates all even modes. The amplitude factor  $1/(\sqrt{\lambda_n}R)$  indicates that<br>Alfvén eigenmodes are created easier in a thinner current Alfvén eigenmodes are created easier in a thinner current sheet and that the  $n = 1$  mode dominates. The step function H suggests that the reconnection process can extend in  $\pm z$  at the velocity  $V_A \sqrt{\lambda_1}/R$  in this eigenmodes-sources<br>counting formulation. A local change can be communicoupling formulation. A local change can be communicated with the rest of the system over the dynamical time scale  $LRV_A^{-1} \lambda_1^{-1/2}$ . *L* is the system scale. The dynamical<br>time scale is often related to the time taken for a system to time scale is often related to the time taken for a system to respond to a change and move to another equilibrium state.

Figure [2](#page-1-0) presents the comparison between the  $n = 1$ Alfvén eigenmode and the measurement of Hall fields from the Polar satellite [\[7](#page-3-6)]. We model the measured current sheet in Ref. [\[7](#page-3-6)] as a Harris sheet with parameters  $n<sub>o</sub>$  = 8 cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $B_o = 80$  nT and  $a = 150$  km; these numbers are<br>from the observation  $T_i = 5T$  is our estimate for a typical from the observation.  $T_i = 5T_e$  is our estimate for a typical current sheet. Independent determination of the amplitude and sign of the Hall fields needs past information, which is unavailable. Therefore, we take the measured amplitude of  $B<sub>y</sub>$  as an input. We compare the x dependence of the Hall fields with the form of the  $n = 1$  mode in the present theory. The perturbation assumption  $(\delta n_s/n_s \leq 1,$  $B_{\nu}/B_{\rho} \leq 1$  and  $\delta B_{z}/B_{\rho} \ll 1$ ) is roughly satisfied in this case. In a pure  $n = 1$  mode  $B_y \sim \psi_1(x)$ sechx and  $E_x \sim$  $(V_A R/c\sqrt{\lambda_1})\sinh^2x[1-(p_i^2/a^2)\partial_{xx}+(u_{io}/\omega_i)\partial_x]B_y.\nF_x$ is estimated with the absence of sources.  $B_y$  and  $E_x$  show good agreement with observations in the x dependence. A minor difference may result from the deviation of density from  $n_0$  sech<sup>2</sup>x. In addition, given the measured amplitude of  $B_y$ , the calculated amplitude of  $E_x$  shows good agreement with data. We also suggest searching  $n = 3$  mode signals in lab experiments [[11](#page-3-8),[12](#page-3-9)].

In conclusion, this Letter addresses the most fundamental issues of reconnection, namely, the energy conversion mechanism and the time scale. We propose a new mechanism of generating and dissipating Alfvén eigenmodes for time-dependent collisionless reconnection. The dynamical time scale of reconnection, determined as the system scale L divided by the eigenvalue propagation velocity  $V_A \sqrt{\lambda_1}/R$ , approaches the Alfvén transit time  $L/V_A$  as  $R \rightarrow 1$ . This can be much faster than Sweet-Parker and Petschek reconnection models. Notice that the physical meaning of the key result is different in each mechanism. Both the diffusion velocity and shock propagation velocity are local outward velocities in  $\pm x$  that balance the inflow velocity at a certain interface; the eigenvalue propagation velocity in our approach is a velocity in  $\pm z$  at which a local perturbation communicates globally with the rest of system. The growth rate measures the time scale for unstable reconnection modes to grow significantly; the dynamical time scale in our approach implies an interval over which a new equilibrium is achieved.

The physics of plasma heating and energization of high energy particles in reconnection are not resolved in this Letter. Isothermal electrons may be an appropriate approximation since  $\omega / k_z v_{\text{th}}^e \ll 1$  [[6](#page-3-5)]. Isothermal ions can<br>be modified to resolve ion beating through I andau damnbe modified to resolve ion heating through Landau damping. A kinetic treatment or test particle method is needed to understand the formation of high energy particles.

The author is grateful to R. Lysak, J. Wygant, C. Cattell, S. Thaller, L. B. Wilson III, Y. Song, J. Wooddroffe, Lian Chen, and Xin Tao for valuable discussions.

- <span id="page-3-0"></span>[1] P.A. Sweet, in *Electromagnetic Phenomena in Cosmical* Physics, edited by B. Lehnert, IAU Symposium Vol. 6 (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1958), p. 123.
- <span id="page-3-2"></span><span id="page-3-1"></span>[2] E. N. Parker, Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 8, 177 (1963).
- <span id="page-3-3"></span>[3] H. E. Petschek, in The Physics of Solar Flares, edited by W. N. Hess (NASA, Washington, DC, 1964), p. 425.
- <span id="page-3-4"></span>[4] H. P. Furth, J. Killeen, and M. N. Rosenbluth, Phys. Fluids 6, 459 (1963).
- <span id="page-3-5"></span>[5] L. D. Landau, J. Phys. USSR 10, 25 (1946).
- <span id="page-3-6"></span>[6] T. H. Stix, Waves in Plasmas (Springer, New York, 1992).
- <span id="page-3-18"></span>[7] F. S. Mozer, S. D. Bale, and T. D. Phan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 015002 (2002).
- <span id="page-3-19"></span>[8] J. R. Wygant et al., J. Geophys. Res. 110, A09206 (2005).
- <span id="page-3-7"></span>[9] A. Vaivads *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 105001 (2004).
- <span id="page-3-8"></span>[10] M. Øieroset *et al.*, Nature (London) **412**, 414 (2001).
- <span id="page-3-9"></span>[11] Y. Ren et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 055003 (2005).
- <span id="page-3-10"></span>[12] M. Yamada et al., , and , Phys. Plasmas 13, 052119 (2006).
- [13] B.U.O. Sonnerup, Space Plasma Physics: The Study of Solar-System Plasmas (North-Holland, New York, 1979), Vol. 2, p. 879.
- <span id="page-3-12"></span><span id="page-3-11"></span>[14] T. Terasawa, Geophys. Res. Lett. **10**, 475 (1983).
- <span id="page-3-13"></span>[15] J. Birn *et al.*, J. Geophys. Res. **106**, 3715 (2001).
- <span id="page-3-14"></span>[16] E.G. Harris, Nuovo Cimento 23, 115 (1962).
- <span id="page-3-15"></span>[17] V.M. Vasyliunas, Rev. Geophys. Space Phys. 13, 303 (1975).
- <span id="page-3-16"></span>[18] M. Hesse et al., Phys. Plasmas 6, 1781 (1999).
- <span id="page-3-17"></span>[19] R. L. Lysak and W. Lotko, J. Geophys. Res. 101, 5085 (1996).
- <span id="page-3-20"></span>[20] K. Stasiewicz et al., Space Sci. Rev. 92, 423 (2000).
- [21] P. Morse and H. Feshbach, Methods of Theoretical Physics (McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1953).