## Memory Erasure in Small Systems

Raoul Dillenschneider and Eric Lutz

Department of Physics, University of Augsburg, D-86135 Augsburg, Germany (Received 2 December 2008; published 29 May 2009)

We consider an overdamped nanoparticle in a driven double-well potential as a generic model of an erasable 1-bit memory. We study in detail the statistics of the heat dissipated during an erasure process and show that full erasure may be achieved by dissipating less heat than the Landauer bound. We quantify the occurrence of such events and propose a single-particle experiment to verify our predictions. Our results show that Landauer's principle has to be generalized at the nanoscale to accommodate heat fluctuations.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.210601](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.210601) PACS numbers: 05.40. - a, 05.70. Ln

Maxwell's demon is a salient figure of thermodynamics [\[1\]](#page-3-0). Introduced in 1867 to illustrate the statistical nature of the second law, the demon is an intelligent microscopic being able to monitor individual molecules contained in two neighboring chambers initially at the same temperature. By opening and closing a small hole in the separating wall, the demon collects the faster (''hot'') molecules in one of the chambers and the slower (''cold'') ones in the other, thus creating a temperature difference. The demon is therefore able to decrease the entropy of the system without performing any work, in apparent violation of the second law of thermodynamics. The paradox was eventually resolved by Bennett [[2\]](#page-3-1), who noted that during a full thermodynamic cycle, the memory of the demon, used to record the coordinates of each molecule, has to be reset to its initial state. According to Landauer's principle [[3\]](#page-3-2), memory erasure necessarily requires dissipation of entropy: the cost of erasing 1 bit of information is at least  $\Delta S_{\text{Landauer}} = k \ln 2$ , where k is the Boltzmann constant [[3–](#page-3-2) [6](#page-3-3)]. The entropy cost to discard the information obtained about each gas molecule appears to always exceed the entropy reduction achieved by the demon. Maxwell's demon is hence exorcised by Landauer's erasure principle.

The second law stipulates that irreversible entropy production is positive in macroscopic systems. Thermal fluctuations are usually exceedingly small at these large scales and are therefore discarded. By contrast, fluctuations become predominant in microscopic systems and it has lately been recognized that the second law has to be generalized to properly take positive as well as negative entropy fluctuations into account [[7](#page-3-4)]. This generalization takes the form of a fluctuation theorem,  $P(-\Sigma) = P(\Sigma) \exp(-\Sigma)$ , for the probability distribution of the entropy production  $P(\Sigma)$  [\[8](#page-3-5),[9](#page-3-6)]. Processes with negative entropy production can hence occur in small systems with small  $\Sigma$ , while they are exponentially suppressed in large systems with large  $\Sigma$ . The fluctuation theorem has recently been confirmed experimentally using a colloidal particle in a modulated optical trap [\[10\]](#page-3-7) and a driven torsion pendulum [\[11\]](#page-3-8).

Motivated by the recent development of nanotechnological memory devices [[12](#page-3-9)[–14\]](#page-3-10), we investigate the impact of fluctuations on memory erasure in small systems. By considering a generic system, we show that full erasure may be achieved in nanosystems with an entropy dissipation less than the Landauer limit. We express the Landauer bound in terms of the free energy difference of the erasure process and quantify the probability of having a dissipated entropy below that value. We moreover discuss an experimental setup where our findings can be tested using currently available technology. To our knowledge, Landauer's principle has never been verified experimentally, despite its fundamental importance as a bridge between information theory and physics. One of the main difficulties is that, in order to access the dissipated entropy, one needs to be able, like Maxwell's demon, to follow individual particles. Because of recent progress of single molecule experiments, this is now possible [[15](#page-3-11),[16](#page-3-12)].

Model.—Following the original work of Landauer, we consider an overdamped Brownian particle in a onedimensional double-well potential as a generic model of a 1-bit memory [\[3](#page-3-2)]. The state of the memory is assigned the value *zero* if the particle is in the left  $(x < 0)$  well and one in the right  $(x > 0)$  well. The memory is said to be erased when its state is reset to *one* (or alternatively *zero*) irrespective of its initial state. The potential barrier is assumed to be much larger than the thermal energy so that the memory can be considered stable in the absence of any perturbation. We describe the dynamics of the Brownian particle using the Langevin equation,

$$
\gamma \frac{dx}{dt} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + Af(t) + \xi(t),\tag{1}
$$

<span id="page-0-0"></span>where  $\gamma$  is the friction coefficient and  $\xi(t)$  a delta correlated Gaussian noise force with  $\langle \xi(t) \rangle = 0$  and  $\langle \xi(t) \xi(t') \rangle = 2D\delta(t - t')$ . The diffusion coefficient is given by  $D = \gamma kT$ . The double-well potential  $V(x)$  is of the standard form,  $V(x) = -ag(t)x^2/2 + bx^4/4$ , with a barrier height that can be controlled by the dimensionless function  $g(t)$ . The Brownian particle is additionally subjected to a driving force  $Af(t)$  with a driving amplitude A. In order to simplify the analysis of Eq.  $(1)$  $(1)$ , it is convenient to introduce dimensionless variables defined as  $\bar{x} = x/x_m$ 

and  $\bar{t} = at/\gamma$ , where  $x_m = \sqrt{a/b}$  is the position of the (positive) minimum of the potential [[17](#page-3-13)]. The dimensionless potential is then  $\overline{V}(\overline{x}) = -g(\overline{t})\overline{x}^2/2 + \overline{x}^4/4$  and the rescaled driving amplitude and diffusion coefficient are, respectively, given by  $\overline{A} = A/ax_m$  and  $\overline{D} = D/\gamma ax_m^2$ . In the following, we will drop the bar signs and consider dimensionless quantities.

As discussed in detail by Bennett in Ref. [\[2\]](#page-3-1), the memory can be reset to one by (i) lowering the barrier height and (ii) applying an external tilt that brings the nanoparticle into the right well. We here characterize the erasure protocol with the help of the two functions  $g(t)$  and  $f(t)$ shown in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) The function  $g(t)$ , defined as

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
g(t) = \begin{cases} 1 - C\sin(\omega(t - t_0)) & \text{if } t \in [t_0, t_0 + t_f] \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
$$
 (2)

lowers and raises the potential barrier in a time  $t_f = \tau/2$ specified by the period,  $\tau = 2\pi/\omega$ , of the sine function. The parameter C controls the amplitude of the barrier lowering. On the other hand, the tilting function  $f(t)$  has a sawtooth shape parametrized as

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
f(t) = \begin{cases} (t - t_0)/\tau_1 & \text{if } t \in [t_0 + \tau_1] \\ 1 - (t - t_0 - \tau_1)/\tau_2 & \text{if } t \in [t_0 + \tau_1, t_0 + t_f] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$
(3)

The two time constants  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  verify  $t_f = \tau_1 + \tau_2$  and are, respectively, the times during which the driving force Af is ramped up to its maximal value A and then down again to zero. The values of the parameters in Eqs. ([2\)](#page-1-1) and [\(3\)](#page-1-2) are chosen in order to minimize the dissipated entropy. After a full erasure cycle, both  $g(t)$  and  $f(t)$  take back their original values and the double-well potential  $V(x)$  is restored to its initial shape.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

FIG. 1 (color online). Time dependence of the two dimensionless functions  $g(t)$  and  $f(t)$ , Eqs. [\(2\)](#page-1-1) and ([3\)](#page-1-2), that govern the erasure protocol. The function  $g(t)$  lowers the potential barrier, whereas  $f(t)$  induces a tilt that brings the Brownian particle into the right well (state one of the memory).

The energetics of the Brownian particle can be introduced by following the prescription of Ref. [[18](#page-3-14)]. The variation of the total potential energy is defined as  $\Delta U =$  $U(x(t_0 + t_f), t_0 + t_f) - U(x(t_0), t_0)$ , where  $U(x, t) =$  $V(x, t) - xAf(t)$  is the sum of the double-well potential  $V(x, t)$  and the driving potential  $-xAf(t)$ . The work performed on the particle is in turn given by

$$
W = \int_{t_0}^{t_0 + t_f} dt \frac{\partial U(x, t)}{\partial t}.
$$
 (4)

<span id="page-1-3"></span>Since the driving force satisfies  $f(t_0 + t_f) = f(t_0) = 0$ , the work can be rewritten in terms of the functions  $g(t)$  and work can be rewritten in terms of the functions  $g(t)$  and  $f(t)$  as  $W = \int_{t_0}^{t_0+t_f} dt \dot{x}[Af(t) + (g(t) - 1)x]$ . This expression indicates that particles moving against the total force,  $F(x, t) = Af(t) + (g(t) - 1)x$ , will generate work, while particles moving in the same direction will absorb work. This is the physical origin of work fluctuations in the present system. According to the first law, the heat dissipated into the bath is the difference between work and total energy change,  $Q = W - \Delta U$ . It is important to note that the energy change during an erasure cycle vanishes on average,  $\langle \Delta U \rangle = 0$ . As a consequence, the mean work done on the system and the mean dissipated heat are equal,  $\langle W \rangle = \langle Q \rangle$ . Moreover, the dissipated heat is related to the dissipated entropy via  $\langle Q \rangle = T \Delta S$  for a quasistatic transformation. The Landauer bound for the dissipated heat then follows as  $\langle Q \rangle$ <sub>Landauer</sub> = kT ln2, or equivalently,  $\langle \bar{Q} \rangle$ <sub>Landauer</sub> =  $\bar{D}$  ln2 in dimensionless units.

Numerical results.—We have numerically integrated the overdamped Langevin equation ([1\)](#page-0-0) using the Heun method (Runge-Kutta  $n = 2$ ) [\[19\]](#page-3-15) with a Gaussian noise force generated by means of the Box-Muller method [\[20\]](#page-3-16). In our simulations, the particle is initially placed at position  $x = 0$  and is then left to equilibrate with the heat bath at temperature T. After a thermalizing time  $t_0$ , the memory is therefore either in state *zero* or *one* with probability onehalf. Two examples of an erasing sequence for different driving amplitudes (see below) are shown in Fig. [2](#page-2-0) for an ensemble of  $10<sup>2</sup>$  particles. The erasure protocol is applied at  $t = t_0$  for a duration of  $t_f$ . We observe that in the first case, all particles end up in the positive potential well. Full reset of the memory to state *one* is therefore achieved. On the contrary, only partial erasure is realized in the second case, as some Brownian particles remain in the negative potential well after the end of the erasure process.

Figure [3](#page-2-1) shows the erasure rate, defined as the relative number of particles being in the right potential well at the end of the erasure protocol, as a function of the driving amplitude A. The simulations are performed with  $10<sup>5</sup>$ trajectories and a time slicing  $\Delta t = \tau \times (5 \times 10^{-5})$ . We note that full erasure is obtained for driving amplitudes larger than a threshold value of  $A_0 \approx 0.15$ . The mean work  $\langle W \rangle$  and the mean dissipated heat  $\langle O \rangle$  during an erasure cycle are plotted in the inset: both increase monotonically with increasing driving amplitude. For the chosen parame-

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

FIG. 2. Stochastic evolution of an ensemble of 100 trajectories during the erasure process. The state of the memory is zero or *one* with probability one-half at initial time  $t_0$ . Erasure of the memory to state one is complete in case (a), while only partial in case (b). We have here used  $\omega = 0.01$  and  $D = 0.02$ .

ters, the dimensionless diffusion coefficient is  $D = 0.02$ , corresponding to a Landauer limit of  $\langle Q \rangle$ <sub>Landauer</sub> =  $D \ln 2 = 0.014$ . We stress that the mean dissipated heat always exceeds the Landauer bound,  $\langle Q \rangle > \langle Q \rangle$ <sub>Landauer</sub>, in accordance with Landauer's principle. We have similarly studied the dependence of the erasure rate on the parameter C (not shown).

The full probability distributions of work and heat for a given protocol resulting in complete memory erasure are depicted in Fig. [4.](#page-2-2) Three important points are worth discussing. First,  $P(W)$  and  $P(Q)$  are broad distributions with positive and negative fluctuations induced by the thermal

<span id="page-2-1"></span>

FIG. 3 (color online). (a) Memory erasure rate, defined as the relative number of particles ending in the right potential well, as a function of the amplitude of the tilting force  $Af(t)$ ; full memory erasure is attained for  $A > A_0 \approx 0.15$ . (b) Mean work  $\langle W \rangle$  and mean dissipated heat  $\langle Q \rangle$  versus the tilting amplitude A for parameters  $\omega = 0.01$ ,  $C = 1.2$ ,  $D = 0.02$ ,  $\tau_0 = 0.05t_f$ , and  $\tau_1 = 0.45t_f.$ 

bath. We note furthermore that the heat distribution is markedly broader than the work distribution. The difference in width of the two distributions can be understood by noticing that, following the definition of  $Q$ , its variance is larger than the sum of the variances of work and energy,  $\sigma_Q^2 \ge \sigma_W^2 + \sigma_{\Delta U}^2 > \sigma_W^2$ . The second observation is that both distributions have a bimodal structure. The left peak arises from half the particles being initially located in the right potential well, whereas the right peak stems from particles being moved from the left to the right potential well during the erasure process. The inset shows the conditional heat distribution pertaining to these particles. Third, and most importantly, we note that a significant fraction of trajectories lead to full memory erasure while dissipating less heat than the Landauer limit,  $Q \langle Q \rangle$ <sub>Landauer</sub>. From the conditional heat distribution shown in the inset, we find that 7.4% of all trajectories starting in the left potential well at time  $t_0$  yield a dissipated heat below  $\langle Q \rangle$ <sub>Landauer</sub>. Full memory erasure in small systems can hence be obtained below the Landauer limit due to thermal fluctuations.

Analytical considerations.—We next derive the Landauer bound for a nanoparticle in the double-well potential. Our starting point is the Jarzynski equality [[21\]](#page-3-17),

$$
\langle e^{-\beta W} \rangle = e^{-\beta \Delta F} = \frac{Z_1}{Z_0},\tag{5}
$$

which relates the average exponentiated work done on the Brownian particle to the free energy difference  $\Delta F =$  $-kT \ln Z_1/Z_0$ . Here  $Z_0$  and  $Z_1$  are the initial and final partition functions of the system. The Jarzynski equality is valid for arbitrary changes of the potential  $U(x, t)$  and has been successfully verified in biomolecule pulling experiments [\[22\]](#page-3-18). Before erasure, particles are thermally

<span id="page-2-2"></span>

FIG. 4 (color online). (a) Distribution of work and heat for a full erasure process. (b) Conditional heat distribution for particles initially in the left well. The existence of fluctuations below the Landauer limit is here clearly visible. Parameters are  $\omega$  = 0.01,  $A = 0.2$ ,  $C = 1.0(a)$ , 1.2(*b*), and  $D = 0.02$ . The average work and heat is given by  $\langle W \rangle = \langle Q \rangle = 0.036$ , and the Landauer bound is  $\langle Q \rangle_{\text{Landauer}} = D \ln 2 = 0.014.$ 

distributed over the entire double-well potential and the initial partition function is thus  $Z_0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \exp[-\beta V(x)]$ . After successful erasure, all particles are thermalized in the right potential well and  $Z_1$  =  $\int_0^\infty dx \exp[-\beta V(x)] = Z_0/2$ . As a result, the ratio of the partition functions is simply  $Z_1/Z_0 = 1/2$  and  $\Delta F =$ kT ln2. By using Jensen's inequality,  $\langle \exp(-\beta W) \rangle \ge$  $exp(-\beta \langle W \rangle)$ , we immediately obtain,  $\langle W \rangle = \langle Q \rangle \ge$  $kT \ln 2$ . The Landauer bound is thus given by the free energy difference of the erasure process and is only attained in the quasistatic limit when  $\langle W \rangle = \langle Q \rangle = \Delta F$ . By further generalizing an argument presented in [[23](#page-3-19)], the probability to observe a value of dissipated heat below the Landauer limit,  $\langle Q \rangle_{\text{Landauer}} - q$ , with  $q > 0$  can be estimated to be

$$
Prob[Q < \langle Q \rangle_{Landauer} - q] \le exp(-\beta q). \tag{6}
$$

Small fluctuations below the Landauer limit are hence possible. However, large fluctuations,  $q \gg kT$ , are exponentially suppressed, in agreement with the macroscopic formulation of Landauer's principle. We can therefore conclude that at the nanoscale, where fluctuations cannot simply be neglected, Landauer's principle has to be generalized in a way similar to the second law.

Experimental implementation.—The control of single nanoparticles in arbitrary two-dimensional force fields has been demonstrated in Refs. [[15](#page-3-11),[16](#page-3-12)]. In these experiments, fluorescence microscopy is combined with realtime feedback techniques to manipulate nanoscale objects (from 50 to 200 nm) in solution via a position-dependent electrophoretic force. The force may be varied with high precision over nanometer distances and millisecond times. The trajectory of the particle is monitored with a highsensitivity CCD camera. The Brownian motion of a 200 nm fluorescent polystyrene bead in a static doublewell potential has been investigated in Ref. [\[16\]](#page-3-12) and the measured hopping rates between the two wells have been successfully compared with Kramers theory. We propose to study memory erasure in nanosystems by extending the former experiment to a driven double-well potential according the erasure protocol discussed above. The work W done on the particle as well as the dissipated heat Q can be determined directly from the measured particle trajectory via Eq. ([4\)](#page-1-3). By assuming a spacial diffusion coefficient  $D_x = 10 \ \mu \text{m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , a measuring time  $\tau = 60 \text{ s}$ , and a temperature  $T = 300$  K, we obtain the following realistic values for the parameters of the Langevin equation [\(1\)](#page-0-0):  $\gamma = kT/D_x \simeq 4.10^{-10} \text{kg s}^{-1}, \quad a = \gamma \bar{\tau}/\tau \simeq 4.10^{-9} \text{kg s}^{-2},$ and  $b = \bar{D}a^2/kT \approx 40 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-2}$  with a dimensionless execution time  $\bar{\tau} = 600$  and diffusion coefficient  $\bar{D} =$  $10^{-2}$ , as in our numerical simulations. The minima of the 10 <sup>2</sup>, as in our numerical simulations. The minima of the potential are then separated by  $\Delta x = 2x_m = 2\sqrt{a/b} \approx$ 20  $\mu$ m. These values are all compatible with the experiments already performed in Refs. [\[15,](#page-3-11)[16\]](#page-3-12).

Conclusion.—We have considered an overdamped Brownian particle in a double-well potential as a generic model of a 1-bit memory. We have investigated the probability distribution of the work and the heat dissipated during an erasure process and demonstrated that full erasure may be reached by dissipating an amount of heat below the Landauer limit. We have shown that the occurrence of such events is exponentially suppressed, and therefore not observable, in macroscopic systems. They play, however, an essential role in nanosystems and we have discussed a single-particle experiment where our predictions can be tested. Our main conclusion is that for small systems in general—and Maxwell's demon in particular—the macroscopic formulation of Landauer's principle does not hold, but has to be generalized to include heat fluctuations.

This work was supported by the Emmy Noether Program of the DFG (Contract No. LU1382/1-1) and the cluster of excellence Nanosystems Initiative Munich (NIM).

- <span id="page-3-0"></span>[1] H. S. Leff and A. F. Rex, Maxwell's Demon 2 (IOP, Bristol, 2003).
- <span id="page-3-1"></span>[2] C. H. Bennett, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **21**, 905 (1982).
- <span id="page-3-2"></span>[3] R. Landauer, IBM J. Res. Dev. 5, 183 (1961).
- [4] K. Shizume, Phys. Rev. E **52**, 3495 (1995).
- [5] B. Piechocinka, Phys. Rev. A **61**, 062314 (2000).
- <span id="page-3-3"></span>[6] R. Kawai, J. M. R. Parrondo, and C. Van den Broeck, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 080602 (2007).
- <span id="page-3-4"></span>[7] C. Bustamante, J. Liphardt, and F. Ritort, Phys. Today 58, No. 7, 43 (2005).
- <span id="page-3-5"></span>[8] D. J. Evans, E. G. D. Cohen, and G. P. Morriss, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 2401 (1993).
- <span id="page-3-6"></span>[9] G. Gallavotti and E. G. D. Cohen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 2694 (1995).
- <span id="page-3-7"></span>[10] D. M. Carberry *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 140601 (2004).
- <span id="page-3-8"></span>[11] F. Douarche *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 140603 (2006).
- <span id="page-3-9"></span>[12] R. L. Badzey et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. **85**, 3587 (2004).
- [13] V. Viasnoff, A. Meller, and H. Isambert, Nano Lett. 6, 101 (2006).
- <span id="page-3-10"></span>[14] J. E. Jang *et al.*, Nature Nanotech. 3, 26 (2008).
- <span id="page-3-11"></span>[15] A.E. Cohen and W.E. Moerner, Appl. Phys. Lett. 86, 093109 (2005).
- <span id="page-3-12"></span>[16] A. E. Cohen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 118102 (2005).
- <span id="page-3-13"></span>[17] L. Gammaitoni, P. Hänggi, P. Jung, and F. Marchesoni, Rev. Mod. Phys. 70, 223 (1998).
- <span id="page-3-14"></span>[18] K. Sekimoto, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 66, 1234 (1997).
- <span id="page-3-15"></span>[19] A. Greiner, W. Strittmatter, and J. Honerkamp, J. Stat. Phys. 51, 95 (1988).
- <span id="page-3-16"></span>[20] W. H. Press, B. P. Flannery, S. A. Teukolsky, and W. T. Vetterling, Numerical Recipes: The Art of Scientific Computing (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1992).
- <span id="page-3-17"></span>[21] C. Jarzynski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 2690 (1997).
- <span id="page-3-18"></span>[22] J. Liphard et al., Science 296, 1832 (2002).
- <span id="page-3-19"></span>[23] C. Jarzynski, J. Stat. Phys. **96**, 415 (1999).